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#### Abstract

In this paper we mainly employ the Zeilberger algorithm to study congruences for sums of terms involving products of three binomial coefficients. Let $p>3$ be a prime. We prove that


$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+d}}{64^{k}} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

for all $d \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ with $d \equiv(p+1) / 2(\bmod 2)$. If $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $p=x^{2}+y^{2}$ with $x \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $y \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, then we show
$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+1}}{(-8)^{k}} \equiv 2 p-2 x^{2}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1}^{2}}{(-8)^{k}} \equiv-2 p\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$
by means of determining $x \bmod p^{2}$ via

$$
(-1)^{(p-1) / 4} x \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{k+1}{8^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{2 k+1}{(-16)^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
$$

We also solve the remaining open cases of Rodriguez-Villegas' conjectural congruences on

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{3 k}{k}}{108^{k}}, \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{256^{k}}, \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{3 k}{k}\binom{6 k}{3 k}}{12^{3 k}}
$$

modulo $p^{2}$.

[^0]
## 1. Introduction

Let $p$ be an odd prime. It is known that (see, e.g., S. Ahlgren [A], L. van Hammer $[\mathrm{vH}]$ and T. Ishikawa [ I$]$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{k}\binom{-1 / 2}{k}^{3} \\
\equiv & \begin{cases}4 x^{2}-2 p\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } p=x^{2}+y^{2}(4|x-1 \& 2| y), \\
0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\binom{-1 / 2}{k}=\frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{(-4)^{k}} \quad \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}
$$

and

$$
\binom{2 k}{k}=\frac{(2 k)!}{(k!)^{2}} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p) \quad \text { for any } k=\frac{p+1}{2}, \ldots, p-1
$$

After his determination of $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{2 k}{k} / m^{k} \bmod p^{2}($ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \not \equiv$ $0(\bmod p))$ in [Su1], the author [Su2, Su3] raised some conjectures on $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3} / m^{k} \bmod p^{2}$ with $m \in\{1,-8,16,-64,256,-512,4096\}$; for example, the author [Su2] conjectured that
$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3} \equiv \begin{cases}4 x^{2}-2 p\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if }\left(\frac{p}{7}\right)=1 \& p=x^{2}+7 y^{2}(x, y \in \mathbb{Z}), \\ 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if }\left(\frac{p}{7}\right)=-1, \text { i.e., } p \equiv 3,5,6(\bmod 7),\end{cases}$
where ( - ) denotes the Legendre symbol. (It is known that if $\left(\frac{p}{7}\right)=1$ then $p=x^{2}+7 y^{2}$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$; see, e.g., [C, p. 31].) Quite recently the author's twin brother Zhi-Hong Sun [S2] made remarkable progress on those conjectures; in particular, he proved (1.1) in the case $\left(\frac{p}{7}\right)=-1$ and confirm the author's conjecture on $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3} /(-8)^{k} \bmod p^{2}$.

Let $p=2 n+1$ be an odd prime. It is easy to see that for any $k=0, \ldots, n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n+k}{2 k}=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(-(2 j-1)^{2}\right)}{4^{k}(2 k)!} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1-\frac{p^{2}}{(2 j-1)^{2}}\right) \equiv \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{(-16)^{k}}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on this observation Z. H. Sun [S2] studied the polynomial

$$
f_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} x^{k}
$$

and found the key identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x(x+1))=D_{n}(x)^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in his approach to (1.1), where

$$
D_{n}(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k} x^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{k} x^{k} .
$$

Note that those numbers $D_{n}=D_{n}(1)(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are the so-called central Delannoy numbers and $P_{n}(x):=D_{n}((x-1) / 2)$ is the Legendre polynomial of degree $n$.

Recall that Catalan numbers are those integers

$$
C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}=\binom{2 n}{n}-\binom{2 n}{n+1}(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

while Schröder numbers are given by

$$
S_{n}:=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k} C_{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n+k}{k} \frac{1}{k+1} .
$$

We define the Schröder polynomial of degree $n$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k} C_{k} x^{k} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For basic information about $D_{n}$ and $S_{n}$, the reader may consult [CHV], [Sl], and p. 178 and p. 185 of [St].

