Fibonacci-like sequences and shift spaces in symbolic dynamics

R. Tonelli*

CNR-SLACS-INFM and INFN, Physics Dept., University of Cagliari - Italy

(Dated: November 1, 2007)

We afford the problem of counting the blocks of a given length made with symbols drawn from an alphabet and relate this number to Fibonacci-like recurrent relations. The recurrence polynomia allows to calculate the limit ratio of two adjacent terms and this information is used to determine the topological entropy of a discrete numbers of associate shift spaces. We then describe a scheme to build a shift space with a pre-selected entropy.

PACS numbers: AMS-2000 Subject Classification Scheme 05-(C38,A15), 11B-(37, 39, 50), 37B-(10, 40, 50), 62-(P35, D05)

Keywords: Fibonacci, Recurrence Series, Shift Spaces, Topological Entropy

I. INTRODUCTION

Modern technology and, more in general, modern society, relay on computer systems in which information is processed and represented in form of binary strings. The problem of transmission and storage of huge amount of data in the form of binary series has become one of the main interest of information science and modern mathematics. Usually these binary series are subjected to some logical constraint so that not all the binary sequences may be allowed and there may be sets of forbidden 'words'. Practical examples of this situations are the memorization of information onto magnetic supports such hard disks, where these sequences are stored in a series of tracks which must have a 'preamble' or a 'end' identified by a well defined sequence of bits; sometimes it is convenient to fill the space among two strings with a succession of zeroes; error detection and correction codes, both in the problem of storage and transmission of information, require some sequences of bits to be forbidden. Another main field of research in which bits sequences require to be subjected to some constraint are the problems connected to the security of data stored and transmitted. When a computer system is protected through a password or when the data transmission is performed through cryptography it may be of relevance to know how many different sequences, among the bit sequences of a given length and subjected to constraints, may be generated. Another interesting discipline in which this kind of problems are of relevance is the field of dynamical systems. Symbolic dynamics allows the representation of the time evolution of a dynamical system through a discrete succession of two or more symbols in which all the essential information about the dynamical system, and in general its physical properties, are preserved. One example is the concept of entropy that, for a given symbolic representation of a dynamical system may be directly related to the rate of growth of the number of different sequences with the length of the sequence.

In this paper we discuss some of these aspects using the language of *shift spaces*. In particular we show how many of these problems may be expressed and solved in terms of Fibonacci-like recurrent relations in a simple, intuitive and amenable way. Finally we relate the limit ratios of such sequences to the topological entropy of the corresponding shift space and show how can be created a shift space with a predetermined entropy.

II. SHIFT SPACES AND FORBIDDEN BLOCKS

All of the above mentioned problems may be better expressed in a rigorous mathematical language through the concept of $shift\ space\ [1]$. Even if the main interest of this paper regards binary sequences, some results are immediately extendable to sequences of more than two symbols, thus we start our discussion recalling the concept of shift space over a finite alphabet of k symbols.

Given a finite alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ of k symbols and the set of integers \mathbb{Z} the full shift is defined as the set of bi-infinite sequences of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} = \{ x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} : x_i \in A \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$
 (1)

^{*}Also at SLACS-CNR-INFM and INFN at Physics Department, Cagliari University.; Electronic address: roberto.tonelli@dsf.unica.it, tonelli@eecs.berkeley.edu

namely the set of all functions from \mathbb{Z} to A. On this set a left shift is naturally defined as the function σ defined by

$$\sigma: \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1} \quad for \ all \ i \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (2)

A finite sequence of (length-m) symbols drawn from A is called a block over A:

$$m - block = x_i \dots x_{i+m},\tag{3}$$

and its length is indicated by the norm $|x_i x_{i+m}| = m$. An m-block made by repetition of a single symbol is indicated a^m where $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is repeated m-times. A shift space X is a subset of the full shift in which not all the sequences of k symbols are allowed. A shift space may be identified or defined in two main and equivalent ways. One can give the set \mathcal{F}_X of all forbidden blocks in the sequences of symbols, or one can give what is called the language of the shift space, namely the collection $B_n(X)$ of all the allowed words of any length n. Here are some examples.

X is the set of all binary bi-infinite sequences where the block (11) is not allowed. This is called the *golden mean* shift.

X is the set of all binary sequences such that each pair of 'ones' is separated by an even number of 'zeroes', thus the collection of forbidden blocks takes the form: $\mathcal{F} = \{10^{2n+1}1 : n \ge 0\}$.

