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#### Abstract

All integer solutions $(M, a, c)$ to the problem of the sums of $M$ consecutive cubed integers $(a+i)^{3}(a>1,0 \leq i \leq M-1)$ equaling squared integers $c^{2}$ are found by decomposing the product of the difference and sum of the triangular numbers of $(a+M-1)$ and $(a-1)$ in the product of their greatest common divisor $g$ and remaining square factors $\delta^{2}$ and $\sigma^{2}$, yielding $c=g \delta \sigma$. Further, the condition that $g$ must be integer for several particular and general cases yield generalized Pell equations whose solutions allow to find all integer solutions $(M, a, c)$ showing that these solutions appear recurrently. In particular, it is found that there always exist at least one solution for the cases of all odd values of $M$, of all odd integer square values of $a$, and of all even values of $M$ equal to twice an integer square. Keywords: Sums of consecutive cubed integers equal to square integers ; Quadratic Diophantine equation ; Generalized Pell equation ; Fundamental solutions ; Chebyshev polynomials
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## 1. Introduction

It is known since long that the sum of $M$ consecutive cubed positive integers starting from 1 equals the square of the sum of the $M$ consecutive integers, which itself equals the triangular number $\triangle_{M}$ of the number of terms $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{M} i^{3}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{M} i\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{M(M+1)}{2}\right)^{2}=\triangle_{M}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\forall M \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. The question whether this remarkable result can be extended to other integer values of the starting point, i.e. whether the sum of consecutive cubed positive integers starting from $a \neq 1$ is also a perfect square

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(a+i)^{3}=c^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been addressed by several authors but has received so far only partial answers. With the notation of this paper, Lucas stated [15] that the only solutions for $M=5$ are $a=0,1,96$ and 118 (missing the solution $a=25$, see further Table 1), and that there are no other solutions for $M=2$ than $a=1$. Aubry showed [1] that a solution for $M=3$ is $a=23, c=204$, correcting Lucas' statement that there are no other solution for $M=3$ than $a=1$. Other historical accounts can be found in [7]. Cassels proved [3] by using the method of finding all integral points on a given curve of genus $1 y^{2}=3 x\left(x^{2}+2\right)$ (with $x=a+1, y=c$ in this paper notations), that the only solutions for $M=3$ are $a=-1,0,1$ and 23 . Stroeker obtained [37] complete solutions for $2 \leq M \leq 50$ and $M=98$, using estimates of
lower bound of linear forms in elliptic logarithms to solve elliptic curve equations of the form $Y^{2}=X^{3}+d X$ where $d=n^{2}\left(n^{2}-1\right) / 4, X=n x+n(n-1) / 2$, $Y=n y$ (with $n=M, x=a, y=c$ in this paper notations). The method reported, although powerful, appears long and difficult and caused some problems for the cases $M=41$ and 44. Stroeker remarked also that $M=a=33$ with $c=2079=33 \times 63$. This is not the single occurrence of $M=a$, as it occurs also for $M=a=2017,124993,7747521, \ldots$ (see [24, 25]).
One of the reasons that these previous attempts to find all solutions $(M, a, c)$ to (1.2) were only partially successful was most likely due to the approach taken to start the search for solutions for single values of $M$, one by one and in an increasing order of $M$ values. The method proposed in this paper is to tackle the problem in a different way and instead of looking at each individual values of $M$ one by one, to consider the problem in a more global approach by comparing different sets of known solutions, and instead of listing solutions in increasing order of $M$ values, to look at two other parameters, $\delta$ and $\sigma$, defined further. This new beginning then leads to a more classical approach using general solutions of Pell equations, that allows to find all solutions in $(M, a, c)$ of (1.2) for all possible cases. Note that Pell equations were already used previously by various authors (e.g. Catalan [4, 5], Cantor [2], Richaud [34]) in the 19th century in attempts to solve the present problem, albeit without reaching a complete resolution of the problem.
The approach proposed in this paper includes three steps.
Step 1 in Section 2 is based on the decomposition of the product of the difference $\Delta$ and the sum $\Sigma$ of the two triangular numbers of $(a+M-1)$ and $(a-1)$ in simple factors, $g, \delta, \sigma$ where $g=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, \Sigma)$, allowing to find general expressions of $a$ and $c$ in function of $M, \delta$ and $\sigma$ that are always solutions of (1.2).
In step 2 in Section 3, some conditions on $\delta$ and $\sigma$ are explored to obtain three particular cases of solutions yielding specific expressions of $M$ in function of $k$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, including the case of $M$ taking all odd positive integer values. Section 4 recalls some basics on Pell equation solutions to introduce the third step.
In step 3 in Section 5, a general solution in $(M, a, c)$ is found for all values of $\delta$ and $\sigma$, based on solutions of simple and generalized Pell equations involving Chebyshev polynomials, allowing to find all the solutions in $(M, a, c)$ to (1.2). As an alternative to step 3, recurrence relations are deduced in Section 6. Section 7 summarizes all findings.
Trivial solutions are not considered here. For instance, $M \leq 0$ is meaningless; for $M=1$, the only solution is obviously $a=\alpha^{2}$, yielding $(M, a, c)=\left(1, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}\right)$; therefore, we consider only $M>1$. If $a<0$, there are no solutions if $M<(1-2 a)$; if $M=(1-2 a)$, the only solution is $(M, a, c)=((1-2 a), a, 0)$; if $M>1-2 a$, the solutions are $(M, a, c)=\left(M,(1-a),\left(\triangle_{M+a-1}^{2}-\triangle_{(-a)}^{2}\right)\right)$, i.e. a shift of the first term from a negative value $a$ to a positive value $(1-a)$ with a reduction of the number of terms $(M+2 a-1)$. If $a=0$ or 1 , the classical solutions (1.1) are $(M, a, c)=\left(M, 0, \triangle_{M-1}^{2}\right)$ or $\left(M, 1, \triangle_{M}^{2}\right)$. Therefore, we limit our search to solutions for $M>1$ and $a>1$.
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## 2. Step 1: A General theorem

Theorem 1. For $\forall \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \exists \sigma, a, M, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \kappa \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, such as $\delta<\sigma, \operatorname{gcd}(\delta, \sigma)=1$, $\kappa=(\sigma / \delta)>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(a+i)^{3}=c^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds if

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =\frac{M\left(\kappa^{2}-1\right)+1+\sqrt{M^{2}\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right)+1}}{2}  \tag{2.2}\\
c & =\frac{\kappa M}{2}\left(\kappa^{2} M+\sqrt{M^{2}\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right)+1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For $a, M, c, \Delta, \Sigma, g, \Delta^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}, \delta, \sigma, C \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, i, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}, \kappa \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, with $M>1$, $0 \leq i \leq M-1, \kappa=(\sigma / \delta)>1$, the sum of cubes of $M$ consecutive integers $(a+i)$ for $i=0$ to $M-1$ can be written successively as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{M-1}(a+i)^{3} & =\sum_{i=0}^{a+M-1} i^{3}-\sum_{i=0}^{a-1} i^{3}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\triangle_{a+M-1}^{2}-\triangle_{a-1}^{2}  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\left(\triangle_{a+M-1}-\triangle_{a-1}\right)\left(\triangle_{a+M-1}+\triangle_{a-1}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& =(\Delta)(\Sigma) \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta=\triangle_{a+M-1}-\triangle_{a-1}$ and $\Sigma=\triangle_{a+M-1}+\triangle_{a-1}$, i.e. the difference and the sum of the triangular numbers of $(a+M-1)$ and $(a-1)$, with obviously $\Delta<\Sigma$, that can also be written

