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#### Abstract

In this paper we determine the number of the meaningful compositions of higher order of the differential operations and Gateaux directional derivative.


## 1 The compositions of the differential operations of the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

In the real three-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we consider the following sets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{0}=\left\{f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{A}_{1}=\left\{\vec{f}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid \vec{f} \in \vec{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, over the sets $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ in the vector analysis, there are $m=3$ differential operations of the first-order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{1} f=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{3}}\right): \mathrm{A}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}, \\
& \operatorname{curl} \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \vec{f}=\left(\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right): \mathrm{A}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1},  \tag{2}\\
& \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial f_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Let us present the number of the meaningful compositions of higher order over the set $\mathcal{A}_{3}=\left\{\nabla_{1}, \nabla_{2}, \nabla_{3}\right\}$. As a well-known fact, there are $m=5$ compositions of the second-order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta f=\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f \\
& \text { curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f} \\
& \text { grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}  \tag{3}\\
& \text { curl grad } f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0} \\
& \text { div curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Malešević [2] proved that there are $m=8$ compositions of the third-order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { grad div grad } f=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f, \\
& \text { curl curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}, \\
& \text { div grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}, \\
& \text { curl curl grad } f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0}, \\
& \text { div curl grad } f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=0,  \tag{4}\\
& \text { div curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0, \\
& \text { grad div curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0}, \\
& \text { curl grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we denote by $\mathbf{f}(k)$ the number of compositions of the $k^{\text {th }}$-order, then Malešević $[3]$ proved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(k)=F_{k+3}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{k}$ is $k^{\text {th }}$ Fibonacci number.

## 2 The compositions of the differential operations and Gateaux directional derivative on the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

Let $f \in \mathrm{~A}_{0}$ be a scalar function and $\vec{e}=\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a unit vector. Thus, the Gateaux directional derivative in direction $\vec{e}$ is defined by [1, p. 71]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} f=\nabla_{1} f \cdot \vec{e}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} e_{1}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} e_{2}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{3}} e_{3}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us determine the number of the meaningful compositions of higher order over the set $\mathcal{B}_{3}=\left\{\nabla_{0}, \nabla_{1}, \nabla_{2}, \nabla_{3}\right\}$. There exist $m=8$ compositions of the second-order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} f=\nabla_{1}\left(\nabla_{1} f \cdot \vec{e}\right) \cdot \vec{e}, \\
& \operatorname{grad}_{\operatorname{dir}}^{\vec{e}} \\
& \Delta=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} f=\nabla_{1}\left(\nabla_{1} f \cdot \vec{e}\right) \\
& \Delta f=\operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f \\
& \text { curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}  \tag{7}\\
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\left(\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}\right) \cdot \vec{e} \\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f} \\
& \text { curl grad } f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0} \\
& \operatorname{div} \operatorname{curl} \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0
\end{align*}
$$

that is, there exist $m=16$ compositions of the third-order:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} f, \\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} f, \\
& \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} f, \\
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f, \\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{grad} f=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} f \text {, } \\
& \text { curl curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f} \text {, } \\
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}, \\
& \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{di} r_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f} \text {, } \\
& \text { div grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f} \text {, }  \tag{8}\\
& \text { curl grad } \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{0} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0} \text {, } \\
& \text { curl curl grad } f=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=\overrightarrow{0} \text {, } \\
& \text { div curl grad } f=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} f=0, \\
& \text { div curl curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0, \\
& \operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} \operatorname{div} \operatorname{curl} \vec{f}=\nabla_{0} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=0, \\
& \text { grad div curl } \vec{f}=\nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \circ \nabla_{2} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0}, \\
& \text { curl grad div } \vec{f}=\nabla_{2} \circ \nabla_{1} \circ \nabla_{3} \vec{f}=\overrightarrow{0} \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Using the method from the paper [3] let us define a binary relation $\sigma$ "to be in composition": $\nabla_{i} \sigma \nabla_{j}=\top$ iff the composition $\nabla_{j} \circ \nabla_{i}$ is meaningful. Thus, Cayley table of the relation $\sigma$ is determined with

| $\sigma$ | $\nabla_{0}$ | $\nabla_{1}$ | $\nabla_{2}$ | $\nabla_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nabla_{0}$ | $\top$ | $\top$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ |
| $\nabla_{1}$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ | $\top$ | $\top$ |
| $\nabla_{2}$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ | $\top$ | $\top$ |
| $\nabla_{3}$ | $\top$ | $\top$ | $\perp$ | $\perp$ |

