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#### Abstract

Let $P_{n}$ denote the undirected path of length $n-1$. The cardinality of the set of congruence classes induced by the graph homomorphisms from $P_{n}$ onto $P_{k}$ is determined. This settles an open problem of Michels and Knauer (Disc. Math., 309 (2009) 5352-5359). Our result is based on a new proven formula of the number of homomorphisms between paths.
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## 1. Introduction

We use standard notations and terminology of graph theory in [3] or [6, Appendix]. The graphs considered here are finite and undirected without multiple edges and loops. Given a graph $G$, we write $V(G)$ for the vertex set and $E(G)$ for the edge set. A homomorphism from a graph $G$ to a graph $H$ is a mapping $f: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that the images of adjacent vertices are adjacent. An endomorphism of a graph is a homomorphism from the graph to itself. Denote by $\operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$ the set of homomorphisms from $G$ to $H$ and by $\operatorname{End}(G)$ the set of endomorphisms of a graph $G$. For any finite set $X$ we denote by $|X|$ the cardinality of $X$. A path with $n$ vertices is a graph whose vertices can be labeled $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ so that $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are adjacent if and only if $|i-j|=1$; let $P_{n}$ denote such a graph with $v_{i}=i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Every endomorphism $f$ on $G$ induces a partition $\rho$ of $V(G)$, also called the congruence classes induced by $f$, with vertices in the same block if they have the same image.

Let $\mathscr{C}\left(P_{n}\right)$ denote the set of endomorphism-induced partitions of $V\left(P_{n}\right)$, and let $|\rho|$ denote the number of blocks in a partition $\rho$. For example, if $f \in \operatorname{End}\left(P_{4}\right)$ is defined by $f(1)=3, f(2)=2, f(3)=1, f(4)=2$, then the induced partition $\rho$ is $\{\{1\},\{2,4\},\{3\}\}$ and $|\rho|=3$.

The problem of counting the homomorphisms from $G$ to $H$ is difficult in general. However, some algorithms and formulas for computing the number of homomorphisms of paths have been published recently (see [1, 2, 5]). In particular, Michels and Knauer [5] give an algorithm based on the epispectrum $\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}\right)$ of a path $P_{n}$. They define $\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}\right)=$ $\left(l_{1}(n), \ldots, l_{n-1}(n)\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k}(n)=\left|\left\{\rho \in \mathscr{C}\left(P_{n}\right):|\rho|=n-k+1\right\}\right| . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here a misprint in the definition of $l_{k}(n)$ in [5] is corrected.
Date: December 20, 2011.

In [5], based on the first values of $l_{k}(n)$, Michels and Knauer speculated the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. There exists a polynomial $f_{k} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{k}\right)=\lceil(k-2) / 2\rceil$ such that for a fixed $n_{k}$ (most probably $n_{k}=2 k$ ) the equality $l_{k}(n)=f_{k}(n)$ holds for $n \geq n_{k}$.

The aim of this paper is to confirm this conjecture by giving an explicit formula for the polynomial $f_{k}$. For this purpose, we shall prove a new formula for the number of homomorphisms from $P_{n}$ to $P_{k}$, which is the content of the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For any positive integers $n$ and $k$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right| & =k \times 2^{n-1}  \tag{1.2}\\
& -\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^{n-1-i} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\binom{i}{\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-j(k+1)}-\binom{i}{\left\lfloor\frac{i+k+1}{2}\right\rfloor-j(k+1)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From the above theorem we are able to derive the following main result.
Theorem 3. If $n \geq 2 k$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k}(n)=\binom{n-1}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil-1}+\binom{n-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor-1} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, the above formula can be rephrased as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{2 k}(n)=2\binom{n-1}{k-1}, \quad l_{2 k+1}(n)=\binom{n}{k} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n \geq 2 k$, Theorem 3 shows immediately that $l_{k}(n)$ is a polynomial in $n$ of degree $\lceil(k-2) / 2\rceil$. This proves Conjecture 1. In particular, we have $l_{1}(n)=1, l_{2}(n)=2, l_{3}(n)=$ $n, l_{4}(n)=2(n-1), l_{5}(n)=\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)$ and $l_{6}(n)=(n-1)(n-2)$, which coincide with the conjectured values in (5) after shifting the index by 1.

