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#### Abstract

We study quadri-algebras and dual quadri-algebras. We describe the free quadri-algebra on one generator as a subobject of the Hopf algebra of permutations FQSym, proving a conjecture due to Aguiar and Loday, using that the operad of quadri-algebras can be obtained from the operad of dendriform algebras by both black and white Manin products. We also give a combinatorial description of free dual quadri-algebras. A notion of quadri-bialgebra is also introduced, with applications to the Hopf algebras FQSym and WQSym.
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## Introduction

An algebra with an associativity splitting is an algebra whose associative product $\star$ can be written as a sum of a certain number of (generally nonassociative) products, satisfying certain compatibilities. For example, dendriform algebras [6, 10] are equipped with two bilinear products $<$ and $>$, such that for all $x, y, z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x<y)<z=x<(y<z+y>z), \\
& (x>y)<z=x>(y<z), \\
& (x<y+x>y)>z=x>(y>z) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing these axioms, we indeed obtain that $\star=<+>$ is associative. Another example is given by quadri-algebras, which are equipped with four products $\kappa, \measuredangle, \searrow$ and $\nearrow$, in such a way that:
$\bullet \leftarrow=\nwarrow+\swarrow$ and $\rightarrow=\downarrow+\nearrow$ are dendriform products,

- $\uparrow=\nwarrow+\nearrow$ and $\downarrow=\swarrow+\searrow$ are dendriform products.

Shuffle algebras or the algebra of free quasi-symmetric functions FQSym are examples of quadrialgebras. No combinatorial description of the operad Quad of quadri-algebra is known, but a formula for its generating formal series is conjectured in [10] and proved in [17], as well as the koszulity of this operad. A description of Quad is given with the help of the black Manin product on nonsymmetric operads $\llbracket$, namely Quad $=$ Dend $■$ Dend, where Dend is the nonsymmetric operad of dendriform algebras (this product is denoted by $\square$ in [5, 11). It is also suspected that the sub-quadri-algebra of FQSym generated by the permutation (12) is free. We give here a proof of this conjecture (Corollary 7 ). We use for this that Quad is also equal to Dend $\square$ Dend (Corollary (5), and consequently can be seen as a suboperad of Dend $\otimes$ Dend: hence, free Dend $\otimes$ Dend-algebras contain free quadri-algebras, a result which is applied to FQSym. We also combinatorially describe the Koszul dual Quad ${ }^{!}$of Quad, and prove its koszulity with the rewriting method of [9, 2, 12].

The last section is devoted to a study of the compatibilities between the quadri-algebra structure of FQSym and its dual quadri-coalgebra structure: this leads to the notion of quadribialgebra (Definition (10). Another example of quadri-bialgebra is given by the Hopf algebra of packed words WQSym. It is observed that, unlike the case of dendriform bialgebras, there is no rigidity theorem for quadri-bialgebras; indeed:

- FQSym and WQSym are not free quadri-algebras, nor cofree quadri-coalgebras.
- FQSym and WQSym are not generated, as quadri-algebras, by their primitive elements, in the quadri-coalgebraic sense.

Aknowledgments. The research leading these results was partially supported by the French National Research Agency under the reference ANR-12-BS01-0017. I would like to thank Bruno Vallette for his precious comments, suggestions and help.

## Notations.

1. We denote by $K$ a commutative field. All the objects (vector spaces, algebras, coalgebras, operads...) of this text are taken over $K$.
2. For all $n \geq 1$, we denote by [ $n$ ] the set of integers $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

## 1 Reminders on quadri-algebras and operads

### 1.1 Definitions and examples of quadri-algebras

Definition 1 1. A quadri-algebra is a family $(A, \nwarrow, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow)$, where $A$ is a vector space and $\ltimes, \measuredangle, \downarrow, \nearrow$ are products on $A$, such that for all $x, y, z \in A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \nwarrow y) \nwarrow z=x \nwarrow(y \star z), \quad(x \nexists y) \nwarrow z=x \not(y \leftarrow z), \quad(x \uparrow y) \nmid z=x \nmid(y \rightarrow z), \\
& (x \nvdash y) \nwarrow z=x \measuredangle(y \uparrow z), \quad(x \searrow y) \nwarrow z=x \searrow(y \nwarrow z), \quad(x \downarrow y) \nmid z=x \searrow(y \nearrow z), \\
& (x \leftarrow y) \swarrow z=x \nvdash(y \downarrow z), \quad(x \rightarrow y) \swarrow z=x \searrow(y \swarrow z), \quad(x \star y) \searrow z=x \searrow(y \searrow z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where:

$$
\leftarrow=\pi+\swarrow, \quad \rightarrow=\nearrow+\searrow, \quad \uparrow=\pi+\nearrow, \quad \downarrow=\swarrow+\searrow,
$$

These relations will be considered as the entries of a $3 \times 3$ matrix, and will be refered as relations $(1,1) \ldots(3,3)$.
2. A quadri-coalgebra is a family $\left(C, \Delta_{\nwarrow}, \Delta_{\nwarrow}, \Delta_{\searrow}, \Delta_{\nearrow}\right)$, where $C$ is a vector space and $\Delta_{\nwarrow}$, $\Delta_{\swarrow}, \Delta_{\searrow}, \Delta_{\nearrow}$ are coproducts on $C$, such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta_{\nwarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{*}\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}, & \left(\Delta_{\swarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{\uparrow}\right) \circ \Delta_{\swarrow}, \\
\left(\Delta_{\nearrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{\leftarrow}\right) \circ \Delta_{\nearrow}, & \left(\Delta_{\searrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{\nwarrow}\right) \circ \Delta_{\searrow}, \\
\left(\Delta_{\uparrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nearrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{\rightarrow}\right) \circ \Delta_{\nearrow} ; & \left(\Delta_{\downarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nearrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{\nearrow}\right) \circ \Delta_{\star} ; \\
& \left(\Delta_{\leftarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\swarrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{\downarrow}\right) \circ \Delta_{\swarrow}, \\
& \left(\Delta_{\rightarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\swarrow}=\left(I d \otimes \Delta_{\swarrow}\right) \circ \Delta_{\searrow}, \\
& \left(\Delta_{\star} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\searrow}=\left(I d \otimes_{\star}\right) \circ \Delta_{\searrow},
\end{aligned}
$$

with:

$$
\Delta_{\leftarrow}=\Delta_{\searrow}+\Delta_{\nearrow}, \quad \Delta_{\rightarrow}=\Delta_{\nwarrow}+\Delta_{\swarrow}, \quad \Delta_{\uparrow}=\Delta_{\nwarrow}+\Delta_{\nearrow}, \quad \Delta_{\downarrow}=\Delta_{\swarrow}+\Delta_{\searrow},
$$

## Remarks

1. If $A$ is a finite-dimensional quadri-algebra, then its dual $A^{*}$ is a quadri-coalgebra, with $\Delta_{\diamond}=\diamond^{*}$ for all $\diamond \in\{\nwarrow, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow, \leftarrow, \rightarrow, \uparrow, \downarrow, \star\}$.
2. If $C$ is a quadri-coalgebra (even not finite-dimensional), then $C^{*}$ is a quadri-algebra, with $\diamond=\Delta_{\diamond}^{*}$ for all $\diamond \in\{\pi, \swarrow, \downarrow, \nearrow, \leftarrow, \rightarrow, \uparrow, \downarrow, \star\}$.
3. Let $A$ be a quadri-algebra. Adding each row of the matrix of relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \uparrow y) \uparrow z=x \uparrow(y \star z), \\
& (x \downarrow y) \uparrow z=x \downarrow(y \uparrow z), \\
& (x \star y) \downarrow z=x \downarrow(y \downarrow z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $(A, \uparrow, \downarrow)$ is a dendriform algebra. Adding each column of the matrix of relations:

$$
(x \leftarrow y) \leftarrow z=x \leftarrow(y \star z), \quad(x \rightarrow y) \leftarrow z=x \rightarrow(y \leftarrow z), \quad(x \star y) \rightarrow z=x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)
$$

Hence, $(A, \leftarrow, \rightarrow)$ is a dendriform algebra. The associative (non unitary) product associated to both these dendriform structures is $\star$.
4. Dually, if $C$ is a quadri-coalgebra, $\left(C, \Delta_{\uparrow}, \Delta_{\downarrow}\right)$ and $\left(C, \Delta_{\leftarrow}, \Delta_{\rightarrow}\right)$ are dendriform coalgebras. The associated coassociative (non counitary) coproduct is $\Delta_{*}$.

