

# How to prove this polynomial always has integer values at all integers

Wilberd van der Kallen

September 2015

## Abstract

The following problem was posed by user “Kevin” on Mathoverflow. How to prove this polynomial always has integer values at all integers?

$$P_m(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{x+j}{j} \binom{x-1}{j} \binom{j}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{i}{m-j} \frac{3}{(2i-1)(2j+1)(2m-2i-1)}.$$

We provide an answer.

So

$$P_m(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{x+j}{j} \binom{x-1}{j} \binom{j}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{i}{m-j} \frac{3}{(2i-1)(2j+1)(2m-2i-1)}.$$

Our task is to show it takes integer values on integers.

As Kevin explains at

[question 209140](<http://mathoverflow.net/q/209140>)

$P_m(x)$  is an even polynomial of degree  $2m$  and he could show that  $xP_m(x)$  always has integer values at all integers.

Following Wadim Zudilin we put

$$B_k(x) = \binom{x+k}{2k} + \binom{-x+k}{2k}.$$

For  $k \geq 0$  the  $B_k$  are even polynomials of degree  $2k$  that take integer values on integers. One has  $B_k(k) = 1$  for  $k \geq 1$ , but  $B_0(0) = 2$ . Further  $B_k(i) = 0$  for  $|i| < k$ . So the matrix

$$(B_k(i))_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq k \leq m}}$$

is triangular.

Every even polynomial  $f(x)$  of degree  $2j$  is clearly a linear combination of  $B_0, \dots, B_j$  and the coefficients are determined by  $f(0), \dots, f(j)$ . When  $f(0) = 0$  it is actually a linear combination of  $B_1, \dots, B_j$ .

Rewrite  $P_m(x)$  as

$$P_m(x) = \sum_k d(m, k) B_k(x)$$

with  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . As explained by Kevin,  $P_m(k)$  vanishes if  $m > 2|k| - 2 \geq 0$  because all terms in the sum vanish. It can also be shown that  $P_m(0) = 0$  for  $m \geq 2$ , but that is more tricky. Indeed we will show that  $d(m, 0) = 0$  for  $m \geq 2$ .

Note that  $P_m(x)$  visibly lies in the local ring  $\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  for integer  $x$ . So it suffices to show that  $d(m, k)$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for any odd prime  $p$ . In fact we will find that the  $d(m, k)$  are integers for  $m \geq 1$ . And  $d(0, 0) = 3/2$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for our odd prime  $p$ . For  $m$  not too large one may simply compute all  $d(m, k)$ . The matrix

$$(d(m, k))_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq 10 \\ 0 \leq m \leq 10}}$$

looks like this

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 24 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 118 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 696 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & 720 & 4824 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 336 & 8288 & 38240 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 6516 & 95928 & 336822 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2520 & 109872 & 1131732 & 3215544 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 392 & 67904 & 1735320 & 13647840 & 32651544 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will tacitly use it to deal with small values of  $m$ .

We will study the set

$$V_p = \{(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\}.$$

Using a method of Zeilberger we will prove relations between the  $d(m, k)$  that were first discovered experimentally. One relation allows us to rewrite  $m(m-1)(1+2m)d(m, k)$  in such a manner that we can use the method of Floors described in

[question 26336](<http://mathoverflow.net/q/26336>).

With that method we show that  $m(m-1)(1+2m)d[m, k]$  is an integer multiple of  $3m(m-1)$ . Together with the relations this will allow us to show that  $V_p$  fills all of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  for odd primes  $p$ .

Our variables  $i, j, k, m, n, q$  will take integer values only.

As in the A=B book [1] we use the convention that  $\binom{x}{j}$  is a polynomial in  $x$  for fixed  $j$ . And it is the zero polynomial if  $j < 0$ . So  $\binom{i}{j}$  is defined for all integers  $i, j$ . It also vanishes if  $j > i \geq 0$ . Of course  $\binom{i}{j}$  agrees with the usual binomial coefficient if  $0 \leq j \leq i$ .