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta & =M\left(a+\frac{M-1}{2}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
\Sigma & =a^{2}+a(M-1)+\frac{M(M-1)}{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $g=\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, \Sigma)$, yielding $\Delta=g \Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma=g \Sigma^{\prime}$. For (2.1) to hold, $c^{2}=g^{2} \Delta^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime}$ and as $\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are coprimes and their product must be square, both must be integer squares, i.e. $\Delta^{\prime}=\delta^{2}$ and $\Sigma^{\prime}=\sigma^{2}$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(\delta, \sigma)=1$ and $\delta<\sigma$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=g \delta \sigma \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), one has then respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
2 a+M-1 & =\frac{2 g \delta^{2}}{M}  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\sqrt{4 g \sigma^{2}-\left(M^{2}-1\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $+\operatorname{sign}$ is taken in front of the square root in (2.12) as $2 a+M>1$. Solving for $g$ yields then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{M}{2 \delta^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2} M+\sqrt{M^{2}\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right)+1}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing in (2.11) or (2.12) yields then directly (2.2) and in (2.10) yields directly (2.3).

## 3. Step 2: Three Particular Solutions and a Generalization

For which values of $M$ does (2.1) hold ? Answers can be found for at least three particular cases. Other general approaches are developed further.
Table 1 gives for $1<M \leq 45$ and $1<a<10^{5}$, the first values of $M, a$ and associated $\Delta, \Sigma, g, \delta, \sigma$ and $\bar{c}$ values. For $M$ and $a<10^{5}$, there are 892 solutions $(M, a, c)$, given in [26, ?], such that (4.1) holds. One observes very easily that:
(i) all odd values of $M$ have at least one entry (in bold in Table 1) with $g=$ $2 \triangle_{(M-1) / 2}=\left(M^{2}-1\right) / 4, \delta=2 M$ and $\sigma=\left(2 M^{2}-1\right)$, yielding $c=M\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M^{2}-1\right) / 2$ with $a=M^{3}-(3 M-1) / 2$;
(ii) those odd values of $a$ equal to odd integer squares have at least one entry (e.g. for $M=17$ in Table 1) with $g=(a-1) / 8, \delta=(2 a-1)$ and $\sigma=(2 a+1)$, yielding $c=(a-1)\left(4 a^{2}-1\right) / 8$ with $M=(\sqrt{a}-1)(2 a-1) / 2$
(iii) those even values of $M$ equal to twice an integer square have at least one entry (in italics in Table 1) with $g=M\left(M^{2}-1\right) / 2, \delta=1, \sigma=\sqrt{M / 2}$, yielding $c=M\left(M^{2}-1\right) \sqrt{M / 2} / 2$ with $a=\triangle_{M-1}$.
These three cases can be generalized respectively to all odd values of $M$, to all odd integer square values of $a=(2 k+1)^{2}$, and to all even values of $M$ equal to twice integer squares in the following

Theorem 2. $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \exists \delta, \sigma, M, a, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$such that (2.1) holds :
(i) if $\sigma=\left(\delta^{2}-2\right) / 2$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
M & =(2 k+1)  \tag{3.1}\\
a & =(2 k+1)^{3}-(3 k+1)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =M^{3}-\frac{(3 M-1)}{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
c & =2 k(k+1)(2 k+1)(8 k(k+1)+1)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\frac{M\left(M^{2}-1\right)\left(2 M^{2}-1\right)}{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) if $\sigma=\delta+2$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =(2 k+1)^{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
M & =k(8 k(k+1)+1)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\frac{(\sqrt{a}-1)(2 a-1)}{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
c & =\frac{k(k+1)}{2}\left(4(2 k+1)^{4}-1\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\frac{(a-1)\left(4 a^{2}-1\right)}{8} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 1. First values of $M, a, \Delta, \Sigma, g, \delta, \sigma$ and $c$ for $1<M \leq 45$ and $1<a<10^{5}$

| $M$ | $a$ | $\Delta$ | $\Sigma$ | $g$ | $\delta$ | $\sigma$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ |
| 5 | 25 | 135 | 735 | 15 | 3 | 7 | 315 |
| 5 | 96 | 490 | 9610 | 10 | 7 | 31 | 2170 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 4 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 9 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 9 6}$ |
| 8 | 28 | 252 | 1008 | 252 | 1 | 2 | 504 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{7 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 8 4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 9 6 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 4 2 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 0 6 0}$ |
| 12 | 14 | 234 | 416 | 26 | 3 | 4 | 312 |
| 13 | 144 | 1950 | 22542 | 78 | 5 | 17 | 6630 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 3 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 6 9 8 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 8 0 0 4}$ |
| 15 | 25 | 480 | 1080 | 120 | 2 | 3 | 720 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 8 9 6 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 4 3 2 0}$ |
| 15 | 57960 | 869505 | 3360173145 | 105 | 91 | 5657 | 54052635 |
| 17 | 9 | 289 | 361 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 323 |
| 17 | 120 | 2176 | 16456 | 136 | 4 | 11 | 5984 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9 7 0 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 2 4 9 6}$ |
| 18 | 153 | 2907 | 26163 | 2907 | 1 | 3 | 8721 |
| 18 | 680 | 12393 | 474113 | 17 | 27 | 167 | 76653 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 7 8 5 6 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 6 5 8 2 0}$ |
| 21 | 14 | 504 | 686 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 588 |
| 21 | 144 | 3234 | 23826 | 66 | 7 | 19 | 8778 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 3 7 7 7 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 7 0 2 2 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 9 3 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 4 7 6 8 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 1 8 1 0 4}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 3 3 6 0 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 4 2 2 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 0 7 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 6 3 5 8 5 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 1 9 3 9 6}$ |
| 28 | 81 | 2646 | 9126 | 54 | 7 | 13 | 4914 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 3 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 6 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 3 4 0 9 8 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 7 4 5 8 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 2 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 5 6 5 7 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 5 8 4 4 8 0}$ |
| 32 | 69 | 2704 | 7396 | 4 | 26 | 43 | 4472 |
| 32 | 133 | 4752 | 22308 | 132 | 6 | 13 | 10296 |
| 32 | 496 | 16368 | 261888 | 16368 | 1 | 4 | 65472 |
| 33 | 33 | 1617 | 2673 | 33 | 7 | 9 | 2079 |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 4 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 9 0 9 7 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0 8 1 5 0 4}$ |
| 35 | 225 | 8470 | 58870 | 70 | 11 | 29 | 22330 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 9 9 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 5 2 6 5 9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 4 5 7 5 8 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 5 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 2 7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6 1 9 7 9 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 2 6 7 9 9 6}$ |
| 39 | 111 | 5070 | 17280 | 30 | 13 | 24 | 9360 |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 2 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 1 1 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 1 4 1 1 8 7 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 1 3 5 2 4 0}$ |
| 40 | 3276 | 131820 | 10860720 | 780 | 13 | 118 | 1196520 |
| $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 8 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 2 4 0 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 4 4 4 5 4 8 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 7 5 2 8 4 0}$ |
| 42 | 64 | 3549 | 7581 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 5187 |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 4 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1 6 9 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 1 4 5 2 7 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 8 8 9 2 0 4}$ |
| 45 | 176 | 8910 | 39710 | 110 | 9 | 19 | 18810 |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 9 8 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 9 5 5 6 9 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 3 9 1 4 6 0}$ |

