

# Upper Bounds for Prime Gaps Related to Firoozbakht's Conjecture

Alexei Kourbatov  
 www.JavaScripter.net/math  
 15127 NE 24th St., #578  
 Redmond, WA 98052  
 USA  
 akourbatov@gmail.com

## Abstract

We study two kinds of conjectural bounds for the prime gap after the  $k$ th prime  $p_k$ : (A)  $p_{k+1} < (p_k)^{1+1/k}$  and (B)  $p_{k+1} - p_k < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - b$  for  $k > 9$ . The upper bound (A) is equivalent to Firoozbakht's conjecture. We prove that (A) implies (B) with  $b = 1$ ; on the other hand, (B) with  $b = 1.17$  implies (A). We also give other sufficient conditions for (A) that have the form (B) with  $b \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 1 Introduction

In 1982 Firoozbakht proposed the following conjecture [6, p. 185]:

**Firoozbakht's Conjecture.** If  $p_k$  is the  $k$ th prime, the sequence  $(p_k^{1/k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is decreasing. Equivalently, for all  $k \geq 1$ , the prime  $p_{k+1}$  is bounded by the inequality

$$p_{k+1} < (p_k)^{1+1/k}. \quad (1)$$

Several authors [7, 8, 10, 11] have observed that

- Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) implies *Cramér's conjecture*  $p_{k+1} - p_k = O(\log^2 p_k)$  [2].
- If conjecture (1) is true and  $k$  is large, then

$$p_{k+1} - p_k < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k. \quad (2)$$

(Sun [10, 11] gives a variant of (2) with a larger right-hand side,  $\log^2 p_k - \log p_k + 1$ .)

In Section 2 we prove that (1) implies a sharper bound than (2):

$$p_{k+1} - p_k < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - b \quad \text{for all } k > 9, \quad (3)$$

with  $b = 1$ . If the exact value of  $k = \pi(p_k)$  is not available, then a violation of (2) or (3) might be used to *disprove* Firoozbakht's conjecture (1). However, given a pair of primes  $p_k, p_{k+1}$ , the validity of (2) alone is not enough for the verification of (1). We discuss this in more detail in Section 3; see also [4]. In Section 4 we prove that (3) with  $b = 1.17$  implies (1); we also give other sufficient conditions for (1). Probabilistic considerations [2, 3, 4, OEIS [A235402](#)] suggest that bounds (1), (2), (3) hold for *almost all maximal gaps* between primes.

## 2 A corollary of Firoozbakht's conjecture

**Theorem 1.** *If conjecture (1) is true, then*

$$p_{k+1} - p_k < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1 \quad \text{for all } k > 9.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to check that

$$\frac{x + \log^2 x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x}} < \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}} \quad \text{for } x \geq 285967. \quad (4)$$

Denote by  $\pi(x)$  the prime-counting function. From Axler [1, Corollary 3.6] we have

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}} < \pi(x) \quad \text{for } x \geq 1772201. \quad (5)$$

Taking the log of both sides of (1) we find that Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) is equivalent to

$$k < \frac{\log p_k}{\log p_{k+1} - \log p_k}. \quad (6)$$

Let  $k \geq 133115$ . Then  $p_k \geq 1772201$ . By setting  $x = p_k$  in (4) and (5), we see that inequalities (4), (5), (6) form a chain. Therefore, if Firoozbakht's conjecture is true, then

$$\frac{p_k + \log^2 p_k}{\log p_k - 1 - \frac{1}{\log p_k}} < \frac{\log p_k}{\log p_{k+1} - \log p_k} \quad \text{for } p_k \geq 1772201. \quad (7)$$

Cross-multiplying, we get

$$(\log p_{k+1} - \log p_k)(p_k + \log^2 p_k) < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1. \quad (8)$$

We have

$$\frac{y}{x + y} < \log(x + y) - \log x \quad \text{for every } x, y > 0. \quad (9)$$

Setting  $x = p_k$  and  $y = p_{k+1} - p_k$ , we can replace the left-hand side of (8) by a smaller quantity  $(p_{k+1} - p_k)(p_k + \log^2 p_k)/p_{k+1}$  to obtain the inequality

$$\frac{(p_{k+1} - p_k)(p_k + \log^2 p_k)}{p_{k+1}} < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(p_{k+1} - p_k)(p_k + \log^2 p_k) < (p_k + (p_{k+1} - p_k))(\log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1),$$

$$p_{k+1} - p_k < \frac{p_k}{p_k + \log p_k + 1}(\log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1).$$

