

The log-convexity of the poly-Cauchy numbers

Takao Komatsu *

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Wuhan University, Wuhan, 430072, China
komatsu@whu.edu.cn

Feng-Zhen Zhao †

Department of Mathematics
Shanghai University
Shanghai 200444, China
fengzhenzhao@shu.edu.cn

Abstract

In 2013, Komatsu introduced the poly-Cauchy numbers, which generalize Cauchy numbers. Several generalizations of poly-Cauchy numbers have been considered since then. One particular type of generalizations is that of multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers. In this paper, we study the log-convexity of the multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers of the first kind and of the second kind. In addition, we also discuss the log-behavior of multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers.

Key words: Cauchy numbers, Poly-Cauchy numbers, multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers, log-convexity, log-concavity.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A19, 05A20, 11B83.

1 Introduction

Komatsu [10], introduced two kinds of poly-Cauchy numbers $c_n^{(k)}$ and $\widehat{c}_n^{(k)}$. The first kind $c_n^{(k)}$ is given by

$$c_n^{(k)} = \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k)_n dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_k,$$

and the second kind $\widehat{c}_n^{(k)}$ is given by

$$\widehat{c}_n^{(k)} = (-1)^n \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} \langle x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \rangle_n dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_k,$$

*The first author was supported in part by the grant of Wuhan University and by the grant of Hubei Provincial Experts Program.

†The second author was supported by a grant of “The First-class Discipline of Universities in Shanghai”.

where k is a positive integer, and $(x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ ($n \geq 1$) with $(x)_0 = 1$ and $\langle x \rangle_n = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$ with $\langle x \rangle_0 = 1$. The first few values of $c_n^{(k)}$ and $\widehat{c}_n^{(k)}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} c_0^{(k)} &= 1, c_1^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2^k}, c_2^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{3^k}, c_3^{(k)} = \frac{2}{2^k} - \frac{3}{3^k} + \frac{1}{4^k}, c_4^{(k)} = -\frac{6}{2^k} + \frac{11}{3^k} - \frac{6}{4^k} + \frac{1}{5^k}, \dots \\ \widehat{c}_0^{(k)} &= 1, \widehat{c}_1^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2^k}, \widehat{c}_2^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{3^k}, \widehat{c}_3^{(k)} = -\frac{2}{2^k} - \frac{3}{3^k} - \frac{1}{4^k}, \widehat{c}_4^{(k)} = \frac{6}{2^k} + \frac{11}{3^k} + \frac{6}{4^k} + \frac{1}{5^k}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Some sequences derived from denominators and numerators of poly-Cauchy numbers of the first kind and of the second kind can be found in [16, A224094–A224101, A219247, A224102–A224107, A224109]. When $k = 1$, $c_n = c_n^{(1)}$ and $\widehat{c}_n = \widehat{c}_n^{(1)}$ are the Cauchy numbers of the first kind and the second kind, respectively (see [3]). The basic properties of the two kinds of the poly-Cauchy numbers are studied in [9, 10]. Several generalizations of poly-Cauchy numbers have been considered since then. One particular type of generalizations is that of the multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers ([12]). For a k -tuple of real numbers $L = (l_1, \dots, l_k)$ and a n -tuple of real numbers $A = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$, define the q -multiparameter-poly-Cauchy polynomials of the first kind $c_{n,L,A,q}^{(k)}(z)$ by

$$c_{n,L,A,q}^{(k)}(z) = \int_0^{l_1} \cdots \int_0^{l_k} (x_1 \cdots x_k - \alpha_0 - z) \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_k - \alpha_{n-1} - z) d_q x_1 \cdots d_q x_k.$$

Here, Jackson's q -integral is defined by

$$\int_0^x f(t) d_q t = (1-q)x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(q^n x) q^n,$$

and

$$[x]_q = \frac{1-q^x}{1-q} \rightarrow x \quad (q \rightarrow 1).$$

The q -multiparameter-poly-Cauchy polynomials of the first kind can be expressed explicitly in terms of the multiparameter Stirling numbers of the first kind $S_1(n, m, A)$, defined by

$$(t - \alpha_0)(t - \alpha_1) \cdots (t - \alpha_{n-1}) = \sum_{m=0}^n S_1(n, m, A) t^m.$$

Namely, we have

$$c_{n,L,A,q}^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^n S_1(n, m, A) \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \frac{(-z)^i (l_1 \cdots l_k)^{m-i+1}}{[m-i+1]_q^k}$$

([12, Theorem 1]).

