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#### Abstract

Directed acyclic graphs whose nodes are all the divisors of a positive integer $n$ and $\operatorname{arcs}(a, b)$ defined by $a$ divides $b$ are considered. Fourteen graph invariants such as order, size, and the number of paths are investigated for two classic graphs, the Hasse diagram $G^{H}(n)$ and its transitive closure $G^{T}(n)$ derived from the divides relation partial order. Concise formulae and algorithms are devised for these graph invariants and several important properties of these graphs are formally proven. Integer sequences of these invariants in natural order by $n$ are computed and several new sequences are identified by comparing them to existing sequences in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. These new and existing integer sequences are interpreted from the graph theory point of view. Both $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$ are characterized by the prime signature of $n$. Hence, two conventional orders of prime signatures, namely the graded colexicographic and the canonical orders are considered and additional new integer sequences are discovered.


## 1. Introduction

Let $V(n)$ be the set of all positive divisors of a positive integer $n$ as defined in (1.1). For instance, $V(20)=\{1,2,4,5,10,20\}$. The partial order called the divides relation, $a$ divides $b$ denoted $a \mid b$, is applied to $V(n)$ and yields two types of directed acyclic graphs (henceforth referred simply as graphs) as shown in Figure 1 . The first graph is called the transitive closure,


Figure 1. Two basic graphs derived from the divides relation.
$G^{T}(n)=\left(V(n), E^{T}(n)\right)$ where

$$
\begin{gather*}
V(n)=\left\{x\left|x \in Z^{+} \wedge x\right| n\right\}  \tag{1.1}\\
E^{T}(n)=\{(a, b)|a, b \in V(n) \wedge a<b \wedge a| b\} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, when all arcs in $G^{T}(n)$ with alternative transitive paths are excluded, the graph becomes a Hasse diagram denoted as $G^{H}(n)=\left(V(n), E^{H}(n)\right)$ where $E^{H}(n)$ is defined in (1.3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{H}(n)=E^{T}(n)-\left\{(a, b) \in E^{T}(n) \mid \exists c \in V(n)(a<c<b \wedge a|c \wedge c| b)\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figures 1 (a) and (b) show the Transitive Closure $G^{T}(20)$ and Hasse diagram $G^{H}(20)$, respectively. Note that $G^{H}(n)=G^{T}(n)$ if and only if $n$ is a prime. Numerous integer sequences have been discovered from the divides relation from the number theory point of view (see [8]). In Section 2 this paper not only compiles various existing integer sequences in [8, but also discovers numerous integer sequences from the graph theory point of view, mainly from $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, every positive integer $n>1$ can be represented by $\omega$ distinct prime numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{\omega}$ and positive integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{\omega}$ as corresponding exponents such that $n=p_{1}^{m_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}}$ where $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{\omega}$. Let $M(n)=$ $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{\omega}\right)$ be the sequence of the exponents. In [5], Hardy and Wright used $\Omega(n)$ and $\omega(n)$ to denote the number of prime divisors of $n$ counted with multiplicity and the number of distinct prime factors of $n$, respectively. For example, $20=2 \times 2 \times 5=2^{2} \times 5^{1}$ has $\Omega(20)=3$ and $\omega(20)=2$.

Let $M^{\prime}(n)=\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{\omega}\right]$ be the multiset known as the prime signature of $n$ where the order does not matter and repetitions are allowed. For example, $M^{\prime}\left(4500=2^{2} \times 3^{2} \times\right.$ $\left.5^{3}\right)=[2,2,3]$ has the same prime signature as $M^{\prime}\left(33075=3^{3} \times 5^{2} \times 7^{2}\right)=[3,2,2]$. The prime signature $M^{\prime}(n)$ uniquely determines the structures of $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$ and play a central role in this work as they partition the $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$ into isomorphism classes and are used as the labels of the nodes of $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$.

Any ordering of the prime signatures corresponds to an ordering of the isomorphism classes of $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$ and consequently of their associated graph invariants, such as their order, size, and path counts. Two kinds of orderings of prime signatures such as the graded colexicographic and canonical orderings appear in the literature and the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences $\mathbf{8}$. Several integer sequences by prime signatures have been studied from the number theory point of view [1, 5], the earliest one of which dates from 1919 [7]. However, some sequences have interpretations different from the graph theory interpretations provided here. Most importantly, over twenty new integer sequences of great interest are presented in Section 3 .

## 2. Graph Theoretic Properties and Invariants of the Divides Relation

In this section, fourteen graph invariants such as order, size, degree, etc. for the Hasse Diagram and/or Transitive Closure graphs are formally defined and investigated. Furthermore, various graph theoretic properties are also determined.

The first graph invariant of interest is the common order of $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$, i.e., the number of nodes, $|V(n)|$. By definition, this is simply the number of divisors of $n$.

Theorem 2.1 Order of $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|V(n)|=|V(M(n))|=\prod_{m_{i} \in M(n)}\left(m_{i}+1\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Each $p_{i}^{m_{i}}$ term contains $m_{i}+1$ factors which can contribute to a divisor of $n$. Thus, the number of divisors of $n$ is $\left(m_{1}+1\right) \times\left(m_{2}+1\right) \times \cdots \times\left(m_{\omega}+1\right)$ by the product rule of counting.

This classic and important integer sequence of $|V(n)|$ in natural order is given in Table 1 and listed as A000005 in [8. Table 1 lists 14 integer sequences of all forthcoming graph invariants with OEIS number if listed and blank in the OEIS column if not listed.