In the next section, we first recall some basic counting results about the lattice paths and then prove Theorem 2. In Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 3.

## 2. The number of homomorphisms between paths

One can enumerate homomorphisms from $P_{n}$ to $P_{k}$ by picking a fixed point as image of 1 and moving to vertices which are adjacent to this vertex, as

$$
f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}:\{f(x), f(x+1)\} \in E\left(P_{k}\right)
$$

Hence, one can describe all possible moves through the edge structure of the two paths.
For $1 \leq j \leq k$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right): f(1)=j\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right): f(n)=j\right\}\right| . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. A lattice path from $(0,0)$ to $(9,5)$ that stays between lines $y=x$ and $y=x-k+1$, where $n=15$ and $k=11$.

Definition 1. A lattice path of length $n$ is a sequence $\left(\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$ of points $\gamma_{i}$ in the plan $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and such that $\gamma_{i+1}-\gamma_{i}=(1,0)$ (east-step) or $(0,1)$ (north-step) for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

As shown by Arworn [1], we can encode each homomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)$ by a lattice path $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{0}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}\right)$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ between the lines $y=x$ and $y=x-k+1$ as follows:

- $\gamma_{0}=(0,0)$, and for $j=1, \ldots, n-1$,
- $\gamma_{j+1}=\gamma_{j}+(1,0)$ if $f(j)>f(j-1)$,
- $\gamma_{j+1}=\gamma_{j}+(0,1)$ if $f(j)<f(j-1)$.

For example, if the images of successive vertices of $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{15}, P_{11}\right)$ are

$$
1,2,3,2,3,4,5,4,3,4,5,6,5,6,5
$$

then the corresponding lattice path is given by Figure 1 .
Definition 2. For nonnegative integers $n, m, t, s$, Let $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ be the set of all the lattice paths from the origin to $(n, m)$ and $\mathcal{L}(n, m ; t, s)$ the set of lattice paths in $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ that stay between the lines $y=x+t$ and $y=x-s$ (being allowed to touch them), where $n+t \geq m \geq n-s$.
Lemma 4. Let $K=\min \left(\left\lfloor\frac{n+k}{2}\right\rfloor, n\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{l=\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil}^{K-1}|\mathcal{L}(l, n-1-l ; 0, k-1)| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from the above correspondence that each homomorphism from $P_{n}$ to $P_{k}$ is encoded by a lattice path in some $\mathcal{L}(\# E, \# N ; 0, k-1)$, where $\# E$ is the number of


Figure 2. Reflection of the segment of the path from $O$ to the first reaching point $F$ with respect to the line $y=x+t+1$.
east-steps and $\# N$ the number of north-steps. The path structures require that

$$
\# E+\# N=n-1, \quad \# E-\# N \leq k-1, \quad \# E-\# N \geq 0
$$

Therefore, we must have $\# E \geq(n-1) / 2, \# E \leq n-1$ and $\# E \leq(k+n-2) / 2$.
To evaluate the sum in (2.3), we need a formula for the cardinality of $\mathcal{L}(n, m ; t, s)$. First of all, each lattice path in $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ can be encoded by a word of length $n+m$ on the alphabet $\{A, B\}$ with $n$ letters $A$ and $m$ letters $B$. So, the cardinality of $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ is given by the binomial coefficient $\binom{n+m}{n}$. Next, each lattice path in $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ which passes above the line $y=x+t$ (or reaching the line $y=x+t+1$ ) can be mapped to a lattice path from $(-t-1, t+1)$ to $(n, m)$ by the reflection with respect to the line $y=x+t+1$ (see Figure (2). Hence, there are $\binom{n+m}{n+t+1}$ such lattice paths. Therefore, the number of lattice paths in $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ which do not pass above the line $y=x+t$ (or not reaching the line $y=x+t+1)$, where $m \leq n+t$, is given by

$$
\binom{n+m}{n}-\binom{n+m}{n+t+1} .
$$

By a similar reasoning, we can prove the following known result (see [4, Lemma 4A], for example). For the reader's convenience, we provide a sketch of the proof.

