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#### Abstract

Following up on a paper of Balamohan, Kuznetsov, and Tanny, we analyze a variant of Hofstadter's $Q$-sequence and show it is 2 -automatic. An automaton computing the sequence is explicitly given.


## 1 Introduction

In his 1979 book Gödel, Escher, Bach [7, Douglas Hofstadter introduced the sequence $Q(n)$ defined by the recursion

$$
Q(n)=Q(n-Q(n-1))+Q(n-Q(n-2))
$$

for $n \geq 2$ and $Q(1)=Q(2)=1$. Although it has been studied extensively (e.g., [10]), still little is known about its behavior, and it is not mentioned in standard books about recurrences (e.g., 6]). It is sequence A005185 in Sloane's Encyclopedia [12].

Twenty years later, Hofstadter and Huber introduced a family of sequences analogous to the $Q$-sequence, and defined by the recursion

$$
Q_{r, s}(n)=Q_{r, s}\left(n-Q_{r, s}(n-r)\right)+Q_{r, s}\left(n-Q_{r, s}(n-s)\right)
$$

for $n>s>r$ [8]. The case $r=1, s=4$ is of particular interest.
Recently Balamohan, Kuznetsov and Tanny [4] gave a nearly complete analysis of the sequence $Q_{1,4}$ (called $V$ in their paper). It is defined by
$V(1)=V(2)=V(3)=V(4)=1$, and $\forall n>4, V(n):=V(n-V(n-1))+V(n-V(n-4))$.

Here is a short table of the sequence $V$ (sequence A063882 in Sloane's Encyclopedia [12]).

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V(n)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

Among the results of Balamohan, Kuznetsov, and Tanny is a precise description of the "frequency" sequence $F(n)$ defined by

$$
F(a):=\#\{n, V(n)=a\} .
$$

Here is a short table of the sequence $F$ (sequence A132157 in Sloane's Encyclopedia [12]).

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F(n)$ | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |

In particular they proved the following theorem [4, Lemmas 13-19 and Table 5].
Theorem 1 (Balamohan, Kuznetsov, Tanny). There exist two (explicit) maps $g$, h, with $g, h:\{1,2,3\}^{4} \rightarrow\{1,2,3\}$, such that, for all $a>3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(2 a) & =g(F(a-2), F(a-1), F(a), F(a+1)) \\
F(2 a+1) & =h(F(a-2), F(a-1), F(a), F(a+1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(We note that in Lemma 13 of [4], the quantifiers $a \geq 3$ for the equality $F(2 a)=2$ and $a \geq 4$ for the equality $F(2 a+1)=2$ should have been mentioned.)

In this paper we prove that the sequence $(F(n))_{n \geq 1}$ is 2-automatic, which means essentially that $F(n)$ can be computed "in a simple way" from the base- 2 representation of $n-$ in particular, it can be computed in $O(\log n)$ time. Furthermore, we give the automaton explicitly. For definitions and properties of automatic sequences, the reader is referred to [2]. For some recent related papers, see [9, 5, 11].

## 2 The main result

We begin this section with a general result on automatic sequences. Before stating the theorem we need a notation.

Definition 2. Let $W=(W(n))_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence. Let $\alpha$ be an integer in $\mathbb{Z}$. We let $W^{\alpha}$ denote the sequence defined, for $n \geq-\alpha$, by

$$
W^{\alpha}(n):=W(n+\alpha)
$$

Definition 3. Let $c$ be an integer $\geq 0$. If a sequence $(W(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is only defined for $n \geq c$, we assume that the values of $W(n)$ for $n \in[0, c)$ are arbitrary.