## Examples.

1. Let $V$ be a vector space. The augmentation ideal of the tensor algebra $T(V)$ is given four products defined in the following way: for all $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{k+l} \in V, k, l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1} \ldots v_{k} \nwarrow v_{k+1} \ldots v_{k+l}=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S h(k, l),(1)=1, \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k}} v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \ldots v_{\sigma^{-1}(k+l)}, \\
& v_{1} \ldots v_{k} \swarrow v_{k+1} \ldots v_{k+l}=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}(k, l), \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k}} v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \ldots v_{\sigma^{-1}(k+l)}, \\
& v_{1} \ldots v_{k} \searrow v_{k+1} \ldots v_{k+l}=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}(k, l), \sigma^{-1}(1)=k+1, \sigma^{-1}(k+)=k}}^{\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(1)=k+1, \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k+l}} v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \ldots v_{\sigma^{-1}(k+l)}, \\
& v_{1} \ldots v_{k} \nearrow v_{k+1} \ldots v_{k+l}=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S h(k, l), \sigma^{-1}(1)=1, \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k+l}} v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \ldots v_{\sigma^{-1}(k+l)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{Sh}(k, l)$ is the set of $(k, l)$-shuffles, that is to say permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{k+l}$ such that $\sigma(1)<\ldots<\sigma(k)$ and $\sigma(k+1)<\ldots<\sigma(k+l)$. The associated associative product is the usual shuffle product.
2. The augmentation ideal of the Hopf algebra FQSym of permutations introduced in 13 and studied in [4] is also a quadri-algebra, as mentioned in [1]. For all permutations $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_{k}$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{S}_{l}, k, l \geq 1:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \nwarrow \beta=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S h(k, l), \sigma^{-1}(1)=1, \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k}}(\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \sigma^{-1}, \\
& \alpha \swarrow \beta=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}(k, l), \sigma^{-1}(1)=k+1, \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k}}(\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \sigma^{-1}, \\
& \alpha \searrow \beta=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}(k, l),}}(\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \sigma^{-1}, \\
& \alpha \nearrow \beta=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sh}(k, l), \sigma^{-1}(1)=k+1, \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k+l}}^{\sigma^{-1}(1)=1, \sigma^{-1}(k+l)=k+l},
\end{aligned}(\alpha \otimes \beta) \circ \sigma^{-1} .
$$

As FQSym is self-dual, its coproduct can also be split into four parts, making it a quadricoalgebra. As the pairing on FQSym is defined by $\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle=\delta_{\sigma, \tau^{-1}}$ for any permutations $\sigma, \tau$, we deduce that if $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}, n \geq 1$, with the notations of [13]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\nwarrow}(\sigma)=\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(1), \sigma^{-1}(n) \leq i<n} \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(1) \ldots \sigma(i)) \otimes \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(i+1) \ldots \sigma(n)), \\
& \Delta_{\swarrow}(\sigma)=\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(n) \leq i<\sigma^{-1}(1)} \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(1) \ldots \sigma(i)) \otimes \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(i+1) \ldots \sigma(n)), \\
& \Delta_{\searrow}(\sigma)=\sum_{1 \leq i<\sigma^{-1}(1), \sigma^{-1}(n)} \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(1) \ldots \sigma(i)) \otimes \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(i+1) \ldots \sigma(n)), \\
& \Delta_{\nearrow}(\sigma)=\sum_{\sigma^{-1}(1) \leq i<\sigma^{-1}(n)} \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(1) \ldots \sigma(i)) \otimes \operatorname{Std}(\sigma(i+1) \ldots \sigma(n)),
\end{aligned}
$$

The compatibilites between these products and coproducts will be studied in Proposition 11. For example:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(12) \nwarrow(12)=(1342), & \Delta_{\nwarrow}((3412))=(231) \otimes(1), & \Delta_{\nwarrow}((2143))=(213) \otimes(1), \\
(12) \measuredangle(12)=(3142)+(3412), & \Delta_{\measuredangle}((3412))=(12) \otimes(12), & \Delta_{\nwarrow}((2143))=0, \\
(12) \searrow(12)=(3124), & \Delta_{\searrow}((3412))=(1) \otimes(312), & \Delta_{\searrow}((2143))=(1) \otimes(132), \\
(12) \nearrow(12)=(1234)+(1324), & \Delta_{\nearrow}((3412))=0, & \Delta_{\nearrow}((2143))=(21) \otimes(21) .
\end{array}
$$

The dendriform algebra (FQSym $, \leftarrow, \rightarrow$ ) and the dendriform coalgebra (FQSym, $\Delta_{\leftarrow}, \Delta_{\rightarrow}$ ) are decribed in [6, 7]; the dendriform algebra (FQSym, $\uparrow, \downarrow$ ) and the dendriform coalgebra (FQSym $, \Delta_{\uparrow}, \Delta_{\downarrow}$ ) are decribed in [8]. Both dendriform algebras are free, and both dendriform coalgebras are cofree, by the dendriform rigidity theorem [6]. Note that FQSym is not free as a quadri-algebra, as $(1) \pi(1)=0$.
3. The dual of the Hopf algebra of totally assigned graphs [3] is a quadri-coalgebra.

### 1.2 Nonsymmetric operads

We refer to [12, 14, 17] for the usual definitions and properties of operads and nonsymmetric operads.

## Notations and reminders.

- Let $V$ be a vector space. The free nonsymmetric operad generated in arity 2 by $V$ is denoted by $\mathbf{F}(V)$. If we fix a basis $\left(v_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $V$, then for all $n \geq 1$, a basis of $\mathbf{F}(V)_{n}$ is given by the set of planar binary trees with $n$ leaves, whose $(n-1)$ internal vertices are decorated by elements of $\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$. The operadic composition is given by the grafting of trees on leaves. If $V$ is finite-dimensional, then for all $n \geq 1, \mathbf{F}(V)_{n}$ is finite-dimensional, and:

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{F}(V)_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\binom{2 n-2}{n-1} \operatorname{dim}(V)^{n}
$$

- Let $\mathbf{P}$ a nonsymmetric operad and $V$ a vector space. A structure of $\mathbf{P}$-algebra on $V$ is a family of maps:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\mathbf{P}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & V \\
p \otimes v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n} & \longrightarrow & p \cdot\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

satisfying some compatibilities with the composition of $\mathbf{P}$.

- The free $\mathbf{P}$-algebra generated by the vector space $V$ is, as a vector space:

$$
F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n}
$$

the action of $\mathbf{P}$ on $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is given by:

$$
p .\left(p_{1} \otimes w_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \otimes w_{n}\right)=p \circ\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \otimes w_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{n} .
$$

- Let $\mathbf{P}=\left(\mathbf{P}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a nonsymmetric operad. It is quadratic if :
- It is generated by $G_{\mathbf{P}}=\mathbf{P}_{2}$.
- Let $\pi_{\mathbf{P}}: \mathbf{F}\left(G_{\mathbf{P}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be the canonical morphism from $\mathbf{F}\left(G_{\mathbf{P}}\right)$ to $\mathbf{P}$; then its kernel is generated, as an operadic ideal, by $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathbf{P}}\right)_{3}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathbf{P}}\right) \cap \mathbf{F}\left(G_{\mathbf{P}}\right)_{3}$.