By inspecting the values at  $x = 0, \dots, j$ , we see that

$$(-1)^j \binom{x+j}{j} \binom{x-1}{j} - (-1)^{j-1} \binom{x+j-1}{j-1} \binom{x-1}{j-1}$$

equals  $(-1)^j \binom{2j}{j} B_j(x)/2$  for  $j \geq 0$ . Taking the telescoping sum over  $j$  gives

$$(-1)^j \binom{x+j}{j} \binom{x-1}{j} = \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^k \binom{2j}{j} B_k(x)/2$$

for  $j \geq 0$ . (Valid for all  $j$ , actually).

This allows us to conclude that

$$d(m, k) = \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=k}^m \frac{3(-1)^{k+j} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{j}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{i}{m-j}}{2(2i-1)(2j+1)(2m-2i-1)}.$$

In particular  $d(m, k) = 0$  for  $m < 0$  and for  $k > m$ . We will see that  $m(m-1)d(m, k)$  also vanishes for  $2k - 2 < m$ .

Let us use the notation  $[\text{statement}] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if statement is true;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Then

$$d(m, k) = \sum_{i,j} [j \geq k \geq 0] \text{term}(m, k, i, j), \quad (\Sigma ij)$$

where

$$\text{term}(m, k, i, j) = [m \geq 0] \frac{3(-1)^{k+j} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{j}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{i}{m-j}}{2(2i-1)(2j+1)(2m-2i-1)}.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rel1}(m, k) = & \\
& -32(3 - 2k)^2(-k + m + 1)(-k + m + 2)d(m, k - 2) \\
& +4(-k + m + 1)(2km^2 - 2(k - 1)(8k - 9)m + (2k - 3)(8(k - 2)k + 9))d(m, k - 1) \\
& +k(-2k + m + 2)(-2k + m + 3)(-2k + 2m + 1)d(m, k),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{rel2}(m, k) = & \\
& -4((m - 1)^2 - 1)d(m - 1, k - 1) \\
& -4(2(k - 1) + m + 1)(-k + m + 1)d(m, k - 1) \\
& +k(2k - m - 2)d(m, k)
\end{aligned}$$

### Key results

- $\text{rel1}(m, k)$  vanishes.
- $m(m - 1)d(m, k)$  vanishes for  $2k - 2 < m$ .
- $\text{rel2}(m, k)$  vanishes.
- $m(m - 1)(2m + 1)d(m, k)$  is an integer multiple of  $3m(m - 1)$ .

Before proving the Key results, let us draw conclusions from them. Let  $m \geq 2$ . As  $d(m, 0) = 0$ , we have  $P_m(0) = 0$  and the  $d(m, k)$  are determined by  $P_m(1) \dots, P_m(m)$ . Now the integral matrix

$$(B_k(i))_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}}$$

is triangular with ones on the diagonal. We conclude that  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$  for  $m \geq 2$ .

Let  $p$  be a prime,  $p \geq 5$ , and let  $m \geq 2$ . If  $p$  does not divide  $2m + 1$ , then  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  because  $m(m - 1)(2m + 1)d(m, k) \in 3m(m - 1)\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . Now assume  $p$  divides  $2m + 1$ . Then it does not divide  $2m + 3$ , so then  $d(m + 1, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for all  $j$ . Also,  $p$  does not divide  $(m - 1)(m + 1)$ , so it follows from  $\text{rel2}(m + 1, k + 1) = 0$  that  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . We have shown that  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  if  $p$  is prime,  $p \geq 5$ ,  $m \geq 2$ .

Remains  $p = 3$ . Let  $m \geq 2$  again.

If 3 does not divide  $2m + 1$ , then  $d(m, k) \in 3\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$  because  $m(m - 1)(2m + 1)d(m, k) \in 3m(m - 1)\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ .

If  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , or  $m \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , then  $(2m + 1)/3$  is prime to 3 and  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$  because  $m(m - 1)((2m + 1)/3)d(m, k) \in m(m - 1)\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ .

If  $m \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ , then  $(m-1)(m+1)/3$  is prime to 3 and  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$  because  $\text{rel}2(m+1, k+1) = 0$  shows  $((m-1)(m+1)/3)d(m, k)$  is an integer linear combination of the integers  $d(m+1, j)/3$ .