When $q \rightarrow 1$, $l_1 = \cdots = l_k = 1$ and $z = 0$, we have

$$c_{n,A}^{(k)} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} c_{n,(1,\dots,1),A,q}^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{S_1(n, m, A)}{(m+1)^k}.$$

Furthermore, if $A = (0, 1, \dots, n-1)$, then $S_1(n, m) = (-1)^{n-m} S_1(n, m, A)$ are the unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind and $c_n^{(k)} = c_{n, (0, 1, \dots, n-1)}^{(k)}$ are poly-Cauchy numbers of the first kind.

Similarly, define the q -multiparameter-poly-Cauchy polynomials of the second kind $\widehat{c}_{n, L, A, q}^{(k)}(z)$ by

$$\widehat{c}_{n, L, A, q}^{(k)}(z) = \int_0^{l_1} \cdots \int_0^{l_k} (-x_1 \cdots x_k - \alpha_0 + z) \cdots (-x_1 \cdots x_k - \alpha_{n-1} + z) d_q x_1 \cdots d_q x_k.$$

When $q \rightarrow 1$, $l_1 = \cdots = l_k = 1$, $\alpha_i = i\rho$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) and $z = 0$, the number $\widehat{c}_{n, A}^{(k)}$ is reduced to the poly-Cauchy numbers of the second kind with a parameter ρ ([11]). Furthermore, if $\rho = 1$, then the number $\widehat{c}_{n, A}^{(k)}$ is reduced to the poly-Cauchy numbers of the second kind $\widehat{c}_n^{(k)}$ ([10]). If $k = 1$, then $\widehat{c}_n^{(1)} = \widehat{c}_n$ is the classical Cauchy number ([3]). The q -multiparameter-poly-Cauchy polynomials of the second kind can be also expressed explicitly in terms of the multiparameter Stirling numbers of the first kind as follows.

$$\widehat{c}_{n, L, A, q}^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m S_1(n, m, A) \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \frac{(-z)^i (l_1 \cdots l_k)^{m-i+1}}{[m-i+1]_q^k}$$

([12, Theorem 2]). When $q \rightarrow 1$, $l_1 = \cdots = l_k = 1$ and $z = 0$, we have

$$\widehat{c}_{n, A}^{(k)} = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \widehat{c}_{n, (1, \dots, 1), A, q}^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-1)^m S_1(n, m, A)}{(m+1)^k}.$$

In [18], the log-convexity of Cauchy numbers of the first kind and of the second kind has been studied. We recall some other definitions and notations used in this paper.

Let $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. If for all $j \geq 1$, $z_j^2 \leq z_{j-1} z_{j+1}$ (respectively $z_j^2 \geq z_{j-1} z_{j+1}$), the sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called log-convex (respectively log-concave).

The log-behavior (log-convexity and log-concavity) are important properties of combinatorial sequences, and they play an important role in many subjects such as quantum physics, white noise theory, probability, economics and mathematical biology. See for instance [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 14, 15, 17].

If $z_0 \leq z_1 \leq \cdots \leq z_{m-1} \leq z_m \geq z_{m+1} \geq \cdots$ for some m , then $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called unimodal, and m is called a mode of the sequence.

In this paper, we study the log-convexity of multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers of the first kind and of the second kind. In addition, we also discuss the log-behavior of multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers.

2 The log-convexity of poly-Cauchy numbers

In this section, we mainly discuss the log-behavior of $\{c_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 2}$, $\{\widehat{c}_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{c_{n, A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 2}$, and $\{\widehat{c}_{n, A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$. For convenience, put

$$\sigma_n^{(k)} = (-1)^{n-1} c_n^{(k)}, \quad \sigma_{n, A}^{(k)} = (-1)^{n-1} c_{n, A}^{(k)} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and

$$\omega_n^{(k)} = (-1)^n \widehat{c}_n^{(k)}, \quad \omega_{n,A}^{(k)} = (-1)^n \widehat{c}_{n,A}^{(k)} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

In [18], the log-convexity of Cauchy numbers was discussed. First, we shall investigate the log-convexity of the poly-Cauchy numbers of the two kinds.

