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We consider a class of diffusion problems defined on simple graphs in which the populations at any two vertices may be averaged if they are connected by an edge. The diffusion polytope is the convex hull of the set of population vectors attainable using finite sequences of these operations. A number of physical problems have linear programming solutions taking the diffusion polytope as the feasible region, e.g. the free energy that can be removed from plasma using waves, so there is a need to describe and enumerate its extreme points. We review known results for the case of the complete graph $K_{n}$, and study a variety of problems for the path graph $P_{n}$ and the cyclic graph $C_{n}$. We describe the different kinds of extreme points that arise, and identify the diffusion polytope in a number of simple cases. In the case of increasing initial populations on $P_{n}$ the diffusion polytope is topologically an $n$-dimensional hypercube.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Consider a discrete-time conservative diffusion process on a graph. By this we mean a connected, simple graph $G$ with vertices $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, a set of initial "populations" $\left\{\rho_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ at the vertices, and a set of rules that can be applied at each time step, with the understanding that the rules in some sense diffuse, or spread out the populations, while conserving the total $\sum_{i} \rho_{i}$. So, for example, in classical diffusion, ${ }^{1,2}$ at each time step the populations at all the vertices are updated simultaneously via the rule

$$
\rho_{i} \rightarrow \rho_{i}+h \sum_{j}\left(\rho_{j}-\rho_{i}\right) \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $h$ is a positive constant and the index $j$ runs over the neighbors of vertex $i$.

Chip firing games on graphs are specified in a similar fashion. At each time step the (integer) population at a vertex is reduced by $n$, the degree of vertex $i$, and the population at each of $i$ 's neighbors is incremented by $1 .{ }^{3}$ Sandpile models, in which vertices accumulate population until reaching a threshold and 'toppling,' thereby transferring population to other nodes, follow similar rules. ${ }^{4,5}$

In this paper we will examine a diffusion process in which at each time step, the populations at any two vertices connected by an edge can be averaged. In some generality, for many reasonable sets of rules, there will a bounded set of attainable population vectors in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. In various applications we may be interested in extremizing some linear function of the populations $\sum_{i} w_{i} \rho_{i}$, and to do this (using a linear programming approach) we need to identify the closure of the convex hull of the set of attainable population vectors. We call this the diffusion polytope of the diffusion process (associated with the graph $G$, the relevant sent of rules and the initial

[^0]set of populations). In some sense the diffusion polytope measures the diversity of behavior that can be attained in the diffusion process. (We emphasize that the diffusion processes we consider in this paper are limited to those described, as above, by a set of rules, leading to a finite, or at least bounded, set of accessible states. This does not include typical stochastic diffusion processes, in which extreme states are in principle accessible, albeit with very small probabilities.)

Our motivation comes from plasma physics. There is a class of diffusion problems assoicated with opportunities in extracting energy in plasma with waves. Waves can be injected into a fusion reactor such that high energy alpha particles, the byproducts of the fusion reaction, lose energy to the waves, as those alpha particles are diffused by the waves to lower energy. ${ }^{6-8}$ The extra energy in the waves can then be used, for example, to increase the reactivity of the fuel or to drive electric current. ${ }^{9-11}$ Choosing the correct sequence of waves to extract as much energy as possible is an optimization problem on a graph of the type described above. Because the wave-particle interaction is diffusive, ${ }^{12}$ particles in a given location in the 6 D phase space of velocity and position are randomly mixed by the wave with particles in another location in the 6 D phase space. A graph encodes the connectedness of the phase space; each node denotes a volume element in phase space, and an edge between two nodes indicates that those two volume elements may be mixed. Waveinduced diffusion follows a path in the 6 D phase space corresponding to an edge in the associated graph. If there is a population inversion in energy along the path, then the diffusive process releases energy. If there is only one wave and a specified diffusion path, the amount of extractable energy can be readily calculated. However, more energy can be extracted when several waves are employed. ${ }^{13,14}$ When many diffusion paths are possible, it turns out that the order in which these paths are taken affects the energy that can be extracted. We reach the situation described above, of a range of possible population distributions on the nodes. (The number of particles the total population is conserved, energy is extracted by


FIG. 1. Graph representations diffusion problems two fourlevel systems, $K_{4}$ and $P_{4}$. The marking $B_{i j}$ on an edge indicates that the populations of nodes $i$ and $j$ can be equalized.
moving particles from nodes of high energy to nodes of low energy.) Determining the maximum amount of extractable energy under the constraint that the particle distribution function evolves only due to diffusion reduces to a linear programming problem on the diffusion polytope, the convex hull of all attainable population vectors, and we are concerned with identifying its extreme points and the edge sequences that give rise to these extreme points.