Table 1. divides relation graph invariants in natural order

| Invariant | Integer sequence for $n=1, \cdots, 50$ | OEIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|V(n)\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2,2,3,2,4,2,4,3,4,2,6,2,4,4,5,2,6,2,6,4,4,2,8,3,4,4,6, \\ & 2,8,2,6,4,4,4,9,2,4,4,8,2,8,2,6,6,4,2,10,3, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A000005 |
| $\left\|E^{H}(n)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,2,1,4,1,3,2,4,1,7,1,4,4,4,1,7,1,7,4,4,1,10,2,4,3, \\ & 7,1,12,1,5,4,4,4,12,1,4,4,10,1,12,1,7,7,4,1,13, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A062799 |
| $\Omega(n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,2,1,2,1,3,2,2,1,3,1,2,2,4,1,3,1,3,2,2,1,4,2,2,3,3 \\ & 1,3,1,5,2,2,2,4,1,2,2,4,1,3,1,3,3,2,1,5,2,3,2, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A001222 |
| $\omega(n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,2,1,2,1,2,2,1,1,2,1,2,2,2,1,2,1,2,1,2, \\ & 1,3,1,1,2,2,2,2,1,2,2,2,1,3,1,2,2,2,1,2,1,2,2,2, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A001221 |
| $W_{v}(n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,2,1,2,1,2,2,1,1,2,1,2,2,2,1,2,1,2,1,2, \\ & 1,3,1,1,2,2,2,3,1,2,2,2,1,3,1,2,2,2,1,2,1,2, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A096825 |
| $W_{e}(n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,2,1,3,1,2,2,1,1,3,1,3,2,2,1,3,1,2,1,3 \\ & 1,6,1,1,2,2,2,4,1,2,2,3,1,6,1,3,3,2,1,3,1,3, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\Delta(n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,2,1,2,1,2,2,2,1,3,1,2,2,2,1,3,1,3,2,2,1,3,2,2,2,3, \\ & 1,3,1,2,2,2,2,4,1,2,2,3,1,3,1,3,3,2,1,3,2,3, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|P^{H}(n)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,2,1,3,1,2,2,1,1,3,1,3,2,2,1,4,1,2,1,3, \\ & 1,6,1,1,2,2,2,6,1,2,2,4,1,6,1,3,3,2,1,5,1,3,2,3, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A008480 |
| $\left\|V_{E}(n)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,1,2,1,2,1,2,2,2,1,3,1,2,2,3,1,3,1,3,2,2,1,4,2,2,2,3 \\ & 1,4,1,3,2,2,2,5,1,2,2,4,1,4,1,3,3,2,1,5,2,3, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A038548 |
| $\left\|V_{O}(n)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,1,1,2,1,2,1,2,1,3,1,2,2,2,1,3,1,3,2,2,1,4,1,2,2,3 \\ & 1,4,1,3,2,2,2,4,1,2,2,4,1,4,1,3,3,2,1,5,1,3, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A056924 |
| $\left\|E_{E}(n)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,1,1,2,1,2,1,2,1,4,1,2,2,2,1,4,1,4,2,2,1,5,1,2,2,4 \\ & 1,6,1,3,2,2,2,6,1,2,2,5,1,6,1,4,4,2,1,7,1,4, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|E_{O}(n)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,0,0,1,0,2,0,1,1,2,0,3,0,2,2,2,0,3,0,3,2,2,0,5,1,2,1,3, \\ & 0,6,0,2,2,2,2,6,0,2,2,5,0,6,0,3,3,2,0,6,1,3, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|E^{T}(n)\right\|$ | $0,1,1,3,1,5,1,6,3,5,1,12,1,5,5,10,1,12,1,12,5,5,1,22,3,5$, $6,12,1,19,1,15,5,5,5,27,1,5,5,22,1,19,1,12,12,5, \cdots$ | - |
| $\left\|P^{T}(n)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,1,2,1,3,1,4,2,3,1,8,1,3,3,8,1,8,1,8,3,3,1,20,2,3,4 \\ & 8,1,13,1,16,3,3,3,26,1,3,3,20,1,13,1,8,8,3,1,48,2, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A002033 |

The next eleven graph invariants of interest are for $G^{H}(n)$ exclusively. The second graph invariant of interest is the size of $G^{H}(n)$ which is the cardinality of the arc set $\left|E^{H}(n)\right|=$ $\left|E^{H}(M(n))\right|$. A recursive algorithm to compute $\left|E^{H}(n)\right|$ is given in Algorithm $\square$ which utilizes a size fact about the Cartesian product of two graphs.

Algorithm 1 Size of $G^{H}(n)$. Let $m_{i} \in M^{\prime}$ and the multiset, $M=M^{\prime}(n)$ initially.

$$
\left|E^{H}(M)\right|= \begin{cases}\left|E^{H}\left(M-\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)\right| \times\left(m_{i}+1\right)+m_{i} \times\left|V\left(M-\left\{m_{i}\right\}\right)\right| & \text { if }|M|>1  \tag{2.2}\\ m_{1} & \text { if }|M|=1\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2.2 Algorithm $\square$ correctly computes $\left|E^{H}(n)\right|$.

Proof. In [4] a theorem about the size of the Cartesian product of two graphs is given, i.e., the size of a Cartesian product of two graphs is the size of the first multiplied by the order
of the second added to the size of the second multiplied by the order of the first. Using this theorem and the fact that $G^{H}(n)$ is isomorphic to the Cartesian product of paths, it is clear inductively that the recursive Algorithm $\mathbb{1}$ correctly computes the size of $G^{H}(n)$.