Lemma 5. The cardinality of $\mathcal{L}(n, m ; t, s)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{L}(n, m ; t, s)|=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\binom{n+m}{n-k(t+s+2)}-\binom{n+m}{n-k(t+s+2)+t+1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\binom{n}{k}=0$ if $k>n$ or $k<0$.

Sketch of proof. Let $T$ and $S$ be the lines $y=x+t+1$ and $y=x-s-1$, respectively. Let $A_{1}$ denote the set of lattice paths in $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ reaching $T$ at least once, regardless of what happens at any other step, and let $A_{2}$ denote the set of lattice paths in $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ reaching $T, S$ at least once in the order specified. Generally, let $A_{i}$ denote the set of lattice paths in $\mathcal{L}(n, m)$ reaching $T, S, \ldots$, alternatively ( $i$ times) at least once in the specified order. Let $B_{i}$ be the set defined in the same way as $A_{i}$ with $S, T$ interchanged. A standard Inclusive-Exclusive principle argument yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{L}(n, m ; t, s)|=\binom{n+m}{n}+\sum_{i \geq 1}(-1)^{i}\left(\left|A_{i}\right|+\left|B_{i}\right|\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the symmetric point of $(a, b)$ with respect to the line $y=x+c$ is $(b-c, a+c)$, by repeatedly applying the reflection principle argument, we obtain

$$
\left|A_{2 j}\right|=\binom{n+m}{n+j(t+s+2)}, \quad\left|A_{2 j+1}\right|=\binom{n+m}{n-j(t+s+2)-(t+1)}
$$

and

$$
\left|B_{2 j}\right|=\binom{n+m}{n-j(t+s+2)}, \quad\left|B_{2 j+1}\right|=\binom{n+m}{n+j(t+s+2)-(s+1)} .
$$

Substituting this into (2.5) leads to (2.4).
Lemma 6. For each positive integers $n$ and $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\binom{n-1}{\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil-j(k+1)}-\binom{n-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n+k}{2}\right\rfloor-j(k+1)}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Substituting (2.4) into (2.3) and exchanging the order of the summations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right| & =\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l=\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil}^{K-1}\left(\binom{n-1}{l-j(k+1)}-\binom{n-1}{l+1-j(k+1)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\binom{n-1}{\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil-j(k+1)}-\binom{n-1}{K-j(k+1)}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if $n \geq k$, then $K=\left\lfloor\frac{n+k}{2}\right\rfloor$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n-1}{K-j(k+1)}=\binom{n-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n+k}{2}\right\rfloor-j(k+1)}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $k>n$, then $K=n$, since

$$
\binom{n-1}{n-j(k+1)}=\binom{n-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n+k}{2}\right\rfloor-j(k+1)}=0,
$$

the equation (2.8) is also valid. Hence (2.7) and (2.6) are equal.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{i+1}, P_{k}\right)$ with $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, consider the following three cases:
(i) if $f(i)=1$, then $f(i+1)=2$ and there are $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ such homomorphisms.
(ii) if $f(i)=k$, then $f(i+1)=k-1$ and there are $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{k}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ such homomorphisms.
(iii) if $f(i)=j$ with $j \in\{2,3, \ldots, k-1\}$, then $f(i+1)=j-1$ or $j+1$ and there are $2\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ such homomorphisms.