If $\mathbf{P}$ is quadratic, we put $G_{\mathbf{P}}=\mathbf{P}_{2}$, and $R_{\mathbf{P}}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\pi_{\mathbf{P}}\right)_{3}$. By definition, these two spaces entirely determine $\mathbf{P}$, up to an isomorphism.

## Examples.

1. The nonsymmetric operad Quad of quadri-algebras is quadratic. It is generated by $G_{\text {Quad }}=\operatorname{Vect}(\nwarrow, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow)$, and $R_{\text {Quad }}$ is the linear span of the nine following elements:







As $\operatorname{dim}\left(F\left(G_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}\right)_{3}\right)=32, \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{Q u a d}_{3}\right)=32-9=23$.
2. The nonsymmetric operad Dend of dendriform algebras is quadratic. It is generated by $G_{\text {Dend }}=\operatorname{Vect}(<,>)$, and $R_{\text {Dend }}$ is the linear span of the three following elements:




The nonsymmetric-operad Quad of quadri-algebras, being quadratic, has a Koszul dual Quad ${ }^{!}$. The following formulas for the generating formal series of Quad and Quad ${ }^{\text {! has been }}$ conjectured in [1] and proved in [17], as well as the koszulity:

Proposition 2 1. For all $n \geq 1$, $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Quad}(n))=\sum_{j=n}^{2 n-1}\binom{3 n}{n+1+j}\binom{j-1}{j-n}$. This is sequence A007297 in [16].
2. For all $n \geq 1, \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Quad}^{!}(n)\right)=n^{2}$.
3. The operad of quadri-algebras is Koszul.

## 2 The operad of quadri-algebras and its Koszul dual

### 2.1 Dual quadri-algebras

Algebras on Quad ${ }^{!}$will be called dual quadri-algebras. This operad Quad ${ }^{!}$is described in [17] in terms of the white Manin product. Let us give an explicit description.

Proposition $3 A$ dual quadri-algebra is a family $(A, \nwarrow, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow)$, where $A$ is a vector space and $\nwarrow, \measuredangle, \searrow, \nearrow: A \otimes A \longrightarrow A$, such that for all $x, y, z \in A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (x \nwarrow y) \nwarrow z=x \nwarrow(y \nwarrow z)=x \nwarrow(y \swarrow z)=x \nwarrow(y \searrow z)=x \nwarrow(y \nearrow z), \\
& (x \nearrow y) \nwarrow z=x \nearrow(y \nwarrow z)=x \nearrow(y \swarrow z), \\
& (x \nwarrow y) \nexists z=(x \nearrow y) \nexists z=x \nearrow(y \searrow z)=x \nearrow(y \nearrow z), \\
& (x \swarrow y) \nwarrow z=x \swarrow(y \nwarrow z)=x \swarrow(y \nearrow z), \\
& (x \searrow y) \nwarrow z=x \searrow(y \nwarrow z), \\
& (x \swarrow y) \nexists z=(x \searrow y) \nearrow z=x \searrow(y \nearrow z) \text {, } \\
& (x \ltimes y) \swarrow z=(x \swarrow y) \swarrow z=x \swarrow(y \swarrow z)=x \nvdash(y \searrow y), \\
& (x \searrow y) \swarrow z=x(\nearrow y) \swarrow z=x \searrow(y \swarrow z) \text {, } \\
& (x \nwarrow y) \downarrow z=(x \swarrow y) \searrow z=(x \searrow y) \searrow z=(x \nearrow y) \searrow z=x \searrow(y \searrow z) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

These groups of relations are denoted by $(1)^{!}, \ldots,(9)^{!}$. Note that the four products $\nwarrow, \swarrow, \downarrow, \nearrow$ are associative.

Proof. We put $G=\operatorname{Vect}(\pi, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow)$ and $E$ the component of arity 3 of the free nonsymmetric operad generated by $G$, that is to say:

$$
E=V e c t\left(Y_{f}^{g},{ }_{f}^{g} \nmid f, g \in\{\pi, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow\}\right) .
$$

We give $G$ a pairing, such that the four products form an orthonormal basis of $G$. This induces a pairing on $E$ : for all $x, y, z, t \in G$,


The quadratic nonsymmetric operad Quad is generated by $G=V \operatorname{ect}(\nwarrow, \swarrow, \downarrow, \nearrow)$ and the subspace of relations $R$ of $E$ corresponding to the nine relations $(1,1) \ldots(3,3)$. The quadratic nonsymmetric operad Quad ${ }^{!}$is generated by $G \approx G^{*}$ and the subspaces of relations $R^{\perp}$ of $E$. As $\operatorname{dim}(R)=9$ and $\operatorname{dim}(E)=32, \operatorname{dim}\left(R^{\perp}\right)=23$. A direct verification shows that the 23 relations given in $(1)^{!}, \ldots,(9)^{!}$are elements of $R^{\perp}$. As they are linearly independent, they form a basis of $R^{\perp}$.

Notations. We consider:

$$
\mathcal{R}=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty}[n]^{2} .
$$

The element $(i, j) \in[n]^{2} \subset \mathcal{R}$ will be denoted by $(i, j)_{n}$ in order to avoid the confusions. We graphically represent $(i, j)_{n}$ by putting in grey the boxes of coordinates $(a, b), 1 \leq a \leq i, 1 \leq b \leq j$, of a $n \times n$ array, the boxes $(1,1),(1, n),(n, 1)$ and $(n, n)$ being respectively up left, down left, up right and down right. For example:

$$
(2,1)_{3}=\sharp
$$

$$
(1,1)_{2}=\boxminus
$$

$(3,2)_{4}=$


Proposition 4 Let $A_{\mathcal{R}}=\operatorname{Vect}(\mathcal{R})$. We define four products $\pi, \measuredangle, \searrow, \nearrow$ on $A_{\mathcal{R}}$ by:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(i, j)_{p} \nwarrow(k, l)_{q}=(i, j)_{p+q}, & (i, j)_{p} \nearrow(k, l)_{q}=(k+p, j)_{p+q}, \\
(i, j)_{p} \measuredangle(k, l)_{q}=(i, p+l)_{p+q}, & (i, j)_{p} \searrow(k, l)_{q}=(k+p, l+p)_{p+q} .
\end{array}
$$

Then $\left(A_{\mathcal{R}}, \nwarrow, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow\right)$ is a dual quadri-algebra. It is graded by putting the elements of $[n]^{2} \in \mathcal{R}$ homogeneous of degree $n$, and the generating formal series of $A_{\mathcal{R}}$ is:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} X^{n}=\frac{X(1+X)}{(1-X)^{3}} .
$$

Moreover, $A_{\mathcal{R}}$ is freely generated as a dual quadri-algebra by $(1,1)_{1}$.
Proof. Let us take $(i, j)_{p},(k, l)_{q}$ and $(m, n)_{r} \in \mathcal{R}$. Then:

- Each computation in $(1)^{!}$gives $(i, j)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in (2)! gives $(p+k, j)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in (3)! gives $(p+q+m, j)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in (4)! gives $(i, p+l)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in (5) ! gives $(p+k, p+l)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in $(6)^{!}$gives $(p+q+m, p+l)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in $(7)^{!}$gives $(i, p+q+n)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in (8)! gives $(p+k, p+q+n)_{p+q+r}$.
- Each computation in (9)! gives $(p+q+m, p+q+n)_{p+q+r}$.

So $A_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a dual quadri-algebra. We now prove that $A_{\mathcal{R}}$ is generated by $(1,1)_{1}$. Let $B$ be the dual quadri-subalgebra of $A_{\mathcal{R}}$ generated by $(1,1)_{1}$, and let us prove that $(i, j)_{n} \in B$ by induction on $n$ for all $(i, j)_{n} \in \mathcal{R}$. This is obvious in $n=1$, as then $(i, j)_{n}=(1,1)_{1}$. Let us assume the result at rank $n-1$, with $n>1$.