This proves the theorem for every  $k \geq 133115$  because  $p_k/(p_k + \log p_k + 1) < 1$ . Separately, for  $9 < k < 133115$  we verify the desired inequality by direct computation.  $\square$

### 3 Does a given prime gap confirm or disprove Firoozbakht's conjecture?

Given  $p_k$  and  $p_{k+1}$ , where the prime gap  $p_{k+1} - p_k$  is "large" and  $k = \pi(p_k)$  is *not* known, can we decide whether this gap confirms or disproves Firoozbakht's conjecture? The answer is, in most cases, *yes*. We showed this in [4, Sect. 3] and established the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** ([4, Sect. 4]). *Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) is true for all primes  $p_k < 4 \times 10^{18}$ .*

In the verification of (1) for  $p_k < 4 \times 10^{18}$  we have *not* used bound (2) or (3); see [4]. Indeed, (2) is a corollary of (1); as such, (2) might be true even when (1) is false. Here is a more detailed discussion. Define (see Table 1):

$$f_k = p_k^{1+1/k} - p_k \quad (\text{the upper bound for } p_{k+1} - p_k \text{ predicted by (1)});$$

$$\ell_k = \log^2 p_k - \log p_k \quad (\text{the upper bound for } p_{k+1} - p_k \text{ predicted by (2)}).$$

One can prove that  $f_k < \ell_k$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ; moreover,  $f_k = \ell_k - 1 + o(1)$  (see *Appendix*). Computation shows that  $f_k < \ell_k$  for  $p_k \geq 11783$  ( $k \geq 1412$ ). Suppose there is a prime  $q \in [p_k + f_k, p_k + \ell_k]$ ; for example, there is such a prime,  $q = 2010929$ , when  $p_k = 2010733$  (see line 7 in Table 1). Now what if there were no other primes between  $p_k$  and  $q$ ? Then we would have  $p_{k+1} = q$ , Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) would be *false*, while (2) would still be *true*. So (2) is not particularly useful for *verifying* (1). On the other hand, any violation of (2) would immediately disprove Firoozbakht's conjecture (1). Clearly, similar reasoning is valid for (3) with  $b \leq 1$ . However, in the next section we prove that (3) with  $b = 1.17$  is a *sufficient condition* for Firoozbakht's conjecture (1). We will also give a few other sufficient conditions that have the form (3) with  $b \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

| $k$            | $p_k$            | $p_{k+1} - p_k$ | $f_k = p_k^{1+1/k} - p_k$ | $\ell_k = \log^2 p_k - \log p_k$ |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 6              | 13               | 4               | 6.934                     | 4.014                            |
| 9              | 23               | 6               | 9.586                     | 6.696                            |
| 30             | 113              | 14              | 19.286                    | 17.621                           |
| 217            | 1327             | 34              | 44.709                    | 44.515                           |
| 3385           | 31397            | 72              | 96.188                    | 96.861                           |
| 31545          | 370261           | 112             | 150.529                   | 151.581                          |
| 149689         | 2010733          | 148             | 194.972                   | 196.142                          |
| 1319945        | 20831323         | 210             | 265.959                   | 267.137                          |
| 1094330259     | 25056082087      | 456             | 548.237                   | 549.389                          |
| 94906079600    | 2614941710599    | 652             | 787.801                   | 788.925                          |
| 662221289043   | 19581334192423   | 766             | 904.982                   | 906.097                          |
| 6822667965940  | 218209405436543  | 906             | 1055.966                  | 1057.071                         |
| 49749629143526 | 1693182318746371 | 1132            | 1193.418                  | 1194.516                         |

Table 1: Upper bounds for prime gaps  $p_{k+1} - p_k$  predicted by (1) and (2);  $p_k \in \underline{\text{A111943}}$  [9]

## 4 Sufficient conditions for Firoozbakht's conjecture

**Theorem 3.** *If*

$$p_{k+1} - p_k < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1.17 \quad \text{for all } k > 9 \ (p_k \geq 29), \quad (10)$$

then Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) is true.