Theorem 4. Let $(U(n))_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence with values in a finite set $\mathcal{A}$. Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer. Suppose that there exist four nonnegative integers $t, a, b, n_{0}$, and $q^{t+1}$ functions from the set $\mathcal{A}^{a+b+\frac{q^{t+1}-1}{q-1}}$ to $\mathcal{A}$, denoted $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{q^{t+1}-1}$, such that $\forall j \in\left[0, q^{t+1}-1\right]$ and $\forall n \geq n_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U\left(q^{t+1} n+j\right)= \\
& \quad f_{j}\left(U^{-a}(n), \ldots, U^{-1}(n), U^{0}(n), U^{1}(n), \ldots, U^{b}(n), U_{2}(n), U_{3}(n), \ldots, U_{\frac{q^{t+1-1}}{q-1}}(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U_{1}=U, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{\frac{q^{t+1-1}}{q-1}}$ are the subsequences $\left(U\left(q^{i} n+j\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ with $i \in[0, t]$ and $j \in$ $\left[0, q^{i}-1\right]$, written in some fixed order. Then the sequence $(U(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is $q$-automatic.

Before proving this theorem we recall the Euclidean division of an integer in $\mathbb{Z}$ by a positive integer.

Lemma 5. Let $S$ be an integer in $\mathbb{Z}$ and $Q$ be a positive integer. Then there exist $X \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an integer $Y, 0 \leq Y<Q$, such that $S=Q X+Y$.

Proof. Let $X=\lfloor S / Q\rfloor$ and $Y=S-Q\lfloor S / Q\rfloor$. Then $0 \leq S / Q-\lfloor S / Q\rfloor<1$, so, multiplying by Q , we get $0 \leq Y<Q$.

Proof of Theorem 4. To prove that the sequence $U=(U(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is $q$-automatic, it suffices to find a finite set of sequences $\mathcal{E}$ that contains $U$, such that if $V=(V(n))_{n \geq 0}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$, then, for any $r \in[0, q-1]$ the sequence $(V(q n+r))_{n \geq 0}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{E}$. Fix two positive integers $A$ and $B$ such that $A \geq \max \left(n_{0}, \frac{q(a+1)}{q-1}\right)$ and $B \geq \frac{q(b+1)}{q-1}$. Recall that $U_{1}=U$, $U_{2}, \ldots, U_{\frac{q^{t+1-1}}{q-1}}$ are the sequences $\left(U\left(q^{i} n+j\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ with $i \in[0, t]$ and $j \in\left[0, q^{i}-1\right]$. Also recall that the sequence $U_{k}^{\alpha}$ is defined by $U_{k}^{\alpha}(n):=U_{k}(n+\alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the (finite) set of sequences defined by

$$
V \in \mathcal{E} \Longleftrightarrow \exists \ell \in\left[1, \frac{q^{t+1}-1}{q-1}\right], \exists k \in[-A, B], \forall n \geq A, V(n)=U_{\ell}^{k}(n)
$$

Now let $V$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{E}$. Take $r \in[0, q-1]$. There exist $\ell \in\left[1, \frac{q^{t+1}-1}{q-1}\right]$ and $k \in[-A, B]$ such that for all $n \geq A$, we have

$$
V(q n+r)=U_{\ell}^{k}(q n+r)=U_{\ell}(q n+r+k) .
$$

Hence for some $i \leq t$ and $j \in\left[0, q^{i}-1\right]$

$$
V(q n+r)=U\left(q^{i}(q n+r+k)+j\right) .
$$

Write $q^{i}(r+k)+j=q^{i+1} x+y$, with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in\left[0, q^{i+1}-1\right]$, so that

$$
V(q n+r)=U\left(q^{i+1}(n+x)+y\right) .
$$

Note that

$$
q^{i+1} x \leq q^{i+1} x+y=q^{i}(r+k)+j<q^{i}(r+k+1)
$$

and

$$
q^{i+1} x=q^{i}(r+k)+j-y>q^{i}(r+k)-q^{i+1}
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{r+k-q}{q}<x<\frac{r+k+1}{q} .
$$

We distinguish two cases.
Case 1: $i<t$. Then $i+1 \leq t$. Thus there exists $\ell^{\prime} \in\left[1, \frac{q^{t+1}-1}{q-1}\right]$ such that, for $n \geq A$,

$$
V(q n+r)=U\left(q^{i+1}(n+x)+y\right)=U_{\ell^{\prime}}(n+x)=U_{e l l^{\prime}}^{x}(n) .
$$