(iii) if $\delta=1$ and $\sigma=k$ with $k>1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
M & =2 k^{2}  \tag{3.11}\\
a & =k^{2}\left(2 k^{2}-1\right)  \tag{3.12}\\
& =\frac{M(M-1)}{2}  \tag{3.13}\\
c & =k^{3}\left(4 k^{4}-1\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& =\frac{M\left(M^{2}-1\right)}{2} \sqrt{\frac{M}{2}} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For $k, \delta, \sigma, g, a, M>1, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, q \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, for (2.1) to hold:
(i) let $\sigma=\left(\delta^{2}-2\right) / 2$. Replacing in (2.13) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{M^{2}}{8 \delta^{4}}\left(\delta^{4}-4 \delta^{2}+4+\sqrt{\delta^{8}-8 \delta^{6}+8 \delta^{4}+16 \delta^{2}\left(\frac{\delta^{2}}{M^{2}}-2\right)+16}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the polynomial in $\delta$ under the square root sign to be a square, let $(\delta / M)^{2}-2=$ 2, i.e. $\delta=2 M$, yielding $\delta^{8}-8 \delta^{6}+8 \delta^{4}+32 \delta^{2}+16=\left(\delta^{4}-4 \delta^{2}-4\right)^{2}$, giving $g=M^{2}\left(\delta^{2}-4\right) / 4 \delta^{2}=\left(M^{2}-1\right) / 4$ where $\delta$ was replaced by $2 M$. As $g \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, M$ cannot be even and must be odd, i.e. $M=2 k+1 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, yielding $g=k(k+1)$, $\delta=2 M=2(2 k+1), \sigma=2 M^{2}-1=8 k(k+1)+1$. Replacing in (2.2) and (2.3) yields directly (3.2) to (3.5).
(ii) Let $\sigma=\delta+2$. Replacing in (2.13) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{M}{2 \delta^{4}}\left(M(\delta+2)^{2}+\sqrt{M^{2}\left((\delta+2)^{4}-\delta^{4}\right)+\delta^{4}}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the polynomial in $\delta$ under the square root sign to be a square, let $M=q \delta$ and $\delta=8 q(q+1)+1$ with $q \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$. It yields then $\sqrt{q^{2}\left((\delta+2)^{4}-\delta^{4}\right)+\delta^{2}}=$ $\delta^{2}-4 q(\delta+1)$, giving $g=q(q+1) / 2$. As $g \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and let $q=k$, yielding $g=\triangle_{k}, \delta=16 \triangle_{k}+1, \sigma=16 \triangle_{k}+3, M=k \delta=k\left(16 \triangle_{k}+1\right)=(3.7)$. Replacing in (2.11) and (2.10) yields (3.6) and (3.9). Replacing $k$ in function of $a$ from (3.6) yields also (3.8) and (3.10).
(iii) Let $\delta=1$ and $\sigma=k$ with $k>1$. Then (2.13) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{M\left(k^{2} M+\sqrt{M^{2}\left(k^{4}-1\right)+1}\right)}{2} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which takes integer values if $M=2 k^{2}$, yielding $g=k^{2}\left(4 k^{4}-1\right)=M\left(M^{2}-1\right) / 2$. Replacing in (2.11) and (2.10) yields directly (3.12) to (3.15).

The case (i) of Theorem 2 confirms the statement of Stroeker ([37], p. 297) about all odd values of $M$ (in bold in Table 1) having a solution to (2.1). The first 50000 values of ( $M, a, c$ ) for this case (i) are given in [28, 29]
For the case (ii) of Theorem 2, Table 2 gives the first five values of $M, a, g, \delta, \sigma$ and c. The first 50000 values of $(M, a, c)$ for this case (ii) are given in $[30,31]$.

As there exist other triplets of values of ( $M, a, c$ ) such that (2.1) holds with $M=k \delta$ for the same value of $g=\Delta_{k}$, the case (ii) of Theorem 2 can be generalized to other values of $a$ as follows. Table 3 shows some of these values for $1 \leq k \leq 2$ and $1<M_{n}, a_{n}<10^{5}$ and indexed by $n$ for increasing values of $M_{n}$.
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TABLE 2. Values of $M, a, g, \delta, \sigma, c$ for $1 \leq k \leq 5$ for case (ii) of Theorem 2

| $k$ | $M$ | $a$ | $g$ | $\delta$ | $\sigma$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 323 |
| 2 | 98 | 25 | 3 | 49 | 51 | 7497 |
| 3 | 291 | 49 | 6 | 97 | 99 | 57618 |
| 4 | 644 | 81 | 10 | 161 | 163 | 262430 |
| 5 | 1205 | 121 | 15 | 241 | 241 | 878445 |

TABLE 3. Values of $M_{n}, a_{n}, g, \delta_{n}, \sigma_{n}, c_{n}$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2$ and $1<$ $M_{n}, a_{n}<10^{5}$

| $k$ | $n$ | $M_{n}$ | $a_{n}$ | $g$ | $\delta_{n}$ | $\sigma_{n}$ | $c_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 323 |
| 1 | 2 | 305 | 153 | 1 | 305 | 341 | 104005 |
| 1 | 3 | 5473 | 2737 | 1 | 5473 | 6119 | 33489287 |
| 1 | 4 | 98209 | 49105 | 1 | 98209 | 109801 | 10783446409 |
| 2 | 1 | 98 | 25 | 3 | 49 | 51 | 7497 |
| 2 | 2 | 4898 | 1225 | 3 | 2449 | 2549 | 18727503 |

It is easily seen from Table 3 that, for each value of $k$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{n}-\delta_{n} & =\sigma_{n-1}+\delta_{n-1}  \tag{3.19}\\
\sigma_{n}+\delta_{n} & =\left(2(2 k+1)^{2}-1\right) \sigma_{n-1}+\left(2(2 k+1)^{2}+1\right) \delta_{n-1} \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\sigma_{0}=\delta_{0}=1$, yielding the recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{n} & =2(2 k+1)^{2} \delta_{n-1}-\delta_{n-2}  \tag{3.21}\\
\sigma_{n} & =2(2 k+1)^{2} \sigma_{n-1}-\sigma_{n-2} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. $\delta_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ fulfill the Diophantine equation $(2 k(k+1)+1) \delta_{n}^{2}-2 k(k+1) \sigma_{n}^{2}=$ 1. The general solutions of this Diophantine equation can be expressed in function of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_{n}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{n} & =U_{n}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)-U_{n-1}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)  \tag{3.23}\\
\sigma_{n} & =U_{n}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)+U_{n-1}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

