Finding all squared integers expressible as the sum of consecutive squared integers using generalized Pell equation solutions with Chebyshev polynomials

Vladimir Pletser

September 30, 2014

European Space Research and Technology Centre, ESA-ESTEC P.O. Box 299, NL-2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands; E-mail: Vladimir.Pletser@esa.int

Abstract

Square roots s of sums of M consecutive integer squares starting from $a^2 \geq 1$ are integers if $M \equiv 0, 9, 24$ or $33 \pmod{72}$; or $M \equiv 1, 2$ or 16 (mod 24); or $M \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ and cannot be integers if $M \equiv 3, 5, 6, 7, 8$ or $10 \pmod{12}$. Finding all solutions with s integer requires to solve a Diophantine quadratic equation in variables a and s with M as a parameter. If M is not a square integer, the Diophantine quadratic equation in variables a and s is transformed into a generalized Pell equation whose form depends on the $M \pmod{4}$ congruent value, and whose solutions, if existing, yield all the solutions in a and s for a given value of M. Depending on whether this generalized Pell equation admits one or several fundamental solution(s), there are one or several infinite branches of solutions in a and s that can be written simply in function of Chebyshev polynomials evaluated at the fundamental solutions of the related simple Pell equation. If M is a square integer, it is known that $M \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and $M = (6n-1)^2$ for all integers n; then the Diophantine quadratic equation in variables a and s reduces to a simple difference of integer squares which yields a finite number of solutions in a and s to the initial problem.

Keywords: Sum of consecutive squared integers ; Generalized Pell equation ; Chebyshev polynomials

MSC2010: 11D09; 11E25; 33D45

1 Introduction

Lucas' cannonball problem [17, 18] of finding a square number of cannonballs stacked in a square pyramid has only two solutions, 1 and 4900, the later corre-

sponding to the sum of the first 24 squared integers and was proven by several authors [25, 19, 36, 16, 20, 2].

More generally, finding all integers s equal to the sum of M consecutive integer squares starting from $a^2 \ge 1$ involves solving a single Diophantine quadratic equation in three variables, two independent (a and M) and one dependent (s). Philipp [28], extending the previous work of Alfred [1], proved that there are a finite or an infinite number of solutions depending on whether M is or not a square integer and in the later case, using a form of the generalized Pell equation. Beeckmans [3], after demonstrating eight necessary conditions on Mwith a table of values of M < 1000 and the smallest values of a > 0, developed a method based on solving generalized Pell equations to provide all solutions. In two previous papers, this Author showed [30] that no solution exists if M is congruent to 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 or $10 \pmod{12}$ using Beeckmans necessary conditions, and that integer solutions exist if M is congruent to 0, 1, 2, 4, 9 or $11 \pmod{12}$, yielding M to be congruent to 0, 9, 24 or $33 \pmod{72}$, and M to be congruent to 1, 2 or $16 \pmod{24}$. These are called allowed values. Additional congruence conditions were demonstrated [31] on the allowed values of M using Beeckmans' necessary conditions. Furthermore, it was shown also [30] that if M is a square itself, M must be congruent to $1 \pmod{24}$ and (M-1)/24 are all pentagonal numbers, except the first two. The values of M yielding integer solutions are given in [33].

In this paper, firstly for non-square integer values of M, the Diophantine quadratic equation expressing the sum of consecutive squared integers equaling a squared integer is transformed into a generalized Pell equation for which, depending on its number of fundamental solutions, one or several infinite branch(es) of solutions in a and s are found analytically, using Chebyshev polynomials. Secondly, for square values of M, the quadratic equation reduces to a difference of squares for which a finite number of solutions in a and s are found analytically.

2 Simple and generalized Pell equations

Pell equations of the general form

$$X^2 - DY^2 = N \tag{1}$$

with $X, Y, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and squarefree $D \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, i.e. $\sqrt{D} \notin \mathbb{Z}^+$, have been investigated in various forms since long (see historical accounts in [7, 15, 37, 14]) and are treated in several classical text books (see e.g. [26, 27, 38] and references therein). A simple reminder is given here and further details can be found in the references.