Via Schröder polynomials and the Zeilberger algorithm (cf. [PWZ]), we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+d}}{64^{k}} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $d \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ with $d \equiv(p+1) / 2(\bmod 2)$. If $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+1}}{64^{k}} \equiv\left(2 p+2-2^{p-1}\right)\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p+1) / 4}^{2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we state our second theorem the first part of which plays a key role in our proof of the second part.

Theorem 1.2. Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ be a prime and write $p=x^{2}+y^{2}$ with $x \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $y \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.
(i) We can determine $x \bmod p^{2}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{(p-1) / 4} x \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{k+1}{8^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{2 k+1}{(-16)^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k} C_{k}}{8^{k}} \equiv-2 & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{k\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}} \equiv(-1)^{(p-1) / 4}\left(2 x-\frac{p}{x}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \\
S_{(p-1) / 2} & \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k} C_{k}}{(-16)^{k}} \equiv-8 \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{k\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}}  \tag{1.8}\\
& \equiv(-1)^{(p-1) / 4} 2\left(2 x-\frac{p}{x}\right)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
\end{align*},
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{k^{2}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}} \equiv(-1)^{(p+3) / 4} \frac{p}{16 x} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+1}}{(-8)^{k}} \equiv 2 p-2 x^{2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1}^{2}}{(-8)^{k}} \equiv-2 p \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime. We conjecture that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{k+1}{8^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}+\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{2 k+1}{(-16)^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \\
\equiv & \begin{cases}2\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) x\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) & \text { if } p=x^{2}+y^{2}(4|x-1 \& 2| y), \\
0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Motivated by his study of Gaussian hypergeometric series and CalabiYau manifolds, in 2003 Rodriguez-Villegas [RV] raised some conjectures on congruences. In particular, he conjectured that for any prime $p>3$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{3 k}{k}}{108^{k}} \equiv b(p) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{256^{k}} \equiv c(p) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{3 k}{k}\binom{6 k}{3 k}}{12^{3 k}} \equiv\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) a(p) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) q^{n}=q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{4 n}\right)^{6}=\eta(4 z)^{6}, \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n) q^{n}=q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{6 n}\right)^{3}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)^{3}=\eta^{3}(6 z) \eta^{3}(2 z), \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n) q^{n}=q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{2}\left(1-q^{2 n}\right)\left(1-q^{4 n}\right)\left(1-q^{8 n}\right)^{2}=\eta^{2}(8 z) \eta(4 z) \eta(2 z) \eta^{2}(z),
\end{gathered}
$$

and the Dedekind $\eta$-function is given by

$$
\eta(z)=q^{1 / 24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right) \quad\left(\operatorname{Im}(z)>0 \text { and } q=e^{2 \pi i z}\right)
$$

In 1892 F. Klein and R. Fricke proved that (see also [SB])

$$
a(p)= \begin{cases}4 x^{2}-2 p\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \text { and } p=x^{2}+y^{2}(2 \nmid x), \\ 0 & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
$$

By [SB] we also have

$$
b(p)= \begin{cases}4 x^{2}-2 p & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 3) \text { and } p=x^{2}+3 y^{2} \text { with } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text { if } p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
c(p)= \begin{cases}4 x^{2}-2 p & \text { if }\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)=1 \text { and } p=x^{2}+2 y^{2} \text { with } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text { if }\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)=-1, \text { i.e., } p \equiv 5,7(\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

Via an advanced approach involving the $p$-adic Gamma function and Gauss and Jacobi sums, E. Mortenson $[\mathrm{M}]$ managed to provide a partial solution of (1.14) and (1.15), with the following things open:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{3 k}{k}}{108^{k}} \equiv b(p)=0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } p \equiv 5(\bmod 6),  \tag{1.16}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{256^{k}} \equiv c(p)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4),  \tag{1.17}\\
& \left.\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{12^{3 k}} \begin{array}{c}
3 k \\
k
\end{array}\right)\binom{6 k}{3 k}  \tag{1.18}\\
& 12^{3 k} \\
&
\end{align*}=a(p)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } p \equiv 5(\bmod 6) . .
$$

(See also K. Ono [O, Chapter 11] for an introduction to this method.) Concerning (1.16)-(1.18), Mortenson's approach [M] only allowed him to show that for each of them the squares of both sides of the congruence are congruent modulo $p^{2}$.