- If $i \geq 2$ and $j \leq n-1$, then $(1,1)_{1} \not \subset(i-1, j)_{n-1}=(i, j)_{n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $(i-1, j)_{n-1} \in B$, so $(i, j)_{n} \in B$.
- If $i \leq n-1$ and $j \geq 2$, then $(1,1)_{1} \measuredangle(i, j-1)_{n-1}=(i, j)_{n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $(i, j-1)_{n-1} \in B$, so $(i, j)_{n} \in B$.
- Otherwise, $\left(i=1\right.$ or $j=n$ ) and ( $i=n$ or $j=1$ ), that is to say $(i, j)_{n}=(1,1)_{n}$ or $(i, j)_{n}=$ $(n, n)_{n}$. We remark that $(1,1) \star(1,1)_{n-1}=(1,1)_{n}$ and $(1,1)_{1} \searrow(n-1, n-1)_{n-1}=(n, n)_{n}$. By the induction hypothesis, $(1,1)_{n-1}$ and $(n-1, n-1)_{n} \in B$, so $(1,1)_{n}$ and $(n, n)_{n} \in B$.

Finally, $B$ contains $\mathcal{R}$, so $B=A_{\mathcal{R}}$.
Let $C$ be the free $\mathbf{Q u a d}{ }^{!}$-algebra generated by a single element $x$, homogeneous of degree 1 . As a graded vector space:

$$
C=\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \operatorname{Quad}_{n}^{!} \otimes V^{\otimes n}
$$

where $V=V e c t(x)$. So for all $n \geq 1$, by Proposition 2, $\operatorname{dim}\left(C_{n}\right)=n^{2}=\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{n}\right)$. There exists a surjective morphism of Quad'-algebras $\theta$ from $C$ to $A$, sending $x$ to $(1,1)_{1}$. As $x$ and $(1,1)_{1}$ are both homogeneous of degree $1, \theta$ is homogeneous of degree 0 . As $A$ and $C$ have the same generating formal series, $\theta$ is bijective, so $A$ is isomorphic to $C$.

Examples. Here are graphical examples of products. The result of the product is drawn in light gray:


Roughly speaking, the products of $x \in[m]^{2} \subset \mathcal{R}$ and $y \in[n]^{2} \subset \mathcal{R}$ are obtained by putting $x$ and $y$ diagonally in a common array of size $(m+n) \times(m+n)$. This array is naturally decomposed in four parts denoted by $n w, s w$, se and ne according to their direction. Then:

1. $x \nwarrow y$ is given by the black boxes in the $n w$ part.
2. $x \measuredangle y$ is given by the boxes in the $s w$ part which are simultaneously under a black box and to the left of a black box.
3. $x \searrow y$ is given by the black boxes in the se part.
4. $x \nearrow y$ is given by the boxes in the ne part which are simultaneously over a black box and to the right of a black box.

Here are the results of the nine relations applied to $x=\sharp, y=\sharp$ and $z=\#$ :
(1)!

(2)! :

(3) ${ }^{!}$

(4) ${ }^{!}$

(5)! :

(6) !

(7) ${ }^{!}$

(8)! :

(9) ${ }^{\text {! }}$


## Remarks.

1. A description of the free Quad $^{!}$-algebra generated by any set $\mathcal{D}$ is done similarly. We put:

$$
\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{D})=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty}[n]^{2} \times \mathcal{D}^{n}
$$

The four products are defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left((i, j)_{p}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}\right) \nwarrow\left((k, l)_{q}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right)=\left((i, j)_{p+q}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right), \\
& \left((i, j)_{p}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}\right) \swarrow\left((k, l)_{q}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right)=\left((i, p+l)_{p+q} d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right), \\
& \left((i, j)_{p}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}\right) \searrow\left((k, l)_{q}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right)=\left((k+p, l+p)_{p+q} d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right) \\
& \left((i, j)_{p}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}\right) \nearrow\left((k, l)_{q}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right)=\left((k+p, j)_{p+q} d_{1}, \ldots, d_{p}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. We can also deduce a combinatorial description of the nonsymmetric operad Quad!. As a vector space, Quad $_{n}^{!}=\operatorname{Vect}\left([n]^{2}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$. The composition is given by:

$$
(i, j)_{m} \circ\left(\left(k_{1}, l_{1}\right)_{n_{1}}, \ldots,\left(k_{n}, l_{n}\right)_{n_{m}}\right)=\left(n_{1}+\ldots+n_{i-1}+k_{i}, n_{1}+\ldots+n_{j-1}+l_{j}\right)_{n_{1}+\ldots+n_{m}} .
$$

In particular:

$$
\nwarrow=(1,1)_{2}, \quad \measuredangle=(1,2)_{2}, \quad \searrow=(2,2)_{2}, \quad \nearrow=(2,1)_{2} .
$$

Corollary 5 We define a nonsymmetric operad Dias in the following way:

- For all $n \geq 1, \operatorname{Dias}_{n}=\operatorname{Vect}([n])$. The elements of $[n] \subseteq \operatorname{Dias}_{n}$ are denoted by $(1)_{n}, \ldots,(n)_{n}$ in order to avoid confusions.
- The composition is given by:

$$
(i)_{m} \circ\left(\left(j_{1}\right)_{n_{1}}, \ldots,\left(j_{m}\right)_{n_{m}}\right)=\left(n_{1}+\ldots+n_{i-1}+j_{i}\right)_{n_{1}+\ldots+n_{m}} .
$$

This is the nonsymmetric operad of associative dialgebras [10], that is to say algebras $A$ with two products $\vdash$ and $\dashv$ such that for all $x, y, z \in A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \dashv(y \dashv z)=x \dashv(y \vdash z)=(x \dashv y) \dashv z, \\
& (x \vdash y) \dashv z=x \vdash(y \dashv z), \\
& (x \dashv y) \vdash z=(x \vdash y) \vdash z=x \vdash(y \vdash z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $\square$ and $\square$ the two Manin products on nonsymmetric-operads of [17]. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Quad }^{!}=\text {Dias } \otimes \text { Dias }=\text { Dias } \square \text { Dias }=\text { Dias } \llbracket \text { Dias }, \\
& \text { Quad }=\text { Dend } ■ \text { Dend }=\text { Dend } \square \text { Dend. } .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We denote by Dias' the nonsymmetric operad generated by $\dashv$ and $\vdash$ and the relations:

First, observe that:
$(1)_{2} \circ\left(I,(1)_{2}\right)=(1)_{2} \circ\left(I,(2)_{2}\right)=(1)_{2} \circ\left((1)_{2}, I\right)=(1)_{3}$,
$(1)_{2} \circ\left((2)_{2}, I\right)=(2)_{2} \circ\left(I,(1)_{2}\right)=(2)_{3}$,
$(2)_{2} \circ\left(I,(2)_{2}\right)=(2)_{2} \circ\left((1)_{2}, I\right)=(2)_{2} \circ\left((2)_{2}, I\right)=(3)_{3}$.
So there exists a morphism $\theta$ of nonsymmetric operad from Dias' to Dias, sending $\dashv$ to $(1)_{2}$ and $\vdash$ to $(2)_{2}$. Note that $\theta(I)=(1)_{1}$.

Let us prove that $\theta$ is surjective. Let $n \geq 1, i \in[n]$, we show that $(i)_{n} \in \operatorname{Im}(\theta)$ by induction on $n$. If $n \leq 2$, the result is obvious. Let us assume the result at $\operatorname{rank} n-1, n \geq 3$. If $i=1$, then:

$$
(1)_{2} \circ\left((1)_{1},(1)_{n-1}\right)=(1)_{n} .
$$

By the induction hypothesis, $(1)_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im}(\theta)$, so $(1)_{n} \in \operatorname{Im}(\theta)$. If $i \geq 2$, then:

$$
(2)_{2} \circ\left((1)_{1},(i-1)_{n-1}\right)=(i)_{n} .
$$

By the induction hypothesis, $(1)_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Im}(\theta)$, so $(i)_{n} \in \operatorname{Im}(\theta)$.

