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#### Abstract

Amdeberhan conjectured that the number of $(t, t+1, t+2)$-core partitions is $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]} \frac{1}{k+1}\binom{t}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}$. In this paper, we obtain the generating function of the numbers $f_{t}$ of $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions. In particular, this verifies that Amdeberhan's conjecture is true. We also prove that the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partitions is finite if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=$ 1 , which extends Anderson's result on the finiteness of the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ core partitions for coprime positive integers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ and thus rediscover a result of Keith and Nath with a different proof.


## 1. Introduction

Partitions of positive integers are widely studied in number theory and combinatorics. As we know, a partition of a positive integer $n$ is a finite non increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ with $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \lambda_{i}=n$. In this case, $n$ is called the size of $\lambda$, which is also be denoted by $|\lambda|$. We can associate a partition $\lambda$ with its Young diagram, which is an array of boxes arranged in left-justified rows with $\lambda_{i}$ boxes in the $i$-th row. To the $(i, j)$-box of the Young diagram, let $h(i, j)$ be its hook length, which is the number of boxes directly to the right, directly below, or the box itself. Let $t$ be a positive integer. A partition $\lambda$ is called a $t$-core partition if none of its hook lengths is a multiple of $t$. Finally, we say that $\lambda$ is a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partition if it is simultaneously a $t_{1}$-core, a $t_{2}$-core, $\ldots$, a $t_{m}$-core partition. For instance, Figure 1 shows the Young diagram and hook lengths of the partition $(5,2,2)$. It is easy to see that, the partition $(5,2,2)$ is a $(4,5)$-core partition since non of its hook lengths is divisible by 4 or 5 .


Figure 1. The Young diagram and hook lengths of the partition $(5,2,2)$.

For $t$-core partitions, Granville and Ono [7 proved that there always exists a $t$-core partition with size $n$ for any $t \geq 4$ and $n \geq 1$. A very important result in the study of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partitions was given by Anderson [2], that is, there are only finite $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions when $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are coprime to each other. Actually, Anderson showed that the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions is exactly $\frac{1}{t_{1}+t_{2}}\binom{t_{1}+t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ for relatively prime positive integers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. Anderson's beautiful result attracts much attention and motives a lot of work in the study of simultaneous

[^0]core partitions. Stanley and Zanello [12] showed that the average size of a $(t, t+1)$ core partition is $\binom{t+1}{3} / 2$. In 2007, Olsson and Stanton [10] proved that the largest size of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions is $\frac{\left(t_{1}{ }^{2}-1\right)\left(t_{2}{ }^{2}-1\right)}{24}$ when $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are coprime to each other. Ford, Mai, and Sze [5] showed that the number of self-conjugate $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions is $\binom{\left[\frac{t_{1}}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{t_{2}}{[ }\right]}{\left[\frac{t_{1}}{2}\right]}$ for relatively prime positive integers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer not greater than $x$.

Anderson [2] proved the finiteness of the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions for coprime positive integers $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. We will extend Anderson's this result to a more general case and thus rediscover Theorem 1 in [9] with a different proof:

Theorem 1.1. The number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partitions is finite if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=1$, where $g c d\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}$.

For the number of $(t, t+1, t+2)$-core partitions, Amdeberhan [1] gave the following conjecture, which we will prove in Section 3:
Theorem 1.2. (Cf. Conjecture 11.1 of [1].) The number $f_{t}$ of $(t, t+1, t+2)$ core partitions is the $t-$ th Motzkin number $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]} \frac{1}{k+1}\binom{t}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}$. The generating function of $f_{t}$ is

$$
\sum_{t \geq 0} f_{t} x^{t}=\frac{1-x-\sqrt{1-2 x-3 x^{2}}}{2 x^{2}}
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r} \geq 1$ and $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ is a partition. The $\beta$-set of $\lambda$ is denoted by

$$
\beta(\lambda)=\left\{\lambda_{i}+r-i: 1 \leq i \leq r\right\} .
$$

It is obvious that $0 \notin \beta(\lambda)$. Actually $\beta(\lambda)$ is just the set of hook lengths of boxes in the first column of the corresponding Young diagram. It is easy to see that a partition $\lambda$ is uniquely determined by its $\beta$-set $\beta(\lambda)$. The following is a well-known result on $\beta$-sets of $t$-core partitions.

Lemma 2.1. (8].) A partition $\lambda$ is a $t$-core partition if and only if for any $x \in \beta(\lambda)$ such that $x \geq t$, we have $x-t \in \beta(\lambda)$.

