

# Idempotents and Nilpotents Modulo $n$

STEVEN FINCH

April 30, 2006

**ABSTRACT.** We study asymptotic properties of periods and transient phases associated with modular power sequences. The latter are simple; the former are vaguely related to the reciprocal sum of square-free integer kernels.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  denote the ring of integers modulo  $n$ . Define  $S(x)$  to be the sequence  $\{x^k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ . We wish to understand the periodicity properties of  $S(x)$ , that is, the statistics of

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= \begin{array}{l} \text{the } \mathbf{period} \\ \text{of } S(x) \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} \text{the least } m \geq 1 \text{ for which } x^{k+m} = x^k \\ \text{for all sufficiently large } k, \end{array} \\ \tau(x) &= \begin{array}{l} \text{the } \mathbf{transient} \\ \mathbf{phase} \text{ of } S(x) \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} \text{the least } \ell \geq 0 \text{ for which } x^{k+\sigma(x)} = x^k \\ \text{for all } k \geq \ell. \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

For example, the unique  $x$  with  $(\sigma, \tau) = (1, 0)$  is  $x = 1$ . If  $(\sigma, \tau) = (2, 0)$ , then  $x$  is a square root of unity; if  $(\sigma, \tau) = (3, 0)$ , then  $x$  is a cube root of unity [1]. If  $\tau = 0$  (with no condition placed on  $\sigma$ ), then  $x$  is relatively prime to  $n$ . Hence the number of such  $x$  is

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^k = 1 \text{ for some } k \geq 1\} = \varphi(n)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the Euler totient function and, asymptotically [1, 2],

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \varphi(n) \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} N^2 = (0.303963550927\dots) N^2$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . As another example, if  $(\sigma, \tau) = (1, 1)$ , then  $x$  is an **idempotent**. The number of such  $x$ , including 0 and 1, is

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^2 = x\} = 2^{\omega(n)}$$

where  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$  and [1, 3]

$$\sum_{n \leq N} 2^{\omega(n)} \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} N \cdot \ln N$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . More difficult examples appear in the following sections. As in [1], we make no claim of originality: Our purpose is only to gather relevant formulas in one place.

---

<sup>0</sup>Copyright © 2006 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

## 1. GENERALIZED IDEMPOTENTS

**1.1. Bounded Transient Phase.** If  $\tau \leq 1$  (with no condition placed on  $\sigma$ ), then the number of such  $x$  is [3]

$$a(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k+1} = x \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}.$$

This is a multiplicative function of  $n$  and

$$a(p^r) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } r = 1, \\ p^r - p^{r-1} + 1 & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{s+1}} = \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^r - p^{r-1} + 1}{p^{r(s+1)}} \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{p^{s+2} - 2p + 1}{p(p^{s+1} - 1)(p^s - 1)} \right) = G(s) \cdot \zeta(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Selberg-Delange method [1, 4, 5, 6],

$$\sum_{n \leq N} a(n) \sim \frac{1}{2} G(1) \cdot N^2 = A \cdot N^2$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2(p+1)} \right) = 0.440756919862\dots$$

(the *quadratic class constant* described in [7], divided by two). Joshi [8] obtained this result via a different approach and found an alternative formula:

$$A = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\ell^2} \cdot \prod_{p|\ell} \frac{p}{p+1} \right)$$

but did not numerically evaluate this expression.

If  $\tau \leq 2$  (with no condition placed on  $\sigma$ ), then the number of such  $x$  is [3]

$$b(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k+2} = x^2 \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}.$$

This is a multiplicative function of  $n$  and

$$b(p^r) = \begin{cases} p^r & \text{if } r \leq 2, \\ p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{(r-1)/2} & \text{if } r \geq 3 \text{ and } r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{r/2} & \text{if } r \geq 4 \text{ and } r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(n)}{n^{s+1}} &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1, \\ r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{(r-1)/2}}{p^{r(s+1)}} + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 2, \\ r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \frac{p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{r/2}}{p^{r(s+1)}} \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{p^{3s+2} + p^{2s+2} - 2p^{s+1} + p^s - 2p + 1}{p(p^{2s+1} - 1)(p^{2s} - 1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq N} b(n) \sim B \cdot N^2$ , where