It is proved in [10] that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{D i a s}_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{D i a s}_{n}\right)=n$ for all $n \geq 1$. As $\theta$ is surjective, it is an isomorphism. Moreover, let us consider the following map:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Dias } \otimes \text { Dias } & \longrightarrow & \text { Quad }^{!} \\
(i)_{n} \otimes(j)_{n} & \longrightarrow & (i, j)_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clearly an isomorphism of nonsymmetric operads. It is proved in [17] that Dias $\square \mathbf{D i a s}=$ Quad ${ }^{!}$. As $R_{\text {Dias }}$ is generated the quadratic nonsymmetric algebra generated by $(1)_{2}$ and $(2)_{2}$ and the following relations:

$$
{ }_{a}{ }_{b} Y-Y_{c}^{y_{d}^{d}},(a, b, c, d) \in E=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left((1)_{2},(1)_{2},(1)_{2},(1)_{2}\right),\left((1)_{2},(1)_{2},(1)_{2},(2)_{2}\right), \\
\left((2)_{2},(1)_{2},(2)_{2},(1)_{2}\right),\left((1)_{2},(2)_{2},(2)_{2},(2)_{2}\right), \\
\left((2)_{2},(2)_{2},(2)_{2},(2)_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right\},
$$

Dias■ Dias is generated by $(1,1)_{2},(1,2)_{2},(2,1)_{2}$ and $(2,2)_{2}$ with the relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{a}{ }_{b} Y_{c}^{\text {d }},(a, b, c, d) \in E^{\prime}, \\
& E^{\prime}=\left\{\left(\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)_{2},\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)_{2},\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)_{2},\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)_{2}\right) \mid\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}, d_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{2}, c_{2}, d_{2}\right) \in E\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives 25 relations, which are not linearly independent, and can be regrouped in the following way:
${ }_{11} 11$

${ }^{11}{ }_{21}=Y_{21}^{1 / 21}={ }_{21}^{21}$

${ }_{22}^{22}=Y_{11}^{Y / 11}$,
${ }_{11}^{11} Y=Y_{12}^{12}=Y_{12}^{Y / 2}={ }_{12}^{12} Y$,

${ }_{21}{ }_{12}\left(=Y_{22}^{12}={ }_{12}^{22}(\right.$,
$Y_{22}{ }_{22}={ }_{22}^{11} Y={ }_{22}^{12} Y={ }_{21}^{21} Y={ }_{22}{ }_{22} Y$.
where we denote $i j$ instead of $(i, j)_{2}$. So Dias■Dias is isomorphic to Quad' via the isomorphism given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\text { Quad }^{!} & \longrightarrow \text { Dias■ Dias } \\
\nwarrow & \longrightarrow(1,1)_{2}, \\
\swarrow & \longrightarrow(1,2)_{2}, \\
\searrow & \longrightarrow(2,2)_{2}, \\
\nearrow & \longrightarrow(2,1)_{2} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

By Koszul duality, as Dias! = Dend, we obtain the results for Quad.

### 2.2 Free quadri-algebra on one generator

As Quad $=$ Dend $\square$ Dend, Quad is the suboperad of $\operatorname{Dend} \otimes$ Dend generated by the component of arity 2. An explicit injection of Quad into Dend $\otimes$ Dend is given by:

Proposition 6 The following defines a injective morphism of nonsymmetric operads:

$$
\Theta:\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Quad } & \longrightarrow \text { Dend } \otimes \text { Dend } \\
K & \longrightarrow<\otimes< \\
\swarrow & \longrightarrow<\otimes> \\
\searrow & \longrightarrow>\otimes> \\
\nearrow & \longrightarrow>\otimes<
\end{array}\right.
$$

Corollary 7 The quadri-subalgebra of (FQSym, $\nwarrow,\llcorner, \downarrow, \nearrow)$ generated by (12) is free.
Proof. Both dendriform algebras (FQSym $, \downarrow, \uparrow$ ) and (FQSym $, \leftarrow, \rightarrow$ ) are free. So the Dend $\otimes$ Dend-algebra (FQSym $\otimes$ FQSym $\uparrow \uparrow \otimes \leftarrow, \downarrow \otimes \leftarrow, \downarrow \otimes \rightarrow, \uparrow \otimes \rightarrow$ ) is free. By restriction, the $\mathbf{D e n d} \otimes$ Dend-subalgebra of $\mathbf{F Q S y m} \otimes \mathbf{F Q S y m}$ generated by $(1) \otimes(1)$ is free. By restriction, the quadri-subalgebra $A$ of $\mathbf{F Q S y m} \otimes$ FQSym generated by (1) $\otimes(1)$ is free.

Let $B$ be the quadri-subalgebra of FQSym generated by (12) and let $\phi: A \longrightarrow B$ be the unique morphism sending $(1) \otimes(1)$ to (12). We denote by FQSym $_{\text {even }}$ the subspace of FQSym formed by the homogeneous components of even degrees. It is clearly a quadri-subalgebra of FQSym. As $(12) \in \mathbf{F Q S y m}_{\text {even }}, A \subseteq \mathbf{F Q S y m}_{\text {even }}$. We consider the map:

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 m}, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 n}$. Let us prove that $\psi(\sigma \diamond \tau)=\psi(\sigma) \diamond \psi(\tau)$ for $\diamond \in\{\pi, \swarrow, \searrow, \tau\}$.
First case. Let us assume that $\psi(\sigma)=0$. There exists $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that $\sigma(i)$ is even, and an element $m+1 \leq j \leq m+n$, such that $\sigma(j)$ is odd. Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2 n}$. Let $\alpha$ be obtained by a shuffle of $\sigma$ and $\tau[2 n]$. If the letter $\sigma(i)$ appears in $\alpha$ in one of the position $1, \ldots, m+n$, then $\psi(\alpha)=0$. Otherwise, the letter $\sigma(i)$ appears in one of the positions $m+n+1, \ldots, 2 m+2 n$, so $\sigma(j)$ also appears in one of these positions, as $i<j$, and $\psi(\alpha)=0$. In both case, $\psi(\alpha)=0$, and we deduce that $\psi(\sigma \diamond \tau)=0=\psi(\sigma) \diamond \psi(\tau)$.

Second case. Let us assume that $\psi(\tau)=0$. By a similar argument, we show that $\psi(\sigma \diamond \tau)=$ $0=\psi(\sigma) \diamond \psi(\tau)$.

Last case. Let us assume that $\psi(\sigma) \neq 0$ and $\psi(\tau) \neq 0$. We put $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ and $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, where the letters of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\tau_{1}$ are odd and the letters of $\sigma_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are even. Then $\psi(\sigma \nwarrow \tau)$ is obtained by shuffling $\sigma$ and $\tau[2 n]$, such that the first and last letters are letters of $\sigma$, and keeping only permutations such that the $(m+n)$ first letters are odd (and the $(m+n)$ last letters are even). These words are obtained by shuffling $\sigma_{1}$ and $\tau_{1}[2 m]$ such that the first letter is a letter of $\sigma_{1}$, and by shuffling $\sigma_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}[2 m]$, such that the last letter is a letter of $\sigma_{2}$. Hence:

$$
\psi(\sigma \ltimes \tau)=\psi(\sigma) \uparrow \otimes \leftarrow \psi(\tau)=\psi(\sigma) \approx \psi(\tau)
$$

The proof for the three other quadri-algebra products is similar.
Consequently, $\psi$ is a quadri-algebra morphism. Moreover, $\psi \circ \phi((1) \otimes(1))=\psi(12)=(1) \otimes(1)$. As $A$ is generated by $(1) \otimes(1), \psi \circ \phi=I d_{A}$, so $\phi$ is injective, and $A$ is isomorphic to $B$.

