

EXPONENTIALLY S -NUMBERS

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbf{S} be the set of all finite or infinite increasing sequences of positive integers. For a sequence $S = \{s(n)\}, n \geq 1$, from \mathbf{S} , let us call a positive number N an exponentially S -number ($N \in E(S)$), if all exponents in its prime power factorization are in S . Let us accept that $1 \in E(S)$. We prove that, for every sequence $S \in \mathbf{S}$ with $s(1) = 1$, the exponentially S -numbers have a density $h = h(E(S))$ such that

$$\sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 = h(E(S))x + O(\sqrt{x} \log x e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}}),$$

where $c = 4\sqrt{\frac{2.4}{\log 2}} = 7.4430\dots$ and $h(E(S)) = \prod_p (1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i})$, where $u(n)$ is the characteristic function of S .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{S} be the set of all finite or infinite increasing sequences of positive integers. For a sequence $S = \{s(n)\}, n \geq 1$, from \mathbf{S} , let us call a positive number N an exponentially S -number ($N \in E(S)$), if all exponents in its prime power factorization are in S . Let us accept that $1 \in E(S)$. For example, if $S = \{1\}$, then the exponentially 1-numbers form the sequence B of square-free numbers, and, as well-known,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in B} 1 = \frac{6}{\pi^2}x + O(x^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

In case, when $S = B$, we obtain the exponentially square-free numbers (for the first time this notion was introduced by M. V. Subbarao in 1972 [6], see A209061[5]). Namely the exponentially square-free numbers were studied by many authors (for example, see [2], [6] (Theorem 6.7), [7], [8], [9]). In these papers, the authors analyzed the following asymptotic formula

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(B)} 1 = \prod_p (1 + \sum_{a=4}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(a) - \mu^2(a-1)}{p^a})x + R(x),$$

where the product is over all primes, μ is the Möbius function. The best result of type $R(x) = o(x^{\frac{1}{4}})$ was obtained by Wu (1995) without using RH (more exactly see [9]). In 2007, assuming that RH is true, Tóth [8] obtained $R(x) = O(x^{\frac{1}{5}+\varepsilon})$ and in 2010, Cao and Zhai [2] more exactly found that $R(x) = Cx^{\frac{1}{5}} + O(x^{\frac{38}{193}+\varepsilon})$, where C is a computable constant. Besides, Tóth [7] studied also the exponentially k -free numbers, $k \geq 2$.

In this paper, without using RH, we obtain a general formula with a remainder term $O(\sqrt{x} \log x e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}})$ (c is a constant) not depended on $S \in \mathbf{S}$ beginning with 1. More exactly, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *For every sequence $S \in \mathbf{S}$ the exponentially S -numbers have a density $h = h(E(S))$ such that, 1) if $s(1) > 1$, then $h = 0$, while 2) if $s(1) = 1$, then*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 = h(E(S))x + O(\sqrt{x} \log x e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}}),$$

with $c = 4\sqrt{\frac{2.4}{\log 2}} = 7.443083\dots$ and

$$(4) \quad h(E(S)) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i}\right),$$

where $u(n)$ is the characteristic function of sequence S : $u(n) = 1$, if $n \in S$ and $u(n) = 0$ otherwise.

In particular, in case $S = B$ we obtain (2) with a less good remainder term, but which is suitable for all sequences in \mathbf{S} beginning with 1.

2. LEMMA

For proof Theorem 1 we need a lemma proved earlier (2007) by the author [4], pp.200-202. For a fixed square-free number r , denote by B_r the set of square-free numbers n for which $\gcd(n, r) = 1$, and put

$$b_r(x) = |B_r \cap \{1, 2, \dots, x\}|.$$

In particular, $B = B_1$ is the set of all square-free numbers.

Lemma 1.

$$b_r(x) = \frac{6r}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|r} (p+1)^{-1} x + R_r(x),$$

where for every $x \geq 1$ and every $r \in B$

$$|R_r(x)| \leq \begin{cases} k\sqrt{x}, & \text{if } r \leq N \\ ke^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log r}}{\log \log r}} \sqrt{x}, & \text{if } r \geq N + 1. \end{cases}$$

where $k = 3.5 \prod_{2 \leq p \leq 23} (1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}) = 57.682607\dots$ (in case $r = 1$, $k = 3.5$), $c = 4\sqrt{\frac{2.4}{\log 2}} = 7.443083\dots$, $N = 6469693229$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

1) Denote by Υ the sequence $\{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ of all natural numbers without 1. Let S do not contain 1. Then, evidently, $E(S) \subseteq E(\Upsilon)$. Note that the sequence $E(\Upsilon)$ is called also powerful numbers (sequence A001694 in [5]).

Bateman and Grosswald [1] proved that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(\Upsilon)} 1 = \frac{\zeta(3/2)}{\zeta(3)} x^{1/2} + \frac{\zeta(2/3)}{\zeta(2)} x^{1/3} + O(x^{1/6}).$$

So, $h(E(\Upsilon)) = 0$. Then what is more $h(E(S)) = 0$.

Furthermore, denote by $r(n)$ the product of all distinct prime divisors of n ; set $r(1) = 1$.