Let us form the graph according to the following rule: if $\nabla_{i} \sigma \nabla_{j}=\top$ let vertex $\nabla_{j}$ be under vertex $\nabla_{i}$ and let there exist an edge from the vertex $\nabla_{i}$ to the vertex $\nabla_{j}$. Further on, let us denote by $\nabla_{-1}$ nowhere-defined function $\vartheta$, where domain and range are the empty sets [2]. We shall define $\nabla_{-1} \sigma \nabla_{i}=\top(i=0,1,2,3,4)$. For the set $\mathcal{B}_{3} \cup\left\{\nabla_{-1}\right\}$ the graph of the walks, determined previously, is a tree with the root in the vertex $\nabla_{-1}$.


Fig. 1
Let $\mathrm{g}(k)$ be the number of the meaningful compositions of the $k^{\text {th }}$-order of the functions from $\mathcal{B}_{3}$. Let $\mathrm{g}_{i}(k)$ be the number of the meaningful compositions of the $k^{\text {th }}$-order beginning from the left by $\nabla_{i}$. Then $\mathrm{g}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{0}(k)+\mathrm{g}_{1}(k)+\mathrm{g}_{2}(k)+\mathrm{g}_{3}(k)$. Based on the partial self similarity of the tree (Fig. 1) we get equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{g}_{0}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{0}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{1}(k-1), \\
& \mathrm{g}_{1}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{2}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{3}(k-1),  \tag{10}\\
& \mathrm{g}_{2}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{2}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{3}(k-1), \\
& \mathrm{g}_{3}(k)=\mathrm{g}_{0}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}_{1}(k-1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, a recurrence for $\mathrm{g}(k)$ can be derived as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the initial value $\mathrm{g}(1)=4$, we can conclude:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(k)=2^{k+1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The compositions of the differential operations of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Let us present the number of the meaningful compositions of differential operations in the vector analysis of the space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where differential operations $\nabla_{r}(r=1, \ldots, n)$ are defined over non-empty corresponding sets $\mathrm{A}_{s}(s=1, \ldots, m$ and $m=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor, n \geq 3)$ according to the papers [3], [4]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A}_{n}(n=2 m): \nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} \\
\nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m-1}  \tag{13}\\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{j} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{n}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{A}_{n}(n=2 m+1): \nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} \\
\nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} \\
\nabla_{m+2}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m-1} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{j} \\
\vdots \\
\nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{n}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us define higher order differential operations as the meaningful compositions of higher order of differential operations from the set $\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{\nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$. The number of the higher order differential operations is given according to the paper [3]. Let us define a binary relation $\rho$ "to be in composition": $\nabla_{i} \rho \nabla_{j}=\top$ iff the composition $\nabla_{j} \circ \nabla_{i}$ is meaningful. Thus, Cayley table of the relation $\rho$ is determined with

$$
\nabla_{i} \rho \nabla_{j}= \begin{cases}\top & ,(j=i+1) \vee(i+j=n+1)  \tag{14}\\ \perp & , \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let us form the adjacency matrix $\mathrm{A}=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in\{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ associated with the graph, which is determined by the relation $\rho$. Thus, according to the paper [4], the following statement is true.
Theorem 3.1. Let $P_{n}(\lambda)=|\mathrm{A}-\lambda \mathrm{I}|=\alpha_{0} \lambda^{n}+\alpha_{1} \lambda^{n-1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A and $v_{n}=[1 \ldots 1]_{1 \times n}$. If we denote by $\mathbf{f}(k)$ the number of the $k^{\text {th }}$-order differential operations, then the following formulas are true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f}(k)=v_{n} \cdot \mathrm{~A}^{k-1} \cdot v_{n}^{T} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} \mathrm{f}(k)+\alpha_{1} \mathrm{f}(k-1)+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \mathrm{f}(k-n)=0 \quad(k>n) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $P_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A. Then the following recurrence is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}\left(P_{n-2}(\lambda)-P_{n-4}(\lambda)\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $P_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A. Then it has the following explicit representation:

$$
P_{n}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+2}{4}\right\rfloor+1}(-1)^{k-1}\binom{\frac{n}{2}-k+2}{k-1} \lambda^{n-2 k+2} & , n=2 m  \tag{18}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+2}{4}\right\rfloor+2}(-1)^{k-1}\left(\binom{\frac{n+3}{2}-k}{k-1}+\binom{\frac{n+3}{2}-k}{k-2} \lambda\right) \lambda^{n-2 k+2}, & n=2 m+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The number of the higher order differential operations is determined by corresponding recurrence, which for dimension $n=3,4,5, \ldots, 10$, we refer according to [3]:

