

On the Hankel transform of C-fractions

Paul Barry
 School of Science
 Waterford Institute of Technology
 Ireland

Abstract

We study the Hankel transforms of sequences whose generating function can be expressed as a C-fraction. In particular, we relate the index sequence of the non-zero terms of the Hankel transform to the powers appearing in the monomials defining the C-fraction. A closed formula for the Hankel transforms studied is given. As every power-series can be represented by a C-fraction, this gives in theory a closed form formula for the Hankel transform of any sequence. The notion of multiplicity is introduced to differentiate between Hankel transforms.

1 Introduction

Given a sequence a_n , we denote by h_n the general term of the sequence with $h_n = |a_{i+j}|_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$. The sequence h_n is called the Hankel transform of a_n [7, 8, 10]. If the sequence a_n has generating function $g(x)$, then by an abuse of language we can also refer to h_n as the Hankel transform of $g(x)$.

A well known example of Hankel transform is that of the Catalan numbers, $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$, where we find that $h_n = 1$ for all n . Hankel determinants occur naturally in many branches of mathematics, from combinatorics [1] to number theory [12] and to mathematical physics [17].

We shall be interested in characterizing the Hankel transform of sequences whose generating functions can be expressed as the following type of C-fraction:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_1 x^{q_1}}{1 + \frac{a_2 x^{q_2}}{1 + \frac{a_3 x^{q_3}}{1 + \dots}}}}, \quad (1)$$

for appropriate values of coefficients a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots and exponents q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots . The results will depend on making explicit the relationship between this type of C-fraction, and $h(1/x)$,

where $h(x)$ is the following type of continued fraction:

$$h(x) = \frac{x^{p_0}}{b_1 x^{p_1} + \frac{1}{b_2 x^{p_2} + \frac{1}{b_3 x^{p_3} + \frac{1}{b_4 x^{p_4} + \dots}}}}. \quad (2)$$

We will then be able to use classical results [5] to conclude our study and to examine interesting examples.

2 Review of known results

The first part of this section reviews the close link between power series and C-fractions. Note that the ‘‘C’’ comes from the word ‘‘corresponding’’.

We commence with a power series

$$f_0(x) = 1 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + \dots \quad (3)$$

We form the family of power series $\{f_n(x)\}$ by the relations

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \frac{a_{n+1} x^{q_{n+1}}}{f_n(x) - 1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4)$$

where the q_n are positive integers chosen together with complex numbers a_n in such a way that if $f_n(x) \neq 1$, $f_{n+1}(0) = 1$. If no $f_n(x) = 1$, this process yields an infinite sequence of power series $f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$. If some $f_n(x) = 1$, the process terminates and yields a finite set of power series $f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)$. The continued fraction

$$1 + \frac{a_1 x^{q_1}}{1 + \frac{a_2 x^{q_2}}{1 + \frac{a_3 x^{q_3}}{1 + \frac{a_4 x^{q_4}}{1 + \dots}}}}, \quad (5)$$

formed with these a_n and q_n is said to *correspond* to the power series (3) [6, 11]. Conversely, if we begin with a continued fraction of the form (5), we can form the n -th *approximant* $\frac{A_n(x)}{B_n(x)}$ by means of the recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= 1, & B_0 &= 1, \\ A_1 &= 1 + a_1 x^{q_1}, & B_1 &= 1, \\ A_n &= A_{n-1} + a_n x^{q_n} A_{n-2}, & B_n &= B_{n-1} + a_n x^{q_n} B_{n-2}, \\ & & & n = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\frac{A_n(x)}{B_n(x)} - \frac{A_{n-1}(x)}{B_{n-1}(x)} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots a_n x^{s_n}}{B_{n-1}(x) B_{n-2}(x)}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$s_n = q_1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_n.$$

By equation (6) the Taylor development of the rational function $\frac{A_{n-1}(x)}{B_{n-1}(x)}$ about the origin agrees with the development of $\frac{A_n(x)}{B_n(x)}$ up to but not including the term in x^{s_n} . Hence if (5) is nonterminating, the C-fraction (5) determines uniquely a *corresponding* power series.

We have the following classical result [11]

Proposition 1. *If the continued fraction (5) corresponds to the power series (3), then the power series (3) corresponds to the continued fraction (5), and conversely.*

A division-free algorithm for the construction of the C-fraction (5) from the power series (3) is given by Frank [2, 3].