For N = 1, the simple Pell equation reads classically

$$X^2 - DY^2 = 1 (2)$$

which has, beside the trivial solution $(X_t, Y_t) = (1, 0)$, a whole infinite branch

of solutions for $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ given by

$$X_{k} = \frac{\left(X_{1} + \sqrt{D}Y_{1}\right)^{k} + \left(X_{1} - \sqrt{D}Y_{1}\right)^{k}}{2}$$
(3)

$$Y_k = \frac{\left(X_1 + \sqrt{D}Y_1\right)^k - \left(X_1 - \sqrt{D}Y_1\right)^k}{2\sqrt{D}} \tag{4}$$

where (X_1, Y_1) is the fundamental solution to (2), i.e. the smallest integer solution $(X_1 > 1, Y_1 > 0, \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$ different from the trivial solution. Among the five methods listed by Robertson [32] to find the fundamental solution (X_1, Y_1) , the classical method introduced by Lagrange [13], based on the continued fraction expansion of the quadratic irrational \sqrt{D} , is central to several other methods. For $N = n^2$ an integer square, the generalized Pell equation (1) admits always integer solutions. The variable change (X', Y') = ((X/n), (Y/n)) transforms the generalized Pell equation in a simple Pell equation $X'^2 - DY'^2 = 1$ which has integer solutions (X'_k, Y'_k) . The integer solutions to the generalized Pell equation can then be found as $(X_k, Y_k) = (nX'_k, nY'_k)$. Note however that not all solutions in (X, Y) may be found in this way (see e.g. [38]).

For the case where N is not an integer square, the generalized Pell equation (1) can have either no solution at all, or one or several fundamental solutions (X_1, Y_1) , and all integer solutions, if they exist, can be expressed in function of the fundamental solution(s) (X_1, Y_1) . Several authors (see e.g. [13, 4, 26, 24, 32, 7, 21, 22] and references therein) discussed how to find the fundamental solution(s) of the generalized Pell equation, based on Lagrange's method of continued fractions with various modifications (see e.g. [29]), and further how to find additional solutions from the fundamental solution(s).

Noting now (x_f, y_f) the fundamental solutions of the related simple Pell equation (2), the other solutions (X_k, Y_k) can be found from the fundamental solution(s) (X_1, Y_1) by

$$X_k + \sqrt{D}Y_k = \pm \left(X_1 + \sqrt{D}Y_1\right) \left(x_f + \sqrt{D}y_f\right)^k \tag{5}$$

for a proper choice of sign \pm [32].

It is less known that Chebyshev polynomials can be used to find the additional solutions of the generalized Pell equation once the fundamental solutions (X_1, Y_1) have been found. In fact, Chebyshev polynomials $T_k(x)$ and $U_k(x)$ of the first and second kinds [35, 12] can be defined as solutions of the simple Pell equation

$$T_k(x)^2 - (x^2 - 1) U_{k-1}(x)^2 = 1$$
(6)

on a ring R(x) [6, 5]. The following lemma shows how to find the additional solutions of the generalized Pell equation.

Lemma 1. For $X, Y, D, N, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and D not a perfect square (i.e. $\sqrt{D} \notin \mathbb{Z}$), if the generalized Pell equation

$$X^2 - DY^2 = N \tag{7}$$

admits one or several fundamental solution(s) (X_1, Y_1) , then it admits one or several infinite branch(es) of solutions and these can be written as

$$X_{k} = X_{1}T_{k-1}(x_{f}) + DY_{1}y_{f}U_{k-2}(x_{f})$$
(8)

$$Y_{k} = X_{1}y_{f}U_{k-2}(x_{f}) + Y_{1}T_{k-1}(x_{f})$$
(9)

in function of the fundamental solution(s) (X_1, Y_1) and of Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds, $T_{k-1}(x_f)$ and $U_{k-2}(x_f)$ evaluated at the fundamental solution (x_f, y_f) of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - DY^2 = 1$.

Proof. For $X, Y, D, N, k, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and square free D, let (X_1, Y_1) be one of the fundamental solutions of (7) if they exist, and let (x_f, y_f) be the fundamental solution of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - DY^2 = 1$ (i.e. $x_f > 1, y_f > 0$). (i) Additional solutions (X_k, Y_k) of (7) can then be found by the recurrence relations

$$X_k = x_f X_{k-1} + D y_f Y_{k-1} (10)$$

$$Y_k = x_f Y_{k-1} + y_f X_{k-1} (11)$$

which can be demonstrated by induction.

For k = 2, as (X_1, Y_1) is a fundamental solution of (7), (X_2, Y_2) obtained from (10) and (11) verify also (7) as $x_f^2 - Dy_f^2 = 1$.

Let (X_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}) be a solution of (7), i.e. $X_{k-1}^2 - DY_{k-1}^2 = N$. Then multiplying the two terms on the left of this equation by $1 = x_f^2 - Dy_f^2$, adding and subtracting $2Dx_fy_fX_{k-1}Y_{k-1}$, rearranging and replacing by (10) and (11) yield $X_k^2 - DY_k^2 = N$, i.e. (X_k, Y_k) is also a solution of (7).