Arguably the simplest case of this diffusion problem is to allow, at every step, averaging of the populations at any two nodes. This is the full-connectivity, or the nonlocal diffusion problem, in which diffusion paths can be constructed between any two phase space locations, and the relevant graph is the complete graph $K_{n}$ (see Figure 1). In the context of plasma, there are physical reasons why this arrangement is realizable on a macroscopic scale, despite the restriction of diffusion to contiguous regions of phase space on the microscopic scale. ${ }^{15}$ In this case the diffusion polytope, and the maximum energy extractable, or what we call the free energy, have been described previously. ${ }^{16}$ The same optimization problem has been discussed in other fields, in the context of attainable states in chemical reactions and thermal processes ${ }^{17,18}$, and in the context of altruism and wealth distribution. ${ }^{19}$

However in all these settings, there are arguments to restrict the connectivity. In the context of plasma, possible reasons for restriction include that waves can only diffuse particles from one phase space position to a contiguous position, or between pairs of states determined by selection rules. In the case of such a local diffusion problem, the free energy will be less, because there are fewer ways in which the energy might be released. As the simplest example of such a local diffusion problem we study diffusion when the connectivity is restricted from that of $K_{n}$ to that of the path graph $P_{n}$ (see Figure 1). In the context of alruism, the effects of other (retrospective) restrictions on the connectivity have also been studied. ${ }^{20}$


FIG. 2. Truncated parahelium energy level diagram with all possible electric dipole transitions represented by arrows, $\leftrightarrow$. Energy scale is arbitrary. The $s-p$ splitting is due chiefly to the partially screened Coulomb repulsion of the nucleus (a larger effect in $p$ orbitals vs. $s$ orbitals). ${ }^{22}$

The path graph context $P_{n}$ arises naturally when the 6 D phase space is projected to a 1D energy representation, and only transitions between adjacent energies are allowed. Many physical problems of interest are captured by the model of contiguity based on energy only. Other network problems can be defined which capture the spatial element. ${ }^{21}$ A different problem on $P_{5}$ arises in the context of maximizing the possible concentration of atoms in a specific state in an ensemble of atoms of helium. Fig. 2 shows a truncated level diagram for parahelium $(S=0)$. Due to level splitting, each energy level is associated with a unique energy. We suppose processes are available which can mix levels joined by a dipole transition (such as spatially incoherent light at the appropriate frequency). For example, it is possible to average the number of atoms in $2 s$ and $3 p$, but it is not possible to do the same for $2 s$ and $3 s$. Thus four operators are allowed in this five-level system, $1 s \leftrightarrow 2 p, 1 s \leftrightarrow 3 p, 2 s \leftrightarrow 3 p$, $3 s \leftrightarrow 2 p$. We gain a clearer picture by redrawing the energy level diagram of Fig. 2 with vertices relabelled $1=1 s, 2=2 s, 3=2 p, 4=3 s, 5=3 p$ (so the vertex label indicates the energy rank) to obtain Fig. 3. Thus we see this also gives a diffusion problem on $P_{n}$; however the allowed transitions are not between adjacent energies.

This paper proceeds as follows: In Section II we give the precise statement of the diffusion model we study, the definition of the diffusion polytope, and state some elementary facts. In Section III we review known results for the case of the complete graph $K_{n},{ }^{16-19}$. We show that for a general graph, whenever 3 vertices are connected to each other (i.e. form a triangle), extreme points of the diffusion polytope are obtained only by averaging over the pairs with consecutive populations. This generalizes a theorem of Thon and Wallace for case of the complete graph, and is a key result in characterizing the diffusion polytope. In Sec. IV, we study the diffusion polytope for the path graph $P_{n}$. There are different cases depending on the ordering of the initial populations. In the case


FIG. 3. Graph representation for the diffusion problem on parahelium, cf. Fig. 2. Vertices are labeled by the rank of the corresponding energy eigenvalue (increasing). The graph is $P_{5}$, but the transitions are not between adjacent energy levels.
$n=3$ we describe the solution in all cases, emphasizing the location of the resulting polytopes inside the $K_{3}$ polytope, and the different kind of extreme points that arise. In the case of $P_{n}$ with ordered initial populations we show the diffusion polytope is topologically an $(n-1)$ dimensional hypercube with $2^{n-1}$ vertices. Whereas the extreme points of the $K_{n}$ nonlocal problem can all be constructed by $\binom{n}{2}$ or fewer level mixings, some extreme points in the $P_{n}$ local problem are only reachable by an infinite sequence of operations. Curiously, the number extreme points in the $P_{n}$ local problem that are inherited from the nonlocal problem is a Fibonacci number, and the number of operations required to reach them is at most $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$. Sec. V extends the analysis to diffusion on the cycle graph $C_{n}$, again focusing on the new types of extreme points that become available. We summarize in Sec. VI, and present open questions concerning more physically relevant graphs, and connections between ideas presented in this paper and other notions in modern network theory.

## II. THE DIFFUSION MODEL

The diffusion model studied in this paper is as follows: We are given a connected, simple graph $G$ with vertices $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, and a set of initial populations $\left\{\rho_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ at the vertices. We assume without loss of generality that the population vector $\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \ldots, \rho_{n}\right)$ is normalized: $\sum_{i} \rho_{i}=1$.

To any edge in $G$ we associate an operator. If the edge connects vertices $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ we indicate this operator $B_{i j}$, and this acts on the populations at the vertices $i$ and $j$ via

$$
B_{i j}:\left(\rho_{i}, \rho_{j}\right) \rightarrow\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{i}+\rho_{j}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{i}+\rho_{j}\right)\right)
$$

while leaving the populations at all the other vertices unchanged.