If we start with a power series $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_i x^i$, then by considering the sequence $1 + xf(x)$, which is in the form (3), we see that $f(x)$ corresponds to a C-fraction of the form

$$\frac{a_0 x^{q_0}}{1 + \frac{a_1 x^{q_1}}{1 + \frac{a_2 x^{q_2}}{1 + \cdots}}}$$

for appropriate values of $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$ and $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots$.

We now recall known results concerning the Hankel transform of sequences whose generating functions are of the form $f(1/x)$ where $f(x)$ can be expressed as a continued fraction of the form

$$f(x) = \frac{b_0 x^{p_0}}{b_1 x^{p_1} + \frac{1}{b_2 x^{p_2} + \frac{1}{b_3 x^{p_3} + \frac{1}{b_4 x^{p_4} + \cdots}}}}. \quad (7)$$

We have the following result [5].

Proposition 2. *Let h_n denote the Hankel transform of the sequence $[x^n]f(1/x)$ where $f(x)$ has the form (7) (give conditions on $b_0 = 1$ and $p_0 = 0$). Then h_n is zero for all n unless $n = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_m$, for some m , in which case*

$$h_n = \prod_{i=1}^m (-1)^{\frac{p_i(p_i-1)}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i p_{i+1}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{b_i^{p_i+2 \sum_{j=i+1}^m p_j}}. \quad (8)$$

3 Main result

In order to obtain our main result, we need to relate C-fractions of the form

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_1 x^{q_1}}{1 + \frac{a_2 x^{q_2}}{1 + \frac{a_3 x^{q_3}}{1 + \dots}}}}$$

to continued fractions of the form

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{p_0}}{b_1 x^{p_1} + \frac{1}{b_2 x^{p_2} + \frac{1}{b_3 x^{p_3} + \frac{1}{b_4 x^{p_4} + \dots}}}}$$

We wish to find the conditions under which $f(1/x) = g(x)$. We look at the case of unit coefficients first. By equation (8), the corresponding Hankel transforms will then take on values from the set $\{-1, 0, 1\}$.

By successive divisions above and below the line, we can cast $f(x)$ in the form

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{p_0 - p_1}}{1 + \frac{x^{-p_1 - p_2}}{1 + \frac{x^{-p_2 - p_3}}{1 + \dots}}}$$

and hence we have

$$f(1/x) = \frac{x^{-p_0 + p_1}}{1 + \frac{x^{p_1 + p_2}}{1 + \frac{x^{p_2 + p_3}}{1 + \dots}}}$$

Starting from $g(x)$ and proceeding to $f(x)$ is more problematic, since it is not clear what to choose as p_0 . The Hankel transforms that we will be concerned with determine that we require the condition $-p_0 + p_1 = 0$, and hence that $p_1 = p_0$. We choose to set $p_0 = 1$. Then starting from the C-fraction

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{x^{q_1}}{1 + \frac{x^{q_2}}{1 + \frac{x^{q_3}}{1 + \dots}}}}$$

we find the following continued fraction of type (2):

$$x^{p_0} + \frac{x^{p_0}}{x^{q_1-p_0} + \frac{x^{p_0}}{x^{q_2-q_1+p_0} + \frac{x^{p_0}}{x^{q_3-q_2+q_1-p_0} + \frac{x^{p_0}}{x^{q_4-q_3+q_2-q_1+p_0} + \dots}}}}$$

By Proposition (2), the position of the non-zero terms of the corresponding Hankel transform will be given by the indexing sequence $p_0, p_0 + (q_1 - p_0), p_0 + (q_1 - p_0) + (q_2 - q_1 + p_0), p_0 + (q_1 - p_0) + (q_2 - q_1 + p_0) + (q_3 - q_2 + q_1 - p_0), \dots$ or $p_0, q_1, q_2 + p_0, q_3 + q_1, q_4 + q_2 + p_0, \dots$. This sequence can be realised by

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_0 \\ m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \\ m_4 \\ m_5 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \\ q_4 \\ q_5 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The n -th term of this sequence m_n is given by

$$m_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1 + (-1)^{n-k}}{2} \tilde{q}_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \tilde{q}_i = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k,$$

where $\tilde{q}_0 = p_0$, $\tilde{q}_n = q_n$ for $n > 0$, and $p_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \tilde{q}_k$. Note that since the above matrix is $(\frac{1}{1-x^2}, x)$ as a Riordan array, then if the g.f. of the sequence q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots is $G(x)$, then the g.f. of the index set is