(ii) Further, to express X_k and Y_k in function of X_1 , Y_1 , x_f and y_f only, one replaces successively for $3 \le i \le k$, X_{i-1} and Y_{i-1} in function of X_1 and Y_1 in the expressions (10) and (11) of X_i , Y_i (with the substitution $x_f^2 + Dy_f^2 = 2x_f^2 - 1$ whenever needed) to obtain successively Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds evaluated at x_f and of increasing indices, respectively i - 1 and i - 2, i.e. $T_{i-1}(x_f)$ and $U_{i-2}(x_f)$, yielding eventually (8) and (9).

One can verify by induction that (8) and (9) yield all solutions to (7).

As (X_1, Y_1) is a fundamental solution of (7), for k = 2, one has $T_1(x_f) = x_f$ and $U_0(x_f) = 1$ in (8) and (9), yielding directly (10) and (11). Further, let us assume that (X_{k-1}, Y_{k-1}) with

$$X_{k-1} = X_1 T_{k-2} (x_f) + D Y_1 y_f U_{k-3} (x_f)$$
(12)

$$Y_{k-1} = X_1 y_f U_{k-3} (x_f) + Y_1 T_{k-2} (x_f)$$
(13)

are a solution of (7); then replacing (12) and (13) in (10) and (11) yield

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &= x_f \left[X_1 T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) + D Y_1 y_f U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) \right] + \\ &\quad D y_f \left[X_1 y_f U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) + Y_1 T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) \right] \\ &= X_1 \left[x_f T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) + \left(x_f^2 - 1 \right) U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) \right] + \\ &\quad D Y_1 y_f \left[x_f U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) + T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) \right] \\ Y_k &= x_f \left[X_1 y_f U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) + Y_1 T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) \right] + \\ &\quad y_f \left[X_1 T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) + D Y_1 y_f U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) \right] \\ &= X_1 y_f \left[x_f U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) + T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) \right] + \\ &\quad Y_1 \left[x_f T_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) + \left(x_f^2 - 1 \right) U_{k-3} \left(x_f \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(15)

where Dy_f^2 has been replaced by $Dy_f^2 = x_f^2 - 1$ in (14) and (15). As

$$T_{k-1}(x_f) = x_f T_{k-2}(x_f) + (x_f^2 - 1) U_{k-3}(x_f)$$
(16)

$$U_{k-2}(x_f) = x_f U_{k-3}(x_f) + T_{k-2}(x_f)$$
(17)

(see e.g. [35]), (14) and (15) yield directly (8) and (9). Replacing now (8) and (9) in (7) gives

$$X_{k}^{2} - DY_{k}^{2} = \left(X_{1}^{2} - DY_{1}^{2}\right)\left(T_{k-1}\left(x_{f}\right)^{2} - Dy_{f}^{2}U_{k-2}\left(x_{f}\right)^{2}\right) = N$$
(18)

by (6) with $Dy_f^2 = x_f^2 - 1$, showing that (X_k, Y_k) (8, 9) also solve (7). Finally, as k is unbound, there is an infinity of solutions (8) and (9).

3 General method to find all solutions

The sum of M > 1 consecutive integer squares starting from $a^2 \ge 1$ being equal to an integer square s^2 can be written in all generality as [30]

$$\sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \left(a+i\right)^2 = M\left[\left(a+\frac{M-1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{M^2-1}{12}\right] = s^2$$
(19)

where M are allowed values (see [30, 31]). To find all integer solutions of (19), two cases are considered and treated separately: first, M is not a squared integer, and second, M is a squared integer.

3.1 *M* not a squared integer

The next theorem allows to find all the solutions to (19) in a and s for allowed values of M not being squared integers.

Theorem 2. For $M > 1, \sigma, j, k, a_{k,j}, s_{k,j}, x_f, y_f \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, for all allowed square free values of M (i.e. $\sqrt{M} \notin \mathbb{Z}$), there is a number $\sigma \geq 1$ of infinite branch(es) of values of $a_{k,j}$, $1 \leq j \leq \sigma$, such that the sums of squares of M consecutive integers starting from $a_{k,j}$ are equal to squared positive integers $s_{k,j}^2$.

and these can be written in function of Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kinds, $T_{k-1}(x_f)$ and $U_{k-2}(x_f)$ as

$$a_{k,j} = \frac{2\lambda s_{1,j} y_f U_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) + \left(2a_{1,j} + M - 1 \right) T_{k-1} \left(x_f \right) - \left(M - 1 \right)}{2}$$
(20)

$$s_{k,j} = s_{1,j}T_{k-1}(x_f) + \frac{\lambda M}{2}y_f(2a_{1,j} + M - 1)U_{k-2}(x_f)$$
(21)

with $\lambda = 1$ for $M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ or $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $\lambda = 1/2$ for $M \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and where $(a_{1,j}, s_{1,j})$ are the smallest positive values of $(a_{k,j}, s_{k,j})$ solutions of (19) and (x_f, y_f) is the fundamental solution of the simple Pell equation $X^2 - (\lambda^2 M) Y^2 = 1$.