The integer sequence of $\left|E^{H}(n)\right|$ is listed as A062799 with an alternative formula and described as the inverse Möbius transform of the number of distinct prime factors of $n$ in [8].

For the purpose of illustrating the various concepts that are defined in what follows $G^{H}(540)$ is shown in Figure 2. Note that $540=2^{2} 3^{3} 5$ and that the nodes of $G^{H}(540)$ are labeled with the sequence of exponents with respect of the order of $M(n)$. Each node $v \in V(n)$ is expressed as a sequence, $M_{n}(v)=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{\omega(n)}\right)$ where $0 \leq v_{i} \leq m_{i}$.

Definition 1 Node as a sequence. If $v \in V(n)$ and $n=p_{1}^{m_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=p_{1}^{v_{1}} p_{2}^{v_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{v_{\omega}} \text { and } M_{n}(v)=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{\omega}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To minimize clutter in Figure 2 the sequences $(2,3,1),(2,3,0), \cdots,(0,0,0)$ are written $231,230, \cdots, 000$.


Figure 2. $G^{H}(540)=G^{H}(M(540))=G^{H}((2,3,1))$.

Let $V_{l}(n)$ denote the set of nodes lying in the $l$ level of the decomposition of $G^{H}(n)$. For example in Figure 2 $V_{5}(540)=\{108,180,270\}$.

Lemma 2.3 The sum of the prime signature of a node equals its level.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{l}(n)=\left\{v \in V(n) \mid \sum_{v_{i} \in M_{n}(v)} v_{i}=l\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $v \in V(n)$, then $v=n / x$, where $x$ is the product of $\Omega(n)-l$ primes (multiplicities counted) contained in $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots p_{w}\right\}$. Thus, the nodes in $V_{l}(n)$ are precisely the nodes with signature sum $\sum_{v_{i} \in M_{n}(v)} v_{i}=l$.

Observation 1. Nodes partitioned by their level.

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{l_{1}}(n) \cap V_{l_{2}}(n)=\varnothing \text { if } l_{1} \neq l_{2} \wedge l_{1}, l_{2} \in\{0, . ., \Omega(n)\}  \tag{2.5}\\
V(n)=\bigcup_{l \in\{0, . ., \Omega(n)\}} V_{l}(n)  \tag{2.6}\\
|V(n)|=\sum_{l \in\{0, . ., \Omega(n)\}}\left|V_{l}(n)\right| \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $P(x, y)$ be the set of paths from node $x$ to node $y$ in a directed acyclic graph where each path is a sequence of arcs from $x$ to $y$. For example in $G^{H}(20)$ as shown in Figure 1 (b), $P(1,20)=\{\langle(1,2),(2,4),(4,20)\rangle,\langle(1,2),(2,10),(10,20)\rangle,\langle(1,5),(5,10),(10,20)\rangle\}$. Let $s p(x, y)$ and $l p(x, y)$ be the lengths of the shortest path and longest path from $x$ to $y$. Let $G(n)$ be a directed acyclic graph with a single source node, 1 and a single sink node, $n$. Let $\operatorname{sp}(G(n))$ and $l p(G(n))$ be the lengths of the shortest path and longest path from 1 to $n$, respectively. For simplicity sake, we shall denote $P^{H}(n)$ and $P^{T}(n)$ for $P(1, n)$ in $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$, respectively.

The height of $G^{H}(n)$ is the maximum level in the level decomposition of $G^{H}(n)$, namely the number of prime factors.

Theorem 2.4 Height of $G^{H}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{height}\left(G^{H}(n)\right)=\operatorname{sp}\left(G^{H}(n)\right)=\sum_{m_{i} \in M(n)} m_{i}=\Omega(n) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Follows directly from Lemma 2.3 ,

Corollary 2.5 Length of Paths in $G^{H}(n)$ and $G^{T}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sp}\left(G^{H}(n)\right)=l p\left(G^{H}(n)\right)=l p\left(G^{T}(n)\right)=\Omega(n) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Follows directly from Lemma 2.3 ,
Note that $\operatorname{sp}\left(G^{T}(n)\right)=1$ since the arc with a single path, $(1, n) \in P^{T}(n)$.

THEOREM 2.6 Symmetry of $V_{l}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{l}(n)\right|=\left|V_{\Omega(n)-l}(n)\right| \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A $1-1$ correspondence $f$ is defined between $V_{l}(n)$ and $V_{\Omega(n)-l}(n)$. Let $v$ be a node in $V_{l}(n)$ and $f$ the function from $V_{l}(n)$ to $V_{\Omega(n)-l}(n)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(v)=p_{1}^{m_{1}-v_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}-v_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}-v_{\omega}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3, $f(v)$ is on level $\Omega(n)-l$ and $f$ is clearly $1-1$ into. Similarly, the function $g$ from $V_{\Omega(n)-l}(n)$ to $V_{l}(n)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(u)=p_{1}^{m_{1}-u_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}-u_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}-n_{\omega}} \text { where } u \in V_{\Omega(n)-l}(n) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is clearly $1-1$ into with $g(u)$ in $V_{l}(n)$. Thus, $g$ is $f^{-1}$ and $\left|V_{l}(n)\right|=\left|V_{\Omega(n)-l}(n)\right|$.
Let $E_{l}^{H}(n)$ be the set of arcs from nodes in level $l$ to level $l+1$ and formally defined in Definition 2.

