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#### Abstract

A connection is developed between polynomials invariant under abelian permutation of their variables and minimal zero sequences in a finite abelian group. This connection is exploited to count the number of minimal invariant polynomials for various abelian groups.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Invariant theory has a long and beautiful history, with early work by Hilbert [9] and Noether [12]. Classically, it is concerned with with polynomials over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, invariant over certain permutations of its variables. For an introduction to this subject, see any of $[4,11,13]$.

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group, assumed without loss to be $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus$ $\mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{k}}$. We fix a polynomial $P$ in the variables $x_{g}$, for each $g \in G$. We let $h \in G$ act on the variables via $h: x_{g} \rightarrow x_{h+g}$, and therefore on
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$P$ in the natural way. Our goal is to find and count $P$ invariant under all $|G|$ such actions, and minimal in the ring of polynomials. It is enough to find minimal $P$ invariant under the $k$ actions $^{1} e_{1}=(-1,0, \ldots, 0), e_{2}=$ $(0,-1, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_{k}=(0,0, \ldots,-1)$. This extends the work of Strom [14], who focused on the case $k=1$.

Switching gears, we now define a minimal zero sequence in a finite abelian group $G$. A sequence is a multiset of elements of $G$. A zero sequence is a multiset whose sum is zero in $G$. A minimal zero sequence is a zero sequence minimal with respect to set inclusion. For example, four zero sequences in the group $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ are $\{4,3\},\{6,6,2\},\{0\},\{4,4,3,2,1\}$. However, only the first three examples are minimal zero sequences.

Our main result connects these two questions.
Theorem 1.1. The number of minimal polynomials $P$ invariant under $G$ is equal to the number of minimal zero sequences of $G$.

Minimal zero sequences of finite abelian groups have been extensively studied (for example, $[2,7,8,10,15]$ ). In $[6]$ is described an efficient algorithm for counting minimal zero sequences for a finite abelian group. Applying this algorithm we are able to extend the table found in [14] substantially. The results are found in Table $1 .{ }^{2}$

Space does not permit us to include much more of this table; it is available (together with the software used to generate it) up to $\mathbb{Z}_{64}$ at http://www.trinity.edu/vadim/research.html
However, we can report that the rightmost column that counts the total number of minimal invariant polynomials (which ends in 974, 1494) continues as $2135,3913,4038,7936,8247,12967,17476,29162,28065,49609,59358$, $83420,97243,164967,152548, \ldots$.

Also, we can report the total number of minimal invariant polynomials for some groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ in Table 2.

## 2. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Our general approach is to change variables so that all minimal invariant polynomials under the new variables will become minimal invariant monomials. After this change, the group action on the original variables acts on the new variables as scalar multiplication. This makes it easier to identify and count the minimal invariant monomials, and gives a correspondence between minimal invariant monomials and minimal zero sequences.

[^0]TABLE 1.
Number of minimal invariant polynomials, by degree.

| $G$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | 1 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{9}$ | 1 | 4 | 14 | 26 | 32 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ | 1 | 5 | 16 | 36 | 48 | 32 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 119 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ | 1 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 82 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 346 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | 1 | 6 | 24 | 64 | 104 | 84 | 36 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 367 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{13}$ | 1 | 6 | 28 | 84 | 168 | 180 | 132 | 84 | 60 | 36 | 24 | 12 | 12 |  | 827 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{14}$ | 1 | 7 | 32 | 104 | 216 | 242 | 162 | 96 | 42 | 30 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 6 |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ | 1 | 7 | 38 | 130 | 306 | 388 | 264 | 120 | 88 | 56 | 40 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 8 |

TABLE 2.
Number of minimal invariant polynomials for $G=\mathbb{Z}_{m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 5 | 20 | 39 | 166 | 253 | 974 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 20 | 69 | 367 | 1494 | 2642 | 12967 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 39 | 367 | 1107 | 8247 | 19463 | 97243 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 166 | 1494 | 8247 | 31029 | 164967 | 508162 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 253 | 2642 | 19463 | 164967 | 390861 | 4694718 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | 974 | 12967 | 97243 | 508162 | 4694718 | 9540473 |

