

# ON COMPOSITION POLYNOMIALS

JEAN-CHRISTOPHE NOVELLI AND JEAN-YVES THIBON

ABSTRACT. We provide a combinatorial interpretation of the reduced composition polynomials of Ardila and Doker [Adv. Appl. Math. **50** (2013), 607], and relate them to the  $(1-q)$ -transform of noncommutative symmetric functions.

## 1. COMPOSITION POLYNOMIALS AND NONCOMMUTATIVE SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Let  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$  be a composition of  $n$ . The *composition polynomial*  $g_I(q)$  is defined in [1] as

$$(1) \quad g_I(q) = \int_q^1 \int_q^{t_1} \dots \int_q^{t_{r-1}} t_1^{i_1-1} t_2^{i_2-1} \dots t_r^{i_r-1} dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_r.$$

This polynomial is divisible by  $(1-q)^r$ , and  $f_I(q) = g_I(q)/(1-q)^r$  is called the *reduced composition polynomial*. It is proved in [1] that its coefficients are nonnegative. Our aim is to give a combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of  $n!f_I(q)$ , which turn out to be integers. Actually, these polynomials have a natural interpretation in the context of noncommutative symmetric functions [5, 6].

Let  $S_n(A)$  denote the noncommutative complete symmetric functions of an alphabet  $A$ , and  $\Psi_n(A)$  the noncommutative power-sums of the first kind.

Their generating series

$$(2) \quad \sigma_t(A) := \sum_{n \geq 0} S_n(A)t^n \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_t(A) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \Psi_n t^{n-1}$$

are related by the differential equation

$$(3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_t(A) = \sigma_t(A) \psi_t(A)$$

with the initial condition  $\sigma_0(A) = 1$ . This can be recast as an integral equation

$$(4) \quad \sigma_t(A) = 1 + \int_0^t \sigma_u(A) \psi_u(A) du$$

which may be solved by iterated integrals<sup>1</sup>.

In [6], an analogue of the classical  $(1-q)$ -transform of ordinary symmetric functions (sending the power sums  $p_n$  to  $(1-q^n)p_n$ ) is defined:

$$(5) \quad \sigma_t((1-q)A) := \lambda_{-qt}(A) \sigma_t(A) \quad (\text{where } \lambda_t(A) = \sigma_t(A)^{-1}).$$

---

*Date:* October 13, 2015.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T30, 05E05, 52B11, 05A18.

*Key words and phrases.* Noncommutative symmetric functions, Composition polynomials.

<sup>1</sup>The reader unfamiliar with noncommutative symmetric function can assume that  $\sigma_t$  is a generic noncommutative power series and take this as a definition of  $\psi_t$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *The coefficient of  $\Psi^I$  in  $S_n((1-q)A)$  is  $g_I(q)$ .*

*Proof* – The series  $X(t) = \sigma_1((t-q)A) := \lambda_{-q}(A)\sigma_t(A)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$(6) \quad \frac{dX}{dt} = \lambda_{-q}(A)\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_t(A) = \lambda_{-q}(A)\sigma_t(A)\psi_t(A) = X(t)\psi_t(A)$$

with the initial condition

$$(7) \quad X(q) = 1,$$

so that

$$(8) \quad X(t) = 1 + \int_q^t X(t_1)\psi_{t_1} dt_1$$

whose solution is

$$(9) \quad X(t) = 1 + \sum_{r \geq 1} \int_q^t \int_q^{t_1} \cdots \int_q^{t_{r-1}} \psi_{t_r} \cdots \psi_{t_2} \psi_{t_1} dt_r \cdots dt_2 dt_1.$$

■

**Example 1.2.** For  $n = 4$ ,

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} 4!S_4((1-q)A) &= 6(1-q)(q+1)(q^2+1)\Psi^4 + 2(3q^2+2q+1)(1-q)^2\Psi^{31} \\ &+ 3(1-q)^2(q+1)^2\Psi^{22} + (3q+1)(1-q)^3\Psi^{211} \\ &+ 2(q^2+2q+3)(1-q)^2\Psi^{13} + 2(q+1)(1-q)^3\Psi^{121} \\ &+ (q+3)(1-q)^3\Psi^{112} + (1-q)^4\Psi^{1111}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.3.** *For a composition  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$  of  $n$ , we set*

$$(11) \quad P_I(q) = n!f_I(q) = n! \frac{g_I(q)}{(1-q)^r}.$$

**Example 1.4.** From Example 1.2, we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} P_4 &= 6q^3 + 6q^2 + 6q + 6, \\ P_{31} &= 6q^2 + 4q + 2, \\ P_{22} &= 3q^2 + 6q + 3, \\ P_{211} &= 3q + 1, \\ P_{13} &= 2q^2 + 4q + 6, \\ P_{121} &= 2q + 2, \\ P_{112} &= q + 3, \\ P_{1111} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the sequence of the sums of all  $P_I$  of a given weight at  $q = 1$  begins with

$$(13) \quad 1, 1, 3, 13, 73$$

which suggests a combinatorial interpretation using sets of lists.