2) Now let $1 \in S$. Note that the set $E(\Upsilon) \cap E(S)$ contains 1 and all numbers of $E(S)$ whose exponents in their prime power factorizations are more than 1. Evidently, every number $y \in E(S)$ has a unique representation as the product of some number $a \in E(\Upsilon) \cap E(S)$ and a number $m \in B_{r(a)}$. In particular, if y is square-free, then $a = 1$, $m = y \in B_1$. For a fixed $a \in E(\Upsilon) \cap E(S)$, denote the set of $y = am \in E(S)$ by $E(S)^{(a)}$. Then $E(S) = \bigcup_{a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)} E(S)^{(a)}$, where the union is disjoint. Consequently, by

Lemma 1, we have

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 = b_1(x) + \sum_{4 \leq a \leq x, a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)} b_{r(a)}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \\ = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(1 + \sum_{4 \leq a \leq x, a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)} \prod_{p|r(a)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \frac{1}{a} \right) x + R(x),$$

where

$$(7) \quad |R(x)| \leq 3.5\sqrt{x} + \sum_{4 \leq a \leq x, a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)} \left| R_{r(a)}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right| \leq 3.5\sqrt{x} + \\ + \sum_{\substack{4 \leq a \leq x: r(a) \leq N \\ a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)}} \left| R_{r(a)}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right| + \sum_{\substack{a \leq x: r(a) \geq N+1 \\ a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)}} \left| R_{r(a)}\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right|$$

with $N = 6469693229$.

Let $x > N$ go to infinity. Distinguish two cases: (i) $r(a) \leq N$; (ii) $r(a) > N$.

(i) $r(a) \leq N$. Denote by $E(\Upsilon)(n)$ the n -th powerful number (in increasing order). According to (5), $E(\Upsilon)(n) = \left(\frac{\zeta(3)}{\zeta(3/2)}\right)^2 n^2 (1+o(1))$. So, $\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{E(\Upsilon)(n)}} = O(\log x)$. Hence, by (7) and Lemma 1,

$$|R(x)| \leq 3.5\sqrt{x} + k\sqrt{x} \sum_{a \leq x, a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} = O(\sqrt{x} \log x).$$

(ii) $r(a) > N$. Then, by (7) and Lemma 1,

$$R(x) \leq k\sqrt{x} \sum_{\substack{a \leq x: r(a) \geq N+1 \\ a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log r(a)}}{\log \log r(a)}},$$

where the last sum does not exceed

$$\sum_{N+1 \leq a \leq x: r(a) \geq N+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log a}}{\log \log a}} \leq e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}} O(\log x).$$

So, $R(x) = O(\sqrt{x} \log x e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}})$ and, by (6), we have

$$\sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(1 + \sum_{4 \leq a \leq x, a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)} \prod_{p|r(a)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \frac{1}{a} \right) x + O(\sqrt{x} \log x e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}}).$$

Moreover, if we replace here the sum $\sum_{a \leq x, a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)}$ by the sum $\sum_{a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)}$, then the error does not exceed $\frac{6x}{\pi^2} \sum_{n > x} \frac{1}{E(\Upsilon)(n)} = \frac{6x}{\pi^2} O(1/x) = O(1)$, then the result does not change. So, finally,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(\sum_{a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)} \prod_{p|r(a)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \frac{1}{a} \right) x + O(\sqrt{x} \log x e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}}).$$

Formula (8) shows that, if $1 \in S$, then $E(S)$ has a density.

4. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF

It remains to evaluate the sum (8). For that we follow the scheme of [4], pp.203-204. For a fixed $l \in B$, denote by $C(l)$ the set of all $E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)$ -numbers a with $r(a) = l$. Recall that $r(1) = 1$. By (8), we have

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \sum_{l \in B} \prod_{p|l} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \sum_{a \in C(l)} \frac{1}{a} + R(x).$$

Consider the function $A : N \rightarrow R$ given by:

$$A(l) = \begin{cases} \sum_{a \in C(l)} \frac{1}{a}, & l \in B, \\ 0, & l \notin B. \end{cases}$$

Example 1.

$$A(1) = \sum_{a \in C(1)} \frac{1}{a} = \sum_{r(a)=1} \frac{1}{a} = 1.$$

Example 2. Let p be prime. Since $r(p) = p$, then

$$A(p) = \sum_{a \in C(p)} \frac{1}{a} = \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{1}{p^{s(i)}}.$$

The sum not contains $\frac{1}{p^{s(1)}} = \frac{1}{p}$ since, by the condition, $a \in E(S) \cap E(\Upsilon)$, but the sequence $E(\Upsilon)$ not contains any prime.