Now $x>\frac{r+k-q}{q} \geq \frac{r-A-q}{q} \geq \frac{-A-q}{q} \geq-A\left(\right.$ since $\left.A \geq \frac{q(a+1)}{q-1} \geq \frac{q}{q-1}\right)$, and $x<\frac{r+k+1}{q} \leq \frac{q+B}{q} \leq B$ (since $\left.B \geq \frac{q(b+1)}{q-1} \geq \frac{q}{q-1}\right)$. This shows that the sequence $(V(q n+r))_{n \geq 0}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$.
Case 2: $i=t$. Then $i+1=t+1$. From the hypothesis and the condition $A \geq n_{0}$, we can write, for $n \geq A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(q n+r)=U\left(q^{t+1}(n+x)+y\right)= \\
& \quad f_{y}\left(U^{x-a}(n), \ldots, U^{x-1}(n), U^{x}(n), U^{x+1}(n), \ldots, U^{x+b}(n), U_{2}^{x}(n), U_{3}^{x}(n), \ldots, U_{\frac{q^{t+1-1}}{x-1}}^{x}(n)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove that the sequence $(V(q n+r))_{n \geq 0}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$, it suffices to prove that all sequences $U^{\beta}$ for $\beta \in[x-a, x+b]$ and all sequences $U_{\ell}^{x}$ for $\ell \in\left[1, \frac{q^{t+1}-1}{q-1}\right]$ belong to $\mathcal{E}$, and to use composition of maps. But we have

$$
\beta \geq x-a>\frac{r+k-q}{q}-a \geq \frac{-A-q}{q}-a \geq-A
$$

(recall that $A \geq \frac{q(a+1)}{q-1}$ ) and

$$
\beta \leq x+b<\frac{r+k+1}{q}+b \leq \frac{q+B}{q}+b \leq B
$$

(recall that $\left.B \geq \frac{q(b+1)}{q-1}\right)$. This implies that all sequences occurring in the arguments of $f_{y}$ above belong to $\mathcal{E}$.

Remark 6. Theorem 4 above is similar to (but different from) [3, Theorem 6, p. 5] on $k$ regular sequences. That theorem implies Theorem 4 above in the case where the maps $f_{j}$ are linear.

Corollary 7. The sequence $F=(F(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is 2-automatic.
Proof. It suffices to use the theorem recalled in the first section, after having extended the sequence $F$ by $F(0)=0$.

## 3 An explicit automaton

In this section we provide an explicit automaton ${ }^{11}$ to calculate the sequence $F$.
The automaton is constructed in two stages. First, we give an automaton $A$ with the property that reading $n$ in base 2 takes us to a state $q$ with the property that the four values $F(n+a)$ for $-2 \leq a \leq 1$ are completely determined by $q$. Next, we show that $A$ can be minimized to give an automaton $B$ computing $F(n)$. We remark that we assume throughout that the automaton reads the ordinary base-2 representation of $n$ from "left to right", ending at the least significant digit, although we do allow the possibility of leading zeros at the start.

Let us start with the description of $A=\left(Q, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta, q_{0}, \tau\right)$. The machine $A$ has 33 states with strings as names; $\Sigma=\{0,1\} ; \Delta=\{0,1,2,3,4\}^{4}, q_{0}=\epsilon$. The transition function $\delta$ and the output map $\tau$ are given in Table 1 below.

We introduce some notation. Let $[w]$ denote the integer represented by the binary string $w$ in base 2. Thus, for example, $[00110]=[110]=6$. Note that $[\epsilon]=0$, where $\epsilon$ denotes the empty string. If $F$ is our sequence defined above, then by $F(a . . a+i-1)$ we mean the string of length $i$ given by the values of the function $F$ at $a, a+1, \ldots, a+i-1$.

Our intent is that if $w$ is a binary string, then $\tau\left(\delta\left(q_{0}, w\right)\right)$ is the string of length 4 given by $F(n-2 . . n+1)$, where $n=[w]$. (Note that we define $F(0)=F(-1)=F(-2)=0$.)

To prove that this automaton computes $F(n)$ correctly, it suffices to show that
(a) for each state $q$ we have $\tau(q)=F([q]-2) F([q]-1) F([q]) F([q]+1)$; and
(b) if $p=\delta(q, a)$ for two states $p, q \in Q$ and $a \in\{0,1\}$, then $F([p x])=F([q a x])$ for all strings $x$.