These results are generalized for all $k$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$in the next

Theorem 3. $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \exists \delta_{n}, \sigma_{n}, M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, M_{n}>1$, such that (2.1) holds if $\sigma_{n}=\sqrt{\delta_{n}^{2}+\left(\delta_{n}^{2}-1\right) / 2 k(k+1)}$, with

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n} & =k \delta_{n}  \tag{3.25}\\
& =k\left[U_{n}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)-U_{n-1}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{3.26}\\
a_{n} & =\frac{\delta_{n}+1}{2}  \tag{3.27}\\
& =\frac{U_{n}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)-U_{n-1}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)+1}{2}  \tag{3.28}\\
c_{n} & =\delta_{n} \sqrt{\frac{k(k+1)\left((2 k(k+1)+1) \delta_{n}^{2}-1\right)}{8}}  \tag{3.29}\\
& =\frac{k(k+1) U_{2 n}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)}{2} \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For $k, n, \delta_{n}, \sigma_{n}, g, M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, M_{n}>1$, let
$\sigma_{n}=\sqrt{\delta_{n}^{2}+\left(\delta_{n}^{2}-1\right) / 2 k(k+1)}$. Replacing in (2.13) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
g= & \frac{M_{n}}{2 \delta_{n}^{4}}\left(\left(\frac{(2 k(k+1)+1) \delta_{n}^{2}-1}{2 k(k+1)}\right) M_{n}+\right. \\
& \left.\sqrt{M_{n}^{2}\left(\left(\frac{(2 k(k+1)+1) \delta_{n}^{2}-1}{2 k(k+1)}\right)^{2}-\delta_{n}^{4}\right)+\delta_{n}^{4}}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

For the polynomial in $\delta_{n}$ under the square root sign to be a square, let $M_{n}=k \delta_{n}$, yielding after simplification $g=k(k+1) / 2=\triangle_{k}$. Replacing further in (2.2) and (2.10) yields (3.27) and (3.29). Replacing further $\delta_{n}$ by (3.23) yields (3.26) and (3.28). Replacing $\delta_{n}$ and $\sigma_{n}$ in (2.10) yields (3.30), noting that $\delta_{n} \sigma_{n}=$ $U_{n}^{2}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)-U_{n-1}^{2}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)=U_{2 n}\left((2 k+1)^{2}\right)$ as can be shown by replacing $U_{n}^{2}(x)$ and $U_{n-1}^{2}(x)$ in function of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, respectively $T_{2 n+2}(x)$ and $T_{2 n}(x)$ (see e.g. [36]) and simplifying appropriately.

For the case (iii) of Theorem 2, the first 50000 values of ( $M, a, c$ ) are given in [32]. There exist also other triplets of values of $(M, a, c)$ such that (2.1) holds with $\delta=1$ and $\sigma=k$. Table 4 shows some of these values for $1<k \leq 5$ and $1<M_{n}, a_{n}<10^{5}$ and indexed by $n$ for increasing values of $M_{n}$. The generalization of the case (iii) of Theorem 2 to other values of $M$ is included in a following more general theorem. This theorem will use the solutions of simple and generalized Pell equations, that are recalled in the next section.

## 4. Pell equations: A Reminder

Pell equations of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-D Y^{2}=N \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X, Y, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and square free $D \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, i.e. $\sqrt{D} \notin \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, have been investigated in various forms since long (see historical accounts in [7, 14, 38, 13]) and are treated in several classical text books (see e.g. $[20,21,39,11]$ and references therein). A simple reminder is given here and further details can be found in the references.

TABLE 4. Values of $M_{n}, a_{n}, g_{n}, c_{n}$ with $\delta=1$ and $\sigma=k$ for $1<k \leq 5$ and $1<M_{n}, a_{n}<10^{5}$

| $k$ | $n$ | $M_{n}$ | $a_{n}$ | $g_{n}$ | $c_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 8 | 28 | 252 | 504 |
| 2 | 2 | 63 | 217 | 15624 | 31248 |
| 2 | 3 | 496 | 1705 | 968440 | 1936880 |
| 2 | 4 | 3905 | 13420 | 60027660 | 120055320 |
| 3 | 1 | 18 | 153 | 2907 | 8721 |
| 3 | 2 | 323 | 2737 | 936054 | 2808162 |
| 3 | 3 | 5796 | 49105 | 301406490 | 904219470 |
| 4 | 1 | 32 | 496 | 16368 | 65472 |
| 4 | 2 | 1023 | 15841 | 16728096 | 66912384 |
| 5 | 1 | 50 | 1225 | 62475 | 312375 |
| 5 | 2 | 2499 | 61201 | 156062550 | 780312750 |

For $N=1$, the simple Pell equation reads classically

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}-D Y^{2}=1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has, beside the trivial solution $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=(1,0)$, a whole infinite branch of solutions $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n} & =\frac{\left(X_{1}+\sqrt{D} Y_{1}\right)^{n}+\left(X_{1}-\sqrt{D} Y_{1}\right)^{n}}{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
Y_{n} & =\frac{\left(X_{1}+\sqrt{D} Y_{1}\right)^{n}-\left(X_{1}-\sqrt{D} Y_{1}\right)^{n}}{2 \sqrt{D}} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ is the fundamental solution to (4.2), i.e. the smallest integer solution different from the trivial solution $\left(X_{1}>1, Y_{1}>0, \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$. Among the five methods listed by Robertson [35] to find the fundamental solution $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$, the classical method based on the continued fraction expansion of the quadratic irrational $\sqrt{D}$ introduced by Lagrange [12] is at the core of several other methods. It can be summarized as follows. One computes the $r^{\text {th }}$ convergent $\left(p_{r} / q_{r}\right)$ of the continued fraction $\left[\alpha_{0} ; \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}, \alpha_{r+1}, \ldots\right]$ of $\sqrt{D}$ which becomes periodic after the following term $\alpha_{r+1}=2 \alpha_{0}$ if $\sqrt{D}$ is a quadratic surd or quadratic irrational (i.e. $\sqrt{D} \notin \mathbb{Z}^{+}$) and with $\alpha_{0}=\lfloor\sqrt{D}\rfloor$ i.e. the greatest integer $\leq \sqrt{D}$. The terms $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ can be found by the recurrence relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\alpha_{i} p_{i-1}+p_{i-2}, \quad q_{i}=\alpha_{i} q_{i-1}+q_{i-2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p_{-2}=0, p_{-1}=1, q_{-2}=1, q_{-1}=0$. The fundamental solution is then $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(p_{r}, q_{r}\right)$ if $r \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ or $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(p_{2 r+1}, q_{2 r+1}\right)$ if $r \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.
For the general case of $N \neq 1$, the generalized Pell equation (4.1) can have either no solution at all, or one or several fundamental solutions $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$, and all integer solutions, if they exist, come on double infinite branches that can be expressed in function of the fundamental solution(s) $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{1},-Y_{1}\right)$. Several authors (see e.g. $[12,6,20,19,35,16,17,11]$ and references therein) discussed how to find the fundamental solution(s) of the generalized Pell equation, based on Lagrange's
method of continued fractions with various modifications (see e.g. [22]), and further how to find additional solutions from the fundamental solution(s). The method indicated by Matthews [17] based on an algorithm by Frattini [8, 9, 10] using Nagell's bounds [20, 18], will be used further.
Noting now $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)$ the fundamental solutions of the related simple Pell equation (4.3), the other solutions $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ can be found from the fundamental solution(s) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}+\sqrt{D} Y_{n}= \pm\left(X_{1}+\sqrt{D} Y_{1}\right)\left(x_{f}+\sqrt{D} y_{f}\right)^{n} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a proper choice of sign $\pm$ [35], or by the recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n} & =x_{f} X_{n-1}+D y_{f} Y_{n-1}  \tag{4.7}\\
Y_{n} & =x_{f} Y_{n-1}+y_{f} X_{m-1} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