## Definition 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{l}^{H}(n)=\left\{(a, b) \in E^{H}(n) \mid a \in V_{l}(n)\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example in Figure 2 $E_{0}^{H}(540)=\{(1,2),(1,3),(1,5)\}$ and $E_{5}^{H}(540)=\{(108,540),(180,540),(270,540)\}$. The following is a symmetry property of $E^{H}(n)$.

Theorem 2.7 Symmetry of $E_{l}^{H}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{l}^{H}(n)\right|=\left|E_{\Omega(n)-l-1}^{H}(n)\right| \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $a \in V_{l}(n)$ and $b \in V_{l+1}(n)$, and $(a, b)$ be an arc from $V_{l}(n)$ to $V_{l+1}(n)$. Then, using $f$ in (2.11), the function $F$ defined by $F(a, b)=(f(b), f(a))$ provides a $1-1$ into function from $E_{l}^{H}(n)$ to $E_{\Omega(n)-l-1}^{H}(n)$. This is seen by noting that

$$
\begin{align*}
f(b) & =p_{1}^{m_{1}-b_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}-b_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}-b_{\omega}} \text { is in } V_{\Omega(n)-l-1}  \tag{2.15}\\
f(a) & =p_{1}^{m_{1}-a_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}-a_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}-a_{\omega}} \text { is in } V_{\Omega(n)-l}  \tag{2.16}\\
\frac{f(a)}{f(b)} & =\frac{p_{1}^{m_{1}-a_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}-a_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}-a_{\omega}}}{p_{1}^{m_{1}-b_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}-b_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}-b_{\omega}}} \\
& =\frac{p_{1}^{m_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}} p_{1}^{b_{1}} p_{2}^{b_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{b_{\omega}}}{p_{1}^{m_{1}} p_{2}^{m_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{m_{\omega}} p_{1}^{a_{1}} p_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots p_{\omega}^{a_{\omega}}}=\frac{b}{a}=p \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (2.17), since $(a, b)$ is an arc, $(f(b), f(a))$ is an arc from $V_{\Omega(n)-l-1}$ to $V_{\Omega(n)-l}$. Therefore, $F$ provides a $1-1$ into function from $E_{l}^{H}(n)$ to $E_{\Omega(n)-l-1}^{H}(n)$. Similarly, the function $G$ defined by $G(c, d)=(g(d), g(c))$ is a $1-1$ into function from $E_{\Omega(n)-l-1}^{H}(n)$ to $E_{l}^{H}(n)$. Therefore, $\left|E_{l}^{H}(n)\right|=\left|E_{\Omega(n)-l-1}^{H}(n)\right|$.

All $G^{H}(n)$ have a single source node, 1 and a single sink node, $n$. Thus $\left|V_{0}(n)\right|=\left|V_{\Omega(n)}(n)\right|=$ 1. There are two other special levels with $\omega(n)$ as their cardinalities.

Theorem 2.8 Two special levels with $\omega(n)$ nodes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{\Omega(n)-1}(n)\right|=\left|V_{1}(n)\right|=\omega(n) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. $\quad V_{1}(n)$ consists of the $\omega(n)$ distinct prime factors of $n$. By Theorem $2.6\left|V_{1}(n)\right|=$ $\left|V_{\Omega(n)-1}(n)\right|=\omega(n)$.

Definition 3. Width of $G^{H}(n)$ in terms of nodes

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{v}(n)=\max _{l \in\{0, . ., \Omega(n)\}}\left|V_{l}(n)\right| \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example in Figure 2, $W_{v}(540)=6$ at level 3. The $W_{v}(n)$ sequence is listed as A096825, the maximal size of an antichain in a divisor lattice in $\mathbf{8}$. A different width can be defined in terms of arc cardinality in each level as depicted in Figure 3 .

Definition 4. Width of $G^{H}(n)$ in terms of arcs

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{e}(n)=\max _{l \in\{0, . ., \Omega(n)-1\}}\left|E_{l}^{H}(n)\right| \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3. Anatomy of ( $n$ ).

For example in Figure 2, $W_{e}(540)=12$ at levels 2 and 3 . The $W_{e}(n)$ sequence does not appear in 8 .

Since $G^{H}(n)$ is a digraph, each node, $v$ has an in-degree, $\Delta^{-}(v)$, number of incoming arcs and an out-degree, $\Delta^{+}(v)$, number of outgoing arcs and the degree of $v$ is defined $\Delta(v)=$ $\Delta^{+}(v)+\Delta^{-}(v)$.

Lemma 2.9 Upper bound for indegrees and outdegrees. For a node $v \in V(n)$,

$$
\Delta^{-}(v) \leq \omega(n), \Delta^{+}(v) \leq \omega(n), \text { and } \Delta(v) \leq 2 \omega(n)
$$

Proof. For the outdegree, each node can add at most one more of each distinct prime to the product. For the indegree, the product represented by the node was obtained by adding at most one prime to the product at the level just below.