Summarizing, we get

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{i+1}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|+2 \sum_{j=2}^{k-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|+\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{k}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right| .
$$

Since $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ and $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{k}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|$, it follows that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{i+1}, P_{k}\right)\right|=2\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|-2\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right| .
$$

By iteration, we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right| & =2^{n-1}\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{1}, P_{k}\right)\right|-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{n-i}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right| \\
& =k \times 2^{n-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{n-i}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right| \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (2.6) into (2.9), we obtain (1.2) .
Remark. The key point in the above proof is to reduce the counting problem of $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ to $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{1}\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Arworn and Wojtylak [2] give a formula for $\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ without using this reduction. Moreover, their expression for $\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|$ depends on the parity of $n-j$ :

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hom}^{j}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|= \begin{cases}\sum_{t=-n+1}^{n-1}(-1)^{t} \sum_{u=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{n-j-1}{\frac{n-1}{2}+u+\left\lceil\frac{(k+1) t}{2}\right\rceil} & \text { if } n-j \text { is odd }  \tag{2.10}\\ \sum_{t=-n+1}^{n-1}(-1)^{t} \sum_{u=0}^{\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{2}\right\rceil}\binom{n-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{n-j-1}{2}\right\rfloor+u+\left\lfloor\frac{(k+1) t}{2}\right\rfloor} & \text { if } n-j \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Note that Lemma [2.6 unifies the two cases in (2.10) when $j=1$.
When $k=n$, we can deduce a simple formula for the number of endomorphisms of $P_{n}$ (see http://oeis.org/A102699) by applying two binomial coefficient identities.

Lemma 7. For $m \geq 1$, the following identities hold

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{2 k}{k} 2^{2 m-1-2 k} & =m\binom{2 m}{m},  \tag{2.11}\\
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{2 k+1}{k} 2^{2 m-1-2 k} & =(m+1)\binom{2 m+1}{m}-2^{2 m} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We prove (2.11) by induction on $m$. Clearly (2.11) is true for $m=1$. If it is true for $m \geq 1$, then for $m+1$, the left-hand side after cutting out the last term, can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{2 k}{k} 2^{2 m-1-2 k}+2\binom{2 m}{m} & =4 m\binom{2 m}{m}+2\binom{2 m}{m} \\
& =(m+1)\binom{2 m+2}{m+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.11) is proved. Similarly we can prove (2.12).
Proposition 8. For $n \geq 1$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}\right)\right|= \begin{cases}(n+1) 2^{n-1}-(2 n-1)\binom{n-1}{(n-1) / 2} & \text { if } n \text { is odd, }  \tag{2.13}\\ (n+1) 2^{n-1}-n\binom{n}{n / 2} & \text { if } n \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. When $k=n$, Theorem 2 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}\right)\right|=n \times 2^{n-1}-\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^{n-1-i} \times\binom{ i}{\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7, if $n$ is even, say $n=2 m$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^{n-1-i} \times\binom{ i}{\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil} & =\sum_{k=0}^{m-2}\binom{2 k+1}{k} 2^{2 m-2-2 k}+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{2 k}{k} 2^{2 m-1-2 k} \\
& =2 m\binom{2 m-1}{m-1}-2^{2 m-1}+m\binom{2 m}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $n$ is odd, say $n=2 m+1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^{n-1-i} \times\binom{ i}{\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil} & =\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{2 k+1}{k} 2^{2 m-1-2 k}+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{2 k}{k} 2^{2 m-2 k} \\
& =(m+1)\binom{2 m+1}{m}-2^{2 m}+2 m\binom{2 m}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these into (2.14) we obtain the desired result.

## 3. Proof of theorem 3

We first establish three lemmas. For any $n \geq 1$, let $[n]=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, which is $V\left(P_{n}\right)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ the set of permutations of $[n]$. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, denote by $\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)$ the set of epimorphisms from $P_{n}$ to $P_{k}$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right): f([n])=[k]\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 9. For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k}(n)=\left|\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{n-k+1}\right)\right| / 2 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $r=n-k+1$. Denote by $\operatorname{End}_{r}\left(P_{n}\right)$ the subset of endomorphisms in $\operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}\right)$ such that $|f([n])|=r$ and $\mathcal{L}_{k}(n)$ the set of partitions induced by endomorphisms in $\operatorname{End}_{r}\left(P_{n}\right)$. By definition (see (1.1)), the integer $l_{k}(n)$ is the cardinality of $\mathcal{L}_{k}(n)$.

