

# EQUATIONS OF THE FORM $t(x + a) = t(x)$ AND $t(x + a) = 1 - t(x)$ FOR THUE-MORSE SEQUENCE

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. For every  $a \geq 1$  we give a recursion algorithm of building of set of solutions of equations of the form  $t(x + a) = t(x)$  and  $t(x + a) = 1 - t(x)$ , where  $\{t(n)\}$  is Thue-Morse sequence. We pose an open problem and two conjectures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The Thue-Morse (or Prouhet-Thue-Morse [1]) sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is one of the most known and useful  $(0, 1)$ -sequences. By the definition,  $t_n = 0$ , if the binary expansion of  $n$  contains an even number of 1's, and  $t_n = 1$  otherwise. It is sequence A010060 in OEIS [8]. Numerous applications of this sequence and a large bibliography one can find in [1] (see also the author's articles [6]-[7] and especially the applied papers [4]-[5] in combinatorics and [3] and [11] in informative theory, in which the Thue-Morse sequence plays a key role in their constructions). Let  $\mathbb{N}_0$  be the set of nonnegative integers. For  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider on  $\mathbb{N}_0$  equations

$$(1) \quad t(x + a) = t(x),$$

$$(2) \quad t(x + a) = 1 - t(x).$$

Denote  $C_a$  and  $B_a$  the sets of solutions of equations (1) and (2) correspondingly. Evidently we have

$$(3) \quad B_a \cup C_a = \mathbb{N}_0, \quad B_a \cap C_a = \emptyset.$$

The following lemma is proved straightforward (cf.[8], A079523, A121539).

**Lemma 1.**  $B_1$  ( $C_1$ ) consists of nonnegative integers the binary expansion of which ends in an even (odd) number of 1's.

For a set of integers  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$  let us introduce a translation operator

$$(4) \quad E_h(A) = \{a_1 - h, a_2 - h, \dots\}.$$

One of our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.**  $B_a$  and  $C_a$  are obtained by a finite set of operations of translation, union and intersection over  $B_1$  and  $C_1$ .

It is well known that the Thue-Morse sequence is not periodic (a very attractive proof of this fact is given in [9]). Nevertheless, it is trivial to note that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there exists  $x = 1, 2$  or  $3$  such that  $t(n+x) = t(n)$ . Indeed, as is well-known, the Thue-Morse sequence does not contain configurations of the form  $000$  or  $111$ . Therefore, if to suppose that the equalities  $t(n+x) = 1 - t(n)$ ,  $x = 1, 2, 3$ , are valid simultaneously, then we have a contradiction. In connection with this, it is natural to pose the following problem.

**Question 1.** *For which numbers  $a, b, c$  one can state that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  there exists  $x = a, b$  or  $c$  such that  $t(n+x) = t(n)$ ?*

In conclusion of introduction, we pose a quite another conjecture. Recall that  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  is called evil (odious) if the number of 1's in its binary expansion is even (odd). Thus, by the above definition of Thue-Morse sequence, for evil (odious)  $n$  we have  $t_n = 0$  ( $t_n = 1$ ). Denote  $\{B_a(n)\}(\{C_a(n)\})$  the sequence of elements of  $B_a(C_a)$  in the increasing order. Denote, furthermore,  $\{\beta_a(n)\}(\{\gamma_a(n)\})$   $(0, 1)$ -sequence, which is obtained from  $\{B_a(n)\}(\{C_a(n)\})$  by replacing the odious terms by 1's and the evil terms by 0's.

**Conjecture 1.** *1) Sequence  $\{\gamma_a(n)\}$  is periodic; 2) if  $2^m \parallel a$ , then the minimal period has  $2^{m+1}$  terms, moreover, 3) if  $a$  is evil, then the minimal period contains the first  $2^{m+1}$  terms of Thue-Morse sequence  $\{t_n\}$ , otherwise, it contains the first  $2^{m+1}$  terms of sequence  $\{1 - t_n\}$ ; 4)  $\beta_a(n) + \gamma_a(n) = 1$ .*

Below we prove the conjecture in case of  $a = 2^m$ .

