## A NOTE ON STEPHAN'S CONJECTURE 87

ELIZABETH WILMER

Recently Stephan [5] posted 117 conjectures based on an extensive analysis of the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [3, 4]. Here we prove conjecture 87.

Let $K_{n, m}$ denote a complete bipartite graph with part sizes $n$ and $m$, and let $P_{n}$ denote a path with $n$ vertices. Fix an integer $k>1$. Here we are concerned with counting perfect matchings on the graphs $G_{n}=K_{1, k-1} \times P_{2 n}$. (For $k>2$, there are no perfect matchings on $K_{1, k-1} \times P_{2 n+1}$, which is bipartite with parts of unequal size.)

For $k=2$ and $k=3$, this problem is equivalent to the extremely well-studied problems of counting domino tilings of a 2 -by- $2 n$ or 3 -by- $2 n$ grid, respectively. See [2], section 7.1, for an extensive discussion.

We give two versions of the central combinatorial argument (Lemma 1 and the first proof of Lemma 3). The second argument, whose form was suggested by Henry Cohn, is simpler. However, the work of the first yields some information on the structure of the matchings (Corollary 2). The two recurrences derived are easily seen to be equivalent (second proof of Lemma 3), so we follow only one path to the generating function (Proposition 4).

Let's name the vertices of $G_{n}$. Call $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{2 n}$ the centers, and call $d_{i, j}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2 n, 1 \leq j \leq k-1$ be the peripheral vertices. There two types of edges:

- Horizontal: $\left\{c_{i}, d_{i, j}\right\}$, for $i=1, \ldots, 2 n$ and $j=1, \ldots, k-1$.
- Vertical: $\left\{c_{i}, c_{i+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{i, j}, d_{i+1, j}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 n-1,1 \leq j \leq k-1$. We say $\left\{c_{i}, c_{i+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{i, j}, d_{i+1, j}\right\}$ are at level $i$.)
Lemma 1. Let $k>1$ be a positive integer and let $a_{n}$ be the number of perfect matchings of the graph $G_{n}$. Then

$$
a_{0}=1, \quad a_{1}=k,
$$

and

$$
a_{n}=k a_{n-1}+(k-1)\left(a_{n-2}+a_{n-3}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)
$$

for $n \geq 2$.
Proof. Because $G_{0}$ has a single (null) matching, $a_{0}=1$.
How many matchings does $G_{1}$ have? Consider $c_{2}$. If it is matched with $c_{1}$, then every peripheral vertex must be matched via a vertical edge. If, on the other hand, $c_{2}$ is matched to some $d_{2, j}$ via a horizontal edge, then $c_{1}$ must be matched with $d_{1, j}$ (for the same $j!$ ), and all other peripheral vertices are matched via vertical edges. In every case, once we match $c_{2}$, the rest of the matching is determined. Since $c_{2}$ has degree $k$, we have $a_{1}=k$.

Now consider $G_{n}$ for some $n \geq 2$. If a matching contains no vertical edges at level $2 n-2$, it consists of a matching of $G_{n-1}$, together with a matching of $G_{1}$-the
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Figure 1. Sketch of a matching with a link at level $2 n-2$. The red (link) edges force all the orange edges. The matching must include either the green edge or the blue edge. If green, then there are no vertical edges at level $2 n-4$. If blue, then all the purple edges are forced, so there is a link in the same page at level $2 n-4$.
latter covers the vertices at levels $2 n-1$ and $2 n$. Clearly, there are $a_{n-1} a_{1}=k a_{n-1}$ such matchings.

What if there are vertical edges at level $2 n-2$ ? There cannot be only one; if so, then the remaining $2 k-1$ vertices at levels $2 n-1$ and $2 n$ must be matched with each other. However, the number of such vertices is odd.

There also cannot be two vertical edges at level $2 n-2$ that are both peripheral. If there were, say $\left\{d_{2 n-2, r}, d_{2 n-1, r}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{2 n-2, s}, d_{2 n-1, s}\right\}$, then both $\left\{d_{2 n, r}, c_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{2 n, s}, c_{2 n}\right\}$ would have to be in the matching, which is impossible.

The only remaining possibility is that there are exactly two vertical edges at level $2 n-2$ in the matching, one of which is central and one of which is peripheral: $\left\{c_{2 n-2}, c_{2 n-1}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{2 n-2, r}, d_{2 n-1, r}\right\}$ for some $1 \leq r \leq k-1$. When this happens, we say that there is a link at level $2 n-2$ in page $r$.

When there is a link at level $2 n-2$ in page $r$, the configuration of the matching at higher levels is completely determined: the horizonal edge $\left\{c_{2 n}, d_{2 n, r}\right\}$ must be present, as must be all peripheral vertical edges at level $2 n-1$ not in page $r$. Similarly, all peripheral vertical edges at level $2 n-3$, but not in page $r$, must be present. (See Figure 1, where the link edges are shown in red and the forced edges are shown in orange.)

Now consider $c_{2 n-3}$. It must be matched with either $d_{2 n-3, r}$ (shown in green in Figure 1) or $c_{2 n-4}$ (shown in blue in Figure 1). In the former case, no vertical edges at level $2 n-4$ can be used in the matching. Hence the entire matching splits into two pieces: a matching of $G_{n-2}$, along with a configuration of the type shown in Figure 1 in levels $2 n-3$ and up. Since there are $k-1$ possible pages in which to place the link at level $2 n-2$, there are $(k-1) a_{n-2}$ such matchings.

If, instead, we join $c_{2 n-3}$ to $c_{2 n-4}$, then $d_{2 n-3, r}$ must be matched to $d_{2 n-4, r}$, and we must have a link at level $2 n-4$ in page $r$. As before, no other vertical edges at level $2 n-4$ can be used, but all vertical edges outside of page $r$ are forced at level $2 n-5$ (see the purple edges in Figure 1).