We can write $B_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I+Q_{i j}\right)$ where $Q_{i j}$ is the operator that permutes the populations at the $i$ 'th and $j$ 'the vertices. In greater generality we could consider the action of operators $B_{i j ; \alpha}=(1-\alpha) I+\alpha Q_{i j}$ for all $\alpha \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. This is the case in which "partial relaxation" is allowed as well as full relaxation. However, since we will only consider the convex hull of the population vectors generated by the $B_{i j}$, it is clear that this does not make any difference. However, it is important to distinguish between the cases of $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 / 2$ and $1 / 2<\alpha \leq 1$; the latter case corresponds to inversion of populations, and are not allowed.

We assume we are given an objective function $f=$ $\sum_{i} w_{i} \rho_{i}$ which is to be extremized over the set $A\left(\rho_{0}\right)$ of attainable states, i.e. populations generated by finite sequences of the operators $B_{i j}$ from the initial population vector $\rho_{0}$. The weights $w_{i}$ are taken to be all positive and distinct. Without loss of generality we can assume either $w_{1}<w_{2}<\cdots<w_{n}$ or that the components of $\rho_{0}$ satisfy $\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \rho_{n}$. Due to the linearity of $f$, this problem has a linear programming solution on $D P=\overline{c h\left(A\left(\rho_{0}\right)\right)}$, the closure of the complex hull of $K\left(\rho_{0}\right)$. We call this the diffusion polytope of the problem; it is determined by the graph $G$ and the initial population vector $\rho_{0}$.

Since we have assumed $G$ is connected, the uniform population $\rho=\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ is in $D P$. (To prove this, observe that the quantity $\max _{i, j}\left(\rho_{i}-\rho_{j}\right)$ is a strictly decreasing function under the application of the averaging operations, and it cannot have a non-zero minimum.)

Another immediate property of $D P$ is that if the graph $G^{\prime}$ can be obtained from $G$ by deletion of one or more edges (while still staying connected) then $D P\left(G^{\prime}\right) \subseteq$ $D P(G)$. Here the assumption is that we start with the same population vector on both $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. However, since $G^{\prime}$ has less edges, the set of attainable states is smaller compared to that for $G$ (and in the plasma setting the free energy is reduced). Thus the diffusion polytope of every graph with $n$ vertices is a subset of the diffusion polytope for $K_{n}$. Reducing the connectivity will restrict the diffusion polytope. This gives, for example, a way to identify "important" edges in a graph, as edges whose elimination causes a significant restriction diffusion polytope, or to define robustness of a network in terms of how the diffusion polytope responds to removal of edged, c.f. Refs. 23 and 24.

## III. DIFFUSION ON $K_{n}$ : NONLOCAL DIFFUSION

For the case of $K_{n}$, the case of nonlocal diffusion, Ref. 19 presented a recursive algorithm to identify the extreme points of the diffusion polytope by applying different sequences of the $B_{i j}$. It was noted in Ref. 16 that this algorithm generates reduced (minimal length) decompositions of the elements in the symmetric group $S_{n} .{ }^{25}$ That is, the algorithm identifies every possible minimum-length way to generate each of the $n$ ! permu-
tations of a length- $n$ word using only adjacent transpositions $\sigma_{i}=(i i+1)$. It turns out that the nonlocal extreme points are in bijection with equivalence classes of reduced decomposition, the equivalence classes being the sets of reduced decompositions obtainable from each other by the applying the commutation relation $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}$, $|i-j|>1 .{ }^{26}$

It was noted that 'dead ends' exist among the possible sequences of diffusion operations, where a state is reached with level densities decreasing with level energy, such that no more energy can be extracted. Any such stopping state has the level population permutation which is the reverse of the energy level permutation. For example, given $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)$ with $w_{2}<w_{1}<w_{3} \sim\{2,1,3\}$, the stopping permutation is $\{3,1,2\}$, such that $\rho_{3} \leq \rho_{1} \leq$ $\rho_{2}$.

In order to identify the extremal sequence of diffusion operations resulting in a minimum-energy state, it is generally necessary to evaluate the objective function for each inequivalent reduced decomposition of the stopping permutation. For the worst-case reverse permutation, there are a large number of states to check. ${ }^{27}$ We emphasize that each extreme point in the nonlocal problem is associated with a finite sequence of diffusion operations, with the limiting words being the identity (length 0 ) and the reverse permutation (length $\binom{n}{2}$ ).

Finally, all extremal sequences result in a monotone trend in the objective function: in our plasma example, we can exclude from consideration any operations which absorb energy from the injected waves.

A signficant tool for these results was Prop. 2 in Ref. 19 and this has an extension to the diffusion problem on an arbitrary graph. Suppose the 3 vertices $V_{i}, V_{j}, V_{k}$ form a triangle, i.e. that there are edges between the 3 possible pairs of these three vertices. Assume without loss of generality that $\rho_{i}<\rho_{j}<\rho_{k}$. Then no extreme point can be obtained by immediate application of $B_{i k}$. In other words, in any triangle, extreme points can only generated by averaging pairs with adjacent populations. This fact folows from two following simple identities:
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$$

Here it is assumed that $a<b<c$ and $a+b+c=1$, and

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{3(c-b)}{b+c-2 a} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{3(b-a)}{2 c-a-b}
$$

so
$1-\lambda_{1}=\frac{2(2 b-a-c)}{b+c-2 a} \quad$ and $\quad 1-\lambda_{2}=\frac{2(a+c-2 b)}{2 c-b-a}$.