Our following theorem confirms (1.16)-(1.18) and hence completes the proof of (1.14) and (1.15). So far, all conjectures of Rodriguez-Villegas [RV] involving at most three products of binomial coefficients have been proved!

Theorem 1.3. Let $p>3$ be a prime.
(i) Given $d \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k+d}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{3 k}{k}}{108^{k}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } d \equiv \frac{1+\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)}{2}(\bmod 2),  \tag{1.19}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k+d}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{256^{k}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } d \equiv \frac{1+\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)}{2}(\bmod 2),  \tag{1.20}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k+d}\binom{3 k}{k}\binom{6 k}{3 k}}{12^{3 k}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } d \equiv \frac{1+\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)}{2}(\bmod 2) . \tag{1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) If $p \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ and $p=x^{2}+2 y^{2}$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{256^{k}} \equiv 4 x^{2}-2 p \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) If $p \equiv 5(\bmod 12)$ and $p=x^{2}+y^{2}$ with $2 \nmid x$ and $2 \mid y$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{3 k}{k}\binom{6 k}{3 k}}{12^{3 k}} \equiv 2 p-4 x^{2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $d=1$, Theorem 1.3(i) yields the following new result. (Note that $\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{3 k}{k+1}=2\binom{2 k}{k+1}\binom{3 k}{k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.)

Corollary 1.1. Let $p>3$ be a prime. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{3 k}{k+1}}{108^{k}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 3),  \tag{1.24}\\
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{4 k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1}}{256^{k}} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \quad \text { if } p \equiv 1,3(\bmod 8),  \tag{1.25}\\
\left.\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{6 k}{3 k}\binom{3 k}{k}}{12^{3 k}} \begin{array}{c}
2 k \\
k+1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{1.26}\\
\equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
\end{gather*} \quad \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) ., ~ l
$$

We will prove Theorems 1.1-1.3 in Sections 2-4 respectively.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.1. For any positive integer $n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1} x^{k-1}(x+1)^{k+1}=n(n+1) S_{n}(x)^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
S_{n}(x)^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k} C_{k} x^{k} \sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n+l}{2 k} C_{l} x^{l}=\sum_{m=0}^{2 n} a_{m}(n) x^{m}
$$

where

$$
a_{m}(n):=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{n+k}{2 k} C_{k}\binom{n+m-k}{2 m-2 k} C_{m-k}
$$

Also, the coefficient of $x^{m}$ on the left-hand side of (2.1) coincides with

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{m}(n) & :=\sum_{k=1}^{m+1}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1}\binom{k+1}{m+1-k} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{n+k+1}{2 k+2}\binom{2 k+2}{k+1}\binom{2 k+2}{k}\binom{k+2}{m-k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for the validity of (2.1) it suffices to show that $b_{m}(n)=n(n+1) a_{m}(n)$ for all $m=0,1, \ldots$. Obviously, $a_{0}(n)=1$ and $b_{0}(n)=n(n+1)$. Also, $a_{1}(n)=n(n+1)$ and $b_{1}(n)=n^{2}(n+1)^{2}$. By the Zeilberger algorithm via

Mathematica (version 7) we find that both $u_{m}=a_{m}(n)$ and $u_{m}=b_{m}(n)$ satisfy the following recursion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m+2)(m+3)(m+4) u_{m+2} \\
= & 2\left(2 m n^{2}+5 n^{2}+2 m n+5 n-m^{3}-6 m^{2}-11 m-6\right) u_{m+1} \\
& -(m+1)(m-2 n)(m+2 n+2) u_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $b_{m}(n)=n(n+1) a_{m}(n)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves (2.1).
Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i) We first determine $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+1} / 64^{k} \bmod$ $p^{2}$ via Lemma 2.1, which actually led the author to the study of (1.5).