By Lemma 2.1 we can easily deduce the following result:
Lemma 2.2. Let $\lambda$ be a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partition and $a_{i}$ be some non negative integers. Then $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_{i} t_{i} \notin \beta(\lambda)$.
Proof. We will prove this result by induction on $m$. If $m=1$, by Lemma 2.1 we know $a_{1} t_{1} \notin \beta(\lambda)$ since $0 \notin \beta(\lambda)$. Now we assume that $m \geq 2$ and the result is true for $m-1$, i.e., $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m-1} a_{i} t_{i} \notin \beta(\lambda)$ if $a_{i}$ are some non negative integers. Then by Lemma 2.1 we know $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_{i} t_{i}=a_{m} t_{m}+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m-1} a_{i} t_{i} \notin \beta(\lambda)$.

Now we can prove Theorem 1.1 .
Proof of Theorem 1.1. $\Rightarrow$ : Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=d>1$. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\lambda_{n}$ be the partition whose $\beta$-set is

$$
\beta\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=\{1,1+d, 1+2 d, \ldots, 1+n d\} .
$$

Then for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$ such that $1+j d \geq t_{i}$, we have $1+j d-t_{i}=$ $1+j^{\prime} d \in \beta\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ for some non negative integer $j^{\prime}$ since $\bar{d} \mid t_{i}$ and $d>1$. Then by Lemma 2.1], $\lambda_{n}$ is a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partition for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. This means that the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partitions is infinite.
$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=1$ and $1 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{m}$. To show that the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partitions is finite, we just need to show that for every $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partition $\lambda$ and $x \geq\left(t_{1}-1\right) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq m} t_{i}$, we have $x \notin \beta(\lambda)$ :

First we know there exist some $a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $x=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_{i} t_{i}$ since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)=1$. Furthermore, we can assume that $0 \leq a_{i} \leq t_{1}-1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$ since

$$
a_{1} t_{1}+a_{i} t_{i}=\left(a_{1}-b t_{i}\right) t_{1}+\left(a_{i}+b t_{1}\right) t_{i}
$$

for every $b \in \mathbf{Z}$. Now we have

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_{i} t_{i}=x \geq\left(t_{1}-1\right) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq m} t_{i}
$$

and $0 \leq a_{i} \leq t_{1}-1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$. It follows that

$$
a_{1} t_{1}=x-\sum_{2 \leq i \leq m} a_{i} t_{i} \geq x-\left(t_{1}-1\right) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq m} t_{i} \geq 0
$$

Thus we know $a_{1} \geq 0$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
x=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_{i} t_{i} \notin \beta(\lambda) .
$$

This means that $x \notin \beta(\lambda)$ if $\lambda$ is a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partition and $x \geq\left(t_{1}-\right.$ 1) $\sum_{2 \leq i \leq m} t_{i}$. Now we know for a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partition $\lambda$, its $\beta$-set $\beta(\lambda)$ must be a subset of $\left\{1,2, \ldots,\left(t_{1}-1\right) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq m} t_{i}-1\right\}$. This implies that the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partitions must be finite.

## 3. Main Results

Throughout this section, let $p$ be a given positive integer.
Let $S_{t, i}=\{x \in \mathbf{Z}:(i-1)(t+p)+1 \leq x \leq i t-1\}$. The following result is a characterization of $\beta$-sets of $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partition. Then

$$
\beta(\lambda) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}(t+k) \notin \beta(\lambda)$ for non negative integers $a_{k}$. Let

$$
T_{t, i}=\left\{\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}(t+k): a_{k} \in \mathbf{Z}, a_{k} \geq 0, \sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}=i\right\} .
$$

Then $T_{t, i} \bigcap \beta(\lambda)=\emptyset$ for $i \geq 0$. It is easy too see that

$$
T_{t, i}=\{x \in \mathbf{Z}: i t \leq x \leq i(t+p)\}
$$

and

$$
\bigcup_{i \geq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} T_{t, i}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{Z}: x \geq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right] t\right\}
$$

since $(i+1) t-1 \leq i t+\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right] p \leq i(t+p)$ for $i \geq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]$. Thus $\beta(\lambda)$ must be a subset of

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbf{Z}: 1 \leq x \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right] t-1\right\} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]-1}\{x \in \mathbf{Z}: i t \leq x \leq i(t+p)\}\right)
$$

which equals to $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}$.
We can define a partial order relation on $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}$. That is, for every $x, y \in \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}$, we define $y \preceq x$ if and only if $x-y=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}(t+k)$ for some non negative integers $a_{k}$. It is easy to verify that $\preceq$ is indeed a partial order relation. We say that a subset $S$ of a partially ordered set $T$ is good if for every $x \in S, y \in T$ such that $y \preceq x$ in $T$, we always have $y \in S$.