If $a+c \leq 2 b$ then $0 \leq \lambda_{1} \leq 1$, and the first identity says that the population obtained by application of $B_{i k}$ is a convex combination of the population obtained by averaging all three populations at $V_{i}, V_{j}, V_{k}$, with the population obtained by application of first $B_{j k}$ and then $B_{i k}$. The latter two populations may or may not be extreme points of $D P$; but they are both in $D P$, and thus the population obtained by immediate application of $B_{i k}$ is certainly not an extreme point. If $a+c>2 b$ then $0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq 1$ and the second identity is relevant, and the population obtaiined by immediate application of $B_{i k}$ is a convex combination of the population obtained by full averaging, with that obtained by first applying $B_{i j}$ and then $B_{i k}$.

In contrast, the other crucial result for understanding the case of $K_{n}$, viz. Prop. 3 in Ref. 19, seems to be specific to $K_{n}$.

## IV. DIFFUSION ON $P_{n}$ : LOCAL DIFFUSION

Throughout our discussion of the $P_{n}$ case we assume without loss of generality that $\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \rho_{n}$.

For $n=3$ there are three distinct cases to consider, when the allowed operators are (a) $B_{12}, B_{23}$, (b) $B_{12}, B_{13}$, (c) $B_{13}, B_{23}$. Figure 4 compares the diffusion polytopes in the three different cases with that of $K_{3}$, in the case $\rho_{0}=(0,2 / 7,5 / 7)$.

The extreme points in the case $K_{3}$ are $\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} B_{12}, \rho_{0} B_{23}, \rho_{0} B_{12} B_{13}, \rho_{0} B_{23} B_{13}, \rho_{0} B_{12} B_{13} B_{23}$, $\rho_{0} B_{23} B_{13} B_{12}$. Writing $\bar{\rho}=\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$, the extreme points in the three $P_{3}$ cases are

- $\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} B_{12}, \rho_{0} B_{23}, \bar{\rho}$.
- $\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} B_{12}, \rho_{0} B_{12} B_{13}, \bar{\rho}$.
- $\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} B_{13}, \rho_{0} B_{23}, \rho_{0} B_{13} B_{23}, \rho_{0} B_{23} B_{13}$.

Note first that any $K_{3}$ extreme point that can be attained in any of the $P_{3}$ cases is an extreme point in that case. We call such points "nonlocal extreme points" (of the local problem), as they are inherited form the nonlocal problem. However, there are also new extreme points. In the first two cases the point $\bar{\rho}$ is added. This is a limit point of the attainable populations - it cannot be attained by application of a finite sequence of the $B_{i j}$ operators; we call such points "asymptotic extreme points". In the third case there are new extreme points that can be attained by application of a finite sequence of the $B_{i j}$, however these are not extreme points for the nonlocal $K_{3}$ case. In more general local diffusion problems all three kinds of extreme points coexist - nonlocal extreme points inherited from $K_{n}$, asymptotic extreme points (which do not appear in the case $K_{n}$ ) and other extreme points generated by finite sequences of operations that are not inherited from $K_{n}$.

In the case $P_{n}$ in which the allowed operators are $B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1, n}$ the diffusion polytope can be identified explicitly. In the appendix, we prove that there


FIG. 4. Comparison of polytopes for $K_{3}, P_{3}$, and two other restricted graphs for initial data $\rho_{0}=(0,2 / 7,5 / 7)$, with permitted operators (a) $B_{12}, B_{23}$, (b) $B_{12}, B_{13}$, (c) $B_{13}, B_{23}$. All are superimposed on the polytope for $K_{3}$. (Due to normalization, the third coordinate is ignorable.)
are $2^{n-1}$ extreme points in bijection with the power set of $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. It follows that the diffusion polytope is topologically an $(n-1)$-dimensional hypercube. ${ }^{28}$ Any extreme point corresponding to a subset $A \subseteq$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ is connected (by edges, forming the 1 skeleton of the hypercube) to $n-1$ other extreme points corresponding to the $n-1$ subsets that differ from $A$ in just one element. Fig. 5 illustrate the 3 -cube hull for the four-level problem.

We ask the question how many nonlocal extreme points are there in this case? (i.e. how many points are inherited from the case of the complete graph $K_{n}$.) In the case $n=3$ there are $3: \rho_{0}, \rho_{0} B_{12}$, and $\rho_{0} B_{23}$ are all extreme points. In the case $n=4$ there are 5: $\rho_{0}, \rho_{0} B_{12}$, $\rho_{0} B_{23}, \rho_{0} B_{34}$ and $\rho_{0} B_{12} B_{34}$. In general, the question is how many subsets of commuting operators are there in $\left\{B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1, n}\right\}$ ? For $n=4$ and $n=5$ only com-


FIG. 5. Convex hull of a four-level local diffusion problem represented in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Extreme points are denoted with red circles.
muting 2 -tuples are possible. For $n=6$, a commuting 3 -tuple appears: $\left(B_{12}, B_{34}, B_{56}\right)$. In general, the $n$-level system contains only $k$-tuples satisfying $k \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$.