We conclude that  $d(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$  for  $m \geq 2$ . So the  $d(m, k)$  are integers for  $m \geq 2$  and  $P_m$  takes integer values on integers for  $m \geq 2$ . Recall that  $P_0, P_1$  also take integer values.  
**Done.**

**So we still have to prove the Key results.**

First a technical issue. If  $x > 0$  then  $\binom{x}{j} = \frac{\Gamma(1+x)}{\Gamma(1+j)\Gamma(1+x-j)}$  and the bimeromorphic function

$$f(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(1+x)}{\Gamma(1+y)\Gamma(1+x-y)}$$

is continuous at  $(x, j)$ . However, if  $i < 0$  then  $f$  has an indeterminate value at  $(i, j)$ . For example,  $\binom{i}{i}$  equals 1 if  $i \geq 0$ , but it vanishes for  $i < 0$ . At  $(-1, -1)$  both 0 and 1 are values of  $f$ . Indeed Mathematica can be steered to give either answer.

`Binomial[i, j] /. i -> -1 /. j -> -1` gives 1 and

`Binomial[i, j] /. j -> -1 /. i -> -1` gives 0.

And `FullSimplify[Binomial[i, i] == Binomial[i - 1, i - 1]]` yields `True`. This answer is correct, but it tells only that for generic complex numbers  $i$  the identity holds.

Thus we need to make case distinctions when using identities between multimeromorphic functions, explicitly or implicitly, to prove identities involving the  $\binom{i}{j}$ .

We start proving that  $\text{rel}1(m, k)$  vanishes.

As  $[j \geq k + 1](2(2k + 1)\text{term}(m, k, i, j) + (k + 1)\text{term}(m, k + 1, i, j)) = 0$ , we get from  $(\Sigma ij)$  that

$$2(2k + 1)d(m, k) + (k + 1)d(m, k + 1) = \sum_i \text{iterm}(m, k, i) \quad (\Sigma i)$$

where

$$\text{iterm}(m, k, i) = 2(2k + 1)\text{term}(m, k, i, k).$$

Now we use the

Fast Zeilberger Package version 3.61  
 written by Peter Paule, Markus Schorn, and Axel Riese  
 Copyright 1995-2015, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC),  
 Johannes Kepler University, Linz, Austria.

It suggests to put

$$g(m, k, i) = \frac{3 \times 2^{2k+3} m(-2i + m + 1) \Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2}) \binom{k+1}{i-1} \binom{m-1}{k+1} \binom{k+1}{m-i}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(k+2)}$$

and show that

$$\begin{aligned} & -32(1+2k)(3+2k)(k-m)(1+k-m) \text{iterm}(m, k, i) \\ & -4(1+k-m)(57+110k+72k^2+16k^3-34m-46km-16k^2m+4m^2+2km^2) \\ & \quad \times \text{iterm}(m, k+1, i) \\ & -(2+k)(5+2k-2m)(3+2k-m)(4+2k-m) \text{iterm}(m, k+2, i) \\ & -g(m, k, i+1) + g(m, k, i) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \geq 0$ . So we do that and then sum over  $i$ , using  $(\Sigma i)$ . The  $g$  terms drop out by telescoping and we get a relation

$$\begin{aligned} & -32(1+2k)(3+2k)(k-m)(1+k-m)(2(2k+1)d(m, k) + (k+1)d(m, k+1)) \\ & -4(1+k-m)(57+110k+72k^2+16k^3-34m-46km-16k^2m+4m^2+2km^2) \\ & \quad \times (2(2k+3)d(m, k+1) + (k+2)d(m, k+2)) \\ & -(2+k)(5+2k-2m)(3+2k-m)(4+2k-m) \\ & \quad \times (2(2k+5)d(m, k+2) + (k+3)d(m, k+3)) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

valid for all  $m$ , as it is obvious for  $m < 0$ . We may rewrite it as a recursion for  $\text{rel1}$ :

$$2(3+2k)\text{rel1}(m, k+2) + (2+k)\text{rel1}(m, k+3) = 0.$$

As  $d(m, k)$  vanishes for  $k > m$ , it follows from the recursion that  $\text{rel1}(m, k)$  vanishes for all  $k$ .