Thus in any shift space that is not the full shift not all the sequences of symbols will appear. Consider as the simplest case an alphabet of two symbols that we identify through the two bits 'one' and 'zero'. If we refer to the arguments introduced above we see how any of the problems discussed may be related to the identification of the appropriate shift space. Furthermore it is easy to see that in the case of dynamical systems forbidden and allowed blocks correspond to forbidden or allowed transitions among different physical states and to forbidden and allowed physical behaviors. In particular one can calculate the *topological entropy* [2] of a shift space associated to a dynamical system by counting the different allowed words of a given length and examining how this number grows when the length tends to infinity [1]:

$$h = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(B_n(X))}{n} \tag{4}$$

In the next section we relate these numbers to different series of Fibonacci-like sequences.

III. THE NUMBER OF ALLOWED WORDS OF LENGTH n FOR DIFFERENT SHIFT SPACES

A. Golden mean shift and Fibonacci sequence

The Golden mean shift is a shift space defined by only one forbidden block:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{11\} \tag{5}$$

Examining all the sequences allowed one may check that they consist only of isolated ones separated apart by at least one zero. One may think of this shift space as of all the sequences of bits stored onto an hard disk in which zeroes are need for timing purposes, or of all the transmitted sequences of bits with Humming distance 1, or of one dynamical system in which the trajectory must escape immediately form one of the two possible states in which it may exist and so on.

Thus it is interesting, both for practical purposes and from a theoretical point of view, to calculate the number #(n) of different strings of length n of such form. Here we show first by induction and next by a constructive scheme that this number is exactly the n^{th} Fibonacci number: $\#(n) = F_n$ where the relation $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ holds.

Lemma 1 Let #(n) be the number of different blocks of length n for the golden mean shift. Then $\#(n) = F_n$ where F_n is the n^{th} Fibonacci number.

Proof: For n = 1 is #(1) = 2 and for n = 2 is #(2) = 3, thus we deal with Fibonacci numbers with initial conditions $F_0 = 1$ and $F_1 = 2$ (alternatively, we may choose to set $\#(n) = F_{n+1}$ with initial conditions $F_0 = 1$ and $F_1 = 1$).

The first step is to check that #(3) = #(2) + #(1) = 5, and this is done immediately. Next, supposing that #(n) = #(n-1) + #(n-2) is valid, we show that #(n+1) = #(n) + #(n-1) must be valid too. In fact, given a string of n bits we may construct all the allowed strings of length n+1 of the same type by adding the bit 'zero' or the bit 'one' at the end of each length-n allowed string. Adding a 'zero' presents no problems. It may be added to any of the #(n) strings obtaining #(n) strings of length n+1 terminating by 'zero'. The bit 'one' may be added only to strings of length n terminating by 'zero', since the couple (the block) (11) is not allowed. Thus adding 'one' at the end we obtain a number of strings of length n+1 terminating by the two block word (01).

TABLE I:

#(1) = 2	#(2) = 3	#(3) = 5	#(4) = 8
0	00	00 0	000 0
1	01	01 0	010 0
	10	10 0	100 0
		0 01	001 0
		1 01	101 0 00 01
			01 01
			10 01

Now we may think of these strings as compound strings of initial n-1 bits and of two final bits equal to (01). The n-1 bits part, since it is followed by 'zero', has no other constraint than the forbidden block (11) and thus there are #(n-1) of such compound strings. Thus the "adding one" process provides exactly #(n-1) strings of length n+1 ending by the word (01). The total number of allowed strings of length n+1 is given by those obtained adding 'zero' plus those obtained adding 'one', namely we get:

$$\#(n+1) = \#(n) + \#(n-1) \tag{6}$$

after the hypothesis #(n) = #(n-1) + #(n-2).

All the reasoning will be even clearer when constructing from scratch the recursive relation. A look at table I shows a constructive demonstration. In the columns from one to four are illustrated all the different configurations of an n-block, n = 1, 2, 3, 4 for the golden mean shift. The construction process starts with columns one and two, where the cases with n = 1, 2 are reported. Next we build all the 3-blocks starting from all the allowed blocks with n = 2. We may obtain such blocks adding the bit 'one' or the bit 'zero' to all the #(2) = 3 strings with n = 2. The bit 'zero' may be added at the end of each string, giving the first #(2) = 3 blocks with n = 3 ending by 'zero'. The bit one, due to the exclusion of the block (11), may be added only to the 2-blocks ending by 'zero'. Thus any string obtained in this last way will have length n = 3 and will end with the block (01). Then we may think of all such strings as made by a first part, of length 3 - |(01)| = 3 - 2 = 1, where all the possible configurations allowed for the golden mean shift are present, and the last part made by the block (01). The number obtained in this way is of course #(3 - |(01)|) = 2. The total number of newly generated strings will thus be #(2) + #(1). The same reasoning is applied when we want to build all the allowed 4-blocks starting from all the allowed 3-blocks. In table I the strings are represented leaving a space in the sequences in order to easily identify the strings obtained adding 'zero' and those obtained adding 'one'.