Clearly, as $n$ grows, the number of commuting $k$-tuples with $k \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ becomes large and direct counting becomes tedious, if not difficult. Fortunately, a general formula is available. Appropriating the notation of Ref. 29, denote the number of commuting $k$-tuples as $A_{k}(n)$. Recalling that there are $n-1$ operators $B_{i, i+1}$ in the $n$-level problem, the number of extreme points for $n>2$ levels is

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+(n-1)+A_{2}(n)+A_{3}(n)+\cdots+A_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(n), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the leading 1 corresponds to the initial distribution $\rho_{0}$.

We can now attack the $A_{k}(n)$ in turn. Mapping each $B_{i, i+1}$ to the symbol $i, A_{2}(n)$ is the number of twoelement subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ which do not contain consecutive numbers. The total number of two-element subsets is $\binom{n-1}{2}$ and the number of subsets containing consecutive numbers is $n-2$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}(n)=\binom{n-1}{2}-(n-2)=\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)=T_{n-3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ triangular number (recall that the expression is restricted to $n>2$ ). Proceeding analogously, $A_{3}(n)$ is seen to correspond to the tetrahedral numbers. In general, $A_{k}(n)$ can be identified with the set of regular $k$-polytopic numbers. ${ }^{30}$ With the proper offsets, the formula for the number of extreme points for $n>2$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n+\binom{n-2}{2}+\binom{n-3}{3}+\cdots=F_{n+1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{n}$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ term of the Fibonacci sequence: $0,1,1,2,3 \ldots$ with $F_{0}=0$. The identity is the statement that shallow diagonals of Pascal's triangle sum to Fibonacci numbers: ${ }^{31}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\binom{n-k}{k}=F_{n+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Note that $\binom{n}{0}+\binom{n-1}{1}=n$.)
This result might have been anticipated because there are $F_{n+2}$ unique subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ which do not contain consecutive numbers. ${ }^{32}$ For example, there are three $\left(=F_{4}\right)$ such subsets of $\{1,2\}:\{\varnothing,\{1\},\{2\}\}$. The problem of enumerating the extreme points is analogous: the $n$-level system contains $n-1$ operators, leading to $F_{n+1}$ Fibonacci subsets.

The Fibonacci numbers are the solution to a similar problem in graph theory: $F_{n+1}$ is the number of matchings in a path graph with $n$ vertices. ${ }^{33}$

Thus the number of nonlocal extreme points in the case of $P_{n}$ with operators $\left\{B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1, n}\right\}$ is $F_{n+1}$. Note these involve at most $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ operators (as opposed to up to $\binom{n}{2}$ in the case of $\left.K_{n}\right)$. All the other extreme points are asymptotic extreme points, involving averagings over 3 or more states.

## V. DIFFUSION ON THE CYCLE GRAPH $C_{n}$ : NONLOCAL DIFFUSION

Another possible restriction on the phase space connectivity results in a diffusion problem on the cycle graph $C_{n}$, Fig. 6 with allowed operators $\left\{B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1, n}\right\}$ as in the case of $P_{n}$ studied before, and the single extra operator $B_{1, n} C_{3}$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$ and accordingly has the same diffusion polytopes; $C_{4}$ is the smallest case with a unique diffusion polytope.

Introducing the notation $B_{i j k}$ for the operation of averaging over populations on the three vertices $i, j, k$ (involving an infinite sequence of operations), Table I lists the 18 extreme points we have found for the $C_{4}$ diffusion polytope by brute force computation. We divide the points into 3 categories: those inherited from $K_{n}$, those shared with $P_{4}$ (by this we mean that these are extreme points for $P_{4}$ inherited from the $C_{4}$ case), and all others. In the case of $C_{4}$, as in the case of $P_{n}$ that we solved explicitly, there are only nonlocal extreme points and asymptotic extreme points. We emphasize that in general there are also points involving a finite sequence of $B_{i j}$ that are not inherited from $K_{n}$.

## VI. DISCUSSION

In this work we have developed a non-standard diffusion model on a graph, explained the reason for looking at the associated diffusion polytope, and studied this in the cases where the graph is $K_{n}, P_{n}$ and $C_{n}$. The


FIG. 6. The cycle graph $C_{4}$

| from $K_{4}$ | shared $P_{4}$ | $C_{4}$ only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho_{0}$ | $\rho_{0} B_{123}$ | $\rho_{0} B_{123} B_{14}$ |
| $\rho_{0} B_{12}$ | $\rho_{0} B_{234}$ | $\rho_{0} B_{234} B_{14}$ |
| $\rho_{0} B_{23}$ |  | $\rho_{0} B_{123} B_{124}$ |
| $\rho_{0} B_{34}$ |  | $\rho_{0} B_{234} B_{134}$ |
| $\rho_{0} B_{12} B_{34}$ |  | $\rho_{0} B_{12} B_{34} B_{134}$ |
| $\rho_{0} B_{12} B_{34} B_{14}$ |  | $\rho_{0} B_{12} B_{34} B_{124}$ |
|  | $\rho_{0} B_{234} B_{14} B_{12}$ |  |
|  | $\rho_{0} B_{123} B_{14} B_{234}$ |  |
|  | $\rho_{0} B_{234} B_{14} B_{123}$ |  |
|  |  | $\rho_{0} B_{123} B_{23} B_{14} B_{34}$ |

TABLE I. Extreme points for the diffusion problem on $C_{4}$.
case of $P_{n}$ for which we have given a complete solution and the case of $K_{n}$ should be regarded as extreme cases. Assuming increasing energy levels and initial densities, the nonlocal problem requires evaluation of the objective functional at a super-exponential number of points in the number of levels $n$, while for the local problem the solution is always the uniform distribution. We show in Fig. 7 the optimal population distribution with $w=(1,2,3,4)$, $\rho_{0} \propto\left(e^{1}, e^{2}, e^{3}, e^{4}\right)$ in the cases of $K_{4}, P_{4}$ (with operators $B_{12}, B_{23}, B_{34}$ ) and $C_{4}$ (with added operator $B_{14}$ ).