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2(p^2 + p + 1)} \right) = 0.477176626987\dots$$

If  $\tau \leq 3$  (with no condition placed on  $\sigma$ ), then the number of such  $x$  is [3]

$$c(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k+3} = x^3 \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}.$$

This is a multiplicative function of  $n$  and

$$c(p^r) = \begin{cases} p^r & \text{if } r \leq 3, \\ p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{2(r-1)/3} & \text{if } r \geq 4 \text{ and } r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{(2r-1)/3} & \text{if } r \geq 5 \text{ and } r \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{2r/3} & \text{if } r \geq 6 \text{ and } r \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c(n)}{n^{s+1}} &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1, \\ r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}}} \frac{p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{2(r-1)/3}}{p^{r(s+1)}} + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 2, \\ r \equiv 2 \pmod{3}}} \frac{p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{(2r-1)/3}}{p^{r(s+1)}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 3, \\ r \equiv 0 \pmod{3}}} \frac{p^r - p^{r-1} + p^{2r/3}}{p^{r(s+1)}} \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{p^{5s+2} + p^{4s+2} + p^{3s+2} - 2p^{2s+1} + p^{2s} - 2p^{s+1} + p^s - 2p + 1}{p(p^{3s+1} - 1)(p^{3s} - 1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq N} c(n) \sim C \cdot N^2$ , where

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2(p^3 + p^2 + p + 1)} \right) = 0.490145568004\dots$$

The pattern exhibited by  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  is clear and deserves proof for  $\tau \leq T$ , for arbitrary  $T$ . A different attempt [9] to determine the asymptotics of  $\sum_{n \leq N} b(n)$  and of  $\sum_{n \leq N} c(n)$  unfortunately turned out to be erroneous [10].

**1.2. Bounded Period.** If  $\sigma = 1$  (with no condition placed on  $\tau$ ), then the number of such  $x$  is [11]

$$u(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k+1} = x^k \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}.$$

This is a multiplicative function of  $n$  and

$$u(p^r) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ p^{r-1} + 1 & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r-1} + 1}{p^{rs}} \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{2p^s - p - 1}{p(p^s - 1)(p^{s-1} - 1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

we *would like* to deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq N} u(n) \sim U \cdot f(N)$  for some simple expression  $f(N)$ . Unfortunately this is an unsolved problem. More details are found in section [2].

If  $\sigma \leq 2$  (with no condition placed on  $\tau$ ), then the number of such  $x$  is [11]

$$v(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k+2} = x^k \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}.$$

This is a multiplicative function of  $n$  and

$$v(p^r) = \begin{cases} 2^r & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } r \leq 2, \\ 2^{r-1} + 4 & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } r \geq 3, \\ 3 & \text{if } p > 2 \text{ and } r = 1, \\ p^{r-1} + 2 & \text{if } p > 2 \text{ and } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v(n)}{n^s} &= \left( 1 + \frac{2}{2^s} + \frac{4}{2^{2s}} + \sum_{r=3}^{\infty} \frac{2^{r-1} + 4}{2^{rs}} \right) \cdot \prod_{p>2} \left( 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r-1} + 2}{p^{rs}} \right) \\ &= \left( 1 + \frac{2}{2^s} + \frac{4}{2^{2s}} + \frac{2^{s+2} - 6}{2^{2s}(2^s - 1)(2^{s-1} - 1)} \right) \cdot \prod_{p>2} \left( 1 + \frac{3p^s - 2p - 1}{p(p^s - 1)(p^{s-1} - 1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

we *would like* to deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq N} v(n) \sim V \cdot f(N)$ , where  $f(N)$  is the same expression as for  $\sigma = 1$ .