Example 3. Let $p < q$ be primes. Since $r(pq) = pq$, then

$$A(pq) = \sum_{i \geq 2, j \geq 2} \frac{1}{p^{s(i)}} \frac{1}{q^{s(j)}}.$$

It is evident that, if $l_1, l_2 \in B$ and $\gcd(l_1, l_2) = 1$, then

$$A(l_1 l_2) = \sum_{a \in C(l_1 l_2)} \frac{1}{a} = \sum_{a \in C(l_1)} \frac{1}{a} \sum_{a \in C(l_2)} \frac{1}{a} = A(l_1) A(l_2).$$

It follows that $A(l)$ is a multiplicative function. Hence the function f which is defined by

$$f(l) = \prod_{p|l} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) A(l)$$

is also multiplicative. Evidently, by the definition of $A(n)$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A(n) \leq \sum_{a \in E(\Upsilon)} \frac{1}{a} < \infty.$$

Consequently ([3], p.103):

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) = \prod_p (1 + f(p) + f(p^2) + \dots).$$

Since $f(p^k) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$, then by (9):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} f(l) + R(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \prod_p (1 + f(p)) + R(x) = \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} x \prod_p \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{p^{s(2)}} + \frac{1}{p^{s(3)}} + \frac{1}{p^{s(4)}} + \dots\right)\right) + R(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} h(E(S)) &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_p \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{1}{p^{s(i)}}\right) = \\ &= \frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{p}{(p+1)p^{s(i)}}\right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\prod_p \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u(i)}{p^i} \right)$$

and, taking into account that $u(1) = 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} h(E(S)) &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{1}{p} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u(i)}{p^i} \right) = \\ &= \prod_p \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u(i)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{u(i)}{p^i} \right) = \\ &= \prod_p \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u(i)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u(i-1)}{p^{i-1}} \right) = \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which gives the required evaluation of the sum in (8) and completes the proof of the theorem.

5. A QUESTION OF D. BEREND

Let p_n be the n -th prime. Let $A = \{S_1, S_2, \dots\}$ be an infinite sequence of sequences $S_i \in \mathbf{S}$ beginning with 1. We say that a positive number N is an exponentially A -number ($N \in E(A)$), if in case that p_n , $n \geq 1$, divides N , then its exponent in the prime power factorization of N belongs to S_n . We accept that $1 \in E(A)$. How will change Theorem 1 for the exponentially A -numbers?

An analysis of the proof of Theorem 1 shows that also in this more general case, for every sequence A there exists a density $h(A)$ of the exponentially A -numbers such that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(A)} 1 = h(E(A))x + R(x),$$

where $R(x)$ is the same as in Theorem 1 and

$$(13) \quad h(E(A)) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u_n(i) - u_n(i-1)}{p_n^i} \right),$$

where $u_n(k)$ is the characteristic function of sequence S_n : $u_n(k) = 1$, if $k \in S_n$ and $u_n(k) = 0$ otherwise.

Example 4. *Let*

$$A = \{S_1 = \{1\}, S_2 = \{1, 2\}, \dots, S_n = \{1, \dots, n\}, \dots\}.$$

Then, by (13),

$$h(E(A)) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_n^{n+1}} \right) = 0.7210233\dots$$

6. A QUESTION

Let $1 \in S$. Then the density $h(E(S))$ is in the interval $[6/\pi^2, 1]$. Whether the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ is a dense set in this interval?

D. Berend (private communication) gave a negative answer. Indeed, consider the set \mathbf{S}_1 of sequences $\{S\}$ containing 2. Then, evidently, $h(E(S)) \geq h(E(\{1, 2\}))$ such that, by Theorem 1,

$$(14) \quad h(E(S))|_{S \in \mathbf{S}_1} \geq \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^3}\right).$$

Now consider the set \mathbf{S}_2 of sequences $\{S\}$ not containing 2. Then $h(E(S)) \leq h(E(\{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}))$ such that, by Theorem 1,

$$(15) \quad h(E(S))|_{S \in \mathbf{S}_2} \leq \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p^3}\right) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p^3}\right).$$

Thus, by (14)-(15), we have a gap in the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ in interval

$$\left(\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p^3}\right), \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^3}\right)\right).$$

Of course, this Berend's idea has far-reaching effects.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is very grateful to Jean-Paul Allouche for sending the important papers [6], [7] and [9], and to Daniel Berend for the question in Section 5 and the answer on author's question in Section 6.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. T. Bateman and E. Grosswald, *On a theorem of Erdos and Szekeres*, Illinois J. Math. **2**, no.1 (1958), 88-98.
- [2] X. Cao, W. Zhai, *Some arithmetic functions involving exponential divisors*, J. of Integer Seq. **13**, (2010), Article 10.3.7
- [3] K. Chandrasekharan, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968.
- [4] V. Shevelev, *Compact integers and factorials*, Acta Arith. **126**, no.3 (2007), 195-236.
- [5] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* <http://oeis.org>.
- [6] M. V. Subbarao, *On some arithmetic convolutions*, in *The theory of arithmetic functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **251**, 247-271, Springer, 1972.
- [7] L. Tóth, *On certain arithmetic functions involving exponential divisors*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., **24**, (2004), 285-294.
- [8] L. Tóth, *On certain arithmetic functions involving exponential divisors, II.*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., **27**, (2007), 155-166.
- [9] J. Wu, *Problème de diviseurs exponentiels et entiers exponentiellement sans facteur carré*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux, **7** (1995), 133-141.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL. E-MAIL: SHEVELEV@BGU.AC.IL