Recall the following combinatorial identity (cf. [Su2, (4.3)]):

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k} \frac{C_{k}}{(-2)^{k}}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{(n-1) / 2} C_{(n-1) / 2} / 2^{n} & \text { if } 2 \nmid n, \\ 0 & \text { if } 2 \mid n .\end{cases}
$$

Set $n=(p-1) / 2$. Applying (2.1) with $x=-1 / 2$ we get

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1} \frac{1}{(-2)^{k-1} 2^{k+1}}=n(n+1) S_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Thus, with the help of (1.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+1}}{64^{k}} & \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1} \frac{1}{(-4)^{k}} \\
& =-n(n+1) S_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{4} S_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \equiv \begin{cases}0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \\
C_{(n-1) / 2}^{2} / 2^{2 n+2}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{C_{(n-1) / 2}^{2}}{2^{2 n+2}} & =\frac{\left.\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p+1) / 4} \frac{2}{p-1}\right)^{2}}{4 \times 2^{p-1}} \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{(1-2 p)\left(1+p q_{p}(2)\right)}\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p+1) / 4}^{2} \\
& \equiv\left(1+2 p-p q_{p}(2)\right)\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p+1) / 4}^{2}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q_{p}(2)=\left(2^{p-1}-1\right) / p$. Therefore (1.5) with $d=1$ holds if $p \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$, and $(1.6)$ is valid when $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
(ii) For $d=0,1,2, \ldots$ set

$$
u_{d}=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+d}}{64^{k}}=\sum_{d \leqslant k<p} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+d}}{64^{k}} .
$$

By the Zeilberger algorithm we find the recursion

$$
(2 d+1)^{2} u_{d}-(2 d+3)^{2} u_{d+2}=\frac{(2 p-1)^{2}(d+1)}{64^{p-1} p}\binom{2 p}{p+d+1}\binom{2 p-2}{p-1}^{2}
$$

Note that

$$
\binom{2 p-2}{p-1}=p C_{p-1} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

If $0 \leqslant d<p-2$, then

$$
\binom{2 p}{p+d+1}=\frac{2 p}{p+d+1}\binom{2 p-1}{p+d} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

and hence

$$
(2 d+1)^{2} u_{d} \equiv(2 d+3)^{2} u_{d+2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
$$

For $d \in\{0, \ldots, p-3\}$ with $d \equiv(p+1) / 2(\bmod 2)$, clearly $p \neq 2 d+1<2 p$ and hence

$$
u_{d+2} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \Longrightarrow u_{d} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

If $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ then $p-1 \equiv(p+1) / 2(\bmod 2)$; if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ then $p-2 \equiv(p+1) / 2(\bmod 2)$ and $p-2 \geqslant(p+1) / 2$. Thus, if $d \in\{p-1, p-2\}$ and $d \equiv(p+1) / 2(\bmod 2)$, then $d \geqslant(p+1) / 2$ and hence $u_{d} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. It follows that $u_{d} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ (i.e., (1.5) holds) for all $d \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ with $d \equiv(p+1) / 2(\bmod 2)$.

By the above we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}\binom{k}{n-k}(-16)^{n-k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $n=0,1$, both sides of (3.1) take the values 1 and 8 respectively. Let $u_{n}$ denote the left-hand side of (3.1) or the right-hand side of (3.1). Via the Zeilberger algorithm for Mathematica, we obtain the recursion

$$
(n+2)^{3} u_{n+2}=8(2 n+3)\left(2 n^{2}+6 n+5\right) u_{n+1}-256(n+1)^{3} u_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

So, by induction (3.1) holds for all $n=0,1,2, \ldots$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{n+1}{8^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \\
\equiv & \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{2 n+1}{(-16)^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \\
\equiv & p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{n+1}{8^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{n+1}{8^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}\binom{k}{n-k}(-16)^{n-k} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{8^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1-k}(k+j+1)\binom{k}{j} \frac{(-16)^{j}}{8^{j}} \\
\equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{8^{k}}\left((k+1) \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}(-2)^{j}-2 k \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\binom{k-1}{j-1}(-2)^{j-1}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{8^{k}}\left((k+1)(-1)^{k}-2 k(-1)^{k-1}\right) \\
\equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{3 k+1}{(-8)^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [Su3] the author conjectured that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{3 k+1}{(-8)^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3} \equiv p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)+p^{3} E_{p-3} \quad\left(\bmod p^{4}\right)
$$

where $E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots$ are Euler numbers given by

$$
E_{0}=1 \text { and } \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2 \mid k}}^{n}\binom{n}{k} E_{n-k}=0(n=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