Definition 5. The degree of the graph $G^{H}(n)$ denoted, $\Delta\left(G^{H}(n)\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(G^{H}(n)\right)=\max _{v \in V(n)} \Delta(v) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example from Figure 2 $\Delta\left(G^{H}(540)\right)=5$ because the maximum node degree of $G^{H}(540)$ occurs at $90,30,18$, and 6 . The $\Delta\left(G^{H}(n)\right)$ or simply $\Delta(n)$ sequence is not listed in 8 . The $\Delta\left(G^{H}(n)\right)$ can be computed very efficiently as stated in Theorem 2.10 using only $M^{\prime}(n)$. Let $G(n)$ be a sub-multiset of $M^{\prime}(n)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
G(n) & =\left[m_{i} \in M^{\prime}(n) \mid m_{i}>1\right]  \tag{2.22}\\
|G(n)| & =\sum_{m_{i} \in M(n)} g t o\left(m_{i}\right) \text { where } g t o\left(m_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } m_{i}>1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

For example of $M^{\prime}(540)=[2,3,1], G(540)=[2,3]$, and $|G(540)|=2$.
Theorem 2.10 Degree of $G^{H}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(G^{H}(n)\right)=\omega(n)+|G(n)| \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider $v \in V(n)$ with $M_{n}(v)=\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{\omega}\right)$ where $0 \leq v_{i} \leq m_{i}$. For a $v_{i}$ whose $m_{i}>1, v$ has an incoming arc from a node $u$ whose $M_{n}(u)=\left(v_{1}, \cdots,\left(u_{i}=v_{i}-1\right), \cdots, v_{\omega}\right)$ provided $v_{i}>0$ and $v$ has an outgoing arc to a node $w$ whose $M_{n}(w)=\left(v_{1}, \cdots,\left(w_{i}=v_{i}+\right.\right.$ 1), $\left.\cdots, v_{\omega}\right)$ as long as $v_{i}<m_{i}$. Every element in $G(n)$ contributes 2 to $\Delta(v)$. For a $v_{i}$ in the $M^{\prime}(n)-G(n)$ multiset, whose $m_{i}=1, v$ can have either only the incoming arc from a node $u$ whose $M_{n}(u)=\left(v_{1}, \cdots,\left(u_{i}=0\right), \cdots, v_{\omega(n)}\right)$ if $v_{i}=1$ or the outgoing arc to a node $w$ whose $M_{n}(w)=\left[v_{1}, \cdots,\left(w_{i}=1\right), \cdots, v_{\omega(n)}\right]$ if $v_{i}=0$. There are $\omega(n)-|G(n)|$ number of such elements, $\leq 1$. Therefore, for every node $v \in V(n), \Delta(v) \leq 2 \times|G(n)|+\omega(n)-|G(n)|=$ $\omega(n)+|G(n)|$. There exists a node $v$ whose $\Delta(v)=\omega(n)+|G(n)|$. One such node is $v$ such that $M_{n}(v)=\left(m_{1}-1, m_{2}-1, \cdots, m_{\omega(n)}-1\right)$.

For example in Figure 2 in $G^{H}((2,3,1))$, the node 18 whose $M_{n}(18)=(1,2,0)$ has the maximum degree, 5 .

The next graph invariant of interest is the cardinality of paths, $\left|P\left(G^{H}(n)\right)\right|$. The first 200 integer sequence entries match with those labeled as A008480 [8] which is the number of ordered prime factorizations of $n$ with its multinomial coefficient formula given in Theorem 2.11 [1, 6.

Theorem 2.11 the number of ordered prime factorizations of $n$ [1, 6 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
o p f(n)=\frac{\left(\sum_{x \in M(n)} x\right)!}{\prod_{x \in M(n)} x!} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

While a nice formula has been given in [1, 6, a recursive definition is given here where the dynamic programming technique can be applied to quickly generate the integer sequence.

Theorem 2.12 Cardinality of $P\left(G^{H}(n)\right)$.

$$
\left|P\left(G^{H}(n)\right)\right|= \begin{cases}\sum_{v \in V_{\Omega(n)-1}(n)}\left|P\left(G^{H}(v)\right)\right| & \text { if } \Omega(n)>1  \tag{2.26}\\ 1 & \text { if } \Omega(n) \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. All paths in $P\left(G^{H}(n)\right)$ must contain exactly one node at level $\Omega(n)-1$.
The next four graph invariants involve the fact that $G^{H}(n)$ is bipartite as depicted in Figure 4 .

Theorem $2.13 G^{H}(n)$ is bipartite.

Proof. Arcs join only even level nodes to odd level nodes and vice versa. Thus, the nodes at even and odd levels form a bipartition of $V(n)$.


Figure 4. $G^{H}(60)$

## Definition 6.

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{E}(n)=\left\{v \in V(n) \mid \sum_{m_{i} \in M_{n}(v)} m_{i}=\text { even }\right\}  \tag{2.27}\\
& V_{O}(n)=\left\{v \in V(n) \mid \sum_{m_{i} \in M_{n}(v)} m_{i}=o d d\right\} \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

The integer sequence of the cardinality of $V_{E}$ matches with A 038548 which is the number of divisors of $n$ that are at most $\sqrt{n} \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2}$. The integer sequence of $\left|V_{O}\right|$ also appears as A056924, described as the number of divisors of $n$ that are smaller than $\sqrt{n}$ [8, 2,

