

TORSION POINTS ON CURVES AND COMMON DIVISORS OF $a^k - 1$ AND $b^k - 1$

NIR AILON AND ZÉEV RUDNICK

ABSTRACT. We study the behavior of the greatest common divisor of $a^k - 1$ and $b^k - 1$, where a, b are fixed integers or polynomials, and k varies. In the integer case, we conjecture that when a and b are multiplicatively independent and in addition $a - 1$ and $b - 1$ are coprime, then $a^k - 1$ and $b^k - 1$ are coprime infinitely often. In the polynomial case, we prove a strong version of this conjecture. To do this we use a result of Lang on the finiteness of torsion points on algebraic curves. We also give a matrix analogue of these results, where for a nonsingular integer matrix A , we look at the greatest common divisor of the elements of the matrix $A^k - I$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $a, b \neq \pm 1$ be nonzero integers. One of our goals in this paper is to study the common divisors of $a^k - 1$ and $b^k - 1$, specifically to understand small values of $\gcd(a^k - 1, b^k - 1)$. If $a = c^u$ and $b = c^v$ for some integer c then clearly $c^k - 1$ divides $\gcd(a^k - 1, b^k - 1)$ and so for the purpose of understanding small values, we will assume that a and b are *multiplicatively independent*, that is $a^r \neq b^s$ for $r, s \geq 1$. Further, since $\gcd(a - 1, b - 1)$ always divides $\gcd(a^k - 1, b^k - 1)$, we will assume that $a - 1$ and $b - 1$ are coprime.

Based on numerical experiments and other considerations, we conjecture:

Conjecture A. *If a, b are multiplicatively independent non-zero integers with $\gcd(a - 1, b - 1) = 1$, then there are infinitely many integers $k \geq 1$ such that*

$$\gcd(a^k - 1, b^k - 1) = 1 .$$

Note that the condition of multiplicative independence of a and b is not necessary, as the (trivial) example $b = -a$ shows (the gcd is 1 for odd k , and $a^k - 1$ for even k).

A recent result of Bugeaud, Corvaja and Zannier [BCZ] rules out large values of $\gcd(a^k - 1, b^k - 1)$. They show that if $a, b > 1$ are

Date: December 16, 2002.

multiplicatively independent positive integers then for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(1) \quad \gcd(a^k - 1, b^k - 1) \ll_{\epsilon} e^{\epsilon k} .$$

Their argument uses Diophantine approximation techniques and in particular Schmidt's Subspace Theorem. They also indicate that there are arbitrarily large values of k for which the upper bound (1) cannot be significantly improved.

In the function field case, when we replace integers by polynomials, we are able to prove a strong version of Conjecture A.

Theorem 1. *Let $f, g \in \mathbf{C}[t]$ be non-constant polynomials. If f and g are multiplicatively independent, then there exists a polynomial h such that*

$$(2) \quad \gcd(f^k - 1, g^k - 1) \mid h$$

for any $k \geq 1$.

If, in addition, $\gcd(f - 1, g - 1) = 1$, then there is a finite union of proper arithmetic progressions $\cup d_i \mathbf{N}$, $d_i \geq 2$, such that for k outside these progressions,

$$\gcd(f^k - 1, g^k - 1) = 1 .$$

Note that (2) is a strong form of (1). We derive Theorem 1 from a result proposed by Lang [L1] on the finiteness of torsion points on curves - see section 2.

We next consider a generalization to the case of matrices. For an $r \times r$ integer matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbf{Z})$, $A \neq I$, (I being the identity matrix) we define $\gcd(A - I)$ as the greatest common divisor of the entries of $A - I$. Equivalently, $\gcd(A - I)$ is the greatest integer $N \geq 1$ such that $A \equiv I \pmod{N}$. We say that A is *primitive* if $\gcd(A - I) = 1$. Note that $\gcd(A - I)$ divides $\gcd(A^k - I)$ for all k . A similar definition applies to the function field case $A \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbf{C}[t])$. We will study behavior of $\gcd(A^k - I)$ as k varies for a fixed matrix A with coefficients in \mathbf{Z} or in $\mathbf{C}[t]$. If $\det A = 0$ then it holds trivially that $\gcd(A^k - I) = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. So we will henceforth assume that A is nonsingular.

