

Disquisitiones Arithmeticae and online sequence A108345

Paul Monsky

Brandeis University, Waltham MA 02454-9110, USA
monsky@brandeis.edu

Abstract

Let g be the element $\sum_{n \geq 0} x^{n^2}$ of $A = \mathbb{Z}/2[[x]]$, and B consist of all n for which the coefficient of x^n in $\frac{1}{g}$ is 1. (The elements of B are the entries 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 13, ... in A108345; see [3].) In [1] it is shown that the (upper) density of B is $\leq \frac{1}{4}$, and it is conjectured that B has density 0. This note uses results of Gauss on sums of 3 squares to show that the subset of B consisting of $n \not\equiv 15 \pmod{16}$ has density 0. The final section gives some computer calculations, made by Kevin O'Bryant, indicating that, pace [1], B has density $\frac{1}{32}$.

Comments. The note is drawn from my answers, on Mathoverflow, to questions asked by O'Bryant and me.

1 Introduction

I begin with simple derivations of some results from [1]. Let g be the element $1 + x + x^4 + x^9 + \dots$ of $A = \mathbb{Z}/2[[x]]$. Write $\frac{1}{g}$ as $\sum b_i x^i$ with the b_i in $\mathbb{Z}/2$, and let B consist of all n with $b_n = 1$.

Theorem 1.1. If n is even, n is in B if and only if $\frac{n}{2}$ is a square.

Proof. Let $R \subset A$ be $\mathbb{Z}/2[[x]]$. As R -module, A is the direct sum of R and xR . Let $pr : A \rightarrow R$ be the R -linear map which is the identity on R and sends xR to 0. Since g^2 is in R , so is $\frac{1}{g^2}$. Now $pr(g) = 1 + x^4 + x^{16} + x^{36} + \dots = g^4$. So $pr\left(\frac{1}{g}\right) = \frac{1}{g^2} pr(g) = g^2$. This is precisely the statement of the theorem. \square

Theorem 1.2. If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, n is in B if and only if the number of ways of writing n as $(square) + 4(square)$ is odd.

Proof. $\frac{1}{g} = g \cdot \frac{1}{g^2}$. So the coefficient of x^n in $\frac{1}{g}$ is the number of ways, modulo 2, of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 2k$ with k in B . Since $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the square is also $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and k is even. Now use Theorem 1.1. \square

Theorem 1.3. The number of n in B that are $\leq x$ and $\not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is $O(x/\log(x))$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 1.1 we may restrict our attention to n that are $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (and that are not squares). If such an n is $s_1 + 4s_2$ then $\sqrt{s_1} + 2i\sqrt{s_2}$ and $\sqrt{s_1} - 2i\sqrt{s_2}$ generate ideals of norm n in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$; since n is not a square, these two ideals are distinct. Since every ideal of norm n comes from exactly one decomposition of n as $(\text{square}) + 4(\text{square})$, the number of decompositions of n is $\frac{1}{2}$ (the number of ideals of norm n). Standard facts about $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ tell us that this number is odd only when n is the product of a square by a prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Now use the fact that $\pi(x) = O(x/\log(x))$. \square

Theorem 1.4. If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, n is in B if and only if the number of ways of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 2(\text{square}) + 8(\text{square})$ is odd.

Proof. $\frac{1}{g} = g \cdot g^2 \cdot \frac{1}{g^4}$. So the coefficient of x^n in $\frac{1}{g}$ is the number of ways, modulo 2, of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 2(\text{square}) + 4k$ with k in B . Since $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, congruences mod 8 show that k is even, and we use Theorem 1.1. \square

2 A density result for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. Let R_1 and R_2 be the number of ways of writing n as $(\text{square}) + (\text{square}) + (\text{square})$ and as $((\text{square})) + 2(\text{square})$. If 4 divides R_1 and R_2 , then n is not in B .

Proof. In view of Theorem 1.4 it suffices to show that $R_1 + R_2$ is twice the number of ways of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 2(\text{square}) + 8(\text{square})$. Suppose $n = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$ with the s_i squares. The s_i are odd. Let r_2 and r_3 be square roots of s_2 and s_3 with $r_2 \equiv r_3 \pmod{4}$. Then $n = s_1 + 2\left(\frac{r_2+r_3}{2}\right)^2 + 8\left(\frac{r_2-r_3}{4}\right)^2 = (\text{square}) + 2(\text{square}) + 8(\text{square})$, and replacing r_2 and r_3 by $-r_2$ and $-r_3$ gives the same decomposition. It's easy to see that one gets every decomposition $n = t_1 + 2t_2 + 8t_3$ with the t_i squares from some triple (s_1, s_2, s_3) in this way. Furthermore if $(s_1, s_2, s_3) \rightarrow (t_1, t_2, t_3)$, then $(s_1, s_3, s_2) \rightarrow$ the same (t_1, t_2, t_3) . It follows that the fiber over a fixed (t_1, t_2, t_3) consists of 2 elements except at those points where $t_3 = 0$. But such a point corresponds to a decomposition of n as $(\text{square}) + 2(\text{square})$. \square