that can also be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n} & =2 x_{f} X_{n-1}-X_{n-2}  \tag{4.9}\\
Y_{n} & =2 x_{f} Y_{n-1}-Y_{n-2} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

or in function of Chebyshev's polynomials of the first kind $T_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)$ and of the second kind $U_{n-2}\left(x_{f}\right)$ evaluated at $x_{f}$ (see [23])

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n} & =X_{1} T_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+D Y_{1} y_{f} U_{n-2}\left(x_{f}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
Y_{n} & =X_{1} y_{f} U_{n-2}\left(x_{f}\right)+Y_{1} T_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

For $N=\eta^{2}$ an integer square, the generalized Pell equation (4.1) admits always integer solutions. The variable change $\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)=((X / \eta),(Y / \eta))$ transforms the generalized Pell equation in a simple Pell equation $X^{\prime 2}-D Y^{\prime 2}=1$ which has integer solutions $\left(X_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. The integer solutions to the generalized Pell equation can then be found as $\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)=\left(\eta X_{n}^{\prime}, \eta Y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$, or from $((4.9),(4.10))$ with $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)=(1,0)$ and $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\eta x_{f}, \eta y_{f}\right)$, yielding simply

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{n} & =\eta T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
Y_{n} & =\eta y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(which is also valid for the simple Pell equation (4.2) with $\eta=1$ ). Note however that not all solutions in $(X, Y)$ may be found in this way (see e.g.[39]) and, depending on the value of $D$, other fundamental solutions may exist.

## 5. Step 3: A More General Approach

The three cases of Theorem 2 can now be generalized as shown in the next theorem, that includes also the general method to find all solutions $(M, a, c)$ such that (2.1) holds.

Theorem 4. For $\forall \delta, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \exists \sigma, a, M, M_{n}, c, x_{f}, y_{f}, X_{1}, Y_{1}, D, N \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \kappa \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(\delta, \sigma)=1, \kappa=(\sigma / \delta)>1$, such as (2.1) holds with

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n}= & X_{1} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+Y_{1} T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
a_{n}= & \frac{1}{2 \delta^{2}}\left[\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right)\left(X_{1}+\left(\sigma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) Y_{1}\right) y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+\right.  \tag{5.2}\\
& \left.\left(X_{1}+\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) Y_{1}\right) T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)+\delta^{2}\right] \\
c_{n}= & \frac{\sigma}{2 \delta^{3}}\left(X_{1} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+Y_{1} T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
& {\left[\left(\sigma^{2} X_{1}+\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right) Y_{1}\right) y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+\left(X_{1}+\sigma^{2} Y_{1}\right) T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)\right] }
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)$ and $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ are the fundamental solutions of respectively the simple (4.2) and generalized Pell equations (4.1) with $D=\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right)$ and $N=\delta^{4}$, and $T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)$ and $U_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)$ Chebyshev's Polynomials of the first and second kind evaluated at $x_{f}$.

Proof. Let $n, M, M_{n}, a, a_{n}, c, c_{n}, \sigma, \delta, x_{f}, y_{f}, X_{1}, Y_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}, \kappa, C, C_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, with $M>1, \operatorname{gcd}(\delta, \sigma)=1, \kappa=(\sigma / \delta)>1$. As $g(2.13), a(2.2)$ and $c(2.3)$ in Theorem 1 must be integers, the condition for the polynomial $\left(M^{2}\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right)+1\right)$ under the square root sign in (2.13), (2.2) and (2.3) to be a squared integer or a squared rational allows to find for which values of $M$ (2.1) holds. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right)+1=C^{2} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as a Pell equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{2}-\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right) M^{2}=1 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or as ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\delta^{2} C\right)^{2}-\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right) M^{2}=\delta^{4} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a generalized Pell equation that always admits at least one fundamental solution as the right hand term is a squared integer. Noting $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)$ the fundamental solutions of the simple Pell equation (4.2) and ( $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ ) the fundamental solution(s) of the generalized Pell equation (4.1) with $X=\left(\delta^{2} C\right), Y=M, D=\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right)$ and $N=\delta^{4}$, all solutions can be found by (4.6) or ((4.11),(4.12)) $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\frac{\left(\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right) Y_{1} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+X_{1} T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)\right)}{\delta^{2}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (5.1). Then replacing $M$ by $M_{n}$ (5.1) in (2.2) and (2.3) yields directly (5.2) and (5.3).

Note that, although (5.1) yields all integer solutions in $M$ to (5.6), some of them do not yield integer solutions to $a_{n}(5.2)$ and $c_{n}(5.3)$ and must be rejected.
For $\delta=1,(5.6)$ is a simple Pell equation similar to (4.2). It is easy to see that its fundamental solution is $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2}, 1\right)$ (see e.g. [22]). (5.6) admits then an infinitude of solutions $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$for each integer value of $\sigma$, that can be written as

[^0]$((4.3),(4.4))$ or $((4.13),(4.14))$, yielding $C_{n}=T_{n}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$ and
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n} & =U_{n-1}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)  \tag{5.8}\\
a_{n} & =\frac{U_{n}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)-U_{n-1}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)+1}{2}  \tag{5.9}\\
c_{n} & =\frac{\sigma U_{n}\left(\sigma^{2}\right) U_{n-1}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)}{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where the relation $U_{n}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)=T_{n}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)+\sigma^{2} U_{n-1}\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$ (see e.g. [36]) was used in (5.9) and (5.10). Note as well that $g_{n}=U_{n}\left(\sigma^{2}\right) U_{n-1}\left(\sigma^{2}\right) / 2$ as can be found from (2.10) or (2.11).

This generalizes the case (iii) of Theorem 2 and gives an infinitude of solutions $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$for $\delta=1$ and for each value of $\sigma, \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
For $\delta>1$, three of the fundamental solutions are always $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ and $\left(\sigma^{2}, \pm 1\right)$, corresponding to respectively $(C, M)=(1,0)$ and $\left(\left(\sigma^{2} / \delta^{2}\right), \pm 1\right)$. Depending on the value of $D=\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right)$, other fundamental solutions may exist. All solutions in $M$ can then be found by (5.1) $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ or $\left(\sigma^{2}, \pm 1\right)$, and $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ for other fundamental solutions. Furthermore, solutions found for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right)$ and $\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ yield integer values for $M_{n}, a_{n}$ and $c_{n}$, while the solutions found for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2}, 1\right)$, although yielding integer values of $M_{n}$, do not yield integer values for $a_{n}$ and $c_{n}$, as can be seen easily from (5.2) and (5.3), and must be rejected, although these non-integer values satisfy (2.1).