By the definition of $S_{t, i}$, It is easy to see that

$$
S_{t, i}=\left\{x-(t+k): x \in S_{t, i+1}, 0 \leq k \leq p\right\}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]-1$. Then by Lemma 2.1] and Lemma 3.1] the following result is obvious:

Lemma 3.2. A partition $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partition if and only if $\beta(\lambda)$ is a good subset of $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}$.

Let $R_{t, j}$ be the set of $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions whose $\beta$-sets contain every positive integer smaller than $j$ but don't contain $j$. Let $r_{t, j}=\# R_{t, j}$ be the number of elements in $R_{t, j}$.

Now we can give the main result in this paper.
Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $p$ is a given positive integer. The number $f_{t}$ of $(t, t+$ $1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions is computed recursively by

$$
f_{t}=0 \text { for } t<0 ; f_{0}=1 ; f_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} f_{t-i}+\sum_{j=0}^{t-p} f_{j} f_{t-p-j} \text { for } t \geq 1
$$

The generating function of $f_{t}$ is

$$
\sum_{t \geq 0} f_{t} x^{t}=\frac{1-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} x^{i}-\sqrt{\left(1-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} x^{i}\right)^{2}-4 x^{p}}}{2 x^{p}}
$$

Proof. For convenience, let $f_{t}=0$ for $t<0$ and $f_{0}=1$. Now suppose that $t \geq 1$. First we know $r_{t, j}=0$ for $j \geq t+1$ since $t \notin \beta(\lambda)$ and thus $f_{t}=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq t} r_{t, j}$.

Step 1. We claim that $r_{t, j}=f_{t-j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ :
Notice that $r_{t, j}=f_{t-j}=0$ is true if $t+1 \leq j \leq p-1$ since we already assume that $f_{t}=0$ for $t<0$. Now we can assume that $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ and $j \leq t$. Let $\lambda$ be a partition such that $1,2, \ldots, j-1 \in \beta(\lambda)$ and $j \notin \beta(\lambda)$. If $\lambda \in R_{t, j}$, i.e., $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partition, then by Lemma 2.1, we have $x \notin \beta(\lambda)$ for $i \geq 2$ and $(i-1)(t+p)+1 \leq x \leq(i-1)(t+p)+j$ since $j \notin \beta(\lambda)$ and $t \leq t+p+1-j \leq t+p$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{t, i}^{\prime} & =S_{t, i} \backslash\{x \in \mathbf{Z}:(i-1)(t+p)+1 \leq x \leq(i-1)(t+p)+j\} \\
& =\{x \in \mathbf{Z}:(i-1)(t+p)+j+1 \leq x \leq i t-1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $S_{t, i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ when $i>\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]$. Thus it is easy to see that

$$
\{1,2, \ldots, j-1\} \subseteq \beta(\lambda) \subseteq\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}\right) \bigcup\{1,2, \ldots, j-1\}
$$

if $\lambda \in R_{t, j}$. We can define a partial order relation on $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$ induced by the partial order relation $\preceq$ on $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}$. That is, for every two integers $x, y$ in $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$, we have $y \preceq x$ if and only if $x-y=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}(t+k)$ for some non negative integers $a_{k}$.

Let $\lambda^{\prime}$ be a partition such that

$$
\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\beta(\lambda) \backslash\{1,2, \ldots, j-1\}
$$

By the definition of $S_{t, i}^{\prime}$, we know for $1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]-1$,

$$
S_{t, i}^{\prime}=\left\{x-(t+k): x \in S_{t, i+1}^{\prime}, 0 \leq k \leq p\right\}
$$

Then by Lemma 2.1] it is easy to see that $\lambda \in R_{t, j}$ if and only if $\lambda^{\prime}$ is a $(t, t+$ $1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partition with $\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$, which is equivalent to $\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is a good subset of $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$.