Proof. For $M > 1, \sigma, j, k, a, s, a_{k,j}, s_{k,j}, x_f, y_f, X, Y, N, D \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$, for the allowed square free values of M, rewriting (19) for $M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ as

$$s^{2} - M\left(a + \frac{M-1}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{M\left(M^{2} - 1\right)}{12}$$
(22)

or for $M \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ as

$$s^{2} - \frac{M}{4} \left(2a + M - 1\right)^{2} = \frac{M\left(M^{2} - 1\right)}{12}$$
(23)

or for $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ as

$$(2s)^{2} - M(2a + M - 1)^{2} = \frac{M(M^{2} - 1)}{3}$$
(24)

transform (19) in generalized Pell equations (1) in X = s or 2s and Y = (a + (M - 1)/2) or (2a + M - 1), with $N = M(M^2 - 1)/12$ or $M(M^2 - 1)/3$ and D = M or M/4.

If these generalized Pell equations (22) to (24) admit σ solution(s), then for $1 \leq j \leq \sigma$,

(i) for $M \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, let $(s_{1,j}, (a_{1,j} + (M-1)/2))$ be the j^{th} fundamental solution of (22) and let (x_f, y_f) be the fundamental solution of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - MY^2 = 1$, i.e. $x_f > 1$ and $y_f > 0$. Then, (8) and (9) yield

$$a_{k,j} = s_{1,j} y_f U_{k-2} \left(x_f \right) + \left(a_{1,j} + \frac{M-1}{2} \right) T_{k-1} \left(x_f \right) - \left(\frac{M-1}{2} \right)$$
(25)

$$s_{k,j} = s_{1,j}T_{k-1}(x_f) + My_f\left(a_{1,j} + \frac{M-1}{2}\right)U_{k-2}(x_f)$$
(26)

(ii) for $M \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, similarly let $(s_{1,j}, (2a_{1,j} + M - 1))$ be the j^{th} fundamental solution of (23) and let (x_f, y_f) be the fundamental solution of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - (M/4)Y^2 = 1$. Then, (8) and (9) yield

$$a_{k,j} = \frac{s_{1,j}y_f U_{k-2}(x_f) + (2a_{1,j} + M - 1)T_{k-1}(x_f) - (M - 1)}{2} \quad (27)$$

$$s_{k,j} = s_{1,j}T_{k-1}(x_f) + \frac{M}{4}y_f(2a_{1,j} + M - 1)U_{k-2}(x_f)$$
(28)

ora.	nemes of so		11(a + b) = 110		
k	$a_{k,1}$	$s_{k,1}$	$a_{k,2}$	$s_{k,2}$	
1	[-4]	[11]	18	77	
2	38	143	456	1529	
3	854	2849	9192	30503	
4	17132	56837	183474	608531	
5	341876	1133891	3660378	12140117	
6	6820478	22620983	73024176	242193809	
$[a_{1,1}]$: solution rejected as $a_{1,1} \leq 0$					

Table 1: First solutions $(a_{k,j}, s_{k,j})$ for $M = 11, 1 \le j \le 2$ and $1 \le k \le 6$ of the $\sigma = 2$ infinite branches of solutions of $s^2 - 11(a+5)^2 = 110$

 $[a_{1,1}]$: solution rejected as $a_{1,1} \leq 0$

(iii) for $M \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, similarly let $(2s_{1,j}, (2a_{1,j} + M - 1))$ be the j^{th} fundamental solution of (24) and let (x_f, y_f) be the fundamental solution of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - MY^2 = 1$. Then, (8) and (9) yield

$$a_{k,j} = \frac{2s_{1,j}y_f U_{k-2}(x_f) + (2a_{1,j} + M - 1)T_{k-1}(x_f) - (M - 1)}{2}$$
(29)

$$s_{k,j} = s_{1,j}T_{k-1}(x_f) + \frac{M}{2}y_f(2a_{1,j} + M - 1)U_{k-2}(x_f)$$
(30)

Finally, as k is unbound, there is in each case and for each $1 \le j \le \sigma$ an infinity of solutions $(s_{k,j}, a_{k,j})$.