Lemma 2.1 [13] *If $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is log-convex, then the Stirling transformation of the first kind $z_n = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} y_m$ preserves the log-convexity.*

Theorem 2.1 *The sequences $\{c_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 2}$ and $\{\widehat{c}_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are log-convex.*

Proof. We first prove the log-convexity of $\{c_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 2}$. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(c_n^{(k)} \right)^2 - c_{n-1}^{(k)} c_{n+1}^{(k)} \\ &= \left[\underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n-1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \right]^2 \\ & \quad - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n-2 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ & \quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \leq x_j \leq 1$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$), $n-1 \leq n - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \leq n$. Then for $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(c_n^{(k)} \right)^2 - c_{n-1}^{(k)} c_{n+1}^{(k)} \\ & \leq \left[\underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n-1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \right]^2 \\ & \quad - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n-2 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ & \quad \times (n-1) \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n-1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ & = - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n-1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ & \quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} (x_1 \cdots x_k)^2 (1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (n-2 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ & \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, sequence $\{c_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 2}$ is log-convex.

Recall the definition

$$\omega_n^{(k)} = \sum_{m=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(m+1)^k}. \quad (2.1)$$

It is easy to see that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{(m+1)^k} \right\}_{m \geq 0}$ is log-convex. By means of Lemma 2.1, we get that the sequence $\{\omega_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is log-convex. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.2 *For the sequence $\{\omega_n^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 3}$, we have*

$$\omega_n^{(k)} < \begin{bmatrix} n \\ K_n \end{bmatrix} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{(m+1)^k}, \quad (2.2)$$

where K_n is the index of the maximal unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ for all fixed $n \geq 3$.

Proof. For the Stirling numbers of the first kind, we know that

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} < \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} < \cdots < \begin{bmatrix} n \\ K_n - 1 \end{bmatrix} < \begin{bmatrix} n \\ K_n \end{bmatrix} > \begin{bmatrix} n \\ K_n + 1 \end{bmatrix} > \cdots > \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $K_n \sim \frac{n}{\ln n}$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) (see [7]). We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} &= 0, \quad n \geq 1, \\ \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(m+1)^k} &< \begin{bmatrix} n \\ K_n \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(m+1)^k}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n \quad (m \neq K_n), \end{aligned}$$

By applying (2.1), we obtain (2.2). \blacksquare

We now consider the log-convexity and unimodality of multiparameter-poly-Cauchy numbers of two kinds under some conditions.

Theorem 2.3 *Assume that the sequence $A = (0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots)$ satisfies that $\alpha_j \geq 1$, and $\alpha_j - \alpha_{j-1} \geq 1$ for $j \geq 1$. The sequences $\{c_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 2}$ and $\{\hat{c}_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are log-convex.*

Proof. Since $\alpha_j \geq 1$ and $\alpha_j - \alpha_{j-1} \geq 1$ ($j \geq 1$), for $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_{n,A}^{(k)} \right)^2 - c_{n-1,A}^{(k)} c_{n+1,A}^{(k)} \\
&= \left[\underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-1} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \right]^2 \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-2} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_n - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k, \\
&= - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} (x_1 \cdots x_k)^2 (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-2} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-1} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-2} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-1} - x_1 \cdots x_k) \\
&\quad \quad \times (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\leq - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} (x_1 \cdots x_k)^2 (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-2} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-1} - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\widehat{c}_{n,A}^{(k)} \right)^2 - \widehat{c}_{n-1,A}^{(k)} \widehat{c}_{n+1,A}^{(k)} \\
&= \left[\underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_1) \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_{n-1}) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \right]^2 \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_1) \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_{n-2}) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_1) \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\leq - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} (x_1 \cdots x_k)^2 (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_1) \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_{n-1}) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\
&\quad \times \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_1) \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_{n-2}) dx_1 \cdots dx_k, \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sequence $\{c_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 2}$ and $\{\widehat{c}_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are log-convex. \blacksquare

Note that Theorem 2.3 generalizes Theorem 2.1. Clearly, Theorem 2.3 becomes Theorem 2.1 when $A = (0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots)$.