Theorem 2.14 Cardinality of $V_{O}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{O}(n)\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{|V(n)|}{2}\right\rfloor \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is by induction. For the base case $\omega=1$, each divisor has a single exponent, i.e., $v_{i} \in\left\{p_{1}^{0}, p_{1}^{1}, \cdots, p_{1}^{m_{1}}\right\}$. Clearly, $\left|V_{O}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{|V(n)|}{2}\right\rfloor$. For the inductive step $\omega+1$, let $M_{\omega+1}$ be $M_{\omega}$ with $m_{\omega+1}$ appended. $V_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)$ is the union of the cartesian product of $V_{O}\left(M_{\omega}\right)$ and $V_{E}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)$ together with the cartesian product of $V_{E}\left(M_{\omega}\right)$ and $V_{O}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|=\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|V_{E}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right|+\left|V_{E}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|V_{O}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right| \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are four cases depending on the parities of $\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|$ and $m_{\omega+1}$. The following uses Theorem 2.1 and Definition 6, If $\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|$ is odd and $m_{\omega+1}$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right| & =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|-1}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}+1}{2}+\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|+1}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}+1}{2} \\
& =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)-\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)}{4}+\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)+\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)}{4} \\
& =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|-\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)+\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|+\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)}{4}=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|$ is odd and $m_{\omega+1}$ is even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right| & =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|-1}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}+2}{2}+\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|+1}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}}{2} \\
& =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)-\left(m_{\omega+1}+2\right)}{4}+\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(m_{\omega+1}+2\right)+m_{\omega+1}}{4} \\
& =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(2 m_{\omega+1}+2\right)-\left(m_{\omega+1}+2\right)+m_{\omega+1}}{4}=\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|-1}{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|$ is even and $m_{\omega+1}$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right| & =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}+1}{2}+\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}+1}{2} \\
& =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)}{4}+\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(m_{\omega+1}+1\right)}{4}=\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|}{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|$ is even and $m_{\omega+1}$ is even,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right| & =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}+2}{2}+\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|}{2} \times \frac{m_{\omega+1}}{2} \\
& =\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|\left(2 m_{\omega+1}+2\right)}{4}=\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|}{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|V\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor$ in all four cases.

Corollary 2.15 Cardinality of $V_{E}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{E}(n)\right|=|V(n)|-\left|V_{O}(n)\right|=|V(n)|-\lfloor|V(n)| / 2\rfloor \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $V_{E}(n)$ and $V_{O}(n)$ partition $V(n),\left|V_{E}(n)\right|=|V(n)|-\left|V_{O}(n)\right|$.
Similarly as with $V(n), E(n)$ is bipartite as follows.

## Definition 7.

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{E}(n)=\left\{(a, b) \in E^{H}(n) \mid \sum_{m_{i} \in M(a)} m_{i}=\text { even }\right\}  \tag{2.32}\\
& E_{O}(n)=\left\{(a, b) \in E^{H}(n) \mid \sum_{m_{i} \in M(a)} m_{i}=\text { odd }\right\} \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Surprisingly, the integer sequences of $\left|E_{E}(n)\right|$ and $\left|E_{O}(n)\right|$ are not listed in [8].

Theorem 2.16 Cardinality of $E_{O}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{O}(n)\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{\left|E^{H}(n)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. An inductive proof, similar to the proof for the node parity decomposition in Theorem 2.14, can be applied using the cartesian product of two graphs (2.35) and the arc parity decomposition (2.36).

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E^{H}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|= & \left|E^{H}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|V\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right|+\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|E^{H}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right|  \tag{2.35}\\
\left|E_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|= & \left|E_{O}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|V_{E}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right|+\left|E_{E}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|V_{O}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right|  \tag{2.36}\\
& +\left|V_{O}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|E_{E}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right|+\left|V_{E}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right| \times\left|E_{O}\left(m_{\omega+1}\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

$\left|E_{O}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right|=\left\lfloor\left|E^{H}\left(M_{\omega+1}\right)\right| / 2\right\rfloor$ in all eight cases bsed on parities of $\left|E^{H}\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|,\left|V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right|$, and $m_{\omega+1}$.

Corollary 2.17 Cardinality of $E_{E}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{E}(n)\right|=\left|E^{H}(n)\right|-\left|E_{O}(n)\right|=\left|E^{H}(n)\right|-\left\lfloor\frac{\left|E^{H}(n)\right|}{2}\right\rfloor \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $E_{E}(n)$ and $E_{O}(n)$ partition $E^{H}(n),\left|E_{E}(n)\right|=\left|E^{H}(n)\right|-\left|E_{O}(n)\right|$.
The last two graph invariants of Table 1 are exclusive to the transitive closure, $G^{T}(n)$, namely the size and the number of paths in $G^{T}(n)$. Also surprisingly, the sequence for the size of $G^{T}(n)$ is not listed in $\mathbf{8}$.

Theorem 2.18 Size of $G^{T}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E^{T}(n)\right|=\sum_{v \in V(n)}(|V(v)|-1) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The number of incoming arcs to node $v$ is the number of divisors of $v$ that are less than $v$ itself. Thus the indegree of $v$ is $|V(v)|-1$ and the sum of the indegrees of all nodes in $G^{T}(n)$ is the size of $G^{T}(n)$.

Theorem 2.19 Cardinality of $P\left(G^{T}(n)\right)$.

$$
\left|P\left(G^{T}(n)\right)\right|= \begin{cases}\sum_{v \in V(n)-\{n\}}\left|P\left(G^{T}(v)\right)\right| & \text { if } \Omega(n)>1  \tag{2.39}\\ 1 & \text { if } \Omega(n) \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $P\left(G^{T}(v)\right)$ be the set of all paths from 1 to $v$ where $v \neq n$. The addition of the $\operatorname{arc}(v, n)$ to each path in $P\left(G^{T}(v)\right)$ yields a path from 1 to $n$. Thus, summing over all $v \in V(n)-\{n\}$ is equal to $\left|P\left(G^{T}(n)\right)\right|$.