For each $f \in \operatorname{End}_{r}\left(P_{n}\right)$, if $f([n])=\{a, a+1, \ldots, a+r-1\}$ for some integer $a \in[n-r+1]$, we define $\bar{f} \in \operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{r}\right)$ by $\bar{f}(x)=f(x)-a+1$. Then $f$ and $\bar{f}$ induce the same partition in $\mathcal{L}_{k}(n)$. Hence, we can consider $\mathcal{L}_{k}(n)$ as the set of partitions induced by epimorphisms in $\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{r}\right)$.

If $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right\}$ is a partition of $[n]$ induced by an $f \in \operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{r}\right)$, then, we can assume that $\min \left(A_{1}\right) \leq \min \left(A_{2}\right) \leq \ldots \leq \min \left(A_{r}\right)$. Hence, we can identify $f$ with a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{r}$ by $f\left(A_{\sigma(i)}\right)=i$ for $i \in[r]$. Moreover, two blocks $A_{i}$ and $A_{j}$ are adjacent in the arrangement $A_{\sigma(1)} \ldots A_{\sigma(r)}$ if and only if there are two consecutive integers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $\alpha \in A_{i}$ and $\beta \in A_{j}$. We show that there are exactly two such permutations for a given induced partition.

Starting from a partition $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right\}$ of $[n]$ induced by an $f \in \operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{r}\right)$, we arrange step by step the blocks $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$ such that $A_{i}$ is adjacent to the block $A_{j}$ containing $\min \left(A_{i}\right)-1$ and $j<i$. Since $\min \left(A_{1}\right)=1$ and $\min \left(A_{2}\right)=2$, there are two ways to arrange $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}: A_{1} A_{2}$ or $A_{2} A_{1}$. Suppose that the first $i(\geq 2)$ blocks have been arranged as $W_{i}:=A_{\sigma_{i}(1)} \ldots A_{\sigma_{i}(i)}$ with $\sigma_{i} \in \mathfrak{S}_{i}$, then $\min \left(A_{i+1}\right)-1$ must belong to $A_{\sigma_{i}(1)}$ or $A_{\sigma_{i}(i)}$ because any two adjacent blocks in $W_{i}$ should stay adjacent in all the $W_{j}$ for $i \leq j \leq r$. Hence there is only one way to insert $A_{i+1}$ in $W_{i}$ : at the left of $W_{i}$ (resp. right of $\left.W_{i}\right)$ if $\min \left(A_{i+1}\right)-1 \in A_{\sigma_{i}(1)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.A_{\sigma_{i}(i)}\right)$ for $i \geq 2$. As there are two possibilities for $i=2$ we have thus proved that there are exactly two corresponding epimorphisms in $\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{r}\right)$ for a given induced partition with $r$ blocks. For example, starting from the induced partition $\{\{1,3,5,9\},\{2,4,10\},\{6,8\},\{7\},\{11\}\}$ of $V\left(P_{11}\right)$, we obtain the two corresponding arrangements:

$$
\{7\}\{6,8\}\{1,3,5,9\}\{2,4,10\}\{11\} \text { and }\{11\}\{2,4,10\}\{1,3,5,9\}\{6,8\}\{7\} .
$$

This is the desired result.
Lemma 10. For $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
l_{k}(n)=\frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{n-k+1}\right)\right|-\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{n-k}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{n-k-1}\right)\right| .
$$

Proof. By definition we have $\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)=A \cup B$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right): f([n]) \subseteq[k-1]\right\} \\
& B=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right): f([n]) \subseteq[k] \backslash[1]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|-\left|\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|=|A|+|B|-|A \cap B| . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|A|=|B|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k-1}\right)\right|$, and

$$
|A \cap B|=\mid\left\{f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right): f([n]) \subseteq[k-1] \backslash[1]\left|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k-2}\right)\right|\right.\right.
$$

we derive from (3.3) that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Epi}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k}\right)\right|-2\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k-1}\right)\right|+\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}, P_{k-2}\right)\right| .
$$