B. T_2 -Shift and Lamé numbers.

In the golden mean shift each 'one' is isolated and separated by at least one 'zero' from others. Here we examine a shift space in which each 'one' is again isolated but separated by at least two 'zeroes' from others. We call it T_2 -shift [3] and it is described through the following forbidden blocks:

$$\mathcal{F}_{T_2} = \{011, 101, 110, 111\} \tag{7}$$

Again, these numbers may represent a scheme for storing bit sequences where at least two 'zeroes' must separate each single 'one', like all the transmitted sequences of bits with Humming distance 2, or one dynamical system in which the trajectory must escape immediately form one of the two possible states in which it may exists and presents a latent time of at least two before returning into the same state, like a quantum system with a discrete set of states each one with its own decay time and so on.

We calculate the number #(n) of different strings of length n of such form. This number is given by a recurrence series similar to the Fibonacci numbers, where the relationship is: $\#(n) = F_n$ with $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-3}$. These numbers constitute a series known as Lamé series [4] that is: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 13, 19, 28.....

We first construct a table II for this case and then provide a demonstration by induction. We start writing the first three columns in which the allowed strings with n ranging from one to three are reported. Next we want to obtain all the allowed strings with n = 4 and the constrain that each appearing 'one' must be separated from others by at least two 'zeroes'. Starting from all the 3-blocks we may add the bits 1 or 0 at the end. The adding 'zero' step is allowed for any 3-block and gives #(3) = 4 blocks of length n = 4 satisfying the constraints.

TABLE II:

#(1) = 2	#(2) = 3	#(3) = 4	#(4) = 6	#(5) = 9
0 1	00 01 10	000 010 100 001	000 0 010 0 100 0 001 0 0 001 1 001	0000 0 0100 0 1000 0 0010 0 0001 0 1001 0 00 001 01 001 10 001

The digit one may be added only to the 3-blocks ending with at least two 'zeroes', namely with the block (00), thus providing 4-blocks ending by the block (001) where the preceding part is of length (4 - |(001)|) = 4 - 3 = 1, in which each allowed configuration must be present.

The reasoning is perfectly similar to the previous section. Thus, when adding the digit 'one', we obtain a number #(4-|(001)|)=#(4-3)=#(1) of different allowed strings. The total is #(4)=#(3)+#(1). The same happens when we start from 4-blocks to build allowed 5-blocks, adding the digits 'zero' and 'one'. Adding zero gives the new #(4) strings ending by 'zero' and adding 'one' corresponds to add the block (001) to all the strings of length 5-3=2. The new number is #(5)=#(4)+#(2) and the recurrence relationship is #(n)=#(n-1)+#(n-3). Thus we have the following

Lemma 2 Let #(n) be the number of different blocks of length n for the T_2 shift. Then $\#(n) = F_n$ where F_n is the n^{th} Lamé number and the recursive relationship #(n) = #(n-1) + #(n-3) holds.

Proof: It is #(1) = 2, #(2) = 3, #(3) = 4, thus we are using Lamé numbers with these initial conditions. We already checked that #(5) = #(4) + #(2). Next, given #(n) = #(n-1) + #(n-3), to obtain the number of allowed strings of length n+1 we have to add a digit to the #(n) allowed strings with n digits. If the digit is 0 there are no problems and we obtain new #(n) strings of length n+1. Adding the digit 1 we must eliminate all the strings ending not by (00). Then all these blocks must terminate by the three digits block (001) and may be thought as made of this last three digits and by a first n+1-3 digits part in which all the allowed #(n-2) configurations which satisfy the given constraints are allowed. Thus, under the hypothesis made, we obtain #(n+1) = #(n) + #(n-2) and the recurrence relationship holds for any n.

C. T_m -Shifts with two symbols.

Let denote with T_m the shift spaces where $\mathcal{A} = \{0,1\}$ with the constrain that the allowed sequences are made of isolated 'ones' separated by at least m 'zeroes'. It is straightforward to generalize to such shift spaces the recurrence relationship as:

$$\#(n) = \#(n-1) + \#(n-m) \tag{8}$$

Lemma 3 Let #(n) be the number of different blocks of length n for the T_m shifts. Then $\#(n) = F_n$ where F_n is the n^{th} number of the recursive relationship $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-m}$ with opportune initial conditions.