## 2. SOME FORMULAS FOR $B_a$ AND $C_a$

**Theorem 2.**

$$(5) \quad B_{a+1} = (C_a \cap E_a(B_1)) \cup (B_a \cap E_a(C_1)),$$

$$(6) \quad C_{a+1} = (C_a \cap E_a(C_1)) \cup (B_a \cap E_a(B_1)).$$

**Proof.** Denote the right hand sides of (5) and (6) via  $B_{a+1}^*$  and  $C_{a+1}^*$  correspondingly. Show that  $B_{a+1}^* \cup C_{a+1}^* = \mathbb{N}_0$ . Indeed, using (3)-(6), we have

$$B_{a+1}^* \cup C_{a+1}^* = (C_a \cap (E_a(B_1) \cup E_a(C_1))) \cup (B_a \cap (E_a(C_1) \cup E_a(B_1))) =$$

$$(C_a \cap E_a(\mathbb{N}_0)) \cup (B_a \cap E_a(\mathbb{N}_0)) = C_a \cup B_a = \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Now it is sufficient to show that  $B_{a+1}^*$  contains only solutions of (2) for  $a := a+1$ , while  $C_{a+1}^*$  contains only solutions of (1) for  $a := a+1$ . Indeed, let  $x \in B_{a+1}^*$ . Distinguish two cases: 1)  $x \in C_a \cap E_a(B_1)$  and 2)  $x \in B_a \cap E_a(C_1)$ . In case 1) (1) is valid and  $x + a \in B_1$ . Thus

$$t(x + a + 1) + t(x + a) = 1,$$

or, taking into account (1), we have

$$t(x + a + 1) = 1 - t(x).$$

In case 2) (2) is valid and  $x + a \in C_1$ . Thus

$$t(x + a + 1) = t(x + a),$$

or, taking into account (2), we have

$$t(x + a + 1) = 1 - t(x).$$

Let now  $x \in C_{a+1}^*$ . Again distinguish two cases: 1)  $x \in C_a \cap E_a(C_1)$  and 2)  $x \in B_a \cap E_a(B_1)$ . In case 1) (1) is valid and  $x + a \in C_1$ . Thus

$$t(x + a + 1) = t(x + a),$$

or, taking into account (1), we have

$$t(x + a + 1) = t(x).$$

In case 2) (2) is valid and  $x + a \in B_1$ . Thus

$$t(x + a + 1) = 1 - t(x + a),$$

or, taking into account (2), we have

$$t(x + a + 1) = t(x).$$

Consequently,  $B_{a+1}^* \cap C_{a+1}^* = \emptyset$  and  $B_{a+1}^* = B_{a+1}$ ,  $C_{a+1}^* = C_{a+1}$ . ■

From Theorem 3, evidently follows Theorem 1. ■

**Example 1.** (cf. A081706[8]; this sequence is closely connected with sequence of Allouche et al [2], A003159[8])

According to Theorem 2, we have

$$C_2 = (C_1 \cap E_1(C_1)) \cup (B_1 \cap E_1(B_1)).$$

Since, evidently,  $C_1 \cap E_1(C_1) = \emptyset$ , then we obtain a representation

$$(7) \quad C_2 = B_1 \cap E_1(B_1).$$

**Example 2.** (cf. our sequences A161916, A161974 in [8]) Denote  $C_3^{(0)}$  the subset of  $C_3$  such that for  $n \in C_3^{(0)}$  we have:  $\min\{x : t(n+x) = t(x)\} = 3$ . The following simple formula is valid:

$$C_3^{(0)} = E_1(C_1).$$

**Proof.** Using (7), consider the following partition of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  :

$$\mathbb{N}_0 = C_1 \cup B_1 = C_1 \cup (B_1 \cap E_1(B_1)) \cup (B_1 \cap \overline{E_1(B_1)}) = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup D,$$

where

$$D = B_1 \cap \overline{E_1(B_1)}$$

Evidently,

$$D \cap C_1 = \emptyset, \quad D \cap C_2 = D \cap (B_1 \cap E_1(B_1)) = \emptyset.$$

Thus  $D = C_3^{(0)}$ . On the other hand, we have

$$D = B_1 \cap \overline{E_1(B_1)} = B_1 \cap E_1(C_1) = E_1(C_1). \blacksquare$$

By the same way one can prove the following more general results.