Note that some of the first solutions $a_{1,j}$ may be rejected if the j^{th} fundamental solution of (22) (or (23) or (24)) is such that $(a_{1,j} + (M-1)/2) < (M-1)/2$, yielding a non-positive value of $a_{1,j}$.

In the following examples, the method indicated by Matthews [22] based on an algorithm by Frattini [9, 10, 11] using Nagell's bounds [26, 23] is used to find the fundamental solution(s) of the generalized Pell equation.

A first example for the case $M \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$, let M = 11. Then, (22) reads $s^2 - 11(a+5)^2 = 110$, which has $\sigma = 2$ fundamental solutions, yielding, with $1 \leq j \leq 2$, $(s_{1,j}, (a_{1,j} + 5)) = (11, 1), (77, 23)$ and the fundamental solution of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - 11Y^2 = 1$ is $(x_f, y_f) = (10, 3)$. Replacing in (25) and (26) yield then the solutions given in Table 1. The first solution $(a_{1,1}, s_{1,1})$ is rejected as $a_{1,1} < 0$. The solutions are then ordered as $a_{1,2} < 0$ $a_{2,1} < a_{2,2} < a_{3,1} < \dots$

A second example for the case $M \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, let M = 24. Then, (23) reads $s^{2}-6(2a+23)^{2}=1150$, having $\sigma=6$ fundamental solutions, $(s_{1,j}, (2a_{1,j}+23))$ = (34, 1), (38, 7), (50, 15), (70, 25), (106, 41), (158, 63) and the fundamental solution of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - 6Y^2 = 1$ is $(x_f, y_f) = (5, 2)$. Replacing in (27) and (28) yield then the solutions given in Table 2. The first three solutions $(a_{1,j}, s_{1,j})$ for $1 \le j \le 3$ are rejected as $a_{1,j} < 0$. The solutions are then ordered as $a_{1,4} < a_{1,5} < a_{1,6} < a_{2,1} < a_{2,3} < a_{2,4} < \dots$ Note that the first solution of the fourth branch $(a_{1,4} = 1, s_{1,4} = 70)$ gives the second solution of Lucas' cannonball problem.

-0 minute branches of solutions of s $-0(2a+23) = 1130$								
	k	$a_{k,1}$	$s_{k,1}$	$a_{k,2}$	$s_{k,2}$	$a_{k,3}$	$s_{k,3}$	
	1	[-11]	[34]	[-8]	[38]	[-4]	[50]	
	2	25	182	44	274	76	430	
	3	353	1786	540	2702	856	4250	
	4	3597	17678	5448	26746	8576	42070	
	5	35709	174994	4 54032	264758	84996	416450	
	6	353585	5 173226	2 534964	2620834	841476	4122430	
7								
k		$a_{k,4}$	$s_{k,4}$	$a_{k,5}$	$s_{k,5}$	$a_{k,6}$	$s_{k,6}$	
$\frac{k}{1}$		$\frac{a_{k,4}}{1}$	$\frac{s_{k,4}}{70}$	$a_{k,5}$ 9	$\frac{s_{k,5}}{106}$	$\frac{a_{k,6}}{20}$	$\frac{s_{k,6}}{158}$	
		$ \begin{array}{c} a_{k,4}\\ \hline 1\\ 121 \end{array} $,				
1		1	70	9	106	20	158	
$\frac{1}{2}$		1 121	70 650	9 197	106 1022	20 304	$\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ 1546 \end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\end{array}$	1	1 121 1301	70 650 6430	9 197 2053	106 1022 10114	20 304 3112	$ 158 \\ 1546 \\ 15302 $	
$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\end{array}$	1	1 121 1301 2981	$ \begin{array}{r} 70 \\ 650 \\ 6430 \\ 63650 \\ \end{array} $	9 197 2053 20425	106 1022 10114 100118	20 304 3112 30908	158 1546 15302 151474	

Table 2: First solutions $(a_{k,j}, s_{k,j})$ for $M = 24, 1 \le j \le 6$ and $1 \le k \le 6$ of the $\sigma = 6$ infinite branches of solutions of $s^2 - 6(2a + 23)^2 = 1150$

 $[a_{1,j}]$: solutions rejected as $a_{1,j} \leq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$

A third example for the case $M \equiv 2 \pmod{24}$, let M = 2. Then, (24) reads $(2s)^2 - 2(2a+1)^2 = 2$, having $\sigma = 1$ fundamental solution $(2s_{1,j}, (2a_{1,j}+1)) = (2,1)$ and the fundamental solution of the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - 2Y^2 = 1$ is $(x_f, y_f) = (3, 2)$. Replacing in (29) and (30) yield then the solutions given in Table 3, where the first solution is again to be rejected (it corresponds to the identity relation $0^2 + 1^2 = 1^2$) and the second solution is the Pythagorean relation $3^2 + 4^2 = 5^2$.