The last congruence is still open but [GZ] confirmed that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{3 k+1}{(-8)^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3} \equiv p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)
$$

So we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{n+1}{8^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \equiv p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) .
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{2 n+1}{(-16)^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{2 n+1}{(-16)^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}\binom{k}{n-k}(-16)^{n-k} \\
\equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(-16)^{k}}\left((2 k+1) \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}+2 k \sum_{j=1}^{k}\binom{k-1}{j-1}\right) \\
\equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{3 k+1}{(-8)^{k}}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3} \equiv p\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{k\binom{2 k}{k}}{8^{k}}+\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k} C_{k}}{8^{k}} & \equiv 2 p^{2}\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) \\
8 \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{k\binom{2 k}{k}}{(-16)^{k}}+\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k} C_{k}}{(-16)^{k}} & \equiv 2 p^{2}\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right), \\
\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\left(2 k^{2}+4 k+1\right) \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}} & \equiv p^{2}\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right) \\
\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2}\left(8 k^{2}+4 k+1\right) \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}} & \equiv p^{2}\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\bmod p^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By induction, for every $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(2 k+\frac{1}{k+1}\right) \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}}=\frac{(2 n+1)^{2}}{(n+1) 8^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}^{2} \\
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(8 k+\frac{1}{k+1}\right) \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}}=\frac{(2 n+1)^{2}}{(n+1)(-16)^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}^{2}, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(2 k^{2}+4 k+1\right) \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}}=\frac{(2 n+1)^{2}}{8^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}^{2} \\
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(8 k^{2}+4 k+1\right) \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}}=\frac{(2 n+1)^{2}}{(-16)^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying these identities with $n=(p-1) / 2$ we immediately get the desired congruences.

Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ be a prime and write $p=x^{2}+y^{2}$ with $x \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $y \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. In 1828 Gauss showed the congruence $\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p-1) / 4} \equiv 2 x$ $(\bmod p)$. In 1986, S. Chowla, B. Dwork and R. J. Evans [CDE] used Gauss and Jacobi sums to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p-1) / 4} \equiv \frac{2^{p-1}+1}{2}\left(2 x-\frac{p}{2 x}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was first conjectured by F. Beukers. (See also [BEW] and [HW] for further related results.) In 2009, the author (see [Su2]) conjectured that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}} \equiv(-1)^{(p-1) / 4}\left(2 x-\frac{p}{2 x}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this was confirmed by Z. H. Sun [S1] with helps of (3.2) and Legendre polynomials.

Proof of Theorem 1.2(i). By (1.2),

$$
S_{(p-1) / 2} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k} C_{k}}{(-16)^{k}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

In view of this and Lemma 3.3 and (3.3), it suffices to show (1.7).

As $p \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 k}{k}\right.$ for all $k=(p+1) / 2, \ldots, p-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{n+1}{8^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{8^{k}} \sum_{n=k}^{2-1} \frac{n+1}{8^{n-k}}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{8^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{2-1-k} \frac{k+j+1}{8^{j}}\binom{2 j}{j}^{2} \\
\equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{(k+1)+(j+1)-1}{8^{j}}\binom{2 j}{j}^{2} \\
= & 2 \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{(j+1)\binom{2 j}{j}^{2}}{8^{j}}-\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{8^{k}}\right)^{2}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{2 n+1}{(-16)^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2(n-k)}{n-k}^{2} \\
\equiv & 2 \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{(2 j+1)\binom{2 j}{j}^{2}}{(-16)^{j}}-\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{(-16)^{k}}\right)^{2}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these with Lemma 3.2 and (3.3), we immediately obtain (1.7).
Lemma 3.4. Let $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ be a prime. Write $p=x^{2}+y^{2}$ with $x \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $y \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{(p-1) / 2} \equiv(-1)^{(p-1) / 4}\left(2 x-\frac{p}{2 x}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (1.2),

$$
D_{(p-1) / 2} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1) / 2} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{(-16)^{k}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