For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\varepsilon_{m}=e^{\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{m}}$, where $e$ is the usual transcendental $2.718 \ldots$.. We will need two well-known (for example, [1] or [3]) properties of these constants $\varepsilon_{m}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\varepsilon_{m}$ be as above. Then

1. $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)^{k}=1$ if and only if $m$ divides $k$.
2.Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)^{j k}= \begin{cases}m, & \text { if } m \text { divides } j ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

Recall that $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{k}}$. Henceforth, for $g \in G$, we use $(g)_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ to denote the projection of $g$ onto the $i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate. For each $h \in G$, we define a new variable $y_{h}$, a linear combination of the $x_{g}$ 's, as

$$
y_{h}=\sum_{g \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(g)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g}
$$

Naturally, we can write the $x_{g}$ 's as linear combinations of the $y_{h}$ 's, as below.

Lemma 2.1. For all $g \in G$ we have

$$
x_{g}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}\left(n_{j}-(g)_{j}\right)}\right) y_{h}
$$

Proof. We substitute for $y_{h}$ into the right hand side to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}\left(n_{j}-(g)_{j}\right)}\right) \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g^{\prime}}= \\
& \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} x_{g^{\prime}} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(h)_{i} n_{i}}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(h)_{i}\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{i}-(g)_{i}\right)}\right)= \\
& \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} x_{g^{\prime}} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(h)_{i}\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{i}-(g)_{i}\right)}\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} x_{g^{\prime}}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
|G|, & \text { if } g=g^{\prime} ; \\
0, & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right\} \text { I }
\end{aligned}
$$

The main reason for this change of variables is that the $k$ actions permuting the variables act on the new variables as scalar multiplication.

Lemma 2.2. $\quad e_{j}: y_{h} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}} y_{h}$.

Proof. We have $e_{j} \circ y_{h}=\sum_{g \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(g)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g+e_{j}}=$
$=\sum_{\left(g+e_{j}\right) \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{\left(g+e_{j}-e_{j}\right)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g+e_{j}}=\sum_{g \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{\left(g-e_{j}\right)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g}=$ $=y_{h}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{-\left(e_{j}\right)_{j}(h)_{j}}=y_{h}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}}$.
An immediate consequence of the above is that $e_{j}: y_{h}^{a} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{a(h)_{j}} y_{h}^{a}$. More generally, we can calculate the effect of $e_{j}$ on an arbitrary monomial.

Lemma 2.3. For constant $\alpha, e_{j}: \alpha \prod_{h \in G} y_{h}^{a_{h}} \rightarrow\left(\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{\sum_{h \in G} a_{h}(h)_{j}}\right) \alpha \prod_{h \in G} y_{h}^{a_{h}}$.

Consider an invariant polynomial $P$ in the $x_{g}$ 's. Apply the invertible linear change of variables to get a polynomial $Q$ in the $y_{h}$ 's. We must also have $Q$ invariant under the $k$ actions. Furthermore, $P$ is minimal if and only if $Q$ is a monomial such that any nonconstant monomial properly dividing $Q$ is not invariant.

By the previous discussion, an invariant polynomial $P$ must correspond to a minimal monomial $Q$. Furthermore, since $Q$ is invariant, we must have $\sum_{h \in G} a_{h}(h)_{j} \equiv 0\left(\bmod n_{j}\right)$ for each $j$. Combining these $j$ requirements, we get $\sum_{h \in G} a_{h} h=0$, where 0 is the zero element in $G$. Therefore, we can consider the $a_{h}$ as multiplicities for each element $h \in G$, and since the sum is zero we have a zero sequence. A nonconstant monomial $Q^{\prime}$ properly dividing $Q$ would have corresponding $a_{h}^{\prime}$, with $a_{h}^{\prime} \leq a_{h}$ and not all equal. In that case, this $Q^{\prime}$ would correspond to a zero sequence properly contained in the previous zero sequence.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The actions are chosen to be the negatives of the standard basis for technical reasons, to be evident later. These elements generate $G$.
    ${ }^{2}$ These results, through other methods, were also found by A. Elashvili and V. Tsiskaridze [5]. Their unpublished data matches ours, and equally continues to $\mathbb{Z}_{64}$.