| Dimension: | Recurrence for the number of the $k^{\text {th }}$-order differential operations: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n=3$ | $\mathrm{f}(k)=\mathrm{f}(k-1)+\mathrm{f}(k-2)$ |
| $n=4$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})=2 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k}-2)$ |
| $n=5$ | $\mathrm{f}(k)=\mathrm{f}(k-1)+2 \mathrm{f}(k-2)-\mathrm{f}(k-3)$ |
| $n=6$ | $\mathrm{f}(k)=3 \mathrm{f}(k-2)-\mathrm{f}(k-4)$ |
| $n=7$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=\mathbf{f}(k-1)+3 \mathbf{f}(k-2)-2 \mathbf{f}(k-3)-\mathbf{f}(k-4)$ |
| $n=8$ | $\mathrm{f}(k)=4 \mathrm{f}(k-2)-3 \mathrm{f}(k-4)$ |
| $n=9$ | $\mathbf{f}(k)=\mathbf{f}(k-1)+4 \mathrm{f}(k-2)-3 \mathrm{f}(k-3)-3 \mathrm{f}(k-4)+\mathbf{f}(k-5)$ |
| $n=10$ | $\mathrm{f}(k)=5 \mathrm{f}(k-2)-6 \mathrm{f}(k-4)+\mathrm{f}(k-6)$ |

For considered dimensions $n=3,4,5, \ldots, 10$, the values of the function $\mathbf{f}(k)$, for small values of the argument $k$, are given in the database of integer sequences [6] as sequences $\underline{\mathrm{A} 020701}(n=3), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090989}(n=4), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090990}(n=5), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090991}(n=6), \underline{\mathrm{A} 090992}$ $(n=7), \underline{A 090993}(n=8), \underline{A 090994}(n=9), \underline{\text { A090995 }}(n=10)$, respectively.

## 4 The compositions of the differential operations and Gateaux directional derivative of the space $\mathbb{R}^{\text {n }}$

Let $f \in A_{0}$ be a scalar function and $\vec{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a unit vector. Thus, the Gateaux directional derivative in direction $\vec{e}$ is defined by [1, p. 71]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dir}_{\vec{e}} f=\nabla_{0} f=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}} e_{k}: A_{0} \longrightarrow A_{0} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us extend the set of differential operations $\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{\nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$ with Gateaux directional derivational to the set $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\mathcal{A}_{n} \cup\left\{\nabla_{0}\right\}=\left\{\nabla_{0}, \nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{B}_{n}(n=2 m): & \mathcal{B}_{n}(n=2 m+1): \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0} \\
\nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} & \nabla_{1}: \mathrm{A}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} \\
\nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} & \nabla_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i} & \nabla_{i}: \mathrm{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{i} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m} & \nabla_{m}: \mathrm{A}_{m-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}  \tag{20}\\
\nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{m-1} & \nabla_{m+1}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \\
\vdots & \nabla_{m+2}: \mathrm{A}_{m} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A} \\
\nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{j} & \vdots \\
\vdots & \nabla_{n-j}: \mathrm{A}_{j+1} \rightarrow \\
\nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1} & \vdots \\
\nabla_{n}: \mathrm{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{0}, & \nabla_{n-1}: \mathrm{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{1}
\end{array}
$$

Let us define higher order differential operations with Gateaux derivative as the meaningful compositions of higher order of the functions from the set $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\left\{\nabla_{0}, \nabla_{1}, \ldots, \nabla_{n}\right\}$. We determine the number of the higher order differential operations with Gateaux derivative by defining a binary relation $\sigma$ "to be in composition":

$$
\nabla_{i} \sigma \nabla_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\top,(i=0 \wedge j=0) \vee(i=n \wedge j=0) \vee(j=i+1) \vee(i+j=n+1)  \tag{21}\\
\perp, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us form the adjacency matrix $\mathrm{B}=\left[b_{i j}\right] \in\{0,1\}^{(n+1) \times n}$ associated with the graph, which is determined by relation $\sigma$. Thus, analogously to the paper [4], the following statement is true.