**So we have established the vanishing of  $\text{rel1}(m, k)$ .**

Put

$$\text{pterm}(m, x, i, j) = \binom{x+j}{j} \binom{x-1}{j} \binom{j}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{i}{m-j} \frac{3}{(2i-1)(2j+1)(2m-2i-1)},$$

so that

$$P_m(x) = \sum_{i,j} \text{pterm}(m, x, i, j).$$

If  $k \geq 1$  and  $\text{pterm}[m, k, i, j]$  is nonzero, then  $k - 1 \geq j$  and  $m \geq j \geq i \geq m - j$ . We see that

$$P_m(k) = 0 \text{ if } 0 \leq 2k - 2 < m,$$

because all the  $\text{pterm}(m, k, i, j)$  vanish. In particular we get

$$0 = P_m(1) = \sum_k d(m, k)B_k(1) = 2d(m, 0) + d(m, 1),$$

and

$$0 = P_m(2) = \sum_k d(m, k)B_k(2) = 2d(m, 0) + 4d(m, 1) + d(m, 2)$$

for  $m \geq 3$ . So then  $d(m, 1) = -2d(m, 0)$  and  $d(m, 2) = 6d(m, 0)$ . Substitute this into  $\text{rel1}(m, 2) = 0$  and you find

$$4m(m - 1)(-2m - 1)d(m, 0) = 0.$$

This means that  $d(m, 0) = 0$  for  $m \geq 3$ . As  $d(2, 0)$  also vanishes, we now know that  $m(m - 1)P_m(k)$  vanishes if  $m > 2|k| - 2$ . As the matrix

$$(B_k(i))_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 \leq k \leq m}}$$

is triangular, we now conclude that

$$m(m - 1)d(m, k) \text{ vanishes for } m > 2k - 2. \quad (\text{SSE})$$

**So we have established the vanishing of  $m(m - 1)d(m, k)$  for  $m > 2k - 2$ .**

Before turning to  $\text{rel2}(m, k)$  we compute  $d(2k - 2, k)$  and  $d(2k - 3, k)$  for  $k \geq 3$ . These are the values that help to compute all  $d(m, k)$  recursively with the recursion given by  $\text{rel1}(m, k) = 0$ . As  $d(2k - 2, j)$  vanishes for  $j < k$ , one has

$$d(2k - 2, k) = P_{2k-2}(k) = \text{pterm}(2k - 2, k, k - 1, k - 1)$$

and similarly

$$d(2k - 3, k) = P_{2k-3}(k) = \text{pterm}(2k - 3, k, k - 1, k - 2) + \text{pterm}(2k - 3, k, k - 1, k - 1).$$

So we know  $d(m, k)$  for  $m \geq 2k - 3 \geq 3$ . By (SSE) we also know  $d(m, k)$  for  $k \leq 1$  and any  $m$ . Using these values we get  $\text{rel2}(m, k) = 0$  by inspection for  $m \geq 2k - 3$  or  $k \leq 1$ . Notice that  $(-7 + 2k)\text{rel2}(2k - 4, k) - \text{rel1}(2k - 4, k)$  is a combination of the known terms  $d(-5 + 2k, -1 + k)$ ,  $d(-4 + 2k, -2 + k)$ ,  $d(-4 + 2k, -1 + k)$ . It also vanishes by inspection, so we now have that  $\text{rel2}(m, k) = 0$  for  $m \geq 2k - 4$  or  $k \leq 1$ .

By substituting the definitions and expanding we check that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1+k)(-1+2k-2m)(-3+2k-m)(4-2k+m)\text{rel2}(m,k) \\
& + 4(-1+(-1+m)^2)\text{rel1}(m-1,k-1) \\
& - 32(5-2k)^2(-2+k-m)(-1+k-m)\text{rel2}(m,k-2) \\
& + 4(1-k+m) \\
& \times (-99+16k^3-2m(32+m)-8k^2(11+2m)+2k(81+m(31+m)))\text{rel2}(m,k-1) \\
& - (-1+k)(-4+2k-m)\text{rel1}(m,k) \\
& - 4(-1+k-m)(-5+2k+m)\text{rel1}(m,k-1) \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