Although the diffusion problem on the complete graph is well-characterized, it is difficult to solve. The $P_{n}$ model is an oversimplification, but it is possible that not much more complicated models may be useful. Some insight may be gained into the 'full' alpha particle diffusion problem by considering proximity in both position and energy space. As before, edges correspond to diffusion paths and vertices reference bins associated with the discretization of configuration and energy space. A particularly simple 2-D diffusion problem in $x$ and $\epsilon$ can be visualized as in Fig. 8, on the composition of path graphs $P_{m}\left[P_{n}\right]$, $m \geq n$, essentially a grid graph with diagonal edges. ${ }^{34}$ By judicious choice of the wave phase velocities, diffusion paths can be established between different parts of the phase space. Moving a particle in energy at constant location could be accomplished with a wave $k \rightarrow 0$, and vice versa, moving a particle without changing its energy with a wave $\omega \rightarrow 0$. The slopes of any diagonal diffusion paths are determined by intermediate $\omega / k .{ }^{13,14}$


FIG. 7. Comparison of minimal energy states for the diffusion problem with initial data $\rho_{0} \propto\left(e^{1}, e^{2}, e^{3}, e^{4}\right)$ and $w=(1,2,3,4)$. The blue circles label the level densities for the nonlocal problem $\left(K_{4}\right)$, the green diamonds for the case $C_{4}$ and the yellow squares for the case $P_{4}$. Whereas in the nonlocal case the operations recovered $68 \%$ of the Gardner limit, ${ }^{16}$ the $C_{4}$ case recovered $63 \%$, and in the $P_{4}$ case only $50 \%$ was recovered.


FIG. 8. Diffusion problem on $G=P_{3}\left[P_{2}\right]$.

Such an arrangement is characterized by degeneracy in both position and energy bins. A practical alpha channeling scheme would seek to maximize the density at a particular low-energy, large-radius sink node.

In general, one might consider diffusion problems on arbitrary subgraphs of the complete graph for $V=\{w\}$, of which the local problem is one solvable case (the path graph with $V=\{w\}$ ). These other problems will inherit some extreme points from the complete graph on the same number of vertices and should also have some unique ones depending on the particular graph structure. There may be rich physical significance for diffusion problems on more or less 'bottlenecked' graphs generally (in the sense of Cheeger), or e.g. the wheel graphs, $k$-regular trees, and complete bipartite graphs.

Our problem may be contrasted with other notions of (deterministic or stochastic) diffusion or spreading on graphs, for example in the context of spreading of epidemics, ${ }^{35-37}$ or behavior. ${ }^{38}$ In all such settings, the resulting behavior depends intimately on the character of the underlying graph. For example, disease transmission proceeds more slowly on a lattice than on small-
world ${ }^{39,40}$ or scale-free ${ }^{36,41}$ networks. Qualitative differences can also be observed in the context of classical graph diffusion. ${ }^{42}$ We expect to see similar differences in the context of our problem, though the computational task of verifying this is formidable.
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Appendix: The diffusion polytope in the "ordered" case $P_{n}$
In this appendix we prove the claim from Sec. IV concerning the diffusion polytope in the case $P_{n}$, with permitted operators $B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1, n}$. The local state space is the set of states accessible from the initial state $\rho_{0}$, after an arbitrary finite sequence of transformations $B_{i, i+1}$ has been applied. We claim that the closure of the convex hull of this space has $2^{n-1}$ extreme points in bijection with the power set of $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Denote these points $S_{A}$, where the index $A$ runs over all subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

The bijection has a simple description. The empty set $\varnothing$ corresponds to the initial state $\rho_{0}$. The point $S_{A}$ corre-
sponding to the set $A$ has the property that for each $i \in A$ the $i$ 'th and $(i+1)^{\prime}$ 'th components of $S_{A}$ are equal, and its components are obtained by averaging over subsets of components of $\rho_{0}$. So, for example, in a seven-level system, $S_{\{1,2,3,6\}}$ is the point $(x, x, x, x, y, z, z)$ where $x$ is the average of the first four components of $\rho_{0}, y$ is the fifth component, and $z$ is the average of the sixth and seventh components. In greater generality, whenever $A$ contains a sequence of $k$ consecutive integers $i, i+1, \ldots, i+k-1$ then the $k+1$ components of $S_{A}$ from $i$ to $i+k$ are equal to the average of the corresponding components of $\rho_{0}$.

Lemma 1. The $S_{A}$ are contained in the closure of the local state space.

