

SET OF ALL DENSITIES OF EXPONENTIALLY S-NUMBERS

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbf{G} be the set of all finite or infinite increasing sequences of positive integers beginning with 1. For a sequence $S = \{s(n)\}, n \geq 1$, from \mathbf{G} a positive number N is called an exponentially S -number ($N \in E(S)$), if all exponents in its prime power factorization are in S . The author [2] proved that, for every sequence $S \in \mathbf{G}$, the sequence of exponentially S -numbers has a density $h = h(E(S)) \in [\frac{6}{\pi^2}, 1]$. In this note we study the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ of all such densities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{G} be the set of all finite or infinite increasing sequences of positive integers beginning with 1. For a sequence $S = \{s(n)\}, n \geq 1$, from \mathbf{G} , a positive number N is called an exponentially S -number ($N \in E(S)$), if all exponents in its prime power factorization are in S . The author [2] proved that, for every sequence $S \in \mathbf{G}$, the sequence of exponentially S -numbers has a density $h = h(E(S)) \in [\frac{6}{\pi^2}, 1]$. More exactly, the following theorem was proved in [2]:

Theorem 1. *For every sequence $S \in \mathbf{G}$ the sequence of exponentially S -numbers has a density $h = h(E(S))$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i \leq x, i \in E(S)} 1 = h(E(S))x + O(\sqrt{x} \log x e^{c \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}}),$$

with $c = 4\sqrt{\frac{2.4}{\log 2}} = 7.443083\dots$ and

$$(2) \quad h(E(S)) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} \right),$$

where $u(n)$ is the characteristic function of sequence S : $u(n) = 1$, if $n \in S$ and $u(n) = 0$ otherwise.

Note that Sloane's Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [3] contains some sequences of exponentially S -numbers, $S \in \mathbf{G}$. For example, A005117 ($S = \{1\}$), A004709 ($S = \{1, 2\}$), A268335 ($S = A005408$), A138302 ($S = \{2^n\}_{n \geq 0}$), A197680 ($S = \{n^2\}_{n \geq 1}$), A115063 ($S = \{F_n\}_{n \geq 2}$), A209061 ($S = A005117$), etc.

Everywhere below we write $\{h(E(S))\}$, understanding $\{h(E(S))\}_{S \in \mathbf{G}}$. In

[2] (Section 6) the author posed the question: is the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ dense in the interval $[\frac{6}{\pi^2}, 1]$? Berend [1] gave a negative answer by finding a gap in the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ in the interval

$$(3) \quad \left(\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{p-1}{p^3}\right), \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^3}\right) \right) \subset \left[\frac{6}{\pi^2}, 1\right].$$

Berend's idea consists of the partition of \mathbf{G} into two subsets - of those sequences which contain 2 and those that do not contain 2 - and applying Theorem 1. In our study of the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ we use this idea.

2. CARDINALITY

Lemma 1. \mathbf{G} is uncountable.

Proof. Trivially \mathbf{G} is equivalent to the set of all subsets of $\{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$. \square

Lemma 2. For every two distinct $A, B \in \mathbf{G}$, we have $h(E(A)) \neq h(E(B))$.

Proof. Let $A = \{a(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$, $B = \{b(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$. Let $n \geq 1$ be maximal index such that $a(i) = b(i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, while $a(n+1) \neq b(n+1)$. Note that, if $A_n = \{a(1), \dots, a(n)\}$, $A^* = \{a(1), \dots, a(n), a(n+1), a(n+1)+1, a(n+1)+2, \dots\}$, then

$$(4) \quad h(E(A_{n+1})) \leq h(E(A)) \leq h(E(A^*))$$

and analogously for sequence B .

Distinguish four cases:

$$(i) \quad a(n+1) = a(n) + 1, \quad b(n+1) \geq a(n) + 2;$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \quad a(n+1) \geq a(n) + k, \quad b(n+1) = a(n) + 1;$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{for } k \geq 3, \quad a(n+1) = a(n) + k, \quad a(n) + 2 \leq b(n+1) \leq a(n) + k - 1;$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{for } k \geq 2, \quad a(n+1) = a(n) + k, \quad b(n+1) \geq a(n) + k + 1.$$

(i) By (2) and (4), we have

$$(5) \quad h(E(A)) \geq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} \right),$$

where $u(n)$ is the characteristic function of A . Since here $u(a(n+1)) - u(a(n+1) - 1) = 0$, then in the right hand side we sum up to $a(n)$. On the other hand,

$$(6) \quad h(E(B^*)) \leq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+2}} \right).$$

By (5)-(6), $h(E(B)) < h(E(A))$.