As  $\text{rel1}$  vanishes, this leads to the following recursion for  $\text{rel2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1+k)(-1+2k-2m)(-3+2k-m)(4-2k+m)\text{rel2}(m,k) \\
& - 32(5-2k)^2(-2+k-m)(-1+k-m)\text{rel2}(m,k-2) \\
& + 4(1-k+m) \\
& \times (-99+16k^3-2m(32+m)-8k^2(11+2m)+2k(81+m(31+m)))\text{rel2}(m,k-1) \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

As  $\text{rel2}(m,k) = 0$  for  $2k-4 \leq m$  or  $k \leq 1$ , the recursion shows by induction on  $k$  that  $\text{rel2}(m,k) = 0$  for all  $m, k$ .

**So we have also established the vanishing of  $\text{rel2}(m,k)$**  and it is time to show the Key result that  $m(m-1)(2m+1)d(m,k)$  is an integer multiple of  $3m(m-1)$ . This is obvious for  $m < 2$ , so we further assume  $m \geq 2$ . Then we know that  $d(m,0) = 0$  and we have seen this implies  $d(m,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ . So it suffices to show that  $m(m-1)(2m+1)d(m,k) \in 3m(m-1)\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ .

Using relation  $(\Sigma i)$  we may rewrite  $\text{rel1}(m,k) = 0$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(m-1)m(2m+1)d(m,k-1) \\
& + (2-2k+m)(3-2k+m)(1-2k+2m) \sum_i \text{iterm}(m,k-1,i) \\
& + 16(3-2k)(-2+k-m)(-1+k-m) \sum_i \text{iterm}(m,k-2,i) \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$



We claim that

$$(2 - 2k + m)(3 - 2k + m)(1 - 2k + 2m)\text{iterm}(m, k - 1, i) \\ + 16(3 - 2k)(-2 + k - m)(-1 + k - m)\text{iterm}(m, k - 2, i)$$

lies in  $3m(m - 1)\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ .

That will prove that the  $(m - 1)m(2m + 1)d(m, k - 1)$  are integer multiples of  $3m(m - 1)$ .

Put

$$\text{frac1}(m, k, i) = \frac{3(m - 1)m \binom{2(k-1)}{k-1} (-2k + 2m + 1) \binom{k-1}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{i}{-k+m+1}}{(2i - 1)(2m - 2i - 1)}$$

and

$$\text{frac2}(m, k, i) = 6(k - m - 1) \binom{2(k-1)}{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{i}{-k+m+1}.$$

Then  $\text{frac1}(m, k, i) + \text{frac2}(m, k, i)$  equals

$$(2 - 2k + m)(3 - 2k + m)(1 - 2k + 2m)\text{iterm}(m, k - 1, i) \\ + 16(3 - 2k)(-2 + k - m)(-1 + k - m)\text{iterm}(m, k - 2, i),$$

so it suffices to show that  $\text{frac1}(m, k, i)/(6m(m - 1))$  and  $\text{frac2}(m, k, i)/(6m(m - 1))$ , which make sense for  $m \geq 2$ , lie in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$  for  $m \geq 2$ . Recall that the Catalan numbers

$$C(i) = \frac{\binom{2i}{i}}{i + 1}$$

are integers. See

[A000108](<https://oeis.org/A000108>)

We now look at  $\text{frac1}(m, k, i)/(6m(m - 1))$ .

If  $\text{frac1}(m, k, i)$  is nonzero then  $m \geq k - 1 \geq i \geq m + 1 - k \geq 0$ . We distinguish two cases:  $m = k - 1 \geq i \geq 0$  and  $m > k - 1 \geq i \geq m + 1 - k \geq 0$ .

First let  $m = k - 1 \geq i \geq 0$ . If  $i = k - 1$ , then

$$\text{frac1}(m, k, i)/(6m(m - 1)) = \text{frac1}(k - 1, k, k - 1)/(6(k - 1)(k - 2)) = C(k - 2).$$

Similarly  $\text{frac1}(k - 1, k, 0)/(6(k - 1)(k - 2)) = C(k - 2)$ .