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and is divisible by 3 or more different primes. Then the number of ways of writing n primitively as $(\text{square}) + (\text{square}) +$

(*square*) is divisible by 4.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{-n}}{2} \right]$. A result of Gauss, [2], put into modern language, is that the number of primitive representations of n by the form $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ is $24 \cdot$ (*the number of invertible ideal classes in \mathcal{O}*). So the number of ways of writing n primitively as (*square*) + (*square*) + (*square*) is $3 \cdot$ (*the number of invertible ideal classes*), and it suffices to show that 4 divides this number. Now Gauss developed a genus theory for binary quadratic forms which tells us that the group of invertible ideal classes maps onto a product of $m - 1$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$, where m is the number of different primes dividing n . Since $m \geq 3$ we're done. \square

Theorem 2.3. If $n \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and there are 3 or more primes that occur to odd exponent in the prime factorization of n , then n is not in B .

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, whenever a^2 divides n , the number of ways of writing n/a^2 primitively as (*square*) + (*square*) + (*square*) is divisible by 4. Summing over a we find that 4 divides R_1 . Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3, $2R_2$ is the number of ideals of norm n in $\mathbb{Z} \left[\sqrt{-2} \right]$. This number is $\sum \left(\frac{-2}{d} \right)$ where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot} \right)$ is the Jacobi symbol, and d runs over the divisors of n . Since $\left(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot} \right)$ is multiplicative, the sum is a product of integer factors, one coming from each prime dividing n . Also, a prime having odd exponent in the factorization contributes an even factor. Since there are at least 3 such primes, 8 divides $2R_1$, 4 divides R_1 , and we use Lemma 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.4. The number of n in B that are $\leq x$ and $\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ is $O(x \log \log(x) / \log(x))$.

Proof. Let $\pi_2(x)$ be the number of $n \leq x$ that are a product of 2 primes. It's well-known that $\pi_2(x)$ is $O(x \log \log(x) / \log(x))$. By Theorem 2.3 an element of B that is $\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ is either the product of a single prime and a square, or of two primes and a square. The result follows easily. \square

3 A density result for $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$

For $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ we show that n is in B if and only if the number of ways to write $2n$ as (*square*) + (*square*) + (*square*) is $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and arguing as in the last section, prove the analogue to Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 3.1. If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ then the number of ideals U of norm n in $\mathbb{Z} \left[\sqrt{-2} \right]$ is congruent mod 4 to the number of ideals V of norm n in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ unless $n = A^2$ with $A \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.

Proof. $U = \sum \left(\frac{-2}{d}\right)$ and $V = \sum \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)$ where the sums are over the divisors of n . Since $\left(\right)$ is multiplicative, U (resp. V) is a product of contributions, one for each prime dividing n . A contribution is even if the prime occurs to odd exponent in the factorization of n , and is odd otherwise. In particular if 2 or more p appear to odd exponent, then 4 divides U and V . Next suppose there is exactly one prime p occurring with odd exponent and that the exponent is c . Since $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$. So p makes a contribution of $c+1$ both to U and to V . Since all the other contributions are odd, $U \equiv V \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ when $c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $U \equiv V \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ when $c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

It remains to analyze the case $n = A^2$. In this case U and V are odd, and we are reduced to showing: if $A \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ then $UV \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, while if $A \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, then $UV \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Consider UV as an element of the multiplicative group $\{1, 3\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/4$. UV is a product of contributions, one for each prime dividing A . A $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ makes the same contribution to U as to V and so does not contribute to the product. If on the other hand $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ and has exponent c in the factorization of A then the contribution it makes to UV is $(2c+1) \cdot 1$ when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ and $1 \cdot (2c+1)$ when $p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$. In other words the contribution is -1 precisely when c is odd. This tells us that $UV \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ when the number of primes $\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ with odd exponent in the factorization of A is even, and that $UV \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ when this number is odd. But in the first case $A \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, while in the second $A \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. \square

Definition 3.2. Suppose n is odd. U_1 is the number of ways of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 2(\text{square})$ while U_2 is the number of ways of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 4(\text{square})$.

Lemma 3.3. The number of ideals U of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ of norm n is $2U_1 - 1$ when n is a square and $2U_1$ otherwise. The number of ideals V of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of norm n is $2V_1 - 1$ when n is a square and $2V_1$ otherwise.