Part (a) can be verified by a computation, which we omit. For example, since $[111001111]=$ 463 , the claim $\tau(111001111)=2133$ means $F(461 . .464)=2133$, which can easily be checked.

Part (b) requires a tedious simultaneous induction on all the assertions, by induction on $|x|$. Not surprisingly, we omit most of the details and just prove a single representative case.

Consider the transition $\delta(100,1)=110$. Here we must prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F([1001 x])=F([110 x]) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all strings $x$. We do so by induction on $x$. The base case is $x=\epsilon$, and we have $F([1001])=F(9)=2$ and $F([110])=F(6)=2$.

For the induction step, we use the fact that [4, Table 5] shows that $F(2 a)$ and $F(2 a+1)$ is completely determined by $F(a-2), F(a-1), F(a)$, and $F(a+1)$. It thus suffices to check that $F([1001 x]+a)=F([110 x]+a)$ for $-2 \leq a \leq 1$; doing so will then prove (11) for $x 0$ and $x 1$, thus completing the induction.

The only cases that require any computation are when $[x]=0$ and $a=-1,-2$, or $[x]=1$ and $a=-2$, or $x$ is a number of the form $2^{j}-1$ for some $j \geq 1$ and $a=1$.

[^0]Case 1: $x=0^{j}$ for some $j \geq 0$. If $j=0$ then this is the assertion that $F([1001]+a)=$ $F([110]+a)$ for $-2 \leq a \leq 1$, which is the same as the claim that $F(7 . .10)=F(4 . .7)$. But $F(7 . .10)=1221=F(4 . .7)$.

Table 1: The automaton A

| $q$ | $\delta(q, 0)$ | $\delta(q, 1)$ | $\tau(q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\epsilon$ | $\epsilon$ | 1 | 0004 |
| 1 | 10 | 11 | 0041 |
| 10 | 100 | 101 | 0411 |
| 11 | 110 | 111 | 4111 |
| 100 | 1000 | 110 | 1112 |
| 101 | 1010 | 1011 | 1122 |
| 110 | 1100 | 1101 | 1221 |
| 111 | 1110 | 110 | 2212 |
| 1000 | 1010 | 1011 | 2122 |
| 1010 | 1110 | 10101 | 2213 |
| 1011 | 10110 | 10111 | 2132 |
| 1100 | 1101 | 1110 | 1321 |
| 1101 | 11010 | 11011 | 3212 |
| 1110 | 11100 | 11101 | 2122 |
| 10101 | 101010 | 101011 | 1223 |
| 10110 | 10110 | 10111 | 2232 |
| 10111 | 1101 | 1110 | 2321 |
| 11010 | 101010 | 110101 | 1222 |
| 11011 | 111 | 1000 | 2221 |
| 11100 | 11010 | 111001 | 2213 |
| 10111 | 111010 | 10111 | 2132 |
| 101010 | 1010100 | 11101 | 1322 |
| 101011 | 101010 | 101011 | 3223 |
| 110101 | 1100 | 1101 | 3221 |
| 111001 | 1010 | 1110011 | 2223 |
| 111010 | 110100 | 1110101 | 2232 |
| 1010100 | 11010 | 111001 | 3213 |
| 1110011 | 10110 | 11100111 | 2133 |
| 1110100 | 11101000 | 10111 | 1332 |
| 1110101 | 1101 | 1110 | 3321 |
| 1100111 | 1010100 | 111001111 | 2323 |
| 11101000 | 110100 | 1110101 | 3232 |
| 111001111 | 111010 | 11100111 | 2133 |
|  |  |  |  |

Otherwise $j \geq 1$. Then $[1001 x]-1=\left[10010^{j}\right]-1=\left[10001^{j}\right]$ and $[110 x]-1=\left[1100^{j}\right]-$ $1=\left[1011^{j}\right]$. Now by induction we have $F\left(\left[10001^{j}\right]\right)=F\left(\left[100011^{j-1}\right]\right)=F\left(\left[10111^{j-1}\right]\right)=$ $F\left(\left[1011^{j}\right]\right)$, as desired.