So we may assume  $0 < i < m = k - 1$ . Then

$\text{frac1}(m, k, i)/(6m(m - 1)) = \text{frac1}(m, m + 1, i)/(6m(m - 1))$  equals

$$\frac{-(2i-2)!(2m)!(-2i+2m-2)!}{2(i!)^2(2i-1)!((m-i)!)^2(-2i+2m-1)!}$$

and we must show it takes values in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ .

This is the kind of expression to which one may apply the method of Floors explained in [question 26336](<http://mathoverflow.net/q/26336>).

It is based on

$$\text{ord}_p n! = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor + \dots$$

According to the method it suffices to check that  $\text{test}(m, i, 2n+1) \geq 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{test}(m, i, q) = & \\ & -2 \left\lfloor \frac{m-i}{q} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{-2i+2m-2}{q} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{-2i+2m-1}{q} \right\rfloor \\ & -2 \left\lfloor \frac{i}{q} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2i-2}{q} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{2i-1}{q} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2m}{q} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

This is a tedious puzzle. For fixed  $q$  the function  $\text{test}(m, i, q)$  is periodic of period  $q$  in both variables  $i$  and  $m$ . So for fixed  $q$  one may simply compute all values. We do it for  $3 \leq q = 2n+1 < 17$ . The results are nonnegative. But if  $q$  is large we need to be more efficient. If both  $q = 2n+1$  and  $m$  are fixed, then  $\text{test}(m, i, q)$  can only change value where at least one of the Floors jumps as a function of  $i$ . So it suffices to sample around the jumping points (modulo  $q$ ). We know where they are. More specifically, we only need to consider the 15 cases where one of  $i-1, i, i+1$  lies in  $\{0, 1, -1+m, m, -2+m-n, -1+m-n, 1+n\}$ . So we can eliminate  $i$  at the expense of having 15 cases. Similarly we can eliminate  $m$  for each of those cases, ending up with 153 test functions that depend on  $n$  only. Each test function is a linear combination of seven Floors. Each of the Floors stabilises after  $n$  has reached an easily computable bound. For instance  $\left\lfloor -\frac{8}{2n+1} \right\rfloor$  is constant for  $n \geq 4$ . In fact the bound 5 suffices for all  $7 \times 153$  Floors. Compute the 153 stable values. They are nonnegative. This solves the puzzle; the check for  $3 \leq q = 2n+1 < 17$  was overkill.

So we now turn to the case  $m > k-1 \geq i \geq m+1-k \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{frac1}(m, k, i)/(6m(m-1)C(i-1)) = & \\ & \frac{i!(2k-2)!m!(-2i+2m-2)!(-2k+2m+1)!}{(2i)!(k-1)!(-i+k-1)!(m-i)!(-2i+2m-1)!(-k+m+1)!(2m-2k)!(i+k-m-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

We use the method of Floors again to show that  $\text{frac1}(m, k, i)/(6m(m-1)C(i-1)) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ . This time we eliminate  $k, m, i$  in that order and take  $n \geq 6$  as bound where all  $13 \times 3508$  Floors are stable.

**So we have shown that**  $\text{frac1}(m, k, i)/(6m(m-1))$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$  for  $m \geq 2$ . Remains showing that  $\text{frac2}(m, k, i)/(6m(m-1))$  lies in  $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$  for  $m \geq 2$ .

If  $\text{frac2}(m, k, i)$  is nonzero then  $m > k-1 \geq i \geq m+1-k > 0$  and  $\text{frac2}(m, k, i)/(6m(m-1))$  equals

$$\frac{-(2k-2)!(m-2)!}{i!(k-1)!(-i+k-1)!(m-i)!(m-k)!(i+k-m-1)!}$$

This can be treated like the previous case. We eliminate  $k, m, i$  in that order and take  $n \geq 6$  as bound where all  $8 \times 1278$  Floors are stable.

**Done**

## References

- [1] Marko Petkovšek, Herbert S. Wilf, Doron Zeilberger, A=B. With a foreword by Donald E. Knuth. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1996. xii+212 pp. ISBN: 1-56881-063-6