If  $\sigma \leq 3$  (with no condition placed on  $\tau$ ), then the number of such  $x$  is [11]

$$\# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k+3} = x^k \text{ or } x^{k+2} = x^k \text{ for some } k \geq 0\} = w(n) - u(n) + v(n)$$

where

$$w(n) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k+3} = x^k \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}.$$

The latter is a multiplicative function of  $n$  and

$$w(p^r) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p = 3 \text{ and } r = 1, \\ 3^{r-1} + 3 & \text{if } p = 3 \text{ and } r \geq 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } r = 1, \\ 4 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } r = 1, \\ p^{r-1} + 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \text{ and } r \geq 2, \\ p^{r-1} + 3 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{w(n)}{n^s} &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{3^s} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{3^{r-1} + 3}{3^{rs}}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}} \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r-1} + 1}{p^{rs}}\right) \\ &\cdot \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}} \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r-1} + 3}{p^{rs}}\right) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{3^s} + \frac{2 \cdot 3^s - 4}{3^s(3^s - 1)(3^{s-1} - 1)}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p^s - p - 1}{p(p^s - 1)(p^{s-1} - 1)}\right) \\ &\cdot \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}} \left(1 + \frac{4p^s - 3p - 1}{p(p^s - 1)(p^{s-1} - 1)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

we *would like* to deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq N} w(n) \sim W \cdot f(N)$ , where  $f(N)$  is the same expression as for  $\sigma = 1$  and  $\sigma \leq 2$ .

Note that  $w - u + v$  is not multiplicative since  $w(21) - u(21) + v(21) = 8 - 4 + 9 = 13$  while  $w(3) - u(3) + v(3) = 2 - 2 + 3 = 3$  and  $w(7) - u(7) + v(7) = 4 - 2 + 3 = 5$ . It would easily follow that  $\sum_{n \leq N} (w(n) - u(n) + v(n)) \sim (W - U + V) \cdot f(N)$ , completing the case  $\sigma \leq 3$ , if the nature of  $f(N)$  could be better ascertained.

**1.3. Unbounded Period.** Elements  $x$  of small period are apparently quite rare for large  $n$ . We will visit the other extreme. Consider, for example,

$$m(n) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^{k + \lceil \varphi(n)/2 \rceil} = x^k \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}$$

(the ceiling function is needed only for  $1 \leq n \leq 2$ , beyond which  $\varphi(n)$  is always even). This is not a multiplicative function, but nevertheless can be simplified to

$$m(n) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ \frac{p^{r-1}(p+1)}{2} & \text{if } n = p^r, \text{ where } p > 2 \text{ and } r \geq 1, \\ p^{r-1}(p+1) & \text{if } n = 2p^r, \text{ where } p > 2 \text{ and } r \geq 1, \\ n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m(n)}{n^{s+1}} = \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{4^{s+1}} - \frac{2^s + 1}{2^{s+1}} \sum_{p>2} \frac{p-1}{p(p^s-1)},$$

we deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq N} m(n) \sim (1/2)N^2$  since

$$0 < (s-1) \sum_p \frac{p-1}{p(p^s-1)} < (s-1) \sum_p \frac{1}{p^s} \sim -(s-1) \ln(s-1) \rightarrow 0^+$$

as  $s \rightarrow 1^+$ . The behavior of  $\sum_{n \leq N} (n - m(n))$  is more subtle. From

$$\sum_p \frac{p-1}{p(p^s-1)} \sim -\ln(s-1) \sim \sum_p \frac{1}{p^s}$$

and the fact that  $\sum_{p \leq N} p \sim N^2/(2 \ln(N))$  via the Prime Number Theorem [12, 13], we deduce that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} (n - m(n)) \sim \frac{3}{8} \frac{N^2}{\ln(N)}.$$

It would be interesting to replace  $\lceil \varphi(n)/2 \rceil$  by more slowly-growing expressions and to see what asymptotic consequences arise.