**Theorem 3.** (A generalization) Let  $l + m = a + 1$ . Then we have

$$(8) \quad B_{a+1} = (C_l \cap E_l(B_m)) \cup (B_l \cap E_l(C_m)),$$

$$(9) \quad C_{a+1} = (C_l \cap E_l(C_m)) \cup (B_l \cap E_l(B_m)).$$

In particular together with (5)-(6) we have

$$(10) \quad B_{a+1} = (C_1 \cap E_1(B_a)) \cup (B_1 \cap E_1(C_a)),$$

$$(11) \quad C_{a+1} = (C_1 \cap E_1(C_a)) \cup (B_1 \cap E_1(B_a)).$$

Further, for a set of integers  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ , denote  $hA$  the set  $A = \{ha_1, ha_2, \dots\}$ .

**Theorem 4.** For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$(12) \quad B_{2^m} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^m-1} E_{-k}(2^m B_1),$$

$$(13) \quad C_{2^m} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^m-1} E_{-k}(2^m C_1).$$

**Proof.** It is sufficient to consider numbers of the form

$$(14) \quad n = \dots 011\dots 1 \times \times \dots \times,$$

where the  $m$  last digits are arbitrary. The theorem follows from a simple observation that the indicated in (14) series of 1's contains an odd (even) number of 1's if and only if  $n \in C_{2^m}$  ( $n \in B_{2^m}$ ).  $\blacksquare$

**Example 3.**

$$(15) \quad C_2 = (2C_1) \cup E_{-1}(2C_1).$$

Comparison with (7) leads to an identity

$$(16) \quad (2C_1) \cup E_{-1}(2C_1) = B_1 \cap E_1(B_1).$$

On the other hand, the calculating  $B_2$  by Theorems 3,5 leads to another identity

$$(17) \quad (2B_1) \cup E_{-1}(2B_1) = C_1 \cup E_1(C_1).$$

**Corollary 1.** *For  $a = 2^m$ , Conjecture 1 is true.*

**Proof.** In view of the structure of formulas (12)-(13), it is sufficient to prove that in sequences  $\{B_1(n)\}, \{C_1(n)\}$  odious and evil terms alternate. Indeed, in the mapping  $\{B_{2^m}(n)\}(\{C_{2^m}(n)\})$  on  $\{\beta_{2^m}(n)\}(\{\gamma_{2^m}(n)\})$  correspondingly, for any  $x \in B_1(n)$  the ordered subset

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{2^m-1} E_{-k}(2^m x)$$

of  $B_{2^m}$  (12) maps on the first  $2^m$  terms of sequence  $\{t_n\}$  or  $\{1-t_n\}$  depending on the number  $x$  is evil or odious. Therefore, if odious and evil terms of  $B_1(n)$  alternate, then we obtain the minimal period  $2^{m+1}$  for  $\{\beta_{2^m}(n)\}$ . By the same way we prove that if odious and evil terms of  $C_1(n)$  alternate, then we obtain the minimal period  $2^{m+1}$  for  $\{\gamma_{2^m}(n)\}$ . Now we prove that odious and evil terms of, e.g.,  $C_1(n)$ , indeed, alternate. If the binary expansion of  $n$  ends in more than 1 odd 1's, then the nearest following number from  $\{C_1(n)\}$  is  $n+2$ , and it is easy to see that the relation  $t(n+2) = 1-t(n)$  satisfies; if the binary expansion of  $n$  ends in one isolated 1, and before it we have a series of more than 1 0's, then the nearest following number from  $\{C_1(n)\}$  is  $n+4$ , and it is easy to see that the relation  $t(n+4) = 1-t(n)$  again satisfies; at last, if the binary expansion of  $n$  ends in one isolated 1, and before it we have one isolated 0, i.e.  $n$  has the form ...011...101, then we distinguish two cases: the series of 1's before two last digits 01 contains a) odd and b) even 1's. In case a) the nearest following number from  $\{C_1(n)\}$  is  $n+2$ , with the relation  $t(n+2) = 1-t(n)$ , while in case b) it is  $n+4$  with the relation  $t(n+4) = 1-t(n)$ . Thus odious and evil terms of  $\{C_1(n)\}$ , indeed, alternate. For  $\{B_1(n)\}$  the statement is proved quite analogously. ■