Still for the case $M = 2 \pmod{24}$, let M = 842 which does not yield solutions to (19). Indeed, although the related simple Pell equation $X^2 - 842Y^2 = 1$ has the fundamental solution $(x_f, y_f) = (1683, 58)$, the generalized Pell equation from $(24) (2s)^2 - 842(2a + 841)^2 = 198982282$ has no fundamental solution

Table 3: First solutions $(a_{k,1}, s_{k,1})$ for M = 2 and $1 \le k \le 6$ of the single infinite branch of solutions of $(2s)^2 - 2(2a+1)^2 = 2$

)	
k	$a_{k,1}$	$s_{k,1}$
1	[0]	[1]
2	3	5
3	20	29
4	119	169
5	696	985
6	4059	5741

 $[a_{1,1}]$: solution rejected as $a_{1,1} \leq 0$

 $(\sigma = 0)$. This case was already signaled by Beeckmans [3]: the value of $M = 842 = 24 \times 35 + 2$, although complying with Beeckmans' conditions does not yield solutions to (19) (see also [31]).

3.2 *M* is a squared integer

It was demonstrated [30] that, if M is a square integer, then for the sums of M consecutive squared integers to equal integer squares, $M \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and $\exists g_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $M = 24g_n + 1$ where $g_n = n (3n-1)/2$ are all generalized pentagonal numbers $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ [8, 39], yielding $M = (6n-1)^2$, i.e. $g_n = 0, 1, 2, 5, 7, 12, 15, 22, 26, 35, 40, 51, 57, ... [34]$, yielding

 $M = 1, 25, 49, 121, 169, 289, 361, 529, 625, 841, 961, 1225, 1369, \dots$ of which the first two M = 1, 25, should be rejected as M > 1 and a > 0 (see further).

For M an integer square, the above method with solutions of the Pell equation can clearly not be followed as Pell equations are not defined for D = M being a squared integer. Instead, another method (see e.g. [4] p. 486, and [24]) is used in the following theorem showing how to find the finite number of solutions for M being a squared integer.

Theorem 3. For $M > 1, \varphi, k, a_k, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all allowed squared integer values of $M = (6n - 1)^2$, there is a finite number φ of values of a_k such that the sums of squares of M consecutive integers starting from a_k are equal to squared positive integers s_k^2 , that can be written as

$$s_k = (6n-1)\left(\frac{v_k + u_k}{2}\right) \tag{31}$$

$$a_k = \frac{v_k - u_k}{2} - 6n(3n - 1) \tag{32}$$

where u_k and v_k are the factor and co-factor of [2n(3n-1)(6n(3n-1)+1)], with $u_k < v_k$, $u_k \equiv v_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $1 \le k \le \varphi$.

Proof. For $M > 1, \varphi, k, a, s, a_k, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, from (19), s must be such as $s \equiv 0 \pmod{(6n-1)}$. Replacing in (22) yields then

$$\left(\frac{s}{6n-1}\right)^2 - \left(a+6n\left(3n-1\right)\right)^2 = 2n\left(3n-1\right)\left(6n\left(3n-1\right)+1\right)$$
(33)

i.e. the difference of two integer squares must be an even integer.

One has then to determine all the integer values of X_k and Y_k solutions of the equation $X^2 - Y^2 = N$, with X = s/(6n-1), Y = (a+6n(3n-1)) and N = 2n(3n-1)(6n(3n-1)+1). For this, let $N = u_k v_k$ and only both even factor and co-factor u_k and v_k are considered as $N \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ [24]. As N is finite, there is a finite number φ of ways of decomposing N in product of two even factors. Then, with $u_k < v_k$ and $1 \le k \le \varphi$, $X_k = (v_k + u_k)/2$ and $Y_k = (v_k - u_k)/2$, yielding $s_k = (6n-1)(v_k + u_k)/2$ and $a_k = ((v_k - u_k)/2) - 6n(3n-1)$.

k	$u_k \times v_k$	X_k	Y_k	s_k	a_k
1	60×116	88	28	[1496]	[-116]
2	58×120	89	31	[1513]	[-113]
3	40×174	107	67	[1819]	[-77]
4	30×232	131	101	[2227]	[-43]
5	24×290	157	133	[2669]	[-11]
6	20×348	184	164	3128	20
7	12×580	296	284	5032	140
8	10×696	353	343	6001	199
9	8×870	439	431	7463	287
10	6×1160	583	577	9911	433
11	4×1740	872	868	14824	724
12	2×3480	1741	1739	29597	1595

Table 4: All $\varphi = 12$ solutions for M = 289 with $N = u_k v_k = 6960$

 $[a_k]$: solutions to be rejected as $a_k < 0$

Note that here also some of the first solutions a_k may be rejected if half the difference of the factor and co factor of N is such that $((v_k - u_k)/2) < 6n(3n - 1)$, yielding a non-positive value of a_k .