*Proof.* From Axler [1, Corollary 3.5] we have

$$\log x - 1 - \frac{1.17}{\log x} < \frac{x}{\pi(x)} \quad \text{for every } x \geq 5.43. \quad (11)$$

Let  $k > 9$ . Multiplying both sides of (11) by  $\log x$ , taking  $x = p_k$ , and using (10), we get

$$p_{k+1} - p_k < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1.17 < \frac{p_k \log p_k}{k} \quad \text{for } p_k \geq 29; \quad (12)$$

therefore,

$$\frac{p_{k+1} - p_k}{p_k} < \frac{\log p_k}{k} \quad \text{for } p_k \geq 29. \quad (13)$$

We have

$$\log(x + y) - \log x < \frac{y}{x} \quad \text{for every } x, y > 0.$$

Setting  $x = p_k$  and  $y = p_{k+1} - p_k$ , we can replace the left-hand side of (13) by a smaller quantity  $\log p_{k+1} - \log p_k$  to obtain the inequality

$$\log p_{k+1} - \log p_k < \frac{\log p_k}{k}, \quad (14)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\log_{p_k} \frac{p_{k+1}}{p_k} < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Now, exponentiation with base  $p_k$  yields Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) for  $p_k \geq 29$ . This completes the proof since for small  $p_k$  conjecture (1) holds unconditionally [4].  $\square$

**Other sufficient conditions for (1).** Based on the  $\pi(x)$  formula of Panaitopol [5], Axler gives a family of upper bounds for  $\pi(x)$  [1, Corollary 3.5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) &< \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1.17}{\log x}} && \text{for } x \geq 5.43, \\ \pi(x) &< \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{3.83}{\log^2 x}} && \text{for } x \geq 9.25, \\ \pi(x) &< \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{3.35}{\log^2 x} - \frac{15.43}{\log^3 x}} && \text{for } x \geq 14.36, \\ \pi(x) &< \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{3.35}{\log^2 x} - \frac{12.65}{\log^3 x} - \frac{89.6}{\log^4 x}} && \text{for } x \geq 21.95. \end{aligned}$$

Just as in Theorem 3, we can transform the above upper bounds into sufficient conditions for Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) and obtain our next theorem.

**Theorem 4.** *If one or more of the following conditions hold for all  $p_k > 4 \times 10^{18}$  :*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k+1} - p_k &< \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1.17, \\ p_{k+1} - p_k &< \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1 - \frac{3.83}{\log p_k}, \\ p_{k+1} - p_k &< \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1 - \frac{3.35}{\log p_k} - \frac{15.43}{\log^2 p_k}, \\ p_{k+1} - p_k &< \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1 - \frac{3.35}{\log p_k} - \frac{12.65}{\log^2 p_k} - \frac{89.6}{\log^3 p_k}, \end{aligned}$$

*then Firoozbakht's conjecture (1) is true.*

In the statement of Theorem 4, we have taken into account that for  $p_k < 4 \times 10^{18}$  conjecture (1) holds unconditionally [4]. We do not give a proof of Theorem 4; it is fully similar to the proof of Theorem 3.

**Remarks.**

(i) In inequality (10) the right-hand side is an increasing function of  $p_k$ . Therefore, (10) holds for a *maximal prime gap* with  $p_k = \underline{\text{A002386}}(n)$ , then (10) must also be true for *every*  $p_k$  between  $\underline{\text{A002386}}(n)$  and  $\underline{\text{A002386}}(n+1)$ . So an easy way to prove Theorem 2 is to check (1) directly for all primes  $p_k \leq 89$ , then verify (10) just for maximal prime gaps with  $p_k = \underline{\text{A002386}}(n) \geq 89$ .

(ii) In Theorem 4, the coefficients of  $(\log p_k)^{-n}$  approximate the terms of OEIS sequence [A233824](#): a recurrent sequence in Panaitopol's formula for  $\pi(x)$  [5].

## 5 Appendix: An asymptotic formula for $p_k^{1+1/k} - p_k$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $p_k$  be the  $k$ -th prime, and let  $f_k = p_k^{1+1/k} - p_k$ , then*

$$f_k = \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1 + o(1) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{cf. OEIS } \underline{\text{A246778}}).$$

*Proof.* From Axler [1, Corollaries 3.5, 3.6] we have

$$\frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{1}{\log^2 x}} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{\log x} - \frac{3.83}{\log^2 x}} \quad \text{for } x \geq 1772201. \quad (15)$$

By definition of  $f_k$ , we have  $\log_{p_k}(p_k + f_k) = 1 + 1/k$ , so  $k = \pi(p_k) = \frac{\log p_k}{\log(p_k + f_k) - \log p_k}$ .