## 2. COMBINATORIAL INTERPRETATION OF THE REDUCED POLYNOMIALS

A *set of lists* of size  $n$  is a set partition  $\pi$  of  $[n]$  endowed with a total order inside each block [7, A000262]. Since this object is intermediate between a segmented permutation and a set partition, we propose to call it a *permutation partition*, or for short, a *permutation*.

Let  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  be the set of permutations of size  $n$ . We define a statistic  $\text{sinv}$  (special inversions) on  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  as follows:  $\text{sinv}(\pi)$  is the number of letters in  $\pi$  greater than the last letter of their block.

We also introduce an order among the blocks, according to the value of the last letter of each block, and define the composition  $c(\pi)$  as the sequence of lengths of the blocks ordered in this way.

For example, with  $\pi = \{53, 4612, 978\}$ ,  $\text{sinv}(\pi) = 1 + 2 + 1 = 4$ , and the canonical ordering of  $\pi$  is the segmented permutation  $\sigma = (4612|53|978)$ , so that  $c(\pi) = (4, 2, 3)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The reduced composition polynomial  $P_I$  is the generating function of  $\text{sinv}$  on permutations of composition  $I$ :*

$$(14) \quad P_I(q) = \sum_{c(\pi)=I} q^{\text{sinv}(\pi)}.$$

**Example 2.2.** With  $I = (1, 3)$ , one gets 12 permutations of shape  $I$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &(1|234), (1|324), (1|243), (1|423), (1|342), (1|432), \\ &(2|134), (2|314), (2|143), (2|413), \\ &(3|124), (3|214) \end{aligned}$$

whose numbers of special inversions are respectively 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, yielding the polynomial  $6 + 4q + 2q^2$  which is indeed  $P_{13}$ , see Example 12.

*Proof* – Ardila and Doker [1] give the induction formula

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{i_1} g_{(i_1+i_2, i_3, \dots, i_r)}(q) = g_I(q) - \frac{q^{i_1}}{i_1} g_{(i_2, \dots, i_r)}(q)$$

which translates into

$$(16) \quad qi_1 P_I(q) + P_{(i_1+i_2, i_3, \dots, i_r)}(q) = i_1 P_I(q) + \frac{n!}{(n-i_1)!} q^{i_1} P_{(i_2, \dots, i_r)}(q),$$

which, together with the initial conditions  $P_{1^n}(q) = 1$ , completely determine all the  $P_I$ . To prove that the polynomials defined by (14) satisfy this induction, we interpret both sides of (16) as  $q$ -enumerations of disjoint unions of sets.

Let us first describe the combinatorial interpretation of each of the four terms.

First, both terms  $i_1 P_I(q)$  are seen as the set  $E_1$  of pairs  $(\tau, x)$  where  $\tau$  satisfies  $c(\tau) = I$  and  $x$  is an element of  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i_1}\}$ .

Following Ardila-Doker, denote by  $I^1$  the composition  $(i_1 + i_2, i_3, \dots, i_r)$ . The term  $P_{I^1}$  is interpreted as the set  $E_2$  of permutations  $\tau$  satisfying  $c(\tau) = I^1$ .

Finally, the term  $\frac{n!}{(n-i_1)!}P_{(i_2, \dots, i_r)}$  is translated as the set  $E_3$  of pairs  $(\tau, w)$  where  $\tau$  satisfies  $c(\tau) = (i_2, \dots, i_r)$  and where  $w = w_1 \dots w_{i_1}$  is a word of size  $i_1$  with distinct values between 1 and  $n$ .

We shall now proceed as follows: first define a map from  $E_1$  to  $E_1 \cup E_3$ , characterize its image set, show that it is bijective and show that it behaves as desired with respect to the  $q$ -enumeration constraint. Then, define a map from  $E_2$  to  $E_1 \cup E_3$ , show the same properties as before and also show that the images of both partition the set  $E_1 \cup E_3$ .