As a first example, let M = 25 with n = 1. Then X = s/5, Y = a + 12 and there is only one way to decompose N = 52 in the product of two even integer factors, $N = 52 = 2 \times 26 = u_1v_1$, yielding then $\varphi = 1$ and there is only one solution, given by $X_1 = 14$ and $Y_1 = 12$, or $s_1 = 70$ and $a_1 = 0$. This case for M = 25 must be rejected as it has no solution with a > 0. Note however that this solution with s = 70 and a = 0 for the case M = 25 is obviously equivalent to the solution with s = 70 and a = 1 for the case M = 24 of Lucas' cannonball problem.

A second example, let M = 289 with n = 3. Then X = s/17, Y = a + 144 and N = 6960. As there are twelve ways to decompose N = 6960 in products of two even integer factors, there are $\varphi = 12$ solutions in X and Y given in Table 4, five of which have to be rejected as the corresponding values of a_k are negative.

4 Conclusion

The problem of finding all the integer solutions of the sum of M consecutive integer squares starting at $a^2 \ge 1$ being equal to a squared integer s^2 can be written as a Diophantine quadratic equation $M\left[\left(a + (M-1)/2\right)^2 + (M^2-1)/12\right] = s^2$ in variables a and s. Based on previous results, it is known that integer solutions exist only if $M \equiv 0, 9, 24$ or $33 \pmod{72}$; or $M \equiv 1, 2$ or $16 \pmod{24}$; or $M \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$.

If M is different from a square integer, the Diophantine quadratic equation is solved generally by transforming it into a generalized Pell equation whose form depends on the (mod 4) congruent value of M, and whose solutions, if existing, yield all the solutions in a and s for a given value of M. Depending on whether this generalized Pell equation admits one or several fundamental solution(s), there are one or several infinite branches of solutions in a and s that can be written simply in function of Chebyshev polynomials evaluated at the fundamental solutions of the related simple Pell equation.

If M is a square integer, for $M \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ and $M = (6n-1)^2$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the Diophantine quadratic equation in variables a and s reduces to a simple difference of integer squares which admits a finite number of solutions, yielding a finite number solutions in a and s to the initial problem.

5 Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges Dr C. Thiel for the help brought throughout this paper.

References

- U. Alfred, Consecutive integers whose sum of squares is a perfect square, Mathematics Magazine, 19-32, 1964.
- [2] W.S. Anglin, The Square Pyramid Puzzle, American Mathematical Monthly, 97, 120-124, 1990.
- [3] L. Beeckmans, Squares Expressible as Sum of Consecutive Squares, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 101, No. 5, 437-442, May 1994.
- [4] G. Chrystal, Algebra An Elementary Text-Book, Part II, 1st ed. Adam and Charles Black, 1900; 2nd ed., New York, Chelsea, 478-488, 1961.
- [5] J. Demeyer, Diophantine Sets over Polynomial Rings and Hilbert's Tenth Problem for Function Fields, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Gent, 75-82, 2007.
- [6] J. Denef, The Diophantine problem for polynomial rings of positive characteristic, Logic Colloquium 78, North-Holland, 131–145, 1979.
- [7] L.E. Dickson, Pell Equation: ax²+bx+c Made Square, Ch. 12 in History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. 2: Diophantine Analysis, Dover, New York, 341-400, 2005.
- [8] L. Euler, De mirabilis proprietatibus numerorum pentagonalium, Acta Academiae Scientarum Imperialis Petropolitinae 4, no. 1, 56–75, 1783; reprinted in "Leonhard Euler, Opera Omnia", Series 1: Opera mathematica, Volume 3, Birkhäuser, 1992 (see http://www.eulerarchive.org). See also translation in http://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0505373v1.pdf, last accessed 10 August 2014.
- [9] G. Frattini, Dell'analisi indeterminata di secondo grado, Periodico di Mat. VI, 169–180, 1891.