(ii) Symmetrically to (i), we have

$$(7) \quad h(E(B)) \geq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} \right).$$

On the other hand,

$$(8) \quad h(E(A^*)) \leq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+2}} \right).$$

So, $h(E(A)) < h(E(B))$.

(iii) Again, by (2) and (4), we have

$$(9) \quad h(E(B)) \geq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+k-1}} \right),$$

while

$$(10) \quad h(E(A^*)) \leq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+k}} \right).$$

Hence, $h(E(A)) < h(E(B))$.

(iv) Symmetrically,

$$(11) \quad h(E(B^*)) \leq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+k+1}} \right),$$

while

$$(12) \quad h(E(A)) \geq \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{a(n)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+k}} - \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+k+1}} \right)$$

and since $\frac{2}{p^{a(n)+k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{p^{a(n)+k}}$, where the equality holds only in case $p = 2$, then $h(E(A)) > h(E(B))$. \square

Lemmas 1 and 2 directly imply

Theorem 2. *The set $\{h(E(S))\}_{S \in \mathbf{G}}$ is uncountable.*

Denote by $\mathbf{G}(F)$ the subset of the finite sequences from \mathbf{G} . Since the set of all finite subsets of a countable set is countable, then $\mathbf{G}(F)$ is countable and then the set $\{h(E(S))\}_{S \in \mathbf{G}(F)}$ is also countable.

3. PERFECTNESS

Lemma 3. *Every point of the set $h(E(S))$ is an accumulation point.*

Proof. Distinguish two cases: a) S is finite set; b) S is infinite set.

a) Let $S = \{s(1), \dots, s(k)\} \in \mathbf{G}(F)$. Let $n \geq s(k) + 2$. Denote by S_n the sequence $S_n = \{s(1), \dots, s(k), n\}$. Then, by (2),

$$(13) \quad h(E(S_n)) - h(E(S)) = \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{s(k)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{s(k)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^n} \right) - \prod_p \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{s(k)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{s(k)+1}} \right).$$

For the first product $\prod_p(n)$,

$$\prod_p(n) = \exp \left(\sum_p \log \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{s(k)} \frac{u(i) - u(i-1)}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^{s(k)+1}} + \frac{1}{p^n} \right) \right),$$

the series over primes converges uniformly since

$$\sum_p \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{|u(i) - u(i-1)|}{p^i} \leq \sum_p \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{1}{p^i} = \sum_p \frac{1}{(p-1)p}.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\prod_p(n)) = \prod_p(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}(\dots))$ which coincides with the second product. So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(E(S_n)) = h(E(S))$.

b) Let $S = \{s(1), \dots, s(k), \dots\} \in \mathbf{G}$ be infinite sequence. Let $S_n = \{s(1), \dots, s(n)\}$ be the n -partial sequence of S . In the same way, taking into account the uniform convergence of the product for density of S_n , we find that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(E(S_n)) = h(E(S))$. \square

Theorem 3. *The set $\{h(E(S))\}$ is a perfect set.*

A proof we give in Section 5.

4. GAPS

Let us show that, for every finite $S \in \mathbf{G}$, with the exception of $S = \{1\}$, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the image of h is disjoint from the interval $(h(E(S)) - \varepsilon, h(E(S)))$.

We need a lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $A, B \in \mathbf{G}$ be distinct sequences. Let $s^* = s^*(A, B)$ be the smallest number which is a term of one of them, but not in another. If, say, $s^* \in A$, then $h(E(A)) > h(E(B))$.*

Proof. In fact, the lemma is a corollary of the proof of Lemma 2. Comparing with the proof of Lemma 2, we have $s^*(A, B) = n+1$. We see that in all four cases in the proof of Lemma 2, the statement of Lemma 4 is confirmed. \square

Proposition 1. *Let $S_1 = \{s(1), \dots, s(k)\} \in \mathbf{G}(F)$, $k \geq 2$, and $S_2 = \{s(1), \dots, s(k-1), s(k)+1, s(k)+2, \dots\}$. Then the interval*

$$(14) \quad (h(E(S_2)), h(E(S_1)))$$

is a gap in the set $\{h(E(S)) : S \in \mathbf{G}\}$.