Let us first define our map  $\phi_1$  from  $E_1$ . Consider an element  $(\tau, x)$  of  $E_1$  and set  $S = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i_1}\}$ .

- If  $\tau_{i_1}$  is the smallest value of  $S$ , define  $w$  as the word obtained from  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{i_1}$  by exchanging  $x$  with  $\tau_{i_1}$ . Then

$$(17) \quad \phi_1((\tau, x)) = (\text{std}(\tau_{i_1+1} \dots \tau_n), w),$$

where  $\text{std}$  denotes the usual standardization of words over an ordered alphabet.

- Otherwise, let  $m$  be the maximal value of  $S$  strictly smaller than  $\tau_{i_1}$ . Then let  $\tau'$  be obtained from  $\tau$  by exchanging  $\tau_{i_1}$  with  $m$  and define

$$(18) \quad \phi_1((\tau, x)) = (\tau', x).$$

By construction, in both cases, each element in the image of  $\phi_1$  has a unique preimage, so that  $\phi_1$  is a bijection from  $E_1$  to its image set. Indeed, one can retrieve  $\tau$  in the first case, since the values  $\tau_{i_1+1} \dots \tau_n$  are obtained from their standardized word by the unique increasing morphism from  $[n - i_1]$  to the set of values in  $[n]$  not occurring in  $w$ . Then,  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{i_1}$  is obtained from  $w$  by exchanging the smallest value of  $w$  with its last one, and  $x$  is  $w_{i_1}$ . The second case is straightforward, as  $x$  plays no rôle in the definition of  $\tau'$ , from which  $\tau$  can be easily recovered.

Let us now describe the image set  $\phi_1(E_1)$ . The intersection of  $E_1$  and  $\phi_1(E_1)$  is the set of elements where  $x$  can take any value and such that  $\tau_{i_1}$  is not the greatest value of  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i_1}\}$  smaller than  $\tau_{i_1+i_2}$ . Indeed, such a pair clearly has a preimage as described above and the other elements of  $E$  do not. The intersection of  $E_3$  after applying the increasing morphism to the standard word and  $\phi_1(E_1)$  is the set of elements where the smallest value of  $w$  is smaller than  $\tau_{i_1+i_2}$ . Indeed, the condition on the smallest value of  $w$  is necessary and sufficient to have a permutation as preimage.

Finally, with respect to the  $q$ -enumeration according to the number of special inversions of the permutations on both sides,  $\phi_1$  behaves as expected. Any element  $(\tau, x) \in E_1$  sent to  $(\pi, x) \in E_1$  satisfies  $\text{sinv}(\tau) = \text{sinv}(\pi) - 1$ , since there will be one more inversion in  $\pi$  than in  $\tau$  among their first  $i_1$  values, that is, before the first bar. Now, for any  $(\tau, x) \in E_1$  sent to  $(\pi, w) \in E_3$ ,  $\text{sinv}(\tau) = \text{sinv}(\pi) + i_1 - 1$  since there will be  $i_1 - 1$  less inversions in  $\pi$  than in  $\tau$ : indeed,  $\tau_{i_1}$  is the smallest value before its first bar, so that there are  $i_1 - 1$  inversions in  $\tau$  before its first bar.

Let us now define a second map  $\phi_2$  from  $E_2 = \{\tau | c(\tau) = I^1\}$  to  $E_1 \cup E_3$ .

- If  $\tau_\alpha > \tau_{i_1+i_2}$  for all  $\alpha \leq i_1$ , then

$$(19) \quad \phi_2(\tau) = (\text{std}(\tau_{i_1+1} \dots \tau_{i_1+i_2} | \dots), \tau_1 \dots \tau_{i_1}).$$

- Otherwise, let  $k$  be the greatest element of  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i_1}\}$  smaller than  $\tau_{i_1+i_2}$  and let  $\tau'$  be obtained from  $\tau_1 \dots \tau_{i_1}$  by exchanging  $k$  with  $\tau_{i_1}$ , and define

$$(20) \quad \phi_2(\tau) = ((\tau' | \tau_{i_1+1} \dots \tau_{i_1+i_2} | \dots), \tau_{i_1}).$$

As with  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$  is a bijection from  $E_2$  to its image set.