### 2.3 Koszulity of Quad

The koszulity of Quad is proved in [17] by the poset method. Let us give here a second proof, with the help of the rewriting method of [9, 2, (12).

Theorem 8 The operads $\mathbf{Q u a d}$ and $\mathbf{Q u a d}^{!}$are Koszul.

Proof. By Koszul duality, it is enough to prove that Quad ${ }^{!}$is Koszul. We choose the order $\searrow<\pi<\swarrow<\pi$ for the four operations, and the order
of arity 3. Relations $(1)^{!}, \ldots,(9)^{!}$give 23 rewriting rules:






There are 156 critical monomials, and the 156 corresponding diagrams are confluent. Hence, Quad ${ }^{\text {! }}$ is Koszul. We used a computer to find the critical monomials and to verify the confluence of the diagrams.

## 3 Quadri-bialgebras

### 3.1 Units and quadri-algebras

Let $A, B$ be a vector spaces. We put $A \bar{\otimes} B=(K \otimes B) \oplus(A \otimes B) \oplus(A \otimes K)$. Clearly, if $A, B, C$ are three vector spaces, $(A \bar{\otimes} B) \bar{\otimes} C=A \bar{\otimes}(B \bar{\otimes} C)$.

Proposition 9 1. Let $A$ be a quadri-algebra. We extend the four products on $A \bar{\otimes} A$ in the following way: if $a, b \in A$,
$a \nwarrow 1=a$,
$a \nearrow 1=0$,
$1 \nwarrow a=0$,
$1 \nearrow a=0$,
$a \swarrow 1=0$,
$a \searrow 1=0$,
$1 \swarrow a=0$,
$1 \searrow a=a$.

The nine relations defining quadri-algebras are true on $A \bar{\otimes} A \bar{\otimes} A$.
2. Let $A, B$ be two quadri-algebras. Then $A \bar{\otimes} B$ is a quadri-algebra with the following products:

- if $a, a^{\prime} \in A \sqcup K, b, b^{\prime} \in B \sqcup K$, with $\left(a, a^{\prime}\right) \notin K^{2}$ and $\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \notin K^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(a \otimes b) \nwarrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \uparrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \leftarrow b^{\prime}\right), & (a \otimes b) \nearrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \uparrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \rightarrow b^{\prime}\right), \\
(a \otimes b) \measuredangle\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \downarrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \leftarrow b^{\prime}\right), & (a \otimes b) \searrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \downarrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \rightarrow b^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

- If $a, a^{\prime} \in A$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(a \otimes 1) \nwarrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=\left(a \nwarrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1, & \\
(a \otimes 1) \nearrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=\left(a \nearrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1, \\
(a \otimes 1) \swarrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=\left(a \swarrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1, & \\
(a \otimes 1) \searrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes 1\right)=\left(a \searrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes 1 .
\end{array}
$$

- If $b, b^{\prime} \in B$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(1 \otimes b) \nwarrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \nwarrow b^{\prime}\right), & \\
(1 \otimes b) \nearrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \nearrow b^{\prime}\right), \\
(1 \otimes b) \swarrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \ltimes b^{\prime}\right), & \\
(1 \otimes b) \searrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \searrow b^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. 1. It is shown by direct verifications.
2. As $(A, \uparrow, \downarrow)$ and $(B, \leftarrow, \rightarrow)$ are dendriform algebras, $A \otimes B$ is a Dend $\otimes$ Dend-algebra, so is a quadri-algebra by Proposition 6, with $\nwarrow=\uparrow \otimes \leftarrow, \swarrow=\downarrow \otimes \leftarrow, \downarrow=\downarrow \otimes \rightarrow$ and $\nearrow=\uparrow \otimes \rightarrow$. The extension of the quadri-algebra axioms to $A \bar{\otimes} B$ is verified by direct computations.

Remark. There is a second way to give $A \bar{\otimes} B$ a structure of quadri-algebra with the help of the associativity of $\star$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } a \in A \text { or } a^{\prime} \in A, b, b^{\prime} \in K \oplus B,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(a \otimes b) \nwarrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \nwarrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \star b^{\prime}\right), \\
(a \otimes b) \swarrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \swarrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \star b^{\prime}\right), \\
(a \otimes b) \searrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \searrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \star b^{\prime}\right), \\
(a \otimes b) \nearrow\left(a^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a \nearrow a^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(b \star b^{\prime}\right) ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { if } b, b^{\prime} \in K \oplus B,\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1 \otimes b) \nwarrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \nwarrow b^{\prime}\right), \\
(1 \otimes b) \swarrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \swarrow b^{\prime}\right), \\
(1 \otimes b) \searrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \searrow b^{\prime}\right), \\
(1 \otimes b) \nearrow\left(1 \otimes b^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes\left(b \nearrow b^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$A \otimes K$ and $K \otimes B$ are quadri-subalgebras of $A \bar{\otimes} B$, respectively isomorphic to $A$ and $B$.

### 3.2 Definitions and example of FQSym

Definition 10 A quadri-bialgebra is a family $\left(A, \nwarrow, \swarrow, \downarrow, \nearrow, \tilde{\Delta}_{\nwarrow}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\swarrow}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\searrow}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}\right)$ such that:

- $(A \nwarrow, \swarrow, \downarrow, \nearrow)$ is a quadri-algebra.
- $\left(A, \tilde{\Delta}_{\star}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\swarrow}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\star}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}\right)$ is a quadri-coalgebra.
- We extend the four coproducts in the following way:

For all $a, b \in A$ : For all $a, b \in A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a \nwarrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \nwarrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a \swarrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \swarrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a \searrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \searrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a \nearrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \nearrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\swarrow}(a \nwarrow b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \nwarrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\swarrow}(a \measuredangle b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \swarrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\swarrow}(a \searrow b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \searrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\swarrow}(a \nearrow b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \nearrow \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta_{\nearrow}(a \nwarrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \nwarrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b)
$$

$$
\Delta_{\nearrow}(a \swarrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \swarrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b)
$$

$$
\Delta_{\nearrow}(a \searrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \searrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b)
$$

$$
\Delta_{\nearrow}(a \nearrow b)=\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \not \nearrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\searrow}(a \nwarrow b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \nwarrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\searrow}(a \swarrow b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \swarrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\searrow}(a \searrow b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \searrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b) \\
& \Delta_{\searrow}(a \nearrow b)=\Delta_{\downarrow}(a) \nearrow \Delta_{\rightarrow}(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\nwarrow}: \begin{cases}A & \longrightarrow A \otimes A \\
a & \longrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\nwarrow}(a)+a \otimes 1,\end{cases} \\
& \Delta_{\measuredangle}: \begin{cases}A & \longrightarrow A \otimes A \\
a & \longrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\measuredangle}(a),\end{cases} \\
& \Delta_{\nearrow}: \begin{cases}A & \longrightarrow A \otimes A \\
a & \longrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}(a),\end{cases} \\
& \Delta_{\searrow}: \begin{cases}A & \longrightarrow A \otimes A \\
a & \longrightarrow \tilde{\Delta}_{\searrow}(a)+1 \otimes a .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. In other words, for all $a, b \in A$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nwarrow}(a \nwarrow b)=a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\leftarrow}(a \nwarrow b)=a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\searrow}(a \nwarrow b)=a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}(a \nwarrow b)=a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nwarrow}(a \swarrow b)=a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\swarrow}(a \swarrow b)=b \otimes a+b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a \leftarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\searrow}(a \swarrow b)=b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a \leftarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}(a \swarrow b)=a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nwarrow}(a \searrow b)=a \downarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\leftarrow}(a \searrow b)=b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a \rightarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\downarrow}(a \searrow b)=b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a \rightarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}(a \searrow b)=a \downarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime} \otimes b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \downarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nwarrow}(a \nearrow b)=a \uparrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\swarrow}(a \nearrow b)=a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\leftarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\searrow}(a \nearrow b)=a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b+a_{\downarrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\downarrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}(a \nearrow b)=a \otimes b+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b+a \uparrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime} \otimes b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}+a_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes a_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow b_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we obtain four dendriform bialgebras [6]:

$$
\left(A, \leftarrow, \rightarrow, \Delta_{\leftarrow}, \Delta_{\rightarrow}\right), \quad\left(A, \downarrow^{o p}, \uparrow^{o p}, \Delta_{\downarrow}^{o p}, \Delta_{\uparrow}^{o p}\right), \quad\left(A, \rightarrow^{o p}, \leftarrow^{o p}, \Delta_{\uparrow}, \Delta_{\downarrow}\right), \quad\left(A, \uparrow, \downarrow, \Delta_{\rightarrow}^{o p}, \Delta_{\leftarrow}^{o p}\right)
$$