$$\frac{1}{1-x^2}(1+xG(x)).$$

We next note that if

$$f(x) = \frac{b_0 x^{p_0}}{b_1 x^{p_1} + \frac{b_0 x^{p_0}}{b_2 x^{p_2} + \frac{b_0 x^{p_0}}{b_3 x^{p_3} + \frac{b_0 x^{p_0}}{b_4 x^{p_4} + \dots}}}}$$

is to be such that $f(1/x)$ can be represented as

$$g(x) = \frac{a_0 x^{q_0}}{1 + \frac{a_1 x^{q_1}}{1 + \frac{a_2 x^{q_2}}{1 + \frac{a_3 x^{q_3}}{1 + \dots}}}}$$

then we must have

$$a_k = \frac{1}{b_k b_{k+1}}. \quad (9)$$

Reversing this set of equations, beginning with $b_0 = 1$, we find that

$$b_{2n} = \frac{a_0 a_2 \cdots a_{2n-2}}{a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2n-1}},$$

and

$$b_{2n+1} = \frac{a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{2n-1}}{a_0 a_2 \cdots a_{2n}}.$$

(See also [9], Theorem 3.6 and its corollaries). Substituting these values into Equation (8) and simplifying (where we take $a_0 = 1$, $p_0 = 1$), gives us the main result of this note.

Proposition 3. *The non-zero elements of the Hankel transform of the sequence with generating function given by the C-fraction*

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{a_1 x^{q_1}}{1 + \frac{a_2 x^{q_2}}{1 + \frac{a_3 x^{q_3}}{1 + \cdots}}}}$$

are given by

$$h_n = \prod_{i=1}^m (-1)^{\frac{p_i(p_i+1)}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{1+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} i p_{i+1}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^m a_k^{\sum_{i=k}^m p_i},$$

where

$$p_i = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \tilde{q}_j \quad \text{and} \quad n = \sum_{k=0}^m p_k,$$

and the sequence \tilde{q}_n is given by $1, q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots$

Example 4. We consider the Fibonacci-inspired C-fraction

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{x}{1 + \frac{x}{1 + \frac{2x^2}{1 + \frac{3x^3}{1 + \cdots}}}}}$$

where $\tilde{q}_n = F_n + 0^n$ and $a_n = F_n$. Then we find that the non-zero terms of the Hankel transform are indexed by

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} (F_i + 0^i) = F_{i+1}.$$

The non-zero terms, calculated as

$$\prod_{i=1}^m (-1)^{\frac{F_i(F_i+1)}{2}} \cdot (-1)^{1+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} iF_{i+1}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^m F_k^{\sum_{i=k}^m p_i},$$

begin

$$1, 1, 1, -2, 72, 1944000, \dots$$

We see emerging here an interesting phenomenon, which we will naturally term “multiplicity”. In this case we note that $F_2 = F_3 = 1$, corresponding to the first two 1’s of the non-zero Hankel elements above. The Hankel transform is thus given by

$$1, 1, -2, 0, 72, 0, 0, 1944000, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1547934105600000000, 0, 0, \dots,$$

where the initial 1 has multiplicity 2.

Example 5. The Catalan numbers $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$ provide an interesting example of the notion of multiplicity. They have generating function

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{1 - \frac{x}{1 - \dots}}},$$

and thus $a_n = -1$ for all $n > 0$ and $q_n = 1$ for all $n > 0$ (and hence $\tilde{q}_n = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$). We then have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{k-i} = \lfloor \frac{n+2}{2} \rfloor.$$

Thus the non-zero terms of the Hankel transform of C_n are indexed by

$$1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, \dots$$

These terms are all calculated to equal 1, as is well-known. Thus we can write the Hankel transform of C_n as

$$1_2, 1_2, 1_2, \dots,$$

where the sub-index 2 indicates that each 1 occurs with “multiplicity” 2. This is a shorthand way of saying that the index set is $1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, \dots$

Example 6. It is well known that the Hankel transform of the aerated Catalan numbers $C_{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2}$ is also the all-1’s sequence. This sequence has generating function

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{x^2}{1 - \frac{x^2}{1 - \dots}}},$$

where now $a_n = -1$ for all $n > 0$ and $q_n = 2$ for all $n > 0$ (and hence $\tilde{q}_n = 2 - 0^n$ for all $n \geq 0$). Now

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_k = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{k-i} (2 - 0^i) = n + 1,$$

and hence the indexing set for this Hankel transform is $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots$. That is, each 1 appears with multiplicity 1. Thus in a sense this is the original sequence with Hankel transform of all 1's.