For the case of 2×2 matrices, we will show in section 3 that if $A \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is unimodular and hyperbolic, then $\gcd(A^k - I)$ grows exponentially as $k \rightarrow \infty$. However, numerical experiments show that for other matrices, $\gcd(A^k - I)$ displays completely different behaviour. We formulate the following conjecture:

Conjecture B. *Suppose $r \geq 2$ and $A \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbf{Z})$ is nonsingular and primitive. Also assume that there is a pair of eigenvalues of A that are multiplicatively independent. Then A^k is primitive infinitely often.*

Note that Conjecture B subsumes Conjecture A. It would be interesting to prove an analogue of the upper bound (1) in this setting.

In section 4 we give an example where we can prove Conjecture B. To describe it, recall that one may obtain integer matrices by taking an algebraic integer u in a number field K and letting it act by multiplication on the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of K . This is a linear map and a choice of integer basis of \mathcal{O}_K gives us an integer matrix $A = A(u)$ whose determinant equals the norm of u . We employ this method for the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ where $p > 3$ is prime and ζ_p is a primitive p -th root of unity, and u is a non-real unit. We show:

Theorem 2. *Let u be a non-real unit in the extension $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$, and $A(u) \in SL_{p-1}(\mathbf{Z})$ be the corresponding matrix. Then $A(u)^k$ is primitive for all $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

In the function field case, we have a strong form of Conjecture B, which generalizes Theorem 1:

Theorem 3. *Let A be a nonsingular matrix in $\text{Mat}_r(\mathbf{C}[t])$. Assume that either*

- (1) *A is not diagonalizable over the algebraic closure of $\mathbf{C}(t)$, or*
- (2) *A has two eigenvalues that are multiplicatively independent.*

Then there exists a polynomial h such that $\gcd(A^k - I) \mid h$ for any k .

If, in addition, A is primitive, then A^k is primitive for all k outside a finite union of proper arithmetic progressions.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Umberto Zannier for useful discussions and the referee for suggesting several improvements. Some of the results were part of the first named author's M.Sc. thesis [Ai] at Tel Aviv University. The work was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation, founded by the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

To prove the theorem, we will use a result which was conjectured by Serge Lang and proved by Ihara, Serre and Tate (see [L1] and [L2]), which states that the intersection of an irreducible curve in $\mathbf{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}^*$ with the roots of unity $\mu_\infty \times \mu_\infty$ is finite, unless the curve is of the form $X^n Y^m - \zeta = 0$ or $X^m - \zeta Y^n = 0$ with $\zeta \in \mu_\infty$, that is unless the curve is the translate of an algebraic subgroup by a torsion point of $\mathbf{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}^*$. Applying this result to the rational curve $\{(f(t), g(t)) : t \in \mathbf{C}\}$, we conclude that only for finitely many t 's both $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are roots of unity when f and g are multiplicatively independent.

Thus by Lang's theorem we have that there is only a finite set of points $S \subset \mathbf{C}$ such that for any $s \in S$ both $f(s)$ and $g(s)$ are roots of unity. So $\gcd(f^k - 1, g^k - 1)$ can only have linear factors from $\{(t - s) \mid s \in S\}$. Write

$$f^k - 1 = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (f - \zeta_k^j).$$

Any two factors on the right side are coprime, so $t - s$ can divide at most one of them with multiplicity at most $\deg(f)$, and a similar statement can be said for g . Therefore the required polynomial h can be chosen as

$$h(t) = \prod_{s \in S} (t - s)^{\min(\deg(f), \deg(g))}.$$

For the second part of theorem 1, let $s \in S$ and let d_s be the least positive integer such that

$$t - s \mid \gcd(f(t)^{d_s} - 1, g(t)^{d_s} - 1).$$

Then $d_s > 1$ because $\gcd(f - 1, g - 1) = 1$, and clearly for $k \notin d_s \mathbf{N}$,

$$t - s \nmid \gcd(f(t)^k - 1, g(t)^k - 1).$$

Then $\cup_{s \in S} d_s \mathbf{N}$ is the required finite union of proper arithmetic progressions outside of which $\gcd(f^k - 1, g^k - 1) = 1$. \square

Note that Theorem 3 implies Theorem 1. We have chosen to give the proof of Theorem 1 separately to illustrate the ideas in a simple context.