The integer sequence of $\left|P\left(G^{T}(n)\right)\right|$ matches with A002033 [8] and described as the number of perfect partitions of $n, \mathbf{8}$. Thus, the interpretation as the number of paths from 1 to $n$ is part of the original contributions of this work.

## 3. Graph Invariant Integer Sequences ordered by Prime Signature

The set of positive integers $>1$ is partitioned by their prime signatures as exemplified in Table 2.

DEFINITION 8. $\quad n_{x}$ and $n_{y}$ are prime signature congruent iff $M\left(n_{x}\right)=M\left(n_{y}\right)$.

Let $S(n)$ be a representative sequence of the prime signature $M(n)$ written in descending order. More formally, $S(n)=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{\omega}\right)$ is the permutation of the multiset, $M(n)=$ $\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{\omega}\right]$ such that $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{\omega}$. For example, $S(4500)=S(33075)=(3,2,2)$ because $M\left(4500=2^{2} \times 3^{2} \times 5^{3}\right)=[2,2,3]$ has the same prime signature as $M\left(33075=3^{3} \times\right.$ $\left.5^{2} \times 7^{2}\right)=[3,2,2]$.

Albeit there are numerous ways of ordering $S$, the set of all $S(n)$, two particular orderings such as the graded colexicographic and canonical orders of $S$ appear in the literature [5, 1]. First in the graded colexicographic order, $S$ are first grouped by $\Omega(S)$ and then by $\omega(S)$ in ascending order. Finally, the reverse lexicographic order is applied to the sub-group. It is closely related to the graded reflected colexicographic order used and denoted as $\pi$ in 1 . Let $L I(S)$ denote the least integer of a prime signature in the graded (reflected or not) colexicographic order. This sequence is listed as A036035 in [8].

Next, the canonical order, also known as the graded reverse lexicographic order, is often used to order the partitions [5]. It first groups prime signatures by $\Omega(S)$ and then uses the reverse lexicographic order. Although this order is identical to the graded colexicographic order for the first 22 prime signatures, they clearly differ at $23,24,26,27$, etc., as seen in Figure [5] The integer sequence of the least integer, $L I(S)$ in canonical order is listed as the Canonical partition sequence encoded by prime factorization (A063008) in [8].

The $S(n)$ determine the structure of $G^{H}(S(n))$ and $G^{T}(S(n))$ as shown in Figure 6 with the first few simple Hasse diagrams. All integer sequences of graph invariants in natural order in Table 1 can be ordered in the graded colexicographic order (Table A.1) and the canonical order (Table A.2). However, very little has been investigated concerning these sequences since most of them are in fact new. In [1], Abramowitz and Stegun labeled $\Omega(S), \omega(S)$, and $\left|P^{H}(S)\right|$ in the

TABLE 2. Partitions of integers ( $>1$ ) by prime signature congruency.

| $M / S$ | Integer sequence for $n=1, \cdots, 20$ | OEIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67 \text {, } \\ & 71, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A000040 <br> (Primes) |
| (2) | $4,9,25,49,121,169,289,361,529,841,961,1369,1681,1849$, 2209, 2809, 3481, 3721, 4489, 5041, $\cdots$ | A001248 <br> (Squared prime) |
| $(1,1)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,10,14,15,21,22,26,33,34,35,38,39,46,51,55,57,58,62 \\ & 65,69, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A006881 |
| (3) | 8, 27, 125, 343, 1331, 2197, 4913, 6859, 12167, 24389, 29791, 50653, 68921, 79507, 103823, 148877, 205379, 226981, 300763, 357911, $\cdots$ | A030078 (Cubed prime) |
| $(2,1)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12,18,20,28,44,45,50,52,63,68,75,76,92,98,99,116,117, \\ & 124,147,148, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A054753 |
| $(1,1,1)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,42,66,70,78,102,105,110,114,130,138,154,165,170,174 \text {, } \\ & 182,186,190,195,222, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A007304 |



Figure 5. First 30 prime signatures in colexicographic and canonical orders.


Figure 6. First seven Hasse diagrams ordered by prime signatures.
graded colexicographic order as $n, m$, and $M_{1}$, respectively. Only these three graph invariants and the number of divisors, $|V(S)|$ are found in $[8]$ for the graded colexicographic order. Only $\left|P^{H}(S)\right|$ is found in 8 for the canonical order.

## 4. Conclusion

In this article, fourteen graph invariants were investigated for two classic graphs, the Hasse diagram, $G^{H}(n)$ and its transitive closure, $G^{T}(n)$. Integer sequences with their first two hundred entries in natural order by $n$ are computed and compared to existing sequences in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. Five new integer sequences in natural order, shown in Table 1 were discovered, i.e., not found in [8].

New interpretations based on graph theory are provided for sequences found in [8]. Ten (Table A.1) and thirteen (Table A.2) new integer sequences were discovered for the graded colexicographic and canonical orders, respectively.

Here are some intriguing conjectures stated as open problems.
Conjecture 1 Cardinality of disjoint paths. Let $P^{\prime}\left(G^{H}(n)\right)$ be the set of disjoint paths. $\left|P^{\prime}\left(G^{H}(n)\right)\right|=\omega(n) ?$

## Page 14 of 14

Conjecture 2 Node width at middle level. $\quad W_{v}(n)=\left|V_{\lceil\Omega(n) / 2\rceil}(n)\right|$ ?
Conjecture 3 Relationship between widths by nodes and arcs. There always exists a level $l$ such that if $\left|V_{l}(n)\right|=W_{v}(n)$, then $\left|E_{l}^{H}(n)\right|=W_{e}(n)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{l \in\{0, . ., \Omega(n)-1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}}\left|E_{l}^{H}(n)\right|=\underset{l \in\{0, \ldots, \Omega(n)-1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}}\left|V_{l}(n)\right| ? \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other future work includes finding either a closed and/or a simpler recursive formula for the cardinality of $P\left(G^{T}(n)\right)$ in Theorem 2.19, Note that entries for $\left|P^{T}(S)\right|$ in Table A.1 and A.2 are less than 50 as computing $\left|P^{T}(S)\right|$ by Theorem 2.19 took too long time.