Proposition 11 The augmentation ideal of FQSym is a quadri-bialgebra.
Proof. As an example, let us prove the last compatibility. Let $\sigma, \tau$ be two permutations, of respective length $k$ and $l$. Then $\Delta_{\nearrow}(\sigma \nearrow \tau)$ is obtained by shuffling in all possible ways the words $\sigma$ and the shifting $\tau[k]$ of $\tau$, such that the first letter comes from $\sigma$ and the last letter comes from $\tau[k]$, and then cutting the obtained words in such a way that 1 is in the left part and $k+l$ in the right part. Hence, the left part should contain letters coming from $\sigma$, including 1 , and starts by the first letter of $\sigma$, and the right part should contain letters coming from $\tau[k]$, including $k+l$, and ends with the last letter of $\tau[k]$. there are four possibilities:

- The left part contains only letters from $\sigma$ and the right part contains only letters form $\tau[k]$. This gives the term $\sigma \otimes \tau$.
- The left part contains only letters from $\sigma$, and the right part contains letters from $\sigma$ and $\tau[k]$. This gives the term $\sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \otimes \sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \tau$.
- The left part contains letters from $\sigma$ and $\tau[k]$, and the right part contains only letters form $\tau[k]$. This gives the term $\sigma \uparrow \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}$.
- Both parts contains letters from $\sigma$ and $\tau[k]$. This gives the term $\sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes \sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}$.

So:

$$
\Delta_{\nearrow}(\sigma \nearrow \tau)=\sigma \otimes \tau+\sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \otimes \sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \tau+\sigma \uparrow \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}+\sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes \sigma_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \tau_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}
$$

The other compatibilities are proved following the same lines.

### 3.3 Other examples

Let $F_{\text {Quad }}(V)$ be the free quadri-algebra generated by $V$. As it is free, it is possible to define four coproducts satisfying the quadri-bialgebra axioms in the following way: for all $v \in V$,

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_{\nwarrow}(v)=\tilde{\Delta}_{\swarrow}(v)=\tilde{\Delta}_{\searrow}(v)=\tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}(v)=0 .
$$

It is naturally graded by puting the elements of $V$ homogeneous of degree 1 .
Proposition 12 For any vector space $V, F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)$ is a quadri-bialgebra.
Proof. We only have to prove the nine compatibilities of quadri-coalgebras. We consider:

$$
B_{(1,1)}=\left\{a \in F_{\text {Quad }}(V) \mid\left(\Delta_{\nwarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a)=(I d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a)\right\} .
$$

First, for all $v \in V$ :

$$
\left(\Delta_{\nwarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}(v)=v \otimes 1 \otimes 1=(I d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}(v),
$$

so $V \subseteq B_{(1,1)}$. If $a, b \in B_{(1,1)}$ and $\diamond \in\{\pi, \swarrow, \searrow, \nearrow\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta_{\nwarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a \diamond b) & \left.=\left(\left(\Delta_{\uparrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\uparrow}(a)\right) \diamond\left(\Delta_{\leftarrow} \otimes I d\right) \circ \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b)\right) \\
& =\left((I d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_{\uparrow}(a)\right) \diamond\left((I d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b)\right) \\
& =(I d \otimes \Delta)\left(\Delta_{\uparrow}(a) \diamond \Delta_{\leftarrow}(b)\right) \\
& =(I d \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta_{\nwarrow}(a \diamond b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $a \diamond b \in B_{(1,1)}$, and $B_{(1,1)}$ is a quadri-subalgebra of $F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)$ containing $V: B_{(1,1)}=F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)$, and the quadri-coalgebra relation (1.1) is satisfied. The eight other relations can be proved in the same way. Hence, $F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)$ is a quadri-bialgebra.

## Remarks.

1. We deduce that $\left(F_{\text {Quad }}(V), \leftarrow, \rightarrow, \Delta_{\leftarrow}, \Delta_{\rightarrow}\right)$ and $\left(F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V), \uparrow, \downarrow, \Delta_{\rightarrow}^{o p}, \Delta_{\leftarrow}^{o p}\right)$ are bidendriform bialgebras, in the sense of [6, 7]; consequently, $\left(F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V), \leftarrow, \rightarrow\right)$ and $\left(F_{\text {Quad }}(V), \uparrow, \downarrow\right)$ are free dendriform algebras.
2. When $V$ is one-dimensional, here are the respective dimensions $a_{n}, b_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ of the homogeneous components, of the primitive elements, and of the dendriform primitive elements, of degree $n$, for these two dendriform bialgebras:

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{n}$ | 1 | 4 | 23 | 156 | 1162 | 9162 | 75819 | 644908 | 5616182 | 49826712 |
| $b_{n}$ | 1 | 3 | 16 | 105 | 768 | 6006 | 49152 | 415701 | 3604480 | 31870410 |
| $c_{n}$ | 1 | 2 | 10 | 64 | 462 | 3584 | 29172 | 245760 | 2124694 | 18743296 |

These are sequences A007297, A085614 and A078531 of [16].
3. Let $V$ be finite-dimensional. The graded dual $F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)^{*}$ of $F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)$ is also a quadribialgebra. By the bidendriform rigidity theorem [6, 7], $\left(F_{\text {Quad }}(V)^{*}, \leftarrow, \rightarrow\right)$ and $\left(F_{\text {Quad }}(V)^{*}, \uparrow\right.$ ,$\downarrow$ ) are free dendriform algebras. Moreover, for any $x, y \in V$, nonzero, $x \ltimes y$ and $x \searrow y$ are nonzero elements of $\operatorname{Prim}_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}\left(F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)\right)$, which implies that $\left(F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)^{*}, \nwarrow, \swarrow, \downarrow, \nearrow\right)$ is not generated in degree 1 , so is not free as a quadri-algebra. Dually, the quadri-coalgebra $F_{\text {Quad }}(V)$ is not cofree.

We now give a similar construction on the Hopf algebra of packed words WQSym, see [15] for more details on this combinatorial Hopf algebra.

Theorem 13 For any nonempty packed word $w$ of length $n$, we put:

$$
m(w)=\max \{i \in[n] \mid w(i)=1\}, \quad M(w)=\max \{i \in[n] \mid w(i)=\max (w)\}
$$

We define four products on the augmentation ideal of WQSym in the following way: if $u, v$ are packed words of respective lengths $k, l \geq 1$ :

We define four coproducts on the augmentation ideal of WQSym in the following way: if $u$ is a packed word of length $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\nwarrow}(u)=\sum_{u(1), u(n) \leq i<\max (u)} u_{\mid[i]} \otimes \operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{\mid[\max (u)] \backslash[i]}\right), \\
& \Delta_{\swarrow}(u)=\sum_{u(n) \leq i<u(1)} u_{\mid[i]} \otimes \operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{\mid[\max (u)] \backslash[i]}\right), \\
& \Delta_{\searrow}(u)=\sum_{1 \leq i<u(1), u(n)} u_{\mid[i]} \otimes \operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{\mid[\max (u)] \backslash[i]}\right), \\
& \Delta_{\nearrow}(u)=\sum_{u(1) \leq i<u(n)} u_{\mid[i]} \otimes \operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{\mid[\max (u)] \backslash[i]}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These products and coproducts make WQSym a quadri-bialgebra. The induced Hopf algebra structure is the usual one.