Proof. Suppose $n = s_1 + 2s_2$ with s_1 and s_2 squares. Then $\sqrt{s_1} + \sqrt{-2}\sqrt{s_2}$ and $\sqrt{s_1} - \sqrt{-2}\sqrt{s_2}$ generate ideals of norm n in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$. These 2 ideals are distinct except when n is a square and $s_2 = 0$. Also every ideal of norm n comes from exactly one such decomposition of n . This gives the first result and the proof of the second is similar. \square

Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 immediately give:

Lemma 3.4. If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$, then $U_1 \equiv V_1 \pmod{2}$.

Lemma 3.5. If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$, then the coefficient of x^n in $\frac{1}{g^7}$ is 1 if and only if n is a square.

Proof. Since $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, the number of ways U_1 of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 2(\text{square})$ is the number of ways of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 8(\text{square})$. So the image of U_1 in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the coefficient of x^n in $g \cdot g^8 = g^9$. Similarly, the image of V_1 in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the coefficient of x^n in $g \cdot g^{16} = g^{17}$. Lemma 3.4 then tells us that for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ the coefficients of x^n in g^9 and in g^{17} are equal.

Now let $S \subset A$ be $\mathbb{Z}/2[[x^{16}]]$. As S -module A is the direct sum of the $x^j S$, $0 \leq j \leq 15$. Let $pr : A \rightarrow xS$ be the S -linear map that is the identity on xS and 0 on the other summands. The last paragraph tells us that $pr(g^9) = pr(g^{17})$. Since $\frac{1}{g^{16}}$ is in S , $pr\left(\frac{1}{g^7}\right) = pr(g)$. But as $n \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$, the coefficient of x^n in $pr(g)$ is the coefficient of x^n in g , giving the result. \square

Theorem 3.6. If $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ then n is in B if and only if the number of ways of writing n as $(\text{square}) + 2(\text{square}) + 4(\text{square})$ is odd.

Proof. $\frac{1}{g} = g^2 \cdot g^4 \cdot \frac{1}{g^7}$. So the coefficient of x^n in $\frac{1}{g}$ is the number of ways, modulo 2, of writing n as $2(\text{square}) + 4(\text{square}) + k$ with the coefficient of x^k in $\frac{1}{g^7}$ equal to 1. Suppose we have such a representation of n . Then k is odd. Since $\frac{1}{g^7} = \frac{g}{g^8}$ it follows that $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. A congruence mod 16 argument using the fact that $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ shows that $k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$, and Lemma 3.5 tells us that k is a square. Conversely suppose $n = 2(\text{square}) + 4(\text{square}) + k$, where k is a square. Then $k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and our congruence mod 16 argument tells us that $k \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$. By Lemma 3.5, the coefficient of x^k in $\frac{1}{g^7}$ is 1, and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.7. Let R_3 be the number of ways of writing $2n$ as $(\text{square}) + (\text{square}) + (\text{square})$. Then if $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, $R_3 = 6 \cdot (\text{the number of ways of writing } n \text{ as } (\text{square}) + 2(\text{square}) + 4(\text{square}))$.

Proof. Suppose $2n = s_1 + s_2 + s_3$ with the s_i squares. A congruence mod 16 argument shows that the s_i , in some order, are $\equiv 1, 4$ and $9 \pmod{16}$. So $R_3 = 6 \cdot (\text{the number of ways of writing } 2n \text{ as } s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \text{ with the } s_i \text{ squares, } s_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, s_2 \equiv 4 \pmod{16}, s_3 \equiv 9 \pmod{16})$. Suppose we have such a representation. Then we can choose square roots of s_1 and s_3 congruent to 1 and 5 respectively mod 8. Then $n = \left(\frac{\sqrt{s_1} + \sqrt{s_3}}{2}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{s_2}{4}\right) + 4\left(\frac{\sqrt{s_1} - \sqrt{s_3}}{4}\right)^2 = (\text{square}) + 2(\text{square}) + 4(\text{square})$. Conversely suppose $n = t_1 + 2t_2 + 4t_3$ with the t_i squares. Then the t_i are odd. Choose square roots of t_1 and t_3 that are $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then $2n = \left(2\sqrt{t_3} - \sqrt{t_1}\right)^2 + 4t_2 + \left(2\sqrt{t_3} + \sqrt{t_1}\right)^2$, and the three squares appearing in this decomposition are, in order, congruent mod 16 to 1, 4 and 9. In this way we get a 1-1 correspondence that establishes the result. \square

Combining Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 we get:

Theorem 3.8. An $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ is in B if and only if the R_3 of Lemma 3.7 is $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 3.9. Suppose $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and is divisible by 3 or more different primes. Then the number of ways of writing $2n$ primitively as $(\text{square}) + (\text{square}) + (\text{square})$ is divisible by 4.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2n}]$. When we write $2n$ as $(\text{square}) + (\text{square}) + (\text{square})$, the summands, being $\equiv 1, 4$ and $9 \pmod{16}$ are non-zero and distinct. So the number we're talking about is $\frac{1}{8} \cdot (\text{the number of primitive representations of } 2n \text{ by the form } x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$. In [2] Gauss showed that this (in modern language) is $\frac{1}{8} \cdot 12 \cdot (\text{the number of invertible ideal classes in } \mathcal{O})$. Let m be the number of different primes dividing $2n$. Gauss' genus theory tells us that the group of invertible ideal classes maps onto a product of $m - 1$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Since $m \geq 4$ we're done. \square

Corollary 3.10. If $n \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and 3 or more different primes occur to odd exponent in the factorization of n , then the R_3 of Lemma 3.7 is divisible by 4.