## Appendix. Integer Sequences by Prime Signatures
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TABLE A.1. divides relation graph invariants in graded colexicographic order

| Invariant |  | Integer sequence for $5=[0], \cdots,[4,4]$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |$)$

TABLE A.2. divides relation graph invariants in canonical order

| Invariant | Integer sequence for $S=[0], \cdots,[5,3]$ | OEIS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L I(S)$ | $1,2,4,6,8,12,30,16,24,36,60,210,32,48,72,120,180,420,2310,64$, $96,144,240,216,360,840,900,1260,4620,30030,128,192,288,480$, $432,720,1680,1080,1800,2520,9240,6300,13860,60060,510510,256$, 384, 576, 960, 864, $\cdots$ | A063008 |
| $\|V(S)\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2,3,4,4,6,8,5,8,9,12,16,6,10,12,16,18,24,32,7,12,15,20 \text {, } \\ & 16,24,32,27,36,48,64,8,14,18,24,20,30,40,32,36,48,64,54,72 \text {, } \\ & 96,128,9,16,21,28,24, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|E^{H}(S)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,2,4,3,7,12,4,10,12,20,32,5,13,17,28,33,52,80,6,16,22 \text {, } \\ & 36,24,46,72,54,84,128,192,7,19,27,44,31,59,92,64,75,116,176 \text {, } \\ & 135,204,304,448,8,22,32,52,38, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\Omega(S)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,2,2,3,3,3,4,4,4,4,4,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6, \\ & 6,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,8,8,8,8,8, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\omega(S)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,2,1,2,3,1,2,2,3,4,1,2,2,3,3,4,5,1,2,2,3,2,3,4,3,4,5 \text {, } \\ & 6,1,2,2,3,2,3,4,3,3,4,5,4,5,6,7,1,2,2,3,2, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $W_{v}(S)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,1,2,1,2,3,1,2,3,4,6,1,2,3,4,5,7,10,1,2,3,4,4,6,8,7,10 \\ & 14,20,1,2,3,4,4,6,8,7,8,11,15,13,18,25,35,1,2,3,4,4, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $W_{e}(S)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,2,1,3,6,1,3,4,7,12,1,3,5,8,11,18,30,1,3,5,8,6,12,19 \\ & 15,24,38,60,1,3,5,8,7,13,20,16,19,30,46,37,58,90,140,1,3,5 \\ & 8,7, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\Delta(S)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,2,2,2,3,3,2,3,4,4,4,2,3,4,4,5,5,5,2,3,4,4,4,5,5,6,6,6, \\ & 6,2,3,4,4,4,5,5,5,6,6,6,7,7,7,7,2,3,4,4,4, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|P^{H}(S)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,1,2,1,3,6,1,4,6,12,24,1,5,10,20,30,60,120,1,6,15,30,20 \text {, } \\ & 60,120,90,180,360,720,1,7,21,42,35,105,210,140,210,420,840 \\ & 630,1260,2520,5040,1,8,28,56,56, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | A078760 |
| $\left\|V_{E}(S)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,1,2,2,2,3,4,3,4,5,6,8,3,5,6,8,9,12,16,4,6,8,10,8,12,16 \text {, } \\ & 14,18,24,32,4,7,9,12,10,15,20,16,18,24,32,27,36,48,64,5,8 \\ & 11,14,12, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|V_{O}(S)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,2,2,3,4,2,4,4,6,8,3,5,6,8,9,12,16,3,6,7,10,8,12,16 \text {, } \\ & 13,18,24,32,4,7,9,12,10,15,20,16,18,24,32,27,36,48,64,4,8 \text {, } \\ & 10,14,12, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|E_{E}(S)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,1,1,2,2,4,6,2,5,6,10,16,3,7,9,14,17,26,40,3,8,11,18,12,23, \\ & 36,27,42,64,96,4,10,14,22,16,30,46,32,38,58,88,68,102,152 \\ & 224,4,11,16,26,19, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|E_{O}(S)\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,0,1,2,1,3,6,2,5,6,10,16,2,6,8,14,16,26,40,3,8,11,18,12 \text {, } \\ & 23,36,27,42,64,96,3,9,13,22,15,29,46,32,37,58,88,67,102,152 \\ & 224,4,11,16,26,19, \cdots \end{aligned}$ | - |
| $\left\|E^{T}(S)\right\|$ | $0,1,3,5,6,12,19,10,22,27,42,65,15,35,48,74,90,138,211,21,51$, $75,115,84,156,238,189,288,438,665,28,70,108,165,130,240,365$, $268,324,492,746,594,900,1362,2059,36,92,147,224,186, \cdots$ | - |
| $\left\|P^{T}(S)\right\|$ | $1,1,2,3,4,8,13,8,20,26,44,75,16,48,76,132,176,308,541,32$, $112,208,368,252,604,1076,818,1460,2612,4683,64,256,544,976$, $768,1888,3408,2316,3172,5740,10404,7880, \cdots$ | - |