Notice that $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i}^{p}$ is a partially ordered set and for every two integers $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ in $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i}$, we know $y^{\prime} \preceq x^{\prime}$ if and only if $x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}=$ $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}(t-j+k)$ for some non negative integers $a_{k}$. Now we can build a function

$$
\phi: \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i},
$$

that is, for every $x \in S_{t, i}^{\prime}$, let $\phi(x)=x-i j$. Then it is obvious that $\phi$ is a bijection. Let $x \in S_{t, i+1}^{\prime}$ and $y \in S_{t, i}^{\prime}$. We have $\phi(x)-\phi(y)=x-y-j$. Thus we know $t-j \leq \phi(x)-\phi(y) \leq t-j+p$ if and only if $t \leq x-y \leq t+p$, which implies that $\phi(y) \preceq \phi(x)$ in $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i}$ if and only if $y \preceq x$ in $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$. This means that $\phi$ is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets. Then $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$ and $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i}$ has the same number of good subsets and thus by Lemma 3.2 we have $r_{t, j}=f_{t-j}$. We mention that if $j=t \leq p-1$, then $r_{t, t}=f_{0}=1$ is true since in this case, we have $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and the empty subset of a partially ordered set is always a good subset.

Step 2. We claim that $r_{t, j}=f_{j-p} f_{t-j}$ for $p \leq j \leq t$ :
Let $\lambda \in R_{t, j}$, i.e., $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partition such that $1,2, \ldots, j-1 \in$ $\beta(\lambda)$ and $j \notin \beta(\lambda)$. If $i \geq 0$ and $i t+j \leq x \leq i(t+p)+j$, by Lemma 2.1 we have $x \notin \beta(\lambda)$. Let

$$
S_{t, i}^{\prime}=\{x \in \mathbf{Z}: i(t+p)+1 \leq x \leq i t+j-1\}
$$

and

$$
S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}=\{x \in \mathbf{Z}:(i-1)(t+p)+j+1 \leq x \leq i t-1\}
$$

Then

$$
S_{t, i}^{\prime} \bigcup S_{t, i+1}^{\prime \prime}=S_{t, i+1} \backslash\{x \in \mathbf{Z}: i t+j \leq x \leq i(t+p)+j\}
$$

and

$$
S_{t, 0}^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, j-1\} \subseteq \beta(\lambda)
$$

Notice that $S_{t, i}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ when $i>\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]$ and $S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$ when $i>\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]$. Thus it is easy to see

$$
\beta(\lambda) \subseteq\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}\right) \bigcup\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \bigcup S_{t, 0}^{\prime}
$$

We can define partial order relations on $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$ and $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$ induced by the partial order relation $\preceq$ on $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}$ as in Step 1 .

Let $\lambda^{\prime}$ be the partition such that

$$
\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)=\left(\beta(\lambda) \bigcap\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \bigcup S_{t, 0}^{\prime}
$$

and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ be the partition such that

$$
\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta(\lambda) \bigcap\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

By the definition of $S_{t, i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$, we know

$$
S_{t, i}^{\prime}=\left\{x-(t+k): x \in S_{t, i+1}^{\prime}, 0 \leq k \leq p\right\}
$$

for $0 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]-1$ and

$$
S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{x-(t+k): x \in S_{t, i+1}^{\prime \prime}, 0 \leq k \leq p\right\}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]-1$. Then by Lemma 2.1] it is easy to see that $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions since $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partition. On the other hand, if $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions such that

$$
S_{t, 0}^{\prime} \subseteq \beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}\right) \bigcup S_{t, 0}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}
$$

by Lemma 2.1 we can reconstruct the $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partition $\lambda \in R_{t, j}$ by letting

$$
\beta(\lambda)=\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \bigcup \beta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

which implies that

$$
\left(\beta(\lambda) \bigcap\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \bigcup S_{t, 0}^{\prime}=\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left.\beta(\lambda) \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Thus the number of $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions in $R_{t, j}$ equals to the number of pairs $\left(\lambda^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$ are $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions, $S_{t, 0}^{\prime} \subseteq$ $\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right) \subseteq\left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}\right) \bigcup S_{t, 0}^{\prime}$, and $\beta\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}\right) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$, which equals to the product of the number of good subsets of $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$ and the number of good subsets of $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$ by Lemma 2.1.