3. 2×2 MATRICES

Let $A \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ be a 2×2 unimodular matrix which is *hyperbolic*, that is A has two distinct real eigenvalues. We show:

Proposition 4. *Let $A \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ be a hyperbolic matrix with eigenvalues ϵ, ϵ^{-1} , where $|\epsilon| > 1$. Then $\gcd(A^k - I) \gg |\epsilon|^{k/2}$.*

*Proof.*¹ Let K be the real quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\epsilon)$ and \mathcal{O}_K its ring of integers. We may diagonalize the matrix A over K , that is write $A = P \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$ with P a 2×2 matrix having entries in K . Since P is only determined up to a scalar multiple, we may, after multiplying P

¹We thank the referee for suggesting this proof, which replaces our original, more complicated, version.

by an algebraic integer of \mathcal{O}_K , assume that P has entries in \mathcal{O}_K . Then $P^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(P)}P^{ad}$ where P^{ad} also has entries in \mathcal{O}_K . Thus we have

$$A^k - I = \frac{1}{\det(P)}P \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^k - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-k} - 1 \end{pmatrix} P^{ad}.$$

The entries of $A^k - I$ are thus \mathcal{O}_K -linear combinations $(\epsilon^k - 1)/\det(P)$ and of $(\epsilon^{-k} - 1)/\det(P)$. We now note that

$$\epsilon^{-k} - 1 = -\epsilon^{-k}(\epsilon^k - 1)$$

and thus the entries of $A^k - I$ are all \mathcal{O}_K -multiples of $(\epsilon^k - 1)/\det(P)$. In particular, $\gcd(A^k - I)$, which is a \mathbf{Z} -linear combination of the entries of $A^k - I$, can be written as

$$\gcd(A^k - I) = \frac{\epsilon^k - 1}{\det(P)}\gamma_k$$

with $\gamma_k \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Now taking norms from K to \mathbf{Q} we see

$$|\gcd(A^k - I)|^2 = \frac{|\mathcal{N}(\epsilon^k - 1)|}{|\mathcal{N}(\det P)|} |\mathcal{N}(\gamma_k)|.$$

Since $\gamma_k \neq 0$, we have $|\mathcal{N}(\gamma_k)| \geq 1$ and thus

$$|\gcd(A^k - I)|^2 \geq \frac{|\mathcal{N}(\epsilon^k - 1)|}{|\mathcal{N}(\det P)|} \gg \epsilon^k$$

which gives $|\gcd(A^k - I)| \gg \epsilon^{k/2}$. \square

A special case of this Proposition appeared as a problem in the 54-th W.L. Putnam Mathematical Competition, 1994, see [An, pages 82, 242].

4. CYCLOTOMIC FIELDS

A standard construction of unimodular matrices is to take a unit u of norm one in a number field K and let it act by multiplication on the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K of K . This gives a linear map and a choice of integer basis of \mathcal{O}_K gives us an integer matrix whose determinant equals the norm of u and is thus unimodular. We employ this method for the case when u is a nonreal unit to give a construction of matrices A with the property that A^k is primitive infinitely often.

We recall some basic facts on units in a cyclotomic field. Let $p > 3$ be a prime, ζ_p a primitive p -th root of unity, and $K = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ the cyclotomic extension of the rationals. It is a field of degree $p - 1$. The ring of integers of this field \mathcal{O}_K is $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$. K is purely imaginary,

therefore the norm function is positive, and the norm of a unit u is always 1. Also note that the structure of the unit group E_p of \mathcal{O}_K is:

$$(3) \quad E_p = W_p E_p^+,$$

where W_p are the roots of unity in K and E_p^+ is the group of the real units in \mathcal{O}_K . A proof of this fact can be found, for example, in [L3, Theorem 4.1].

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2. We now prove theorem 2, that is show that if $u \in E_p \setminus E_p^+$ is a non-real unit and $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then the matrix corresponding to u^k is primitive.