The result follows then by applying Lemma 9
It follows from Lemma 10 and Theorem 2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k}(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^{n-i-2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(-A_{i, j}+2 B_{i, j}-C_{i, j}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{i, j}=A_{i, j}^{+}-A_{i, j}^{-}, \quad B_{i, j}=B_{i, j}^{+}-B_{i, j}^{-}, \quad C_{i, j}=C_{i, j}^{+}-C_{i, j}^{-},
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i, j}^{+}=\binom{i}{\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-j(n-k+2)}, \quad A_{i, j}^{-}=\binom{i}{\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k}{2}\right\rfloor+1-j(n-k+2)} \\
& B_{i, j}^{+}=\binom{i}{\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-j(n-k+1)}, \quad B_{i, j}^{-}=\binom{i}{\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1-j(n-k+1)}, \\
& C_{i, j}^{+}=\binom{i}{\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-j(n-k)}, \quad C_{i, j}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.i \frac{i+n-k-2}{2}\right\rfloor+1-j(n-k)
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 11. For $n \geq 2 k$,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(-A_{i, j}+2 B_{i, j}-C_{i, j}\right)= & \left\{\binom{i+1}{\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}+\binom{i+1}{\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k}{2}\right\rfloor-n+k}\right\} \\
& -2\left\{\left(\left\lfloor\begin{array}{c}
i \\
\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor-n+k\right.\right.\right. \tag{3.5}
\end{array}\right)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Since $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$, we have $\frac{n}{2} \leq n-k \leq n-1$. Therefore,
(1) if $j<0$, then $\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-j(n-k) \geq\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil+n-k \geq\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil+\frac{n}{2} \geq\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil+\frac{i}{2}+1 \geq i+1$ because $i \leq n-2$. Similarly we have $\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-2}{2}\right\rfloor+1-j(n-k) \geq i+1$. Hence, all the summands $A_{i, j}, B_{i, j}$ and $C_{i, j}$ vanish;
(2) if $j>0$, then $\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-j(n-k) \leq\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-(n-k) \leq\left\lceil\frac{i}{2}\right\rceil-\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq-1$ because $i \leq n-2$. Hence, all $A_{i, j}^{+}, B_{i, j}^{+}$and $C_{i, j}^{+}$vanish;
(3) if $j \geq 2$, then $\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k}{2}\right\rfloor+1-j(n-k+2) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-2+n-k}{2}\right\rfloor+1-2(n-k+2) \leq$ $\frac{3}{2} k-n-5 \leq-1$. Similarly we have $\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1-j(n-k+1) \leq-1$ and $\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-2}{2}\right\rfloor+1-j(n-k) \leq-1$, so all $A_{i, j}^{-}, B_{i, j}^{-}$and $C_{i, j}^{-}$vanish.
It follows that the summation over $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ in (3.5) reduces to

$$
-A_{i, 0}^{-}+2 B_{i, 0}^{-}-C_{i, 0}^{-}-A_{i, 1}^{-}+2 B_{i, 1}^{-}-C_{i, 1}^{-} .
$$

Using $\binom{n}{k}+\binom{n}{k-1}=\binom{n+1}{k}$ to combine $A_{i, 0}^{-}$with $C_{i, 0}^{-}$and $A_{i, 1}^{-}$with $C_{i, 1}^{-}$, respectively, we derive the desired formula.

Now, we are in position to prove Theorem 1. When $n \geq 2 k$, by Lemma 11, the summands in (3.4) can be written as

$$
2^{n-i-2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(-A_{i, j}+2 B_{i, j}-C_{i, j}\right)=D_{i+1}-D_{i}
$$

where

$$
D_{i}=2^{n-i-1}\left\{\binom{i}{\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor+1}+\binom{i}{\left\lfloor\frac{i+n-k-1}{2}\right\rfloor-n+k}\right\} .
$$

Substituting this into (3.4) we obtain

$$
l_{k}(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\left(D_{i+1}-D_{i}\right)=D_{n-1}
$$

which is clearly equivalent to (1.3).
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