Now, vertex $c_{2 n-5}$ must be matched either horizontally or vertically. There will be $(k-1) a_{n-3}$ matchings in the former category. For the latter, there will be a link forced at level $2 n-6$, and so on...

To sum up: every matching of $G_{n}$ will have a solidly linked section starting from level $2 n$ and running down to the first even level $2 m$ where there are no vertical edges present.

- When $m=n-1$, then, as we have noted, there are $k a_{n-1}$ possible matchings.
- When $1 \leq m \leq n-2$, there are $a_{m}$ ways to match the initial segment, and $k-1$ ways to build the solidly linked segment, for a total of $(k-1) a_{m}$ matchings.
- When every even level is linked, there are $k-1=(k-1) a_{0}$ possible matchings.

Hence

$$
a_{n}=k a_{n-1}+(k-1)\left(a_{n-2}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)
$$

Corollary 2. Every perfect matching on $G_{n}$ has the following properties:

1. No more than one edge from any horizontal level can be included.
2. At least $k-2$ vertical edges from each odd level must be included.
3. From each even level, either zero or two vertical edges can be included. If two vertical edges from an even level are present in the matching, one is central and the other is peripheral.
4. If vertical edges from consecutive even levels are included, they must lie in the same page of the graph.
Proof. By strong induction on $n$. The final linked segment of the matching (which was the key to to the proof of Lemma 1) has all these properties; so (by the inductive hypothesis) must the rest of the matching.

The second combinatorial argument, while closely related (of course!) to the first argument, is simpler; it also directly derives the recurrence relation we want. The many-to-one structure (which is illustrated beautifully clearly in the proof of identity 7 of [1]) was suggested by Henry Cohn.

Lemma 3. Let $k>1$ be a positive integer and let $a_{n}$ be the number of perfect matchings of the graph $G_{n}$. Then

$$
a_{0}=1, \quad a_{1}=k,
$$

and

$$
a_{n}=(k+1) a_{n-1}-a_{n-2} .
$$

for $n \geq 2$.
Proof 1 (combinatorial). The computations for $a_{0}=1$ and $a_{1}=k$ go through as before, of course. Now assume that $n \geq 2$. We will build a correspondence between

- a multiset containing $k+1$ copies of each matching on $G_{n-1}$, and
- the set containing all matchings on both $G_{n}$ and $G_{n-2}$.

Once we have done so, it will immediately follow that

$$
(k+1) a_{n-1}=a_{n}+a_{n-2} .
$$

Now, on to the correspondence. Since each matching on $G_{n-1}$ can be extended to a matching of $G_{n}$ by appending any of the $k$ matchings on $G_{1}$, we can match $k$ of the


Figure 2. To construct a matching of $G_{n}$, linked at level $2 n-2$, from a matching of $G_{n-1}$ with a horizontal edge (blue) at level $2 n-2$, delete the horizontal edge (whose presence forces many verticals at level $2 n-3$, shown in purple) and add in the red edges.
copies of each matching of $G_{n-1}$ to a matching of $G_{n}$ with no link at level $2 n-2$. Furthermore, every such matching on $G_{n}$ arises (exactly once) in this fashion.

What about the remaining (single) copies of the matchings of $G_{n-1}$ ? These must be made to correspond to the matchings on $G_{n-2}$ and the matchings on $G_{n}$ which are linked at level $2 n-2$.

Given a matching on $G_{n-1}$ : if it contains all vertical edges at level $2 n-3$, deleting those edges yields a matching of $G_{n-2}$. Furthermore, every matching of $G_{n-2}$ arises (exactly once) in this fashion.

Otherwise, the matching on $G_{n-1}$ must contain (exactly one) horizontal edge at level $2 n-2$, say, $\left\{c_{2 n-2}, d_{2 n-2, r}\right\}$. Build a new matching on $G_{n}$ as follows: include all edges of the original matching except $\left\{c_{2 n-2}, d_{2 n-2, r}\right\}$. Add in edges $\left\{c_{2 n-2}, c_{2 n-1}\right\},\left\{d_{2 n-2, r}, d_{2 n-1, r}\right\},\left\{c_{2 n}, d_{2 n, r}\right\}$, and, for every $s \neq r,\left\{d_{2 n-1, s}, d_{2 n, s}\right\}$. (See Figure 2.)

The resulting matching on $G_{n}$ is linked at level $2 n-2$. Furthermore, every matching of $G_{n+1}$ linked at level $2 n$ arises (exactly once) in this construction.

Proof 2 (from Lemma 1). Fix $n \geq 2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n} & =k a_{n-1}+(k-1)\left(a_{n-2}+\cdots+a_{0}\right) \\
& =k a_{n-1}+k a_{n-2}+(k-1)\left(a_{n-3}+\cdots+a_{0}\right)-a_{n-2} \\
& =k a_{n-1}+a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4 (Conjecture 87). Let $k>1$ be a positive integer, let $a_{n}$ be the number of perfect matchings of the graph $K_{1, k-1} \times P_{2 n}$, and let $A(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$. Then

$$
A(x)=\frac{1-x}{1-(k+1) x+x^{2}}
$$

Proof. As Wilf enthusiastically recommends ([6], Chapter 1), we multiply each equation listed in Lemma 3 by $x^{n}$, then sum over $n$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x) & =1+k x+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(k+1) a_{n-1} x^{n}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n-2} x^{n} \\
& =1+k x+(k+1) x(A(x)-1)-x^{2} A(x) \\
& =1-x+A(x)\left((k+1) x-x^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $A(x)$ yields the claimed formula.
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