Let us now describe the image set  $\phi_2(E_2)$ . The intersection of  $E_1$  and  $\phi_2(E_2)$  is the set of elements such that  $x$  can take any value and such that  $\tau_{i_1}$  is the greatest element of  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{i_1}\}$  smaller than  $\tau_{i_1+i_2}$ . The intersection of  $E_3$  and  $\phi_2(E_2)$  is the set of elements such that the smallest value of  $w$  is greater than  $\tau_{i_1+i_2}$ . Hence, both sets constitute the complement of the image of  $\phi_1$ , so that the map  $\phi$  defined by  $\phi|_{E_1} = \phi_1$  and  $\phi|_{E_2} = \phi_2$  is a bijection between  $E_1 \sqcup E_2$  and  $E_1 \sqcup E_3$ .

Again,  $\phi_2$  behaves as expected with respect to the statistic  $\text{sinv}$ . Any element  $\tau \in E_2$  sent to  $(\pi, x) \in E_1$  satisfies  $\text{sinv}(\tau) = \text{sinv}(\pi)$ , since the inversions between  $\tau_k$  with  $k \leq i_1$  and  $\tau_{i_1+i_2}$  become all inversions between  $\pi_i$  with  $i \leq i_1$  and  $\pi_{i_1}$ . Moreover, for any  $\tau \in E_2$  sent to  $(\pi, w) \in E_3$ ,  $\text{sinv}(\tau) = \text{sinv}(\pi) + i_1$ , since there are inversions between all  $\tau_k$  with  $k \leq i_1$  and  $\tau_{i_1+i_2}$  that disappear in  $\pi$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is a bijection between  $E_1 \sqcup E_2$  and  $E_1 \sqcup E_3$ , behaving as claimed with respect to  $\text{sinv}$ . ■

## 2.1. Examples.

2.1.1. Let us first give four examples of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  and their inverse maps, covering all possible cases.

We have

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_1((361|74|258), 3) &= ((42|135), 163) \\ \phi_1((163|74|258), 1) &= ((361|74|258), 1) \\ \phi_2((26371|458)) &= ((41|235), 263) \\ \phi_2((36174|258)) &= ((163|74|258), 1) \end{aligned}$$

Now, from  $((42|135), 163)$ , one easily recovers the suffix of the permutation  $\tau$  of its preimage. It is  $(74|258)$ , from which one can see that 163 are not all greater than 4. Hence, it belongs to the image of  $\phi_1$ , and then easily gives back the first permutation of the example.

In the case of  $((41|235), 263)$ , one recovers as suffix the expression  $(71|458)$ , and since all values of 263 are greater than 1, it belongs to the image of  $\phi_2$ . Finally, on the other two examples  $((361|74|258), 1)$  and  $((163|74|258), 1)$ , since 3 is the greatest possible value of the prefix considering that the second expression between bars ends with a 4, these elements respectively belong to the image of  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .

2.1.2. Let us now illustrate the complete bijection on the example of the composition  $I = (2, 1, 1)$ . The following table shows the images of  $E_1 = \{(\tau, x)\}$  satisfying  $c(\tau) = (2, 1, 1)$  and  $x \in \{1, 2\}$  with the corresponding  $q$ -statistics on the right:

$$(22) \quad \begin{array}{ll} (12|3|4, 1) \mapsto (21|3|4, 1) & (1, q) \\ (12|3|4, 2) \mapsto (21|3|4, 2) & (1, q) \\ (21|3|4, 1) \mapsto (1|2, 21) & (q, 1) \\ (21|3|4, 2) \mapsto (1|2, 12) & (q, 1) \\ (31|3|4, 1) \mapsto (1|2, 31) & (q, 1) \\ (31|3|4, 3) \mapsto (1|2, 13) & (q, 1) \\ (41|3|4, 1) \mapsto (1|2, 41) & (q, 1) \\ (41|3|4, 4) \mapsto (1|2, 14) & (q, 1) \end{array}$$

The next table shows the images of  $E_2 = \{\tau\}$  satisfying  $c(\tau) = (3, 1)$  with the corresponding  $q$ -statistics on the right:

$$(23) \quad \begin{array}{ll} (123|4) \mapsto (12|3|4, 2) & (1, 1) \\ (213|4) \mapsto (12|3|4, 1) & (1, 1) \\ (132|4) \mapsto (31|2|4, 3) & (q, q) \\ (312|4) \mapsto (31|2|4, 1) & (q, q) \\ (142|3) \mapsto (41|2|3, 4) & (q, q) \\ (412|3) \mapsto (41|2|3, 1) & (q, q) \\ (231|4) \mapsto (1|2, 23) & (q^2, 1) \\ (321|4) \mapsto (1|2, 32) & (q^2, 1) \\ (241|3) \mapsto (1|2, 24) & (q^2, 1) \\ (421|3) \mapsto (1|2, 42) & (q^2, 1) \\ (341|2) \mapsto (1|2, 34) & (q^2, 1) \\ (431|2) \mapsto (1|2, 43) & (q^2, 1) \end{array}$$

Now, summing up the  $q$ -statistics on both side of (22) and (23), one gets that its left-hand side is

$$(24) \quad q(6q + 2) + (6q^2 + 4q + 2) = 12q^2 + 6q + 2,$$

and its right-hand side is

$$(25) \quad 6q + 2 + q^2 \times 12,$$

so that they coincide.