So (3.4) follows from (3.3).
Remark 3.1. If $p$ is a prime with $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then $n=(p-1) / 2$ is odd and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{n} & \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}}{16^{k}}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{-1 / 2}{k}^{2} \\
& \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k}\binom{n}{k}^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k}^{2}=0(\bmod p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following result was conjectured by the author [Su2] and confirmed by Z. H. Sun [S2].
Lemma 3.5. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(-8)^{k}} \equiv \begin{cases}4 x^{2}-2 p\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } 4 \mid p-1 \& p=x^{2}+y^{2}(2 \nmid x)  \tag{3.5}\\ 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) & \text { if } p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Remark 3.2. Fix an odd prime $p=2 n+1$. By (1.2) and (1.3) we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(-8)^{k}} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} 2^{k}=D_{n}^{2} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

Hence (3.5) follows from Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.1.
Lemma 3.6. For any positive integer $n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \frac{2 k+1}{(k+1)^{2}} x^{k}(x+1)^{k+1}=\frac{S_{n}(x)}{2}\left(D_{n-1}(x)+D_{n+1}(x)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
S_{n}(x)\left(D_{n-1}(x)+D_{n+1}(x)\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{2 n+1} c_{m}(n) x^{m}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{m}(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{n+k}{2 k} C_{k}\binom{2 m-2 k}{m-k}\left(\binom{n-1+m-k}{2 m-2 k}+\binom{n+1+m-k}{2 m-2 k}\right) \\
= & 2 \sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{n+k}{2 k} C_{k}\binom{n+m-k}{2 m-2 k}\binom{2 m-2 k}{m-k} \frac{(m+n-k)^{2}-n(2 m-2 k-1)}{(m+n-k)(n-m+k+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Zeilberger algorithm we find that $u_{m}=c_{m}(n) / 2$ satisfies the recursion

$$
\begin{align*}
& (m+2)(m+3)^{2}\left(m^{2}+5 m+6+4 n(n+1)\right) u_{m+2}+2 P(m, n) u_{m+1} \\
= & (m+2)\left((2 n+1)^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(m^{2}+7 m+12+4 n(n+1)\right) u_{m} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P(m, n)$ denotes the polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{5}+11 m^{4}+45 m^{3}+83 m^{2}+64 m+12+20 n^{4}-40 n^{3}-58 n^{2}-38 n \\
& -25 m n+m^{2} n+2 m^{3} n-33 m n^{2}+m^{2} n^{2}+2 m^{3} n^{2}-16 m n^{3}-8 m n^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly the coefficient of $x^{m}$ on the left-hand side of (3.6) coincides with

$$
d_{m}(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{k+1}{m-k} \frac{2 k+1}{(k+1)^{2}} .
$$

By the Zeilberger algorithm $u_{m}=d_{m}(n)$ also satisfies the recursion (3.7).
Thus we have $d_{m}(n)=c_{m}(n)$ by induction on $m$. So (3.6) holds.
Proof of Theorem 1.2(ii). Write $p=2 n+1$. By (2.1),

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1} 2^{k}=\frac{n(n+1)}{2} S_{n}^{2}
$$

Thus, by (1.2) and (1.9) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{2 k}{k+1}}{(-8)^{k}} & \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1} 2^{k} \\
& \equiv \frac{p^{2}-1}{8} 4\left(4 x^{2}-4 p\right)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence (1.12) holds.
Now we consider (1.13). Observe that

$$
\binom{2 k}{k+1}^{2}=\left(1-\frac{2 k+1}{(k+1)^{2}}\right)\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \quad \text { for } k=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

and

$$
\binom{2(p-1)}{p-1}\binom{2(p-1)}{(p-1)+1}^{2}=\frac{p}{2 p-1}\binom{2 p-1}{p-1}\binom{2 p-2}{p-2}^{2} \equiv-p \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 k}{k+1}^{2}}{(-8)^{k}} \equiv-p+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(-8)^{k}}-\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(2 k+1)\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(k+1)^{2}(-8)^{k}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.2) and (3.6) with $x=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(2 k+1)\binom{2 k}{k}}{(k+1)^{2}(-8)^{k}} & \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2} \frac{(2 k+1) 2^{k}}{(k+1)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{S_{n}}{4}\left(D_{n-1}+D_{n+1}\right)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is known (cf. [Sl] and [St]) that

$$
(n+1) D_{n+1}=3(2 n+1) D_{n}-n D_{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{n+1}-3 D_{n}=2 n S_{n}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
n\left(D_{n-1}+D_{n+1}\right) & =3(2 n+1) D_{n}-D_{n+1} \\
& =3(2 n+1) D_{n}-\left(3 D_{n}+2 n S_{n}\right)=2 n\left(3 D_{n}-S_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(2 k+1)\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(k+1)^{2}(-8)^{k}} \equiv \frac{S_{n}}{2}\left(3 D_{n}-S_{n}\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