Relations (5.7) and (5.1) to (5.3) read

- for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right), C_{n}=\kappa^{2} T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)-\delta^{2}\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right) y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n} & =\sigma^{2} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)-T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)  \tag{5.11}\\
a_{n} & =\frac{T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)-\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+1}{2}  \tag{5.12}\\
c_{n} & =\frac{\sigma \delta y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)\left(\sigma^{2} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)-T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)\right)}{2} \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

- for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right), C_{n}=T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n} & =\delta^{2} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)  \tag{5.14}\\
a_{n} & =\frac{T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)+\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+1}{2}  \tag{5.15}\\
c_{n} & =\frac{\sigma \delta y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)\left(\sigma^{2} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)+T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)\right)}{2} \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the solutions (5.11) to (5.13) found for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right)$ are smaller than those $(5.14)$ to $(5.16)$ found for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ for a same value of $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, if $2 g$ is a multiple of $M, 2 g=\mu M, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, (like for $M=33,35,42 \ldots$ ), the fundamental solutions of the simple Pell equation are $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left(\left(\mu \sigma^{2}-M\right), \mu\right)$, as can be easily verified.

If $g=\triangle_{M}$ (like for $M=5,15, \ldots$ ) or $\triangle_{M-1}$ (like for $M=5,13,15,17,40, \ldots$ ), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)= & \left(\left((M \pm 1) \sigma^{2}-M\right),(M \pm 1)\right)  \tag{5.17}\\
= & \left(\left(2\left|\delta^{4}-2 \sigma^{2}+1\right|\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}-1}{\left|\delta^{4}-2 \sigma^{2}+1\right|}\right)^{2}-1\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{2\left(\sigma^{2}-1\right)}{\left|\delta^{4}-2 \sigma^{2}+1\right|}\right)\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

with $M=\left(\delta^{4}-1\right) /\left(\left|2 \sigma^{2}-\delta^{4}-1\right|\right)$ (see [33]) with the $+($ respectively -$)$ sign in (5.17) for $g=\triangle_{M}$ (resp. $\triangle_{M-1}$ ) and vertical bars denote the absolute value.

Values of $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)$ and ( $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ ) from [17] yielding solutions $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ for the first values of $M, 1<M \leq 45$ and $1<a<10^{5}$ of Table 1 can be found in [33].
For the case (i) of Theorem 2 with $M=(2 k+1), g=\left(M^{2}-1\right) / 4, \delta=2 M$ and $\sigma=\left(2 M^{2}-1\right)$, one of the other fundamental solutions is always

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) & =\left(\left(\frac{\delta\left(\sigma^{2}-2\right)}{2}\right), \frac{\delta}{2}\right)  \tag{5.19}\\
& =\left(M\left(\left(2 M^{2}-1\right)^{2}-2\right), M\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

as can be easily verified. More generally, all solutions for this case can be found by (3.1) to (3.5).

For the case (ii) of Theorem 2 with $a=(2 k+1)^{2}, g=\triangle_{k}, \delta=16 \triangle_{k}+1=2 a-1$, $\sigma=16 \triangle_{k}+3=2 a+1, M=k \delta=k\left(16 \triangle_{k}+1\right)$, one of the other fundamental solutions is always known and can be expressed in function of $\delta, k$ or $a$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) & =\left(\left(4 \delta\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}\right)^{3}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\delta+1}{2}}-1\right)\right), 2 \delta\right)  \tag{5.21}\\
& =\left(\left(4\left(16 \triangle_{k}+1\right)\left(8 k(2 k+1)^{3}+1\right)\right), 2\left(16 \triangle_{k}+1\right)\right)  \tag{5.22}\\
& =\left((2 a-1) a^{2}\left(1-a^{-1 / 2}\right), 2(2 a-1)\right) \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

## 6. Recurrent Relations

The sets of solutions $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ are obviously not independent. As (5.1) to (5.3) are linear combinations of Chebyshev polynomials, one has also the general recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{n} & =2 x_{f} M_{n-1}-M_{n-2}  \tag{6.1}\\
a_{n} & =2 x_{f} a_{n-1}-a_{n-2}-\left(x_{f}-1\right)  \tag{6.2}\\
c_{n} & =2\left(2 x_{f}^{2}-1\right) c_{n-1}-c_{n-2}+\delta \sigma^{3} y_{f}^{2} \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

among values of $M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}$ calculated for the same fundamental solutions ( $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ ). These relations are immediate from (5.1) to (5.3) and the recurrence and other formulas for Chebyshev polynomials (see e.g [36]). For the sake of the recurrence, initial values of $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ for $n=0,1$ are shown in Table 5 for the cases (i) $M_{n}=k \delta_{n}$ of Theorem 3 , (ii) $\delta=1$ and $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2}, 1\right)$, (iii) $\delta>1$ and $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=$ $\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right)$, (iv) $\delta>1$ and $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$, and (v) the general case for $\delta>1$ and with other fundamental solutions $\left(X_{1}^{*}, Y_{1}^{*}\right)$ of the generalized Pell equation.

TAbLE 5. Initial values of $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ for $n=0,1$ for recurrence relations

| $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ | $n$ | $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ for $M_{n}=k \delta_{n},\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left((2 k+1)^{2}, 0\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| [1,0]{} | 0 | $(1,1,1)$ |
|  | 1 | $\left(k(8 k(k+1)+1),(2 k+1)^{2},(k(k+1) / 2)\left(4(2 k+1)^{4}-1\right)\right)$ |
| $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ | $n$ | $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ for $\delta=1,\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2}, 1\right)$ |
| $, 0]$ | 0 | $(0,1,0)$ |
|  | 1 | $\left(1, \sigma^{2}, \sigma^{3}\right)$ |
| $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ | $n$ | $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ for $\delta>1$ |
| $\sigma^{2},-1$ | 0 | $(-1,1,0)$ |
|  | 1 | $\left(\left(\sigma^{2} y_{f}-x_{f}\right),\left(x_{f}-\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) y_{f}+1\right) / 2, \delta \sigma y_{f}\left(\sigma^{2} y_{f}-x_{f}\right) / 2\right)$ |
| $\delta^{2}, 0$ | 0 | $(0,1,0)$ |
|  | 1 | $\left(\delta^{2} y_{f},\left(x_{f}+\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) y_{f}+1\right) / 2, \delta \sigma y_{f}\left(\sigma^{2} y_{f}+x_{f}\right) / 2\right)$ |
| $X_{1}^{*}, Y_{1}^{*}$ | 0 | $\left(Y_{1}^{*},\left(X_{1}^{*}+\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) Y_{1}^{*}+\delta^{2}\right) / 2 \delta^{2}, \sigma Y_{1}^{*}\left(X_{1}^{*}+\sigma^{2} Y_{1}^{*}\right) / 2 \delta^{3}\right)$ |
|  | 1 | $\left(X_{1}^{*} y_{f}+Y_{1}^{*} x_{f}\right.$, |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\left.\left(x_{f}+\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) y_{f}\right) X_{1}^{*}+\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right)\left(x_{f}+\left(\sigma^{2}+\delta^{2}\right) y_{f}\right) Y_{1}^{*}+\delta^{2}\right) / 2 \delta^{2}, \\ \end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\sigma\left(y_{f} X_{1}^{*}+x_{f} Y_{1}^{*}\right)\left(\left(x_{f}+\sigma^{2} y_{f}\right) X_{1}^{*}+\left(\sigma^{2} x_{f}+\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right) y_{f}\right) Y_{1}^{*}\right) / 2 \delta^{3}\right)$ |

