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#### Abstract

We introduce the notions of Schröder shape and of Schröder tableau, which provide some kind of analogs of the classical notions of Young shape and Young tableau. We investigate some properties of the partial order given by containment of Schröder shapes. Then we propose an algorithm which is the natural analog of the well known RS correspondence for Young tableaux, and we characterize those permutations whose insertion tableaux have some special shapes. The last part of the article relates the notion of Schröder tableau with those of interval order and of weak containment (and strong avoidance) of posets. We end our paper with several suggestions for possible further work.


## 1 Introduction

Given a positive integer $n$, a partition of $n$ is a finite sequence of positive integers $\lambda=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{r}$ and $n=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{r}$. When $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$ we also write $\lambda \vdash n$. A graphical way of representing partitions is given by Young shapes. The Young shape of the above partition $\lambda \vdash n$ consists of $r$ left-justified rows having $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ boxes (also called cells) stacked in decreasing order of length. The set of all Young shapes can be endowed with a poset structure by containment (of top-left justified shapes). Such a poset turns out to be in fact a lattice, called the Young lattice. A standard Young tableau with $n$ cells is a Young shape whose cells are filled in with positive integers from 1 to $n$ in such a way that entries in each row and each column are (strictly) increasing.

Young tableaux are among the most investigated combinatorial objects. The widespread interest in Young tableaux is certainly due both to their intrinsic combinatorial beauty (which is witnessed by several surprising facts concerning, for instance, their enumeration, such as the hook length formula and the RSK algorithm) and to their usefulness in several algebraic contexts, typically in the representation theory of groups and related matters (such as Schur functions and the Littlewood-Richardson rule).

Apart from their classical definition, there are several alternative ways to introduce Young tableaux. In the present paper we are interested in the possibility of defining standard Young tableaux in terms of a certain lattice structure on Dyck paths. The main advantage of this point of view lies in the possibility of giving an analogous definition in a modified setting, in which Dyck paths are replaced by some other class of lattice paths. Here we will try to see what happens if we replace Dyck paths with Schröder paths, just scratching the surface of a theory that, in our opinion, deserves to be better studied.

Given a Cartesian coordinate system, a Dyck path is a lattice path starting from the origin, ending on the $x$-axis, never falling below the $x$-axis and using only two kinds of steps, $u(p)=(1,1)$

[^0]and $d($ own $)=(1,-1)$. A Dyck path can be encoded by a word $w$ on the alphabet $\{u, d\}$ such that in every prefix of $w$ the number of $u$ 's is greater than or equal to the number of $d$ 's and the total number of $u$ and $d$ in $w$ is the same (the resulting language is called Dyck language and its words Dyck words). The length of a Dyck path is the length of the associated Dyck word (which is necessarily an even number).

Consider the set $\mathbf{D}_{n}$ of all Dyck paths of length $2 n$; it can be endowed with a very natural poset structure, by declaring $P \leq Q$ whenever $P$ lies weakly below $Q$ in the usual two-dimensional drawing of Dyck paths (for any $P, Q \in \mathbf{D}_{n}$ ). This partial order actually induces a distributive lattice structure on $\mathbf{D}_{n}$, to be denoted $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ and called Dyck lattice of order $n$. This can be shown both in a direct way, using the combinatorics of lattice paths (see [FP]), and as a consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is order-isomorphic to (the dual of) the Young lattice of the staircase partition ( $n-1, n-2, \ldots, 2,1$ ) (that is the principal down-set generated by such a staircase partition in the Young lattice). Referring to the latter approach, any $P \in \mathbf{D}_{n}$ uniquely determines a Young shape, which can be obtained by taking the region included between $P$ and the maximum path of $\mathcal{D}_{n}$, then slicing it into square cells using diagonal lines of slope 1 and -1 passing through all points having integer coordinates, and finally rotating the sheet of paper by $45^{\circ}$ anticlockwise (see Figure (1).


Figure 1: A Dyck path (red) and the associated Young shape.
It is well known that there is a bijection between standard Young tableaux of a given shape and saturated chains in the Young lattice starting from the empty shape and ending with that shape. Translating this fact on Dyck lattices, we can thus state that standard Young tableaux of a given shape are in bijection with saturated chains (inside a Dyck lattice of suitable order) starting from the Dyck path associated with that shape and ending with the maximum of the lattice. This suggests us to try to find an analog of this fact in which Dyck paths are replaced by other types of paths. As already mentioned, the case treated in the present paper is that of Schröder paths.