First we compute the number of good subsets of $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$. Notice that for every two integers $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ in $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{j-p, i}$, we have $y^{\prime} \preceq x^{\prime}$ if and only if $x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}(j-p+k)$ for some non negative integers $a_{k}$. We define a function

$$
\phi: \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{j-p, i}
$$

such that for every $x \in S_{t, i}^{\prime}$, let $\phi(x)=x-i(t+p-j)-j$. Then it is easy to see that $\phi$ is a bijection. Let $x \in S_{t, i+1}^{\prime}$ and $y \in S_{t, i}^{\prime}$. We have $\phi(x)-\phi(y)=$ $x-y-(t+p-j)$. Thus $\phi(y) \preceq \phi(x)$ if and only if $y \preceq x$ since both of them are equivalent to $t \leq x-y \leq t+p$. This means that $\phi$ is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets. Then $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$ and $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{j-p, i}$ has the same number of good subsets, which equals to $f_{j-p}$ by Lemma 3.2. We mention that $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{j-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime}$ has $f_{j-p}$ good subsets is true for $j-p=0$ since the empty subset of a partially ordered set is always a good subset and we already assume that $f_{0}=1$.

Next we compute the number of good subsets of $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$. Notice that for every two integers $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ in $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i}$, we have $y^{\prime} \preceq x^{\prime}$ if and only if $x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq p} a_{k}(t-j+k)$ for some non negative integers $a_{k}$. We define a function

$$
\varphi: \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i}
$$

such that for every $x \in S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$, let $\varphi(x)=x-i j$. Then it is easy to see that $\varphi$ is a bijection. Let $x \in S_{t, i+1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $y \in S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$. We have $\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)=x-y-j$. Thus $\varphi(y) \preceq$ $\varphi(x)$ if and only if $y \preceq x$ since both of them are equivalent to $t \leq x-y \leq t+p$. This means that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of partially ordered sets. Then $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t-j, i}$ has the same number of good subsets, which equals to $f_{t-j}$ by Lemma 3.2. We mention that $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{t-j+p-2}{p}\right]} S_{t, i}^{\prime \prime}$ has $f_{t-j}$ good subsets is true for $t-j=0$ since the empty subset of a partially ordered set is always a good subset and we already assume that $f_{0}=1$.

Now we have $r_{t, j}=f_{j-p} f_{t-j}$ for $p \leq j \leq t$ by Lemma 3.2 and prove the claim.
Step 3. Put Step 1 and Step 2 together, we have

$$
f_{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{t} r_{t, j}=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} f_{t-i}+\sum_{j=p}^{t} f_{j-p} f_{t-j}=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} f_{t-i}+\sum_{j=0}^{t-p} f_{j} f_{t-p-j}
$$

for $t \geq 1$.
Let $F(x)=\sum_{t \geq 0} f_{t} x^{t}$ be the generating function of $f_{t}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x)-1 & =\sum_{t \geq 1} f_{t} x^{t}=\sum_{t \geq 1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} f_{t-i}+\sum_{j=0}^{t-p} f_{j} f_{t-p-j}\right) x^{t} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{t \geq 1} f_{t-i} x^{t}+\sum_{t \geq 1} \sum_{j=0}^{t-p} f_{j} f_{t-p-j} x^{t} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} x^{i} F(x)+x^{p}(F(x))^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $F(x)=\frac{1-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} x^{i}-\sqrt{\left(1-\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p-1} x^{i}\right)^{2}-4 x^{p}}}{2 x^{p}}$. We finish the proof.
Suppose that $p=1$ in Theorem 3.3. We can give a new proof of Anderson's result on the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions in [2] for the case $t_{2}=t_{1}+1$.
Corollary 3.4. The number $f_{t}$ of $(t, t+1)$-core partitions is $f_{t}=\frac{1}{2 t+1}\binom{2 t+1}{t}$. The generating function of $f_{t}$ is

$$
\sum_{t \geq 0} f_{t} x^{t}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 x}}{2 x}
$$

Proof. Let $p=1$ in Theorem 3.3. We have the generating function of $f_{t}$ is

$$
\sum_{t \geq 0} f_{t} x^{t}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 x}}{2 x}
$$

This is the generating function of Catalan numbers. Then it is easy to see that $f_{t}=\frac{1}{t+1}\binom{2 t}{t}=\frac{1}{2 t+1}\binom{2 t+1}{t}$.

Suppose that $p=2$ in Theorem 3.3. Then it is easy to see that Theorem 1.2 is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.3,
Proof of Theorem 1.2, Let $p=2$ in Theorem 3.3. We have the generating function of $f_{t}$ is

$$
\sum_{t \geq 0} f_{t} x^{t}=\frac{1-x-\sqrt{1-2 x-3 x^{2}}}{2 x^{2}}
$$

By $A 001006$ in 11 we know this is the generating function of Motzkin numbers. It is well-known that Bernhart [4] proved that the $t$-th Motzkin number equals to $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]} \frac{1}{k+1}\binom{t}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}$. We finish the proof.
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