Example 7. The generalized Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction. We consider the continued fraction

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\gamma x}{1 + \frac{\gamma x^2}{1 + \frac{\gamma x^3}{1 + \frac{\gamma x^4}{1 + \dots}}}}}$$

Here, $\tilde{q}_n = n + 0^n$, and $a_n = \gamma - \gamma 0^n = \gamma(1 - 0^n)$. We then have that p_n is the sequence

$$1, 0, 2, 1, 3, 2, 4, 3, 5, 4, 6, \dots,$$

and $\sum_{k=0}^n p_k$ is the sequence that begins

$$1, 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 13, 16, 21, 25, 31, \dots$$

The non-zero terms of the Hankel transform are, in order,

$$1, 1, -\gamma^6, \gamma^{12}, \gamma^{32}, \gamma^{52}, -\gamma^{94}, \gamma^{136}, \gamma^{208}, \gamma^{280}, -\gamma^{390}, \dots$$

The exponent sequence

$$0, 0, 6, 12, 32, 52, 94, \dots$$

can be shown to have generating function

$$\frac{2x^2(x^3 + 3)}{(x + 1)^2(x - 1)^4}.$$

4 Conclusion

Since to each sequence a_n there corresponds the power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, and to each power series there corresponds a C-fraction, the foregoing gives, in theory, a closed form formula for the Hankel transform of each sequence. Of course, this presupposes that the passage from generating function to C-fraction can be effected easily. The Q-D algorithm is one method for this.

We note that Heilermann's formula [7, 8] for the Hankel transform of a sequence with generating function of the form

$$\frac{1}{1 - \alpha_1 x - \frac{\beta_1 x^2}{1 - \alpha_2 x - \frac{\beta_2 x^2}{1 - \dots}}}$$

can be derived from the above result, due to the fact that $p_i = 1$ in this case, and the fact that although in this note Equation (8) has been expressed in the case of monomials $b_i x^{p_i}$, the result continues to be true for polynomials $Q_{p_i}(x) = b_i x^{p_i} + \dots$ of degree p_i .

References

- [1] R. A. Brualdi, S. Kirkman, Aztec diamonds and digraphs, and Hankel determinants of Schröder numbers, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **94** (2005), 334 – 351.
- [2] E. Frank, Corresponding type continued fractions, *Amer. J. Math.*, **68** (1946), 89–108.
- [3] E. Frank, Orthogonality properties of C-fractions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **55** (1949), 384–390.
- [4] I. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison–Wesley, 1994.
- [5] O. Holtz and M. Tyaglov, Structured matrices, continued fractions, and root localization of polynomials, arXiv:0912.4703.
- [6] W. B. Jones and W. J. Thron, *Continued fractions: analytic theory and applications (Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications)*, CUP, 2009.
- [7] C. Krattenthaler, Advanced determinant calculus, *Séminaire Lotharingien Combin.* **42** (1999), Article B42q., available electronically at http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/math/pdf/9902/9902004.pdf, 2010.
- [8] C. Krattenthaler, Advanced determinant calculus: A complement, *Lin. Alg. Appl.* **411** (2005), 68–166.
- [9] S. Krushchev, *Orthogonal polynomials and Continued Fractions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [10] J. W. Layman, The Hankel transform and some of its properties, *J. Integer Seq.* **4** (2001), [Article 01.1.5](#).
- [11] W. Leighton and W.T. Scott, A general continued fraction expansion, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1939), 596–605.

- [12] S.C. Milne, Infinite families of exact sums of squares formulas, Jacobi elliptic functions, continued fractions, and Schur functions *Ramanujan J.* **6** (2002), 7-149.
- [13] L. W. Shapiro, S. Getu, W.-J. Woan, and L.C. Woodson, The Riordan Group, *Discr. Appl. Math.* **34** (1991), 229–239.
- [14] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, 2012.
- [15] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, **50** (2003), 912–915.
- [16] W.T. Scott and H.S. Wall, Continued fraction expansions for arbitrary power series, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **41** (1940), 328–349.
- [17] R. Vein and P. Dale, *Determinants and their applications in mathematical physics*, Springer.
- [18] A. J. van der Poorten, Hyperelliptic curves, continued fractions, and Somos sequences, *IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series Dynamics & Stochastics*, **48** (2006), 212-224.
- [19] G. Viennot, Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux, UQAM, Montréal, Québec, 1983.
- [20] H. S. Wall, *Analytic Theory of Continued Fractions*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1967.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30B70; Secondary 11B83, 11C20, 15A15, 30B10

Keywords: *C*-fraction, continued fraction, power series, Hankel determinant, Hankel transform, sequences

Concerns sequences

[A000045](#), [A000108](#)