With helps of (1.9) and (3.4), we have

$$
\frac{S_{n}}{2}\left(3 D_{n}-S_{n}\right) \equiv\left(2 x-\frac{p}{x}\right)\left(3\left(2 x-\frac{p}{2 x}\right)-\left(4 x-\frac{2 p}{x}\right)\right) \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(2 k+1)\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(k+1)^{2}(-8)^{k}} \equiv 4 x^{2}-p \quad\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

Combining this with (3.5) and (3.8), we immediately obtain (1.13).

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Lemma 4.1. Let $p$ be an odd prime. Then, for any $p$-adic integer $x \not \equiv$ $0,-1(\bmod p)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}\left(\frac{-x}{64}\right)^{k} \equiv\left(\frac{x+1}{p}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{4 k}{2 k}\left(\frac{x}{64(x+1)^{2}}\right)^{k}(\bmod p) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking $n=(p-1) / 2$ in the MacMahon identity (see, e.g., [G, (6.7)])

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{3} x^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{n-k}{k} x^{k}(1+x)^{n-2 k}
$$

and noting (1.2) and the basic facts

$$
\binom{n}{k} \equiv\binom{-1 / 2}{k}=\frac{\binom{2 k}{k}}{(-4)^{k}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

and

$$
\binom{n-k}{k} \equiv\binom{-1 / 2-k}{k}=\frac{\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{(-4)^{k}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

we immediately get (4.1).
Proof of Theorem 1.3. (i) For $d=0,1,2, \ldots$, we define

$$
f(d)=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k+d}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{3 k}{k}}{108^{k}}, \quad g(d)=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k+d}\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{256^{k}},
$$

and

$$
h(d)=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k+d}\binom{3 k}{k}\binom{6 k}{3 k}}{12^{3 k}} .
$$

By the Zeilberger algorithm, we find the recursive relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (3 d+1)(3 d+2) f(d)-(3 d+4)(3 d+5) f(d+2) \\
= & \frac{(3 p-1)(3 p-2)(d+1)}{108^{p-1} p}\binom{2 p}{p+d+1}\binom{2 p-2}{p-1}\binom{3 p-3}{p-1}, \\
= & \frac{(4 p-1)(4 p-3)(d+1)}{256^{p-1} p}\binom{2 p}{p+d+1}\binom{2 p-2}{p-1}\binom{4 p-4}{2 p-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (6 d+1)(6 d+5) h(d)-(6 d+7)(6 d+11) h(d+2) \\
= & \frac{(6 p-1)(6 p-5)(d+1)}{1728^{p-1} p}\binom{2 p}{p+d+1}\binom{3 p-3}{p-1}\binom{6 p-6}{3 p-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\binom{2 p-2}{p-1}=p C_{p-1} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (3 p-2)\binom{3 p-3}{p-1}=p\binom{3 p-2}{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\
& (4 p-3)\binom{4 p-4}{2 p-2}=p\binom{4 p-2}{2 p} \equiv 0(\bmod p) \\
& (6 p-5)\binom{6 p-6}{3 p-3}=\frac{3 p(3 p-1)(3 p-2)}{(6 p-3)(6 p-4)}\binom{6 p-3}{3 p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0 \leqslant d<p-1$, then

$$
\binom{2 p}{p+d+1}=\binom{2 p}{p-1-d} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

So, by the above, for any $d \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (3 d+1)(3 d+2) f(d) \equiv(3 d+4)(3 d+5) f(d+2)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& (4 d+1)(4 d+3) g(d) \equiv(4 d+5)(4 d+7) g(d+2)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& (6 d+1)(6 d+5) h(d) \equiv(6 d+7)(6 d+11) h(d+2)\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Fix $0 \leqslant d \leqslant p-1$. If $d \equiv\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)\right) / 2(\bmod 2)$, then it is easy to verify that $\{3 d+1,3 d+2\} \cap\{p, 2 p\}=\emptyset$, hence $(3 d+1)(3 d+2) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and thus by (4.2) we have

$$
f(d+2) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \Longrightarrow f(d) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
$$