## 2. NILPOTENTS

An element  $x$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is **nilpotent** if its power sequence  $S(x)$  is eventually zero. Define [14]

$$z(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n : x^k = 0 \text{ for some } k \geq 1\}.$$

This is a multiplicative function of  $n$  and

$$z(p^r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ p^{r-1} & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Define also the **square-free kernel**  $\kappa(n)$  to be the product of all distinct prime factors of  $n$ . Clearly  $\kappa(p^r) = p$  for all  $r \geq 1$  and hence  $z(n) = n/\kappa(n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . From

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z(n)}{n^s} &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r-1}}{p^{rs}} \right) \\ &= \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p(p^{s-1}-1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

we *would like* to deduce that  $\sum_{n \leq N} z(n) \sim Z \cdot f(N)$  for some simple expression  $f(N)$ . Unfortunately, as discussed in section [1.2], this is an unsolved problem. De Bruijn [15, 16, 17, 18] proved that

$$\ln \left( \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{\kappa(n)} \right) \sim \left( \frac{8 \ln N}{\ln \ln N} \right)^{1/2} \sim \ln \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} \frac{n}{\kappa(n)} \right)$$

and Schwarz [19] proved that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{\kappa(n)} \sim 2^{-1/4} (4\pi)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{\ln \ln N}{\ln N} \right)^{1/4} \left( \min_{0 < y < \infty} N^y \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\kappa(n)n^y} \right).$$

A more concrete rightmost factor would be good to see someday.

### 3. PRIMITIVE ROOTS

We have not mentioned the group  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  (under multiplication) of integers relatively prime to  $n$  in this paper thus far. A well-known counting problem concerns the number [20, 21, 22, 23]

$$g(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* : \sigma(x) = \varphi(n)\}$$

of **primitive  $\varphi(n)^{\text{th}}$  roots modulo  $n$** . Equivalently,  $g(n)$  is the number of generators of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Clearly  $g(n) > 0$  if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is a cyclic group; further,

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} \varphi(\varphi(n)) & \text{if } n = 1, 2, 4, q^j \text{ or } 2q^j, \text{ where } q \text{ is an odd prime and } j \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also define the **reduced totient** or **Carmichael function** [24]

$$\psi(n) = \begin{cases} \varphi(n) & \text{if } n = 1, 2, 4 \text{ or } q^j, \text{ where } q \text{ is an odd prime and } j \geq 1, \\ \varphi(n)/2 & \text{if } n = 2^k, \text{ where } k \geq 3, \\ \text{lcm} \{ \psi(p_j^{e_j}) : 1 \leq j \leq \ell \} & \text{if } n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_\ell^{e_\ell}, \text{ where } 2 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots \text{ and } \ell \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

which is the size of the largest cyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , and consider the number [20, 25, 26, 27]

$$h(n) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^* : \sigma(x) = \psi(n)\}$$

of **primitive  $\psi(n)^{\text{th}}$  roots modulo  $n$** . It is known that [28]

$$\sum_{n \leq N} g(n) \sim \tilde{A} \frac{N^2}{\ln N}$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{5}{8} \prod_p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) = 0.233722383512\dots$$

(five-eighths of *Artin's constant* [7]). A corresponding result for  $\sum_{n \leq N} h(n)$  evidently remains open. The issue of the asymptotics of  $\sum_{n \leq N} g(n)$  and of  $\sum_{n \leq N} h(n)$  bears some resemblance to the periodicity problems discussed earlier.