### 3. MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS

3.1. Noncommutative symmetric functions of degree  $n$  can be interpreted as elements of the descent algebra  $\Sigma_n$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . In this context,  $\Psi_n$  is the Dynkin symmetrizer

(iterated bracketing): as a linear combination of permutations,

$$(26) \quad \Psi_n = [\cdots[[1, 2], 3], \cdots, n]$$

and  $S_n((1-q)A)$  is the iterated  $q$ -bracketing

$$(27) \quad S_n((1-q)A) = (1-q)[\cdots[[1, 2]_q, 3]_q, \cdots, n]_q.$$

By [6, Lemma 5.11], writing

$$(28) \quad S_n((1-q)A) = S_n((1-q)A) * S_n(A)$$

(internal product) and inserting the expansion

$$(29) \quad S_n(A) = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\substack{I=\{1,\dots,n\} \\ \ell(I)=r}} \frac{\Psi^I}{i_1(i_1+i_2)\cdots(i_1+\cdots+i_r)}$$

we obtain

$$(30) \quad S_n((1-q)A) = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\substack{I=\{1,\dots,n\} \\ \ell(I)=r}} (1-q^{i_1}) \frac{[\cdots[[\Psi_{i_1}, \Psi_{i_2}]_{q^{i_2}}, \Psi_{i_3}]_{q^{i_3}}, \cdots, \Psi_{i_r}]_{q^{i_r}}}{i_1(i_1+i_2)\cdots(i_1+\cdots+i_r)}$$

3.2. There exists a combinatorial Hopf algebra based on permutitions [2]. It plays with respect to the Hopf algebra of set partitions (symmetric functions in noncommuting variables) a rôle symmetrical to that of **WQSym** (quasi-symmetric functions in noncommuting variables).

The number of permutations of length  $n$  is equal to the number of stalactic classes of parking functions of the same length, [4], on which a combinatorial Hopf algebra structure can also be defined. There is also a bijection between permutations and noncrossing set compositions [3]. The bijection goes through Dyck paths with labelled peaks, which are easily identified with the canonical representatives of stalactic classes of parking functions, obtained by permuting among themselves the blocks of identical letters in a nondecreasing parking function. Composing these bijections, on can obtain a bijection between permutations and stalactic classes of parking functions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. ARDILA and J. DOKER, *Lifted generalized permutahedra and composition polynomials*, Adv. Appl. Math. **50** (2013), 607–633.
- [2] J.-P. BULTEL, A. CHOURIA, J.-G. LUQUE, and O. MALLET, *Word symmetric functions and the Redfield-Pólya theorem*, 25th International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC 2013), 563–574, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AS, Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2013.
- [3] D. CALLAN, *Sets, lists and noncrossing partitions*, J. Integer Seq. **11** (2008), no. 1, Article 08.1.3, 7 pp.
- [4] F. HIVERT, J.-C. NOVELLI, and J.-Y. THIBON, *Commutative combinatorial Hopf algebras*, J. Algebraic Combin. **28** (2008), no. 1, 65–95.
- [5] I. M. GELFAND, D. KROB, A. LASCoux, B. LECLERC, V. S. RETAKH, and J.-Y. THIBON. *Noncommutative symmetric functions*, Adv. Math. **112**, 1995, 218–348.
- [6] KROB D., LECLERC B., and THIBON J.-Y., *Noncommutative symmetric functions II: Transformations of alphabets*, Intern. J. Alg. Comput., **7**, (2), (1997), 181–264.
- [7] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, 2010.

[NOVELLI, THIBON] LABORATOIRE D'INFORMATIQUE GASPARD-MONGE, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, 5, BOULEVARD DESCARTES, CHAMPS-SUR-MARNE, 77454 MARNE-LA-VALLÉE CEDEX 2, FRANCE

*E-mail address*, Jean-Christophe Novelli: `novelli@univ-mlv.fr`

*E-mail address*, Jean-Yves Thibon: `jyt@univ-mlv.fr`