For $\delta=1$, one has also the remarkable recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}=M_{n-1}+2 a_{n-1}-1 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

among all values of $M_{n} \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$(see Table 7 further in section 7). One has also a similar relation among values of $M_{n}$ and $a_{n}$ for $\delta>1$ if only those solutions (5.11), (5.12) and (5.14), (5.15) calculated respectively for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right)$ and $\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ are considered.
If all solutions $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ are ordered in increasing value order and indexed by a new index $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, one obtains simply $j=n$ for solutions for $\delta=1$, and for $\delta>1$, if there are no fundamental solutions other than $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ and $\left(\sigma^{2}, \pm 1\right)$, one obtains $j=2 n-1$ for the solutions (5.11) to (5.13) and $j=2 n$ for the solutions (5.14) to (5.16). If fundamental solutions other than $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ and $\left(\sigma^{2}, \pm 1\right)$ exist, the solutions $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)(5.1)$ to (5.3) calculated with these other fundamental solutions (including for $n=0$ ) have to be inserted accordingly (see example further in Section 7).
For $\delta>1$, if only those value of $\left(M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}\right)(5.11)$ and 5.13) and $\left(M_{j+1}, a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}\right)$ (5.14 to 5.16) calculated respectively with $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right)$ and $\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ are considered, two such sets of solutions are called a "recurrent pair" and obviously, for $\delta=1$, all sets of ( $M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}$ ) solutions form "recurrent pairs". The following theorem give other remarkable recurrent relations.

Theorem 5. For $\forall \delta \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \exists \sigma, \kappa, j, a_{j}, M_{j}, c_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$with $\operatorname{gcd}(\delta, \sigma)=1, \kappa=$ $(\sigma / \delta)>1$, and such as (2.1) holds, if $\left(M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}\right)$ and $\left(M_{j+1}, a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}\right)$ form a
"recurrent pair", then

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{j+1} & =M_{j}+2 a_{j}-1  \tag{6.5}\\
& =\kappa^{2} M_{j}+\sqrt{M_{j}^{2}\left(\kappa^{4}-1\right)+1}=\kappa^{2} M_{j}+C_{j}  \tag{6.6}\\
M_{j} & =\left(2 \kappa^{2}-1\right) M_{j+1}-2 a_{j+1}+1  \tag{6.7}\\
a_{j+1}+a_{j} & =\kappa^{2} M_{j+1}-M_{j}+1  \tag{6.8}\\
a_{j+1}-a_{j} & =\left(\kappa^{2}-1\right) M_{j+1}  \tag{6.9}\\
c_{j} & =\frac{\kappa M_{j} M_{j+1}}{2}  \tag{6.10}\\
c_{j+1}+c_{j} & =\kappa^{3} M_{j+1}^{2} \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\sigma, \delta, j, n, k, a, M, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$with $\operatorname{gcd}(\delta, \sigma)=1, \kappa=(\sigma / \delta)>1$, and $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ solutions of (2.1), yielding $\left(M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}\right)$ and $\left(M_{j+1}, a_{j+1}, c_{j+1}\right)$ to be a "recurrent pair" with $n=j$ for $\delta=1$, and, for $\delta>1, n=j$ or $n=j+1$ respectively for $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)(5.11)$ to (5.13) for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right)$ or (5.14) to (5.16) for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$. Then,
(i) (6.5) is immediate from (5.8) and (5.9) for $\delta=1$, and from (5.11), (5.12) and (5.14) for $\delta>1$.
(ii) (6.6) is immediate from $(2.11),(2.13)$ and (5.4).
(iii) For $\delta=1$, replacing $M_{j+2}$ by the recurrence relation (6.1) in (6.5) written for $M_{j+2}$ yields directly (6.7). For $\delta>1$, in $\left(2 \kappa^{2}-1\right) M_{j+1}-2 a_{j+1}+1$, replacing $M_{j+1}$ and $a_{j+1}$ by (5.14) and (5.15) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(2 \kappa^{2}-1\right) M_{j+1}-2 a_{j+1}+1= & \left(2 \sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)- \\
& \left(T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right)+\left(\sigma^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)\right)  \tag{6.12}\\
= & \sigma^{2} y_{f} U_{n-1}\left(x_{f}\right)-T_{n}\left(x_{f}\right) \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which is $M_{n}$ (5.11). Therefore (6.7) holds for $\delta \geq 1$.
(iv) Summing and subtracting $a_{j+1}$ and $a_{j}$ extracted respectively from (6.7) and (6.5) yield directly (6.8) and (6.9).
(v) (2.3) and (6.6) yield directly (6.10).
(vi) Replacing $M_{j}$ from (6.7) in (6.10) and replacing with $c_{j+1}$ from (6.10) yield directly (6.11).

This theorem means that once a solution $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ has been found for $\delta>1$ from (5.11) to (5.13) with $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right)$, the other solution $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ of the "recurrent pair" can be found directly from (6.5) to (6.11) without having to be calculated from (5.14) to $(5.16)$ for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$.

## 7. Summary and Examples

In summary, to find all solutions $(M, a, c)$ such that the sum of $M$ consecutive cubed integers starting from $a>1$ equal a squared integer $c^{2}$, the following approach is proposed.

1) Calculate first all solutions for odd integer values of $M=(2 k+1) \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$by (3.1) to (3.5). Table 6 shows the first ten values of an infinitude of solutions.
2) Second, calculate all solutions for the cases $M_{n}=k \delta_{n}$ given by Theorem 3 $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$either by (3.26), (3.28), (3.30) in function of Chebyshev polynomials,