**Theorem 5.** (Formulas of complement to power of 2) Let  $2^{m-1} + 1 \leq a \leq 2^m$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_a &= (C_{2^m} \cap E_a(B_{2^m-a})) \cup (B_{2^m} \cap E_a(C_{2^m-a})), \\ C_a &= (B_{2^m} \cap E_a(B_{2^m-a})) \cup (C_{2^m} \cap E_a(C_{2^m-a})). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Denote the right hand sides of the formulas being proved via  $B_a^{**}$  and  $C_a^{**}$  correspondingly. Show that  $B_a^{**} \cup C_a^{**} = \mathbb{N}_0$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} B_a^{**} \cup C_a^{**} &= \\ &= (C_{2^m} \cap (E_a(B_{2^m-a}) \cup E_a(C_{2^m-a}))) \cup (B_{2^m} \cap (E_a(C_{2^m-a}) \cup E_a(B_{2^m-a}))) = \\ &= (E_a(B_{2^m-a}) \cup E_a(C_{2^m-a})) \cap (B_{2^m} \cup C_{2^m}) = E_a(\mathbb{N}_0) \cap \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the same way as in proof of Theorem 3, it is easy to show that  $B_a^{**}$  contains only solutions of (2), while  $C_a^{**}$  contains only solutions of (1). Then  $B_a^{**} \cap C_a^{**} = \emptyset$  and  $B_a^{**} = B_a$ ,  $C_a^{**} = C_a$ . ■

### 3. AN APPROXIMATION OF THUE-MORSE CONSTANT

Let  $T_m$  ( $U_m$ ) be the number which is obtained by the reading the period of  $\{\beta_a(n)\}$  ( $\{\gamma_a(n)\}$ ) as  $2^{m+1}$ -bits binary number. Note that  $\bar{U}_m = T_m$ , i.e.  $U_m$  is obtained from  $T_m$  by replacing 0's by 1's and 1's by 0's. Therefore,

$$(18) \quad T_m + U_m = 2^{2^{m+1}} - 1.$$

Denote  $U_m \vee T_m$  the concatenation of  $U_m$  and  $T_m$ . Then, using (18), we have

$$U_0 = 1, \text{ for } m \geq 0,$$

$$(19) \quad U_{m+1} = U_m \vee T_m = 2^{2^{m+1}} U_m + 2^{2^{m+1}} - U_m - 1 = (2^{2^{m+1}} - 1)(U_m + 1).$$

Consider now the infinite binary fraction corresponding to sequence  $\{\gamma_a(n)\}$ :

$$(20) \quad \tau_m = .U_m \vee U_m \vee \dots = U_m / (2^{2^{m+1}} - 1).$$

**Lemma 2.** If  $F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$  is  $n$ -th Fermat number, then we have a recursion:

$$(21) \quad F_{m+1} \tau_{m+1} = 1 + (F_{m+1} - 2) \tau_m, \quad m \geq 0$$

with  $\tau_0$  defined as the binary fraction

$$(22) \quad \tau_0 = .010101\dots = 1/3.$$

**Proof.** Indeed, according to (19)-(20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{m+1} &= .U_{m+1} \vee U_{m+1} \vee \dots = \\ &= U_{m+1} / (2^{2^{m+2}} - 1) = (2^{2^{m+1}} - 1)(U_m + 1) / (2^{2^{m+2}} - 1) = \\ &= (U_m + 1) / (2^{2^{m+1}} + 1) = (1 + \tau_m(2^{2^{m+1}} - 1)) / (2^{2^{m+1}} + 1) = \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 + \tau_m(F_{m+1} - 2))/F_{m+1},$$

and the lemma follows. ■

So, by (21)-(22) for  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= 2/5, \quad \tau_2 = 7/17, \quad \tau_3 = 106/257, \\ \tau_4 &= 27031/65537, \quad \tau_5 = 1771476586/4294967297, \dots \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (21) that the numerators  $\{s_n\}$  of these fractions satisfy the recursion

$$(23) \quad s_1 = 2, \quad s_{n+1} = 1 + (2^{2^n} - 1)s_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

while the denominators are  $\{F_n\}$ . Of course, by its definition, the sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  very fast converges to the Thue-Morse constant

$$\tau = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_n}{2^n} = 0.4124540336401\dots$$

E.g.,  $\tau_5$  approximates  $\tau$  up to  $10^{-9}$ .

**Conjecture 2.** *For  $n \geq 1$ , the fraction  $\tau_n = s_n/F_n$  is a convergent corresponding to the continued fraction for  $\tau$ .*

Note that, the first values of indices of the corresponding convergents, according to numeration of A085394 and A085395 [8] are: 3, 5, 7, 13, 23, ... Note also that the binary fraction corresponding to sequence  $\{\beta_a(n)\}$  :

$$\bar{\tau}_m = .T_m \vee T_m \vee \dots$$

satisfies the same relation (21) but with

$$\bar{\tau}_0 = .101010\dots = 2/3,$$

and converges to  $1 - \tau$ .