Proof. Fix a subset $A \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ corresponding to a particular $S_{A}$. Suppose $i, i+1, \ldots, i+k-1$ is a maximal sequence of $k$ consecutive integers in $A$, i.e. all these are in $A$, but $i-1$ and $i+k$ are not, and consider the quantity $\rho_{i+k}-\rho_{i}$. This is non-negative, and, if it is non-zero, strictly decreases when the operator $B_{i+k-1, i+k} \ldots B_{i+1, i+2} B_{i, i+1}$ is applied to the state $\rho$. Furthermore it is unchanged when the corresponding operator for a different maximal sequence of consecutive integers is applied to $\rho$. It follows that by repeated application of such operators the initial state $\rho_{0}$ can be brought arbitrarily close to the extreme point $S_{A}$. Thus the points $S_{A}$ are in the closure of the local state space.

Lemma 2. No point $S_{A}$ can be written as a nontrivial convex combination of the others.

Proof. Consider a convex combination $\sum a_{A} S_{A}$, with $a_{A} \geq 0$ and $\sum a_{A}=1$. Let $\rho_{A, i}$ denote the component densities of the point $S_{A}$. Suppose $\sum a_{A} S_{A}=S$, with densities $\rho_{i}$. Because an arbitrary sequence of local operators $B_{i, i+1}$ cannot achieve a population inversion, we have $\rho_{A, i} \leq \rho_{A, i+1}$ for all $A$ and $i$. Therefore $\rho_{i}=\rho_{i+1}$ if and only if $\rho_{A, i}=\rho_{A, i+1}$ for all $A$ with $a_{A}>0$. Thus any convex combination yielding the point $S$ has only one summand, $S$ itself.

Lemma 3. Any point in the local state space can be written as a convex combination of the $S_{A}$.

Proof. We wish to show that every accessible state $\rho$ can be written as a convex combination of the extreme points, i.e. that we can write

$$
\rho=\sum_{A} \lambda_{A} S_{A}, \quad \text { where } \quad \lambda_{A} \geq 0, \sum_{A} \lambda_{A}=1
$$

Every accessible state can be obtained by applying an arbitrary finite sequence of $B_{i, i+1}$ to the initial state $\rho_{0}=S_{\varnothing}$. We proceed by induction on the number of $B_{i, i+1}$ operators to be applied. To prove the inductive step it is necessary to check that for every $A$ and for every $i, S_{A} B_{i, i+1}$ can be written as a convex combination of extreme points. If $i \in A$, then $S_{A} B_{i, i+1}=S_{A}$ is an extreme point. If $i \notin A$ then the $i$ 'th and $(i+1)$ 'th
components of $S_{A}$ may be different. $S_{A}$ then takes the form

$$
(\ldots, x, \ldots, x, x, y, y, \ldots y, \ldots)
$$

where there is a string of $k>0 x$ 's ending in the $i$ 'th position and a string of $l>0 y$ 's starting in the $(i+1)$ 'th position. The entries on the left of the string of $x$ 's and on the right of the string of $y$ 's remain fixed and identical for all the points that appear in the forthcoming calculation, and thus do not play any role. It is assumed that the entry immediately on the left of the $x$ 's (if there is such) is strictly less than $x$, and the entry immediately on the right of the $y$ 's (if it exists) is strictly greater than $y$.

Applying $B_{i, i+1}$ we have

$$
S_{A} B_{i, i+1}=\left(\ldots, x, \ldots, x, \frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}, y, \ldots, y, \ldots\right)
$$

where now the strings of $x$ 's and $y$ 's are of length $k-1$ and $l-1$ respectively. It should be emphasized that except in the case $k=l=1$ this is not an extreme point, as although the values in the $i$ 'th and $(i+1)^{\prime}$ 'th positions are equal, they have been determined by components of the initial density $\rho_{0}$ from outside these positions, and full averaging over the relevant subset has not been achieved. However we will now show it is a convex combination of four extreme points of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}= & (\ldots, X, \ldots X, X, X, X, \ldots X, \ldots) \\
& \text { with } k+l X^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \\
S_{2}= & \left(\ldots, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{1}, X_{1}, X_{1}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{1}, \ldots\right), \\
& \text { with } k+1 X_{1} \text { 's and } l-1 Y_{1}^{\prime} \text { 's, } \\
S_{3}= & \left(\ldots, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{2}, Y_{2}, Y_{2}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{2}, \ldots\right) \\
& \text { with } k-1 X_{2} \text { 's and } l+1 Y_{2} \text { 's, and } \\
S_{4}= & \left(\ldots, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{2}, Z, Z, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{1}, \ldots\right) \\
& \text { with } k-1 X_{2} \text { 's and } l-1 Y_{1} \text { 's. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(These four points are only distinct on the assumption $k, l>1$. The cases $k=l=1, k=1, l>1$, and $k>1, l=1$ should be considered separately but are simpler - in particular in the case $k=l=1$ all four points coincide and the resulting point is extreme. We omit the details of the cases $k=1, l>1$ and $k>1, l=1$.) The quantities $x, y, X, X_{1}, Y_{1}, X_{2}, Y_{2}, Z$ appearing here are not independent, as they are obtained from averaging over certain entries of $\rho_{0}$. Denote the average value of entries $i-(k-1), \ldots, i-1$ of $\rho_{0}$ as $R_{1}$, the value of entry $i$ as $R_{2}$, the value of entry $i+1$ as $R_{3}$ and the average of
entries $i+2, \ldots, i+l$ as $R_{4}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\frac{(k-1) R_{1}+R_{2}}{k} \\
y & =\frac{R_{3}+(l-1) R_{4}}{l} \\
X & =\frac{(k-1) R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}+(l-1) R_{4}}{k+l} \\
X_{1} & =\frac{(k-1) R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}}{k+1} \\
Y_{1} & =R_{4} \\
X_{2} & =R_{1} \\
Y_{2} & =\frac{R_{2}+R_{3}+(l-1) R_{4}}{l+1} \\
Z & =\frac{R_{2}+R_{3}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our aim is to find $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4} \geq 0$ such that

$$
S_{A} B_{i, i+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_{i} S_{i} \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_{i}=1
$$