Proof. For a^2 dividing $2n$, Lemma 3.9 shows that the number of ways of writing $2n/a^2$ primitively as $(\text{square}) + (\text{square}) + (\text{square})$ is a multiple of 4. Summing over a gives the result. \square

Theorem 3.11. If $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ and 3 or more primes occur to odd exponent in the factorization of n then n is not in B . Furthermore the number of n in B that are $\leq x$ and $\equiv 7 \pmod{16}$ is $O(x \log \log(x) / \log(x))$.

Proof. Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.10 give the first result, and we argue as in Theorem 2.4 to get the second. \square

Combining Theorems 1.3, 2.4 and 3.11 we get:

Theorem 3.12. The number of n in B that are $\leq x$ and $\not\equiv 15 \pmod{16}$ is $O(x \log \log(x) / \log(x))$. In particular the upper density of B is $\leq \frac{1}{16}$.

Can one go further? A hope would be to find extensions of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4 of this note that hold for $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$, $n \equiv 15 \pmod{32}$, $n \equiv 31 \pmod{64}$, \dots . The authors of [1] claim that such extensions exist, but apart from $n \equiv 7 \pmod{16}$, treated in this section, this seems unlikely. (The formulas they propose are incorrect.) There seems to be no theoretical evidence supporting the proposition that the $n \equiv 15 \pmod{16}$ that lie in B form a set of density 0. As we'll see in the next section the empirical evidence supports a quite different proposition.

4 Computer evidence when $n \equiv 15 \pmod{16}$

Suppose x is in N . There evidently are x positive integers that are $\leq 16x$ and $\equiv 15 \pmod{16}$. Let $\beta = \beta(x)$ be the number of these integers that are in B . Virtually nothing is known about the asymptotic growth of β . But Kevin O’Bryant has calculated β for $x \leq 2^{19}$, and his calculations show, for example:

- (1) If $x = 2^{16}$, the numbers of elements of B that are $\equiv 15 \pmod{16}$ and lie in $[0, 16x], [16x, 32x], \dots, [112x, 128x]$, are given respectively by $\frac{x}{2} + 13, \frac{x}{2} + 94, \frac{x}{2} - 231, \frac{x}{2} + 207, \frac{x}{2} - 120, \frac{x}{2} + 14, \frac{x}{2} - 270$ and $\frac{x}{2} + 7$.
- (2) Suppose $x \leq 2^{19}$ and is divisible by 2^{10} . Then $\beta = \frac{x}{2} + \alpha\sqrt{x}$ with $-1.1 < \alpha < .58$. (The minimum of α is attained at $5 \cdot 2^{10}$, and the maximum at $37 \cdot 2^{10}$.)

This provides evidence for the following “15 mod 16 conjecture”: For every $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{x}{2} + O(x^\rho)$.

Note that if the conjecture holds then Theorem 3.12 shows that B has density $\frac{1}{32}$.

Remark 4.1. There is a related much studied problem. Let g^* in $\mathbb{Z}/2[[x]]$ be $1 + x + x^2 + x^5 + x^7 + \dots$ where the exponents are the generalized pentagonal numbers. Just as we used $\frac{1}{g}$ to define B we can use $\frac{1}{g^*}$ to define a set B^* . (A famous result of Euler says that B^* consists of all n for which the number of partitions, $p(n)$, of n is odd.) Let $\beta^* = \beta^*(x)$ be the number of elements of B^* that are $\leq x$. Despite extensive study only very weak results about the asymptotic growth of β^* have been proved. But Parkin and Shanks [4], on the basis of computer calculations, conjectured that for every $\rho > \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta^* = \frac{x}{2} + O(x^\rho)$. The resistance of this conjecture to attack suggests however that any proof of our 15 mod 16 conjecture is far off.

References

- [1] Cooper J.N., Eichhorn D., O’Bryant K., Reciprocals of binary series, *Int. J. Number Theory* 2 (2006), 499–522.
- [2] Gauss C.F., *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae* (1801)—translated by Arthur A. Clarke, S.J., Yale University Press, New Haven, U.S. (1966).
- [3] The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS).
- [4] Parkin T.R., Shanks D., On the distribution of parity in the partition function, *Math. Comp.* 21 (1967), 466–480.