#### 4. COMPARISON WITH THE WEISSTEIN APPROXIMATIONS

Now we want to show that Conjecture 2 is very plausible. As is well known, if the fraction  $p/q$ ,  $q > 0$ , is a convergent (beginning the second one) corresponding to the continued fraction for  $\alpha$ , then  $p/q$  is the best approximation to  $\alpha$  between all fractions of the form  $x/y$ ,  $y > 0$ , with  $y \leq q$ .

Weisstein [10] considered the approximations of  $\tau$  of the form:

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} a_0 &= 0.0_2; \quad a_1 = 0.01_2; \quad a_2 = 0.0110_2; \quad a_3 = 0.01101001_2; \\ a_4 &= 0.0110100110010110_2; \dots \end{aligned}$$

If to keep the "natural" denominators  $F_n - 1$  (without cancelations), then, denoting  $w_n$  the numerators of these fractions, we have

$$(25) \quad w_{n+1} = U_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Since, according to (19),

$$U_n = 2^{2^n} U_{n-1} + 2^{2^n} - U_{n-1} - 1, \quad n \geq 1,$$

then

$$(26) \quad w = 1, \quad w_{n+1} = 2^{2^n} - 1 + (2^{2^n} - 1)w_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

**Theorem 6.** *We have*

$$(27) \quad w_n / (F_n - 1) < s_n / F_n < \tau$$

**Proof.** It is easy to see that  $s_n / F_n < \tau$ . Indeed, since  $t_{2^n} = 1$ , then  $(2^n + 1)$ -th binary digit of  $\tau$  after the point is 1, while the period of  $\tau_n$  begins from 0. Let us now prove the left inequality. To this end, let us prove by induction that

$$(28) \quad s_n - w_n = 1.$$

Indeed, if (28) is true for some  $n$ , then, subtracting (26) from (23), we find

$$s_{n+1} - w_{n+1} = -2^{2^n} + 2 + (2^{2^n} - 1)(s_n - w_n) = 1.$$

Thus finally we have

$$w_n / (F_n - 1) = (s_n - 1) / (F_n - 1) < s_n / F_n < \tau. \blacksquare$$

**Acknowledgment.** The author is grateful to J.-P. Allouche and D. Berend for very useful remarks and to S. Litsyn for sending papers [3] and [11].

## REFERENCES

- [1] . J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *The ubiquitous Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence*, <http://www.lri.fr/~allouche/bibliorecente.html>
- [2] . J.-P. Allouche, Andre Arnold, Jean Berstel, Srečko Brlek, William Jockusch, Simon Plouffe and Bruce E. Sagan, *A sequence related to that of Thue-Morse*, *Discrete Math.*, 139 (1995), 455–461.
- [3] . A. Pezeshki, A. R. Calderbank, W. Moran and S. D. Howard, *Doppler resilient Golay complementary waveforms*, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 54, no. 9 (2008), 4254–4266.
- [4] . V. Shevelev, *On the basis polynomials in the theory of permutations with prescribed up-down structure*, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/0801.0072>
- [5] . V. Shevelev, *On connection between the numbers of permutations and full cycles with some restrictions on positions and up-down structure*, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/0803.2396>
- [6] . V. Shevelev, *A recursion for divisor function over divisors belonging to a prescribed finite sequence of positive integers and a solution of the Lahiri problem for divisor function  $\sigma_x(n)$* , <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/0903.1743>



- [7] . V. Shevelev, *Several results on sequences which are similar to the positive integers*, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/0904.2101>
- [8] . N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* (<http://www.research.att.com>)
- [9] . S. Tabachnikov, *Variations on Escher theme*, Kvant, no.12 (1990), 2-7 (in Russian).
- [10] . E. W. Weisstein, " *Thue-Morse Constant*", *From MathWorld: A Wolfram Web Resource*. (<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Thue-MorseConstant.html>)
- [11] .R. Yarlagadda and J. E. Hershey, *Autocorrelation properties of the Thue-Morse sequence and their use in synchronization*, IEEE Trans. and Com., 38, no.12 (1990), 2099-2102.

DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL. E-MAIL:SHEVELEV@BGU.AC.IL