Therefore, for  $x = p_k \geq 1772201$ , we can rewrite (15) as

$$\frac{p_k}{\log p_k - 1 - \frac{1}{\log p_k} - \frac{1}{\log^2 p_k}} < \frac{\log p_k}{\log(p_k + f_k) - \log p_k} < \frac{p_k}{\log p_k - 1 - \frac{1}{\log p_k} - \frac{3.83}{\log^2 p_k}}. \quad (16)$$

*An upper bound for  $f_k$ .* We combine (4) with the left inequality of (16) to get

$$\frac{p_k + \log^2 p_k}{\log p_k - 1 - \frac{1}{\log p_k}} < \frac{\log p_k}{\log(p_k + f_k) - \log p_k} \quad \text{for } p_k \geq 1772201. \quad (17)$$

Cross-multiplying and using (9), similar to Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_k(p_k + \log^2 p_k)}{p_k + f_k} &< (\log(p_k + f_k) - \log p_k)(p_k + \log^2 p_k) < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1, \\ f_k(p_k + \log^2 p_k) &< (p_k + f_k)(\log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1), \\ f_k &< \frac{p_k}{p_k + \log p_k + 1}(\log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1) < \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

*A lower bound for  $f_k$ .* From the right inequality of (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1 - \frac{3.83}{\log p_k}}{p_k} &< \log(p_k + f_k) - \log p_k < \frac{f_k}{p_k}, \\ \log^2 p_k - \log p_k - 1 - \frac{3.83}{\log p_k} &< f_k. \end{aligned}$$

Together, the upper and lower bounds yield the desired asymptotic formula for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

## 6 Acknowledgments

The author expresses his gratitude to the anonymous referee for numerous useful suggestions, and to all contributors and editors of the websites *OEIS.org* and *PrimePuzzles.net*, particularly to Farideh Firoozbakht for proposing a very interesting conjecture. Thanks are also due to Christian Axler for proving the  $\pi(x)$  bounds [1] used in Theorems 1, 3–5.

## References

- [1] C. Axler, New bounds for the prime counting function  $\pi(x)$ , preprint, 2014, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1780>
- [2] H. Cramér, On the order of magnitude of the difference between consecutive prime numbers, *Acta Arith.* **2** (1936), 23–46.
- [3] A. Kourbatov, The distribution of maximal prime gaps in Cramér’s probabilistic model of primes, *Int. Journal of Statistics and Probability* **3** (2) (2014), 18–29. arXiv:1401.6959
- [4] A. Kourbatov, Verification of the Firoozbakht conjecture for primes up to four quintillion, *Int. Math. Forum* **10** (2015), 283–288. arXiv:1503.01744
- [5] L. Panaitopol, A formula for  $\pi(x)$  applied to a result of Koninck-Ivić, *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd.* **5** (2000), 55–56.
- [6] P. Ribenboim, *The Little Book of Bigger Primes*, New York, Springer, 2004.
- [7] C. Rivera (ed.), Conjecture 30. The Firoozbakht Conjecture, 2002. Available at [http://www.primepuzzles.net/conjectures/conj\\_030.htm](http://www.primepuzzles.net/conjectures/conj_030.htm).
- [8] N. K. Sinha, On a new property of primes that leads to a generalization of Cramér’s conjecture, preprint, 2010, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.1399>.
- [9] N. J. A. Sloane (ed.), *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 2015. Published electronically at <http://oeis.org/A111943>.
- [10] Z.-W. Sun, On a sequence involving sums of primes, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **88** (2013), 197–205. arXiv:1207.7059
- [11] Z.-W. Sun, *Various New Observations About Primes*, A talk given at Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (Aug 28, 2012). Available at <http://math.nju.edu.cn/~zwsun/ObservPrime.pdf>.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11N05.

*Keywords*: Cramér conjecture, Firoozbakht conjecture, prime gap.

---

(Concerned with sequences [A002386](#), [A005250](#), [A111943](#), [A182134](#), [A182514](#), [A182519](#), [A205827](#), [A233824](#), [A235402](#), [A235492](#), [A245396](#), [A246776](#), [A246777](#), [A246778](#), [A246810](#), [A249669](#).)

---