Proof. Consider other than S_1, S_2 any sequence $S \in \mathbf{G}$ which contains $s^*(S_1, S)$. By Lemma 4, $h(E(S)) > h(E(S_1))$. So, $h(E(S))$ is not in interval (14). Now consider other than S_1, S_2 any sequence $S \in \mathbf{G}$ which does not contain $s^*(S_1, S)$. Then S_2 contains $s^*(S, S_2)$. Indeed, 1) S cannot contain all terms $s(1), \dots, s(k)$ (since S differs from S_1 , it should contain additional terms, the smallest of which is $s^*(S, S_1) \in S$ that contradicts the condition); 2) if i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is the smallest for which S misses $s(i)$, then, by the condition, all terms of S are more than $s(i)$. So $s^*(S, S_2) = s(i) \in S_2$, if $i < k$, while, if $i=k$, since S differs from S_2 , $s^*(S, S_2) = s(k) + j \in S_2$, where j is the smallest for which $s_k + j$ is not in S . Hence, by Lemma 4, $h(E(S_2)) > h(E(S))$ and again $h(E(S))$ is not in interval (14). \square

Lemma 5. *Every gap in $\{h(E(S))\}$ has the form described in Proposition 1.*

Proof. Indeed, the gap (14) is in a right neighborhood of $h(E(S_2))$. Let a sequence $S \in \mathbf{G}$ do not contain any infinite set of positive integers K . Adding to S $k \in K$, which goes to infinity, we obtain set S_k such that $h(E(S_k)) > h(E(S))$ and $h(E(S_k)) \rightarrow h(E(S))$. So, in a right neighborhood of $h(E(S))$ cannot be a gap of $\{h(E(S))\}$. In opposite case, when $S \in \mathbf{G}$ does not contain only a finite set of positive integers, in a right neighborhood of $h(E(S))$ a gap of $\{h(E(S))\}$ is possible, but in this case S has the form of S_2 in Proposition 1. Also, if $S \in \mathbf{G}$ is infinite, then in a left neighborhood of $h(E(S))$ cannot be a gap of $\{h(E(S))\}$, since $h(E(S))$ is a limiting point of $\{h(E(S_n))\}$, where S_n is the n -partial sequence of S . \square

It is easy to see that, for distinct sequences S_1 , the gaps (14) are disjoint.

From Propositions 1 and Lemma 5 we have the statement:

Theorem 4. *The set $\{h(E(S))\}$ has countably many gaps.*

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Proof. By Lemma 3, the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ does not contain isolated points. For a set $A \subseteq [\frac{6}{\pi^2}, 1]$, let \bar{A} be $[\frac{6}{\pi^2}, 1] \setminus A$. Let, further, $\{g\}$ be the set of all gaps of $\{h(E(S))\}$. Then we have

$$\{h(E(S))\} = \overline{\bigcup g} = \bigcap \bar{g}.$$

Since a gap g is an open interval, then \bar{g} is a closed set. But arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed. Thus the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ is closed without isolated points. So it is a perfect set. \square

6. CONCLUSION

Thus, by Theorems 2-4, the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ is a perfect set with a countable set of gaps which associate with some left-sided neighborhoods of the densities of all exponentially finite S -sequences, $S \in \mathbf{G}$, except for $S = \{1\}$. It is natural to conjecture that the sum of lengths of all gaps equals the length of the whole interval $[\frac{6}{\pi^2}, 1]$, or, the same, that the set $\{h(E(S))\}$ has zero measure. This important question we remain open.

Remark 1. *Possible to solve this problem could help a remark that the deleting in (2) 0's (when $u_i = u_{i-1}$) we obtain an alternative sequence of $-1, 1$.*

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Daniel Berend for very useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Berend, *Private communication*.
- [2] V. Shevelev, *Exponentially S -numbers*, arXiv:1510.05914 [math.NT], 2015.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* <http://oeis.org>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL. E-MAIL:SHEVELEV@BGU.AC.IL