Proof: To obtain all the sequences of length n+1 from the allowed n-blocks we may add 'zero', getting new #(n) allowed strings, or we may add 'one'. To add 'one' we must take into account that it must be added only to those strings ending by m 'zeroes', obtaining (n+1)-blocks ending with the block 0^m1 that may be added to any one of the #(n-m) allowed blocks of length n-m, and this gives new #(n-m) strings.

It is worth noting that in the limit $n \to \infty$ all of these recurrence series may be solved using the correspondent recurrence equation [5] to obtain the limit ratio $F_n/F_{n-1}=K$ so that the n^{th} terms may be expressed as a limit $F_n \simeq F_0 \times K^n$.

For the Fibonacci series this limit is the golden section $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ that may be obtained from the corresponding polynomial recurrence equation:

$$\lambda^{n} = \lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^{n-2} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^{2} = \lambda + 1$$

$$(9)$$

as the greatest root.

TABLE III.							
m,k = 1,1	1,2	1,3	1,21	2,5			
9	2	Q	21	5			
3	3	5 5	41	9			
5	4	11	461	13			
8	6	21	1281	33			
13	9	43	10501	69			
21	13	85	36121	121			
34	19	171	246141	250			
55	28	341	968561	526			
: :	<u>:</u>	÷ :	i i	:			

TABLE III.

For the other recurrence series it holds a similar rule so that the limit may be recovered as the greatest root of the corresponding polynomial recurrence equation:

 $F_n/F_{n-1} \to \phi$

$$\lambda^{m+1} = \lambda^m + 1 \tag{10}$$

IV. MORE THAN TWO SYMBOLS AND DIFFERENT CONSTRAINTS.

Next we briefly examine some cases in which the alphabet contains more than two symbols or has different constraints.

A. k-symbols, same kind of constraints

Let \mathcal{A} contain k symbols, that we assume to be $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$. We set as constraint the condition that each symbol, except 'zero', must appear isolated and separated by at least m 'zeroes' from symbols other than 'zero'. We indicate these shift spaces $T_{m,k}$.

Lemma 4 To these shift spaces are associated recurrence series (with opportune initial conditions) which give the number of different allowed configurations (#(n)) for a string of length n according to the relationship:

$$\#(n) = \#(n-1) + (k-1) * \#(n-m-1)$$
(11)

Proof: To create the (n+1)-blocks we add one of the k symbols to the blocks of length n. 'Zero' may be added to any block giving #(n) new (n+1)-blocks. For each of the k-1 symbols different from 'zero' we obtain strings of length n+1 made of two parts: the first part has length n-m and is one of the #(n-m) allowed strings of that length; the last part contains one of the k-1 blocks of the form $0^m a$, where a is one of the k-1 symbols different from 'zero'. Thus there are a total of k-1 possibilities of adding these final blocks in queue of any allowed (n-m)-block. The total provides the number of length-(n+1) allowed blocks as #(n+1) = #(n) + (k-1) * #(n-m) that is the same as eq. (11). For k=2 and m=1 one recovers the Fibonacci series and for k=2 and m=2 the Lamé series.

All the recurrence series of such form have a limit ratio among two adjacent terms when $n \to \infty$ and this limit is the largest root (indicated by λ_0 from now on) of the polynomia associated to the recurrence series, as can be obtained with the same technique used for the Fibonacci series:

$$\lambda^{n} = \lambda^{n-1} + (k-1)\lambda^{n-m-1} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda^{m+1} = \lambda^{m} + (k-1)$$
(12)

This allows to select the values for the couple m, k such that the largest root has a prefixed value. Consider for example the cases (a) m = 1, k = 3, (b) m = 1, k = 21, (c) m = 2, k = 5. In table III are listed these and some other series for various initial conditions.

Let consider (a). The limit ratio among two adjacent terms tends to the value 2. In fact the equation (13) becomes $\lambda^2 = \lambda^1 + (3-1)$ with largest root $\lambda_0 = 2$.

For example one can use m=2 and set $\lambda^2=\lambda^1+(k-1)$. Then choosing a given value for λ_0 one can solve for k such that the limit ratio is that value of λ_0 (with k natural number). The other examples are (b), such that the limit ratio be 5, and (c), such that the limit ratio be again 2, but with different m. The formula (13) leaves ample freedom for fixing the desired m and λ and solve for the correspondent k.