The method we will use is that if we choose a basis $\omega_0 = 1, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{p-2}$ of $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$ and take a unit U in $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$, then we get a matrix $A(U) = (a_{i,j})$ whose entries are determined by

$$U\omega_i = \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} a_{j,i}\omega_j.$$

In particular if we find that in the expansion of

$$U = U \cdot \omega_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} a_{j,0}\omega_j$$

we have an index $j \neq 0$ so that $a_{j,0} = a_{0,0}$, then in the matrix $A(U) - I$ corresponding to $U - 1$, the first column will contain the entries $a_{0,0} - 1$ and $a_{j,0} = a_{0,0}$ which are clearly coprime and thus the matrix $A(U)$ is *primitive*.

Another option is to have $a_{0,0} = 0$ in which case in the matrix of $U - 1$, the $(0, 0)$ entry is -1 and thus again $A(U)$ is primitive. We will apply this method to the case that $U = u^k$ is a power of a non-real unit u and $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Let $u \in E_p \setminus E_p^+$ is a non-real unit. By (3), we can write:

$$u = \zeta_p^x u^+$$

where u^+ is a *real* unit and x is an integer not congruent to 0 mod p . Therefore,

$$u^k = \zeta_p^{xk} (u^+)^k$$

and

$$\zeta_p^{-xk} u^k = (u^+)^k$$

is real. Therefore it can be represented as an integer combination of $\zeta_p, \zeta_p^2, \dots, \zeta_p^{p-1}$ as follows:

$$\zeta_p^{-xk} u^k = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \alpha_j \zeta_p^j$$

where $\alpha_j = \alpha_{p-j}$ for each j . For convenience we will set $\alpha_0 := 0$.

Multiplying by ζ_p^{xk} , we find

$$u^k = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_j \zeta_p^{j+xk}$$

and changing the summation variable,

$$u^k = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{i-xk} \zeta_p^i$$

where the index of α is calculated mod p . Using the relation

$$\zeta_p^{p-1} = -1 - \zeta_p - \dots - \zeta_p^{p-2}$$

we find that in terms of the integer basis $\omega_j = \zeta_p^j$, $j = 0, \dots, p-2$ we have

$$u^k = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} (\alpha_{i-xk} - \alpha_{p-1-xk}) \omega_i.$$

If $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then $2xk \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If $2xk \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ then the coefficients of ω_0 and ω_{2xk} are equal. Therefore u^k is primitive. If $2xk$ is congruent to $-1 \pmod{p}$, then the coefficient of ω_0 vanishes and thus in this case as well, u^k is primitive.

Thus we found that if $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, the matrix corresponding to u^k is primitive.

□

Note that by virtue of (3), the eigenvalues of $A(u)$ come in complex conjugate pairs whose ratios are p -th roots of unity. This is somewhat similar to the trivial scalar example described in the introduction, namely $b = \pm a$.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

We extend the idea of the proof of Theorem 1 to cover the matrix case. We first show that there is only a finite set S of points $s \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $t - s$ divides $\gcd(A^k - I)$ for some k .

Let M be a matrix such that MAM^{-1} is in Jordan form. The elements of M are meromorphic functions on the Riemann surface R corresponding to some finite extension of $\mathbf{C}(t)$. Denote by $pr : R \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$

the associated projection of R to the projective line. Let S_0 be the finite collection of poles of these functions.

Assume first that A is not diagonalizable over the algebraic closure of $C(t)$. Thus for any $t_0 \in R \setminus S_0$, $A(t_0)$ is not diagonalizable, and therefore $A(t_0)^k - I \neq 0$ for all k (recall that a matrix of finite order ($A^m = I$) is automatically diagonalizable), in other words, $(t - t_0)$ does not divide $\gcd(A^k - I)$. Thus only the finitely many linear forms $t - s$, where $s \in \text{pr}(S_0)$ is the projection of some point in S_0 , can divide $\gcd(A^k - I)$.

We denote by $\lambda_i(t)$ the eigenvalues of A which are multivalued functions of t , that is meromorphic functions on the Riemann surface. Assume now that λ_1 and λ_2 are multiplicatively independent, and that A is diagonalizable. Suppose that $(t - t_0) \mid \gcd(A^k - I)$ for some $k > 1$ and $t_0 \in R \setminus S_0$. Then $A^k - I$ evaluated at t_0 is the zero matrix, and also:

$$M(t_0)(A(t_0)^k - I)M(t_0)^{-1} = 0 ,$$

and we deduce that

$$\lambda_1(t_0)^k - 1 = \lambda_2(t_0)^k - 1 = 0 .$$

In particular, $\lambda_1(t_0)$ and $\lambda_2(t_0)$ are roots of unity. Thus, we reduce to proving that λ_1 and λ_2 can be simultaneous roots of unity only at a finite set of points.