- [10] G. Frattini, A complemento di alcuni teore mi del sig. Tchebicheff, Rom. Acc. L. Rend. 5, I No. 2, 85-91, 1892.
- [11] G. Frattini, Dell'analisi indeterminata di secondo grado, Periodico di Mat. VII, 172–177, 1892.
- [12] A. Gil, J. Segura, N. Temme, Numerical Methods for Special Functions, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 51-86, 2007.
- [13] J.L. Lagrange, Solution d'un Problème d'Arithmétique, inOeuvres de Lagrange, J.-A. Serret (ed.), Vol. 1, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 671 - 731, 1867 (see http://gdz.sub.unigoettingen.de/en/dms/loader/img/?PPN=PPN308899644&DMDID= DMDLOG 0024&LOGID=LOG 0024&PHYSID=PHYS 0726, last accessed 2 November 2013).
- [14] F. Lemmermeyer, Pell equation bibliography, 1658-1943, http://www.fen.bilkent.edu.tr/~franz/publ/pell.html, last accessed 2 November 2013.
- [15] H. W. Lenstra Jr., Solving the Pell Equation, Notices of The AMS, Vol. 49, Nr 2, 2002, pp. 182-192.
- [16] W. Ljunggren, New solution of a problem proposed by E. Lucas, Norsk Mat. Tid. 34, 65-72, 1952.
- [17] E. Lucas, Recherches sur l'Analyse Indeterminée, Moulins, p. 90, 1873.
- [18] E. Lucas, Question 1180, Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques, Série 2, 14, 336, 1875.
- [19] E. Lucas, Solution de la Question 1180, Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques, Série 2, 15, 429-432, 1877.
- [20] D.G. Ma, An Elementary Proof of the Solutions to the Diophantine Equation $6y^2 = x(x+1)(2x+1)$, Sichuan Daxue Xuebao, No. 4, 107-116, 1985.
- [21] K.R. Matthews, The Diophantine Equation $x^2 Dy^2 = N$, D > 0, in integers, Expositiones Mathematicae, 18, 323-331, 2000.
- [22] K. Matthews, Quadratic Diophantine equations BCMATH programs, http://www.numbertheory.org/php/main_pell.html, last accessed 25 May 2014.
- [23] K. Matthews, J. Robertson, On the converse of a theorem of Nagell and Tchebicheff, Preprint submitted to Expositiones Mathematicae, 6 April 2014; see http://www.numbertheory.org/pdfs/nagell2.pdf, last accessed 25 May 2014.
- [24] R.A. Mollin, Fundamental Number Theory with Applications, CRC Press, New York, 294-307, 1998.

- [25] M. Moret-Blanc, Question 1180, Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques, Série 2, 15, 46-48, 1876.
- [26] T. Nagell, Introduction to Number Theory, Wiley, New York, 195-212, 1951.
- [27] J.J. O'Connor and E.F. Robertson, Pell's equation, JOC/EFR February 2002 http://www-history.mcs.st-andrews.ac.uk/HistTopics/Pell.html, last accessed 10 August 2014.
- [28] S. Philipp, Note on consecutive integers whose sum of squares is a perfect square, Mathematics Magazine, 218-220, 1964.
- [29] V. Pletser, On continued fraction development of quadratic irrationals having all periodic terms but last equal and associated general solutions of the Pell equation, Journal of Number Theory, 136, 339–353, 2013.
- [30] V. Pletser, Congruence conditions on the number of terms in sums of consecutive squared integers equal to squared integers, submitted, August 2014.
- [31] V. Pletser, Additional congruence conditions on the number of terms in sums of consecutive squared integers equal to squared integers, ArXiv, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1409.6261v1.pdf, 20 August 2014.
- [32] J.P. Robertson, Solving the generalized Pell equation $X^2 DY^2 = N$, 2004. http://www.jpr2718.org/pell.pdf, last accessed 2 November 2013.
- [33] N.J.A. Sloane, Numbers n such that sum of squares of n consecutive integers >= 1 is a square, Sequence A001032 in The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at http://oeis.org, last accessed 17 May 2014.
- [34] N. J. A. Sloane, Generalized pentagonal numbers: n*(3*n-1)/2, n=0, +-1, +- 2, +- 3,...., Sequence A001318 in The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at http://oeis.org, last accessed 10 August 2014.
- [35] J. Spanier and K.B. Oldham, An Atlas of Functions, Springer-Verlag, 193-207, 1987.
- [36] G. N. Watson, The Problem of the Square Pyramid, Messenger of Mathematics, 48, 1-22, 1918.
- [37] A. Weil, Number Theory, an Approach through History, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1984.
- [38] E.W. Weisstein, Pell Equation, from MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource. http://mathworld.wolfram.com/PellEquation.html, last accessed 10 August 2014.

[39] E.W. Weisstein, Pentagonal Number, From MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource. http://mathworld.wolfram.com/PentagonalNumber.html, last accessed 10 August 2014.