#### 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Gérald Tenenbaum for suggesting the relation to  $\sum_{n \leq N} 1/\kappa(n)$  and for informing me about [15, 19]. I also thank Pascal Sebah, my coauthor in [1]. After this paper was completed, I learned about [29], which uses sophisticated tools to examine mean periods over all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  (a different and more successful approach than mine).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Finch and P. Sebah, Squares and cubes modulo  $n$ , <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.NT/0604465>.
- [2] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1976, pp. 55–62, 229; MR0434929 (55 #7892).
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, A034444, A055653, A117656, A117657.
- [4] A. Selberg, Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 18 (1954) 83–87; MR0067143 (16,676a).
- [5] H. Delange, Sur des formules de Atle Selberg, *Acta Arith.* 19 (1971) 105–146 (errata insert); MR0289432 (44 #6623).
- [6] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995, pp. 180–197, 257; MR1342300 (97e:11005b).
- [7] S. R. Finch, Artin's constant, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003, pp. 104–109; MR2003519 (2004i:00001).
- [8] V. S. Joshi, Order-free integers (mod  $m$ ), *Number Theory*, Proc. 1981 Mysore conf., ed. K. Alladi, Lect. Notes in Math. 938, Springer-Verlag, 1982, pp. 93–100; MR0665441 (83k:10085).
- [9] J. K. Patel, Order free integers (mod  $m$ ), *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 24 (1993) 503–508; MR1241102 (94i:11076).



- [10] J. K. Patel, Erratum to: “Order free integers (mod  $m$ )”, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 25 (1994) 457–458; MR1272816 (95c:11115).
- [11] Sloane, op. cit., A117658, A117659, A117660.
- [12] A. M. Odlyzko, Asymptotic enumeration methods, *Handbook of Combinatorics*, v. I, ed. R. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, MIT Press, 1995, pp. 1063–1229; MR1373678 (97b:05012).
- [13] E. Bach and J. Shallit, *Algorithmic Number Theory*, v. 1, *Efficient Algorithms*, MIT Press, 1996, pp. 27–29; MR1406794 (97e:11157).
- [14] Sloane, op. cit., A003557, A007947.
- [15] N. G. de Bruijn, On the number of integers  $\leq x$  whose prime factors divide  $n$ , *Illinois J. Math.* 6 (1962) 137–141; MR0147461 (26 #4977).
- [16] N. G. de Bruijn and J. H. van Lint, On the number of integers  $\leq x$  whose prime factors divide  $n$ , *Acta Arith.* 8 (1963) 349–356; MR0159779 (28 #2995).
- [17] N. G. de Bruijn and J. H. van Lint, On the asymptotic behaviour of some Dirichlet series with a complicated singularity, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* 11 (1963) 68–75; MR0158878 (28 #2100).
- [18] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995, pp. 54, 116–117, 126; MR1342300 (97e:11005b).
- [19] W. Schwarz, Einige Anwendungen Tauberscher Sätze in der Zahlentheorie. B, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 219 (1965) 157–179; MR0184917 (32 #2388).
- [20] Sloane, op. cit., A002322, A046144, A010554, A033948, A033949, A111725.
- [21] T. Nagell, *Introduction to Number Theory*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Chelsea, 1981, pp. 102–111, 300; MR0174513 (30 #4714).
- [22] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Springer-Verlag, 1990, pp. 41–45; MR1070716 (92e:11001) .
- [23] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1976, pp. 204–213; MR0434929 (55 #7892).
- [24] P. Erdős, C. Pomerance and E. Schmutz, Carmichael’s lambda function, *Acta Arith.* 58 (1991) 363–385; MR1121092 (92g:11093).

- [25] S. Li, On the number of elements with maximal order in the multiplicative group modulo  $n$ , *Acta Arith.* 86 (1998) 113–132; MR1654458 (99k:11144).
- [26] P. J. Cameron and D. A. Preece, Notes on primitive lambda roots, available online at <http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~pjc/csg.html>.
- [27] T. W. Müller and J.-C. Schlage-Puchta, On the number of primitive  $\lambda$ -roots, *Acta Arith.* 115 (2004) 217–223; MR2100500 (2005g:11189).
- [28] S. S. Pillai, On the sum function connected with primitive roots, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A.* 13 (1941) 526–529; MR0004834 (3,68c).
- [29] F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, Average multiplicative orders of elements modulo  $n$ , *Acta Arith.* 109 (2003) 387–411; MR2009051 (2004i:11113).

Steven Finch  
*Steven.Finch@inria.fr*