If $d \equiv\left(1+\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)\right) / 2(\bmod 2)$, then $\{4 d+1,4 d+3\} \cap\{p, 3 p\}=\emptyset$, hence $(4 d+1)(4 d+3) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and thus by (4.3) we have

$$
g(d+2) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \Longrightarrow g(d) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)
$$

If $d \equiv\left(1+\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\right) / 2(\bmod 2)$, then $\{6 d+1,6 d+3\} \cap\{p, 3 p, 5 p\}=\emptyset$, hence $(6 d+1)(6 d+3) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and thus (4.4) yields

$$
h(d+2) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \Longrightarrow h(d) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
f(p)=f(p+1)=g(p)=g(p+1)=h(p)=h(p+1)=0
$$

by the above for every $d=p+1, p, \ldots, 0$ we have the desired (1.19)-(1.21).
(ii) Assume that $p \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ and $p=x^{2}+2 y^{2}$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $4 x^{2} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and Mortenson $[\mathrm{M}]$ already proved that the squares of both sides of (1.22) are congruent modulo $p^{2},(1.22)$ is reduced to its mod $p$ form. Applying (4.1) with $x=1$ we get

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(-64)^{k}} \equiv\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\binom{4 k}{2 k}}{256^{k}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

By [A, Theorem 5(3)], we have

$$
\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k}(-1)^{k} \equiv 4 x^{2}-2 p \quad(\bmod p)
$$

where $n=(p-1) / 2$. For $k=0, \ldots, n$ clearly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{n}{k}^{2}\binom{n+k}{k}(-1)^{k} & =\binom{(p-1) / 2}{k}^{2}\binom{-(p+1) / 2}{k} \\
& \equiv\binom{-1 / 2}{k}^{3}=\frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(-64)^{k}}(\bmod p),
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}^{3}}{(-64)^{k}} \equiv\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(4 x^{2}-2 p\right) \quad(\bmod p)
$$

and hence (1.22) follows.
(iii) Finally we suppose $p \equiv 5(\bmod 12)$ and write $p=x^{2}+y^{2}$ with $x$ odd and $y$ even. Once again it suffices to show the $\bmod p$ form of (1.23) in view of Mortenson's work [M]. As Z. H. Sun observed,

$$
\binom{(p-5) / 6+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k} \equiv\binom{k-5 / 6}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}=\frac{\binom{3 k}{k}\binom{6 k}{3 k}}{(-432)^{k}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

for all $k=0,1,2, \ldots$. If $p / 6<k<p / 3$ then $p \left\lvert\,\binom{ 6 k}{3 k}\right.$; if $p / 3<k<p / 2$ then $p \left\lvert\,\binom{ 3 k}{k}\right.$; if $p / 2<k<p$ then $p \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 k}{k}\right.$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{3 k}{k}\binom{6 k}{3 k}}{12^{3 k}} & \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{(p-5) / 6}\binom{(p-5) / 6+k}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}^{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{k} \\
& =D_{2 n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}(\bmod p) \quad(\text { by }(1.3))
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n=(p-5) / 12$. Note that

$$
D_{2 n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{(-4)^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$

by $[\mathrm{G},(3.133)$ and (3.135)], and

$$
\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p-1) / 4} \equiv 12(-432)^{n}\binom{2 n}{n} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

by P. Morton [Mo]. Therefore
$D_{2 n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{16^{n}}\binom{2 n}{n}^{2} \equiv \frac{\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p-1) / 4}^{2}}{12^{6 n+2}} \equiv\left(\frac{12}{p}\right)\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p-1) / 4}^{2} \quad(\bmod p)$.
Thus, by applying Gauss' congruence $\binom{(p-1) / 2}{(p-1) / 4} \equiv 2 x(\bmod p)$ (cf. [BEW, (9.0.1)] or [HW]) we immediately get the $\bmod p$ form of (1.23) from the above.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is now complete.
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