Proof. For all packed words $u, v$ of respective lengths $k, l \geq 1$ :

$$
u \star v=\sum_{\substack{\operatorname{Pack}(w(1) \ldots w(k))=u, \operatorname{Pack}(w(k+1) \ldots w(k+l)=v}} w .
$$

So $\star$ is the usual product of WQSym, and is associative. In particular, if $u, v, w$ are packed words of respective lengths $k, l, n \geq 1$ :

$$
u \star(v \star w)=(u \star v) \star w=\sum_{\substack{\operatorname{Pack}(x(1) \ldots x(k))=u, \operatorname{Pack}(x(k+1) \ldots x(k+l)=v, \operatorname{Pack}(x(k+l+1), \ldots, x(k+l+n))=w}} x .
$$

Then each side of relations $(1,1) \ldots(3,3)$ is the sum of the terms in this expression such that:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
m(x), M(x) \leq k & m(x) \leq k<M(x) \leq k+l & m(x) \leq k<k+l<M(x) \\
M(x) \leq k<m(x) \leq k+l & k<m(x), M(x) \leq k+l & k<m(x) \leq k+l<M(x) \\
M(x) \leq k<k+l<m(x) & k<M(x) \leq k+l<m(x) & k+l<m(x), M(x)
\end{array}
$$

So (WQSym, $\pi, \swarrow, \downarrow, \nearrow)$ is a quadri-algebra.
For all packed word $u$ of length $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\tilde{\Delta}(u)=\sum_{1 \leq i<\max (u)} u_{\mid[i]} \otimes \operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{\mid[\max (u)] \backslash[i]}\right) .
$$

So $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the usual coproduct of WQSym and is coassociative. Moreover:

$$
(\tilde{\Delta} \otimes I d) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(u)=(I d \otimes \tilde{\Delta}) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(u)=\sum_{1 \leq i<j<\max (u)} u_{\mid[i]} \otimes \operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{[j j] \backslash[i]}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{[[\max (u)] \backslash[j]]}\right) .
$$

Then each side of relations $(1,1) \ldots(3,3)$ is the sum of the terms in this expression such that:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u(1), u(n) \leq i & u(1) \leq i<u(n) \leq j & u(1) \leq i<j<u(n) \\
u(n) \leq i<u(1) \leq j & i<u(1), u(n) \leq j & i<u(1) \leq j<u(n) \\
u(n) \leq i<j<u(1) & i<u(n) \leq j<u(1) & j<u(1), u(n)
\end{array}
$$

So (WQSym, $\Delta_{\star}, \Delta_{\swarrow}, \Delta_{\star}, \Delta_{\nearrow}$ ) is a quadri-coalgebra.
Let us prove, as an example, one of the compatibilities between the products and the coproducts. If $u, v$ are packed words of respective lengths $k, l \geq 1, \Delta_{\nearrow}(u \nearrow v)$ is obtained as follows:

- Consider all the packed words $w$ such that $\operatorname{Pack}(w(1) \ldots w(k))=u, \operatorname{Pack}(w(k+1) \ldots w(k+$ $l))=v$, such that $1 \notin\{w(k+1), \ldots, w(k+l)\}$ and $\max (w) \in\{w(k+1), \ldots, w(k+l)\}$.
- Cut all these words into two parts, by separating the letters into two parts according to their orders, such that the first letter of $w$ in the left (smallest) part, and the last letter of $w$ is in the right (greatest) part, and pack the two parts.

If $u^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained in this way, before packing, $u^{\prime}$ contains 1 , so contains letters $w(i)$ with $i \leq k$, and $u^{\prime \prime}$ contains $\max (w)$, so contains letters $w(i)$, with $i>k$. Four cases are possible.

- $u^{\prime}$ contains only letters $w(i)$ with $i \leq k$, and $u^{\prime \prime}$ contains only letters $w(i)$ with $i>k$. Then $w=\left(u(1) \ldots u(k)(v(1)+\max (u)) \ldots(v(l)+\max (u))\right.$ and $u^{\prime} \otimes u^{\prime \prime}=u \otimes v$.
- $u^{\prime}$ contains only letters $w(i)$ with $i \leq k$, whereas $u^{\prime \prime}$ contains letters $w(i)$ with $i \leq k$ and letters $w(j)$ with $j>k$. Then $u^{\prime}$ is obtained from $u$ by taking letters $<i$, with $i \geq u(1)$, and $u^{\prime \prime}$ is a term appearing in $\operatorname{Pack}\left(u_{[[k] \backslash[i]}\right) \star v$, such that there exists $j>k-i$, with $u^{\prime \prime}(j)=\max \left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Summing all the possibilities, we obtain $u_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \otimes u_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow v$.
- $u^{\prime}$ contains letters $w(i)$ with $i \leq k$ and letters $w(j)$ with $j>k$, whereas $u^{\prime \prime}$ contains only letters $w(i)$ with $i>k$. With the same type of analysis, we obtain $u \uparrow v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}$.
- Both $u^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ contain letters $w(i)$ with $i \leq k$ and letters $w(j)$ with $j>k$. We obtain $u_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes u_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}$.

Finally:

$$
\Delta_{\nearrow}(u \nexists v)=u \otimes v+u_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \otimes u_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow v+u \uparrow v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime}+u_{\uparrow}^{\prime} \uparrow v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \otimes u_{\uparrow}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow v_{\rightarrow}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

The fifteen remaining compatibilites are proved following the same lines.

## Examples.

$(12) \nwarrow(12)=(1423)$,
$(12) \measuredangle(12)=(1312)+(2312)+(2413)+(3412)$,
(12) $\searrow(12)=(1212)+(1213)+(2313)+(2314)$,
$(12) \pi(12)=(1223)+(1234)+(1323)+(1324)$.
Corollary 14 (WQSym, $\rightarrow, \leftarrow$ ) and (WQSym, $\downarrow, \uparrow$ ) are free dendriform algebras.

## Remarks.

1. If $A$ is a quadri-algebra, we put:

$$
\operatorname{Prim}_{\text {Quad }}(A)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{\star}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{\star}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{\star}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ker}\left(\tilde{\Delta}_{\nearrow}\right) .
$$

For any vector space $V, A=F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)$ is obviously generated by $\operatorname{Prim}_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(A)$, as $V \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Prim}_{\text {Quad }}(A)$.
2. Let us consider the quadri-bialgebra FQSym. Direct computations show that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Prim}_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(\mathbf{F Q S y m})_{1}=\operatorname{Vect}(1), \\
& \operatorname{Prim}_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(\mathbf{F Q S y m})_{2}=(0), \\
& \operatorname{Prim}_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(\mathbf{F Q S y m})_{3}=(0), \\
& \operatorname{Prim}_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(\mathbf{F Q S y m})_{4}=\operatorname{Vect}((2413)-(2143),(2413)-(3412)) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

moreover, the homogeneous component of degree 4 of the quadri-subalgebra generated by $\operatorname{Prim}_{\text {Quad }}($ FQSym $)$ has dimension 23, with basis:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1234),(1243),(1324),(1342),(1423),(1432),(2134),(2314),(2314),(2431), \\
(3124),(3214),(3241),(3421),(4123),(4132),(4213),(4231),(4312),(4321), \\
(2143)+(2413),(3142)+(3412),(2143)-(3142) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So FQSym is not generated by $\operatorname{Prim}_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(\mathbf{F Q S y m})$, so is not isomorphic, as a quadribialgebra, to any $F_{\mathbf{Q u a d}}(V)$. A similar argument holds for WQSym.
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