TABLE 6. Values of $(M, a, c)$ for $M=(2 k+1), 1 \leq k \leq 10$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (3,23,204),(5,118,2940),(7,333,16296),(9,716,57960),(11,1315,159060), \\
& (13,2178,368004),(15,3353,754320),(17,4888,1412496),(19,6831,2465820) \\
& (21,9230,4070220)
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 7. Values of ( $M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}$ ) for $\delta=1,2 \leq \sigma \leq 5$ and $2 \leq n \leq 6$

| $\sigma=2$ | $\sigma=3$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(8,28,504)$ | $(18,153,8721)$ |
| $(63,217,31248)$ | $(323,2737,2808162)$ |
| $(496,1705,1936880)$ | $(5796,49105,904219470)$ |
| $(3905,13420,120055320)$ | $(104005,881145,291155861205)$ |
| $(30744,105652,7441492968)$ | $(1866294,15811497,93751283088567)$ |
| $\sigma=4$ | $\sigma=5$ |
| $(32,496,65472)$ | $(50,1225,312375)$ |
| $(1023,15841,66912384)$ | $(2499,61201,780312750)$ |
| $(32704,506401,68384391040)$ | $(124900,3058801,1949220937250)$ |
| $(1045505,16188976,69888780730560)$ | $(6242501,152878825,4869153120937875)$ |
| $(33423456,517540816,71426265522241344)$ | $(312000150,7640882425,12163142546881874625)$ |

or by the recurrence relations (6.1) to (6.3) with $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left((2 k+1)^{2}, 0\right)$ and the initial values of Table 5 (see Table 3).
3) Third, calculate all solutions for the case $\delta=1 \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$either by (5.8) to (5.10), or by the recurrence relations (6.1) to (6.3) with $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2}, 1\right)$ and the initial values of Table 5 . Table 7 shows the first five values of the infinitude of solutions for $2 \leq \sigma \leq 5$.
4) Fourth, for all other cases with $\delta>1$, find the fundamental solutions of the simple and generalized Pell equations with $D=\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right)$ and $N=\delta^{4}$ for all values of $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and of $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$such that $1<\delta<\sigma$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\delta, \sigma)=1$.
4.1) If there are no other fundamental solutions than $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ and $\left(\sigma^{2}, \pm 1\right)$, then $g=\mu M / 2\left(\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$and $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=\left(\left(\mu \sigma^{2}-M\right), \mu\right)$; if $g=\triangle_{M}$ or $\triangle_{M-1}$, then $M_{1}=\left(\delta^{4}-1\right) /\left(\left|\delta^{4}-2 \sigma^{2}+1\right|\right)$ and (5.18) gives $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)$. Then (5.11) to (5.16) yield an infinitude of integer solutions $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$with $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=$ $\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right),\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$. Alternatively, the recurrence relations (6.1) to (6.3) with the initial values of Table 5 or the recurrence relations of Theorem 5 can be used. Solutions have to be ordered then in increasing order of $M_{j}$.
For $\sigma=3$ and $\delta=2, g=120=\triangle_{15}, D=65$ and $N=16$ yielding $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=$ $(129,16)$ and only three fundamental solutions $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=(4,0)$ and $(9, \pm 1)$ (see [17]). The first ten solutions are shown in Table 8 for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=(9,-1)$ and $(4,0)$, arranged by $M_{j}$ increasing values and with respectively $j=2 n-1$ and $j=2 n$.
4.2) If there are fundamental solutions $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(X_{1}^{*}, \pm Y_{1}^{*}\right)$ other than $\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right)$ and $\left(\sigma^{2}, \pm 1\right)$, then $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)$ has to be calculated separately (see [17]). All integer solutions $\left(M_{n}, a_{n}, c_{n}\right)$ are found by (5.1) to (5.3) for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(X_{1}^{*}, Y_{1}^{*}\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$ and by $(5.11)$ to $(5.16)$ for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\left(\sigma^{2},-1\right),\left(\delta^{2}, 0\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Alternatively, the recurrence relations (6.1) to (6.3) can be used with the initial values of Table 5. Solutions have to be ordered then in increasing order of $M_{j}$.

TABLE 8. Values of $\left(M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}\right)$ for $\delta=2, \sigma=3$ with $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=$ $(129,16)$ and $1 \leq n \leq 5$ with $j=2 n-1$ and $j=2 n$ respectively for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=(9,-1)$ and $(4,0)$

| $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ | $n$ | $j$ | $\left(M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $9,-1$ | 1 | 1 | $(15,25,720)$ |
| 4,0 | 1 | 2 | $(64,105,13104)$ |
| $9,-1$ | 2 | 3 | $(3871,6321,47938464)$ |
| 4,0 | 2 | 4 | $(16512,26961,872242272)$ |
| $9,-1$ | 3 | 5 | $(998703,1630665,3190880053872)$ |
| 4,0 | 3 | 6 | $(4260032,6955705,58058190109584)$ |
| $9,-1$ | 4 | 7 | $(257661503,420705121,212391358097903424)$ |
| 4,0 | 4 | 8 | $(1099071744,1794544801,3864469249201901760)$ |
| $9,-1$ | 5 | 9 | $(66475669071,108540290425,14137193574521767668240)$ |
| 4,0 | 5 | 10 | $(283556249920,462985602825,257226802107318794853360)$ |

Table 9. Values of $\left(M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}\right)$ for $\delta=3, \sigma=4$ with $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=$ $(2024,153)$ and $0 \leq n \leq 3$ with $j=3 n+1, j=3 n-1$ and $j=3 n$ respectively for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=(159,12),(16,-1)$ and $(9,0)$

| $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ | $n$ | $j$ | $\left(M_{j}, a_{j}, c_{j}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 159,12 | 0 | 1 | $(12,14,312)$ |
| $16,-1$ | 1 | 2 | $(424,477,389232)$ |
| 9,0 | 1 | 3 | $(1377,1548,4105296)$ |
| 159,12 | 1 | 4 | $(48615,54635,5117020440)$ |
| $16,-1$ | 2 | 5 | $(1716353,1928872,6378077594592)$ |
| 9,0 | 2 | 6 | $(5574096,6264280,67270624549920)$ |
| 159,12 | 2 | 7 | $(196793508,221160443,83849042274507096)$ |
| $16,-1$ | 3 | 8 | $(6947796520,7808071356,104513105644422184080)$ |
| 9,0 | 3 | 9 | $(22563939231,25357801869,1102316769603603585072)$ |
| 159,12 | 3 | 10 | $(796620071769,895257416606,1373975729120835063673080)$ |

For $\sigma=4$ and $\delta=3, D=175$ and $N=81$ yielding $\left(x_{f}, y_{f}\right)=(2024,153)$ and five fundamental solutions $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=(9,0),(16, \pm 1)$ and $(159, \pm 12)$ (see [17]). The first ten solutions are shown in Table 9 for $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=(159,12),(16,-1)$ and $(9,0)$, arranged by $M_{j}$ increasing values and with respectively $j=3 n+1, j=3 n-1$ and $j=3 n$.

## 8. Conclusions

The approach proposed in this paper to find all solutions ( $M, a, c$ ) to (1.2) by investigating two other parameters, $\delta$ and $\sigma$, instead of investigating each individual values of $M$ one by one in an increasing order of $M$ values allows to find quite simple and elegant general solutions $(M, a, c)$ based on solutions of simple and generalized Pell equations involving Chebyshev polynomials. Alternatively, recurrence relations can be used in order to simplify the computational part. This approach allows to find all possible solutions $(M, a, c)$ to (1.2) but with the drawback that solutions are not ordered by increasing $M$ values.

However, it is found that there are always at least one solution for every cases of all odd values of $M$, of all odd integer square values of $a$, and of all even values of $M$ equal to twice an integer square.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that (5.5) could also be written as $C^{2}-\left(\sigma^{4}-\delta^{4}\right)\left(M / \delta^{2}\right)^{2}=1$, but not all solutions may be obtained in this way.