Theorem 4.1. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)=|\mathrm{B}-\lambda \mathrm{I}|=\beta_{0} \lambda^{n+1}+\beta_{1} \lambda^{n}+\cdots+\beta_{n+1}$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix B and $v_{n+1}=[1 \ldots 1]_{1 \times(n+1)}$. If we denote by $\mathrm{g}(k)$ the number of the $k^{\text {th }}$-order differential operations with Gateaux derivative, then the following formulas are true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(k)=v_{n+1} \cdot \mathrm{~B}^{k-1} \cdot v_{n+1}^{T} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0} \mathrm{~g}(k)+\beta_{1} \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+\cdots+\beta_{n+1} \mathrm{~g}(k-(n+1))=0 \quad(k>n+1) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ and $P_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomials of the matrices B and A respectively. Then the following equality is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2} P_{n-2}(\lambda)-\lambda P_{n}(\lambda) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us determine the characteristic polynomial $Q_{n}(\lambda)=|\mathrm{B}-\lambda \mathrm{I}|$ by

$$
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
1-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{25}\\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Expanding the determinant $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ by the first column we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=(1-\lambda) P_{n}(\lambda)+(-1)^{n+2} D_{n}(\lambda), \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=\left|\begin{array}{rrrrlrrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{27}\\
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Let us expand the determinant $D_{n}(\lambda)$ by the first row and then, in the next step, let us multiply the first row by -1 and add it to the last row. Then, we obtain the determinant of order $n-1$ :

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=\left|\begin{array}{rrrrlrrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{28}\\
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 0
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Expanding the previous determinant by the last column we have

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n}\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{29}\\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right| .
$$

If we expand the previous determinant by the last row, and if we expand the obtained determinant by the first column, we have the determinant of order $n-4$ :

$$
D_{n}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n} \lambda^{2}\left|\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}
-\lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{30}\\
0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -\lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda
\end{array}\right| .
$$

In other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(\lambda)=(-1)^{n} \lambda^{2} P_{n-4}(\lambda) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

¿From equalities (31) and (26) there follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=(1-\lambda) P_{n}(\lambda)+\lambda^{2} P_{n-4}(\lambda) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the basis of Lemma 3.2. the following equality is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2} P_{n-2}(\lambda)-\lambda P_{n}(\lambda) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix B . Then the following recurrence is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}\left(Q_{n-2}(\lambda)-Q_{n-4}(\lambda)\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. On the basis of Lemma 3.2. and Lemma 4.2. there follows the statement.

Lemma 4.4. Let $Q_{n}(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of the matrix B . Then it has the following explicit representation:

$$
Q_{n}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(\lambda-2) \sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor+1}(-1)^{k-1}\binom{\frac{n+1}{2}-k}{k-1} \lambda^{n-2 k+2} & , n=2 m+1  \tag{35}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n+3}{4}\right\rfloor+2}(-1)^{k-1}\left(\binom{\frac{n}{2}-k+2}{k-1}+\binom{\frac{n}{2}-k+2}{k-2} \lambda\right) \lambda^{n-2 k+3}, & n=2 m
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. On the basis of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.2. there follows the statement.

The number of the higher order differential operations with Gateaux derivative is determined by corresponding recurrences, which for dimension $n=3,4,5, \ldots, 10$, we can get by the means of [5]:

| Dimension: | Recurrence for the num. of the $k^{\text {th }}$-order diff. operations with Gateaux derivative: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n=3$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)$ |
| $n=4$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+2 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-\mathrm{g}(k-3)$ |
| $n=5$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+\mathrm{g}(k-2)-2 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)$ |
| $n=6$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+3 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-2 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-\mathrm{g}(k-4)$ |
| $n=7$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+2 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-4 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)$ |
| $n=8$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+4 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-3 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-3 \mathrm{~g}(k-4)+\mathrm{g}(k-5)$ |
| $n=9$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=2 \mathrm{~g}(k-1)+3 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-6 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-\mathrm{g}(k-4)+2 \mathrm{~g}(k-5)$ |
| $n=10$ | $\mathrm{~g}(k)=\mathrm{g}(k-1)+5 \mathrm{~g}(k-2)-4 \mathrm{~g}(k-3)-6 \mathrm{~g}(k-4)+3 \mathrm{~g}(k-5)+\mathrm{g}(k-6)$ |

For considered dimensions $n=3,4,5, \ldots, 10$, the values of the function $\mathbf{g}(k)$, for small values of the argument $k$, are given in the database of integer sequences [6] as sequences $\underline{\text { A000079 }}(n=3), \underline{A 090990}(n=4), \underline{A 007283}(n=5), \underline{A 090992}(n=6), \underline{\mathrm{A} 000079}$ $(n=7), \underline{A 090994}(n=8), \underline{\text { A020714 }}(n=9), \underline{\text { A129638 }}(n=10)$, respectively.
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