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose that the sequence $A = (0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots)$ satisfies that $\alpha_j \geq 0$ for $j \geq 1$. Then we have:*

- (i) *if there exists $l \geq 3$ such that $\alpha_j \geq 2$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $\alpha_j = 1$ for $j \geq l+1$, then $\{\sigma_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is unimodal, and its single peak is at $l+1$;*
- (ii) *if there exists $l \geq 3$ such that $\alpha_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $\alpha_j = 0$ for $j \geq l+1$, then $\{\omega_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is unimodal, and its single peak is at $l+1$.*

Proof. (i) For $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{n+1,A}^{(k)} - \sigma_{n,A}^{(k)} \\
&= \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (\alpha_1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) \cdots (\alpha_{n-1} - x_1 \cdots x_k) (\alpha_n - 1 - x_1 \cdots x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k.
\end{aligned}$$

We can verify that $\sigma_{n+1,A}^{(k)} - \sigma_{n,A}^{(k)} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq l$ and $\sigma_{n+1,A}^{(k)} - \sigma_{n,A}^{(k)} \leq 0$ for $n \geq l+1$. Hence, $\{\sigma_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is unimodal, and its single peak is at $l+1$.

(ii) For $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{n+1,A}^{(k)} - \omega_{n,A}^{(k)} \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1}_{k} x_1 \cdots x_k (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_1) \cdots (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_{n-1}) (x_1 \cdots x_k + \alpha_n - 1) dx_1 \cdots dx_k. \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that $\omega_{n+1,A}^{(k)} - \omega_{n,A}^{(k)} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq l$ and $\omega_{n+1,A}^{(k)} - \omega_{n,A}^{(k)} \leq 0$ for $n \geq l + 1$. Therefore, $\{\omega_{n,A}^{(k)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ is unimodal, and its single peak is at $l + 1$. ■

3 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee whose helpful suggestions and comments have led to much improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] N. Asai, I. Kubo and H. H. Kubo, *Roles of log-concavity, log-convexity and growth order in white noise analysis*, Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics 4 (2001), 59–84.
- [2] F. Brenti, *Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry: An update*, Contemporary Math. 178 (1994), 71–89.
- [3] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974.
- [4] T. Došlić, D. Svrtan and D. Veljan, *Enumerative aspects of secondary structures*, Discrete Math. 285 (2004), 67–82.
- [5] T. Došlić and D. Veljan, *Logarithmic behavior of some combinatorial sequences*, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), 2182–2212.
- [6] T. Došlić and D. Veljan, *Calculus proofs of some combinatorial inequalities*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 6 (2003), 197–209.
- [7] L. H. Harper, *Stirling behavior is asymptotically normal*, Ann. of Math. Stat. 38 (1967), 410–414.
- [8] J. R. Klauder, A. Pension and J. M. Sixdeniers, *Constructing coherent states through solutions of Stieltjes and Hausdorff moment problems*, Phys. Rev. A (3) 64 (2001), 013817–013835.
- [9] T. Komatsu, *Sums of products of Cauchy numbers, including poly-Cauchy numbers*, J. Discrete Math. 2013 (2013), Article ID 625912.

- [10] T. Komatsu, *Poly-Cauchy numbers*, Kyushu J. Math. 67 (2013), 143–153.
- [11] T. Komatsu, *Poly-Cauchy numbers with a q parameter*, Ramanujan J. 31 (2013), 353–371.
- [12] T. Komatsu and L. Szalay, *q -multiparameter-Bernoulli polynomials and q -multiparameter-Cauchy polynomials*, Integers, (2016), to appear.
- [13] L. L. Liu and Y. Wang, *On the log-convexity of combinatorial sequences*, Adv. Appl. Math. 39 (2007), 453–476.
- [14] O. Milenkovic and K.J. Compton, *Probabilistic transforms for combinatorial urn models*, Combin. Probab. Comput. 13 (2004), 645–675.
- [15] D. Prelec, *Decreasing impatience: A criterion for non-stationary time preference and hyperbolic discounting*, Scand. J. Econ. 106 (2004), 511–532.
- [16] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, available at <http://oeis.org>.
- [17] R. P. Stanley, *Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry*, Ann. of New York Acad. Sci. 576 (1989), 500–535.
- [18] F. Z. Zhao, *The log-convexity of Cauchy numbers*, J. Indian Math. Soc., New Ser. 80 (2013), 395–403.