To prove this, we want to use Lang's theorem for the curve in \mathbf{C}^2 parameterized by $(\lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t))$. Denote by Y the Zariski closure of the image of the map $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) : R \setminus S_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$. Y is an irreducible algebraic curve in \mathbf{C}^2 . If Y is of dimension 0, then it is a point, so $\lambda_1(t)$ and $\lambda_2(t)$ are constants, and since they are multiplicatively independent none of them can be a root of unity. Otherwise, we may apply Lang's theorem for this curve and conclude that unless the curve Y is of the form $F^m - \zeta G^n = 0$ or $F^m G^n = \zeta$ with ζ a root of unity (which is not the case when λ_1 and λ_2 are multiplicatively independent), it has only finitely many torsion points. In other words, there can only be finitely many points of the form (ζ_1, ζ_2) on Y , where ζ_1 and ζ_2 are roots of unity.

We now prove that there is a polynomial h such that $\gcd(A^k - I)$ divides h for all k . Since there is a finite set S of possible zeros of $\gcd(A^k - I)$, it suffices to show that the multiplicity of a zero of $\gcd(A^k - I)$ is bounded.

Write $B = MAM^{-1}$, so B is in Jordan form. Denote by $v_{t_0}(f)$ the multiplicity of the zero at $t_0 \in R$ of f . So clearly, for any $t_0 \in R$ there

exists $c(t_0) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$v_{t_0}(\gcd(A^k - I)) \leq c(t_0) + v_{t_0}(\gcd(B^k - I)),$$

and for all t_0 outside the finite set S_0 of poles of entries of M , $c(t_0) = 0$. So it suffices to prove that $v_{t_0}(\gcd(B^k - I))$ is bounded.

Clearly,

$$\gcd(B^k - I) \mid \det(B^k - I) = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \det(B - \zeta_k^j I),$$

where ζ_k is a primitive k -th root of unity. Denoting the diagonal elements of $B - I$ by b_1, \dots, b_r , we see that

$$\det(B^k - I) = \prod_{d=1}^r \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (b_d - \zeta_k^j).$$

Because a meromorphic function on a Riemann surface has a finite degree, reasoning as in the proof of theorem 1 we see that for any $t_0 \in R$, $v_{t_0}(\prod_{j=1}^k (b_d - \zeta_k^j))$ is bounded, for all k . Therefore $v_{t_0}(\det(B^k - I))$ is bounded for all k .

Now assume in addition that A is primitive: $\gcd(A - I) = 1$. For any $s \in S$, the set of k 's such that $A(s)^k = I$, i.e. $(t - s) \mid \gcd(A^k - I)$, is an arithmetic progression $d_s \mathbf{Z}$ which is proper since it does not contain 1. Therefore the set of k such that $\gcd(A^k - I) \neq 1$ is a finite union of proper arithmetic progressions, and hence for k outside this finite union of proper arithmetic progressions, we have $\gcd(A^k - I) = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ai] Ailon, N. Primitive powers of matrices and related problems, Tel Aviv University M.Sc Thesis, October 2001.
- [An] Andreescu, T, and Gelca, R. *Mathematical Olympiad challenges*. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2000.
- [BCZ] Bugeaud, Y., Corvaja, P. and Zannier, U. An upper bound for the G.C.D of $a^n - 1$ and $b^n - 1$, to appear in Math. Zeitschrift.
- [L1] Lang, S. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata (IV)*, Vol. LXX, 1965 229–234.
- [L2] Lang, S. *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*. Springer-Verlag 1983 200–207.
- [L3] Lang, S. *Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer-Verlag 1978 79–82.
- [W] Washington, L. C. *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*. Springer-Verlag 1982 29–38, 143–146.

RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL. CURRENT ADDRESS: DE-
PARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ
08544, USA (nailon@princeton.edu)

RAYMOND AND BEVERLY SACKLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL (rudnick@post.tau.ac.il)