B. Three symbols, different kind of constraints

Next we fix $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and we allow for the symbols 'one' and 'two' to be next to each other, such that $\mathcal{F} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ $\{11, 22\}$. In this case, given all the strings of length n, we may obtain the strings of length n+1 adding 'zero', 'one' or 'two' in queue. Adding 'zero' provides new #(n) strings. The situation for the digits 'one' and 'two' is symmetric, thus we need only to consider one of the two. Let consider the addition of the digit 'one'. It may be added to all the strings ending by 'zero' or ending by 'two', to get the final blocks (01) or (21). The final block (01) constitutes the last part of a length-(n+1) string in which the first part, of n-1 digits, has no other constraints than those given by \mathcal{F} . There are #(n-1) allowed such configurations. The symmetric holds when considering 'two' as last digit, thus there are another #(n-1) allowed such configurations. If we consider the block (21) it may be the final part only of those (n+1)-blocks in which the first n-1 block does not end by 'two'. If it ends by 'zero', we get a final block of three digits (021) and a first part of length n-2 where there are #(n-2) different allowed configurations. The symmetric holds again for the digit 'two', giving a three block (012) which provides itself other #(n-2) allowed configurations. If it ends by 'one', we get a final block of three digits (121) that may be added in queue only to blocks ending not by 'one'. The same symmetrically for the three digits (212). We obtain two branches of growing final block with alternating sequence ...121212... and vice-versa, from which at each back-step depart 2 * #(n-i) allowed configurations, for the index $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then we get $\#(n+1) = \#(n) + 2\#(n-1) + 2\#(n-2) + \dots + 2\#(1) + 2 + 2$ where the last two numbers indicate respectively the strings 0121212...., 0212121.... and the two complementary strings 121212...., 212121...., all blocks of n+1 digits. Then we have:

$$\#(n) = \#(n-1) + 2\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \#(n-i) + 4.$$
(13)

V. TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY FOR $T_{m,k}$ SHIFT SPACES

From the previous sections and from the equation (4) it is clear how one can proceed to select a $T_{m,k}$ shift space which possesses a desired value for the entropy. For the examined cases it is $B_n(X) = \#(n)$. To evaluate the limit for $n \to \infty$ it is convenient to express #(n) through the limit ratio F_n/F_{n-1} , so that

$$\#(n) \simeq \lambda_0^{n-1} \times \#(1) \tag{14}$$

(15)

$$h = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(\lambda_0^{n-1} \times \#(1))}{n} = \log(\lambda_0)$$

Using the previous results, we take for example m=1 and let k be arbitrary. The resulting equation for the largest root λ_0 is:

$$\lambda^2 = \lambda + (k - 1) \Rightarrow \tag{16}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_0 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{4k - 3}}{2} \tag{17}$$

and we get a discrete set of numerable topological entropies:

$$h_{T_{2,k}} = \log(\frac{1+\sqrt{4k-3}}{2}) \tag{18}$$

The freedom we have in choosing the two integers k and m allows for a vast variety of $T_{m,k}$ shift spaces with a pre-selected associated entropy.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We showed how the number of different allowed blocks of fixed length for a large class of shift spaces $(T_{m,k})$ may be expressed in terms of recurrence relations, obtaining various series describing the growth of this number with the length of the blocks. This information has been used to calculate different topological entropies from the limit ratio of two adjacent terms of such series. A scheme to build a desired value for the entropy has been also illustrated. It must be noted that the recurrence relations we obtained for particular shift spaces, and used to pre-select some values for $h_{T_{m,k}}$, may have a larger applicability to problems arising in different contexts. In fact it is easy to check that they may be equivalently used to count the number of different one-dimensional paths (if $|\mathcal{A}| = 2$) where one must step at least m times to the right before taking any step to the left for only once. Finally we believe that all these results may be applied when devising complex algorithms for information storage and encryption, since the entropy is directly related to the complexity of the system.

Acknowledgments

This work has been partially supported by the R.A.S (Regione Autonoma Sardegna), under the project M&B-T12

- [1] D. Lind and B. Marcus, Introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [2] E. Ott, Chaotic Dynamics (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- [3] The notation $T_{m,k}$ comes from the author name, since to our knowledge no such general recurrence series and shift spaces have been used.
- [4] N. J. A. Sloane (2007), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, www.research.att.com/ njas/sequences/. [5] P. M. Batchelder, An Introduction to Linear Difference Equations (New York: Dover, 1967).