Solving these linear equations gives three constraints between the $\lambda_{i}$, which can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=C_{1} \lambda_{4}+D_{1} \\
& \lambda_{2}=C_{2} \lambda_{4}+D_{2} \\
& \lambda_{3}=C_{3} \lambda_{4}+D_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}$ are complicated expressions involving $k, l$ and $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}$. Explicitly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}= & \frac{\left(p_{2}+2 p_{3}\right)\left(p_{2}+2 p_{1}\right)(k+l)}{2\left((k-1) p_{1}+k p_{2}+(k+1) p_{3}\right)} \\
& \times \frac{1}{(l+1) p_{1}+l p_{2}+(l-1) p_{3}} \\
C_{2}= & -\frac{\left(p_{2}+2 p_{3}\right)(k+1)}{2\left((k-1) p_{1}+k p_{2}+(k+1) p_{3}\right)} \\
C_{3}= & -\frac{\left(p_{2}+2 p_{1}\right)(l+1)}{2\left((l+1) p_{1}+l p_{2}+(l-1) p_{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=R_{2}-R_{1} \\
& p_{2}=R_{3}-R_{2} \\
& p_{3}=R_{4}-R_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $R_{1} \leq R_{2} \leq R_{3} \leq R_{4}$ we have $p_{i} \geq 0$ and thus $C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2}, C_{3}<0$. Thus for nonnegativity of the $\lambda_{i}$ we need

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(0,-\frac{D_{1}}{C_{1}}\right) \leq \lambda_{4} \leq \min \left(-\frac{D_{2}}{C_{2}},-\frac{D_{3}}{C_{3}}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit expressions for $-\frac{D_{1}}{C_{1}},-\frac{D_{2}}{C_{2}},-\frac{D_{3}}{C_{3}}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{D_{1}}{C_{1}}= & \left((k-1) l p_{1} p_{2}+k l p_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+k(l-1) p_{2} p_{3}-2(l+k) p_{1} p_{3}\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{\left(p_{2}+2 p_{3}\right)\left(p_{2}+2 p_{1}\right) k l} \\
-\frac{D_{2}}{C_{2}}= & \frac{(k-1) l p_{1}+k l p_{2}+k(l-1) p_{3}}{\left(p_{2}+2 p_{3}\right) k l} \\
-\frac{D_{3}}{C_{3}}= & \frac{(k-1) l p_{1}+k l p_{2}+k(l-1) p_{3}}{\left(p_{2}+2 p_{1}\right) k l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently $-\frac{D_{2}}{C_{2}},-\frac{D_{3}}{C_{3}}>0$. To see the inequalities (A.1) can be satisfied we simply observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{D_{2}}{C_{2}}- & \left(-\frac{D_{1}}{C_{1}}\right)= \\
& \frac{2 p_{1}\left((k-1) p_{1}+k p_{2}+(k+1) p_{3}\right)}{k\left(p_{2}+2 p_{3}\right)\left(p_{2}+2 p_{1}\right)}>0 \\
-\frac{D_{3}}{C_{3}}- & \left(-\frac{D_{1}}{C_{1}}\right)= \\
& \frac{2 p_{3}\left((l+1) p_{2}+l p_{2}+(l-1) p_{3}\right)}{l\left(p_{2}+2 p_{3}\right)\left(p_{2}+2 p_{1}\right)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus both quantities on the rightmost side of (A.1) are greater than both quantities on the leftmost side and
thus the inequalities (A.1) can always be satisfied. Note that the quantity $-\frac{D_{1}}{C_{1}}$ is of indeterminate sign. When it is negative it is possible to take $\lambda_{4}=0$ and thus write $S_{A} B_{i, i+1}$ as a convex combination of just three extreme points. However, in general, four are needed.

Theorem 1. The closure of the convex hull of the local state space has $2^{n-1}$ extreme points in bijection with the power set of $\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1, the $S_{A}$ are all contained in the closure of the local state space and thus in the closure of its convex hull. Thus the convex hull of the points $S_{A}$ is contained in the closure of the convex hull of the local state space. By Lemma 2, no point $S_{A}$ can be expressed as a nontrivial convex combination of the others. Thus the convex hull of the points $S_{A}$ has all the $2^{n-1}$ points $S_{A}$ as extreme points. By Lemma 3, any point in the local state space can be expressed as a convex combination of the $S_{A}$. Thus the local state space is contained in the convex hull of the points $S_{A}$, which is a closed convex set, so must therefore also contain the closure of the convex hull of the local state space. Combining these results we conclude that the closure of the convex hull of the local state space can be identified with the convex hull of the points $S_{A}$ and these are a complete set of extreme points.
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