Similarly, $[1001 x]-2=\left[10010^{j}\right]-2=\left[1001^{j-1} 0\right]$. Also $[110 x]-2=\left[1100^{j}\right]-2=\left[101^{j} 0\right]$. Then by induction we have $F\left(\left[1001^{j-1} 0\right]\right)=F\left(\left[10011^{j-2} 0\right]\right)=F\left(\left[10111^{j-2} 0\right]\right)=F\left(\left[101^{j} 0\right]\right)$, as desired.
Case 2: $x=0^{j} 1$ for some $j \geq 0$. Then $[1001 x]-2=\left[10010^{j} 1\right]-2=\left[10001^{j+1}\right]$. Also $[110 x]-2=\left[1100^{j} 1\right]-2=\left[101^{j+2}\right]$. By induction we have $F\left(\left[10001^{j+1}\right]\right)=F\left(\left[100011^{j}\right]\right)=$ $F\left(\left[10111^{j}\right]\right)=F\left(\left[101^{j+2}\right]\right.$, as desired.
Case 3: $x=1^{j}$ for some $j \geq 1$. Then $[1001 x]+1=\left[1010^{j+1}\right]$. Similarly $[110 x]+1=\left[1101^{j}\right]+$ $1=\left[1110^{j}\right]$. By induction we have $F\left(\left[1010^{j+1}\right]\right)=F\left(\left[101000^{j-1}\right]\right)=F\left(\left[11100^{j-1}\right]\right)=$ $F\left(\left[1110^{j}\right]\right)$, as desired.

This completes the proof of correctness of a single transition.
Ultimately, we are not really interested in computing $\tau(q)$, but only the image of $\tau(q)$ formed by extracting the third component, which is the one corresponding to $F(n)$. This means that we can replace $\tau$ by $\tau^{\prime}$, which is the projection of $\tau$ along the third component. In doing so some of the states of $A$ become equivalent to other states. We can now use the standard minimization algorithm for automata to produce the 20 -state minimal automaton $B=\left(Q^{\prime}, \Sigma, \Delta, \delta^{\prime}, q_{0}, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ computing $F(n)$. Table 2 below gives the names of the states of $Q$, and $\delta^{\prime}$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ for these states.

## 4 Concluding remarks

It would be interesting to know whether the first difference sequence of the variant of Hofstadter's, i.e., the sequence $(V(n+1)-V(n))_{n \geq 0}$, is also 2 -automatic. We already know that it takes only finitely many values [4, Theorem 1, page 5]. Of course it might well be the case that this sequence is not automatic: in a very different context, think of the classical Thue-Morse sequence which is 2 -automatic, but whose runlength sequence is not [1]. It would be also interesting to determine for which sequences $Q_{r, s}$ (with the notation in the introduction) the frequency sequence is automatic.

Table 2: The automaton B

| $q$ | $\delta^{\prime}(q, 0)$ | $\delta^{\prime}(q, 1)$ | $\tau^{\prime}(q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\epsilon$ | $\epsilon$ | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 10 | 11 | 4 |
| 10 | 100 | 101 | 1 |
| 11 | 110 | 111 | 1 |
| 100 | 101 | 110 | 1 |
| 101 | 1010 | 1011 | 2 |
| 111 | 1110 | 110 | 1 |
| 1010 | 1110 | 10101 | 1 |
| 1011 | 1011 | 1100 | 3 |
| 1100 | 1101 | 1110 | 2 |
| 1101 | 11010 | 11011 | 1 |
| 1110 | 11100 | 1011 | 2 |
| 10101 | 1110 | 10101 | 2 |
| 11010 | 1110 | 110 | 2 |
| 11011 | 111 | 101 | 2 |
| 11100 | 11010 | 111001 | 1 |
| 111001 | 1010 | 1110011 | 2 |
| 110011 | 1011 | 11100111 | 3 |
| 11100111 | 11100 | 1110011 | 2 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In honor of Alf van der Poorten, we cannot resist quoting Voltaire: "Impuissantes machines/ Automates pensants mus par des mains divines."

