

Boring proof of a nonlinearity

Ralf Stephan

Dutch Mountains, Berlin*

(DATED: AUGUST 31, 2004)

We prove classically, and step-by-step, that certain integer sequences satisfy the recurrence $a_{n-1}a_{n+1} = (a_n - 1)^2$.

Proposition. *The coefficients $s_k(n)$ in the power series expansion of the generating function*

$$S_{k \geq 1}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} s_k(n) z^n = \frac{z + z^2}{1 - (k-1)z + (k-1)z^2 - z^3}$$

satisfy $s_k(0) = 0$, $s_k(1) = 1$, $s_k(2) = k$, and

$$s_k(n-1)s_k(n+1) = (s_k(n) - 1)^2. \quad (1)$$

Proof. We will prove the proposition formally by deriving the elementary closed form for $s_k(n)$, from which the final step is easily done.

First, we want to write the denominator of $S_k(z)$ as a product of its factors,

$$S_k(z) = \frac{z + z^2}{(1-z)(1-\theta_1 z)(1-\theta_2 z)},$$

where the $\theta_{1,2}$ are the solutions to the quadratic equation

$$1 - (k-2)z + z^2 = 0 \quad \implies \quad \theta_{1,2} = \frac{k-2 \pm \sqrt{k(k-4)}}{2}.$$

By expressing the denominator of $S_k(z)$ as product of its smallest factors (even if they were complex), we will be able to write the fraction as sum of its partial fractions, like this:

$$S_k(z) = \frac{A}{1-z} + \frac{B}{1-\theta_1 z} + \frac{C}{1-\theta_2 z}.$$

Graham, Knuth, and Patashnik (GKP) give a formula for the case when none of the roots of the denominator are multiple. This happens here only with $k = 4$. For the other cases we get

$$A = \frac{2}{(\theta_1 - 1)(\theta_2 - 1)}, \quad B, C = \frac{\theta_{1,2} + 1}{(\theta_{1,2} - 1)(\theta_{1,2} - \theta_{2,1})},$$

and therefore, with $\theta_1 \theta_2 = 1$,

$$A = \frac{-2}{k-4}, \quad B = C = \frac{1}{k-4}.$$

*Electronic address: ralf@ark.in-berlin.de

So the closed form for $s_k(n)$ is

$$s_k(n) = \frac{-2 + \theta_1^n + \theta_2^n}{k-4} = \frac{1}{k-4} \left[-2 + \left(\frac{k-2 + \sqrt{k(k-4)}}{2} \right)^n + \left(\frac{k-2 - \sqrt{k(k-4)}}{2} \right)^n \right].$$

It is not difficult now to see that the values for $n = 0, 1, 2$ are as proposed, so it remains to prove the identity in (1). Multiplying with the denominator, we have for the left hand side of (1)

$$(-2 + \theta_1^{n-1} + \theta_2^{n-1})(-2 + \theta_1^{n+1} + \theta_2^{n+1}) = 4 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 - 2\theta_1^{n-1}(1 + \theta_1^2) - 2\theta_2^{n-1}(1 + \theta_2^2) + \theta_1^{2n} + \theta_2^{2n}$$

and for the right hand side

$$(2 - k + \theta_1^n + \theta_2^n)^2 = (2 - k)^2 + 2(2 - k)(\theta_1^n + \theta_2^n) + 1 + 1 + \theta_1^{2n} + \theta_2^{2n}.$$

Together with the fact that $(k-2)\theta_{1,2} = 1 + \theta_{1,2}^2$, equality holds. The missing special case $k = 4$ turns out to be the square numbers, for which the proposition is trivially true. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank Wolfdieter Lang for spotting an easier proof using a Chebyshev polynomial identity and for correcting a sign error. Also, thanks to Rainer Rosenthal for coming up with the subject.

REFERENCES

- [GKP] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, 1994
- [W] H. S. Wilf, *generatingfunctionology*, Academic Press, NY, 1990.
<http://www.math.upenn.edu/~wilf/DownldGF.html>