In section 2 we introduce the notion of Schröder shape and study some properties of the poset of Schröder shapes (in some sense analogous to those of the Young lattice). In section 3 we introduce the notion of Schröder tableau and we define an algorithm which, given a permutation, produces a pair of Schröder tableaux having the same Schröder shape; this is made in analogy with the classical RS algorithm. In particular, we will address the problem of determining which permutations are mapped into the same Schröder insertion tableau, and we solve it for a few special shapes. Section 4 offers an alternative description of the notion of Schröder tableau in terms of two seemingly unrelated concepts: one is well known (interval orders) whereas the other one (weak pattern poset, and strong poset avoidance) is much less studied; we then give an overview of a possible combinatorial approach to the study of weak poset containment and strong poset avoidance, and provide a link between these notions and Schröder tableaux. Finally, we devote Section 5 to the presentation of some directions of further research.

An extended abstract of the present work has appeared in the proceedings of the conference IWOCA 2015 [Fe.


Figure 2: A Schröder shape of order 25.

## 2 The poset of Schröder partitions

A Schröder shape is a set of triangular cells in the plane obtained from a Young shape by drawing the NE-SW diagonal of each of its (square) cells, and possibly adding at the end of some rows one more triangular cell, provided that, in a group of rows having equal length, only the first (topmost) one can have an added triangle. The number of cells of a Schröder shape is called the order of that shape. An example of a Schröder shape is illustrated in Figure 2,

A Schröder shape has triangular cells of two distinct types, which will be referred to as lower triangular cells and upper triangular cells. In particular, rows having an odd number of cells necessarily terminate with an upper triangular cell. A Schröder shape determines a unique integer partition, whose parts are the number of cells in the rows of the shape. For instance, the partition associated with the shape in Figure 2 is $(9,6,6,3,1)$. As a consequence of the definition of a Schröder shape, it is clear that not every partition can be represented using a Schröder shape. More precisely, we have the following result, whose proof is completely trivial and so it is left to the reader.

Proposition 2.1. An integer partition can be represented with a Schröder shape if and only if its odd parts are simple (i.e. have multiplicity 1).

Those integer partitions which can be represented with a suitable Schröder shape will be called Schröder partitions. The set of all Schröder partitions will be denoted Sch, and the set of Schröder partitions of order $n$ with $\mathbf{S c h}_{n}$. From now on we will frequently refer to Schröder shapes and to Schröder partitions interchangeably, when no confusion is likely to arise.

From the enumerative point of view, the number of Schröder partitions is known, and is recorded in [Sl] as sequence A006950. In particular, the generating function of Schröder partitions is given by

$$
\prod_{k>0} \frac{1+x^{2 k-1}}{1-x^{2 k}}
$$

There are several combinatorial interpretations for the resulting sequence, however an appropriate reference for the present one (in terms of Schröder partitions) appears to be [D]. In that paper the author proves a far more general result, concerning partitions such that the multiplicity of each odd part is in a prescribed set and the multiplicity of each even part is unrestricted.

It is interesting to notice that this sequence is also relevant from an algebraic point of view. Indeed it coincides with the sequence of numbers of nilpotent conjugacy classes in the Lie algebras $o(n)$ of skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. This suggests that Schröder partitions have a role in representation theory that certainly deserves to be better investigated.

Though the formalism of Schröder shapes seems not to add relevant information on the enumerative combinatorics of Schröder partitions, it suggests at least an interesting family of
maps on integer partitions, which turns out to define a family of involutions if suitably restricted. Consider the family of maps $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ defined on the set of all integer partitions as follows: given a partition $\lambda$ and a positive integer $n, c_{n}(\lambda)$ is the integer partition $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right)$ (of the same size as $\lambda$ ) whose $i$-th part $\mu_{i}$ is given by the sum of the $n$ columns of (the Young shape of) $\lambda$ from the $((i-1) n+1)$-th one to the $(i n)$-th one. So, for instance, $c_{3}((7,6,6,6,4,3,3,1))=(22,13,1)$. Since each of the above maps preserves the size of a partition, it is clearly an endofunction when restricted to the set of all integer partitions of size $n$. Notice that $c_{1}$ is the well-known conjugation map (which exchanges rows with columns in a Young shape). In spite of the fact that $c_{1}$ is an involution (on the set of all partitions), it is easy to see that all the other $c_{n}$ 's are not involutions. However, it is possible to characterize the set of those partitions for which $c_{n}^{2}$ acts as the identity map.

Proposition 2.2. Given $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and an integer partition $\lambda$ (whose $i$-th part will be denoted $\lambda_{i}$, as usual), we have that $c_{n}^{2}(\lambda)=\lambda$ if and only if for all $k \geq 0$, there exists at most one index $i$ such that $k n<\lambda_{i}<(k+1) n$.

Proof. For any given $\lambda$, suppose that there exists at least one part of $c_{n}(\lambda)$ which is not multiple of $n$, and let $\mu^{\prime}$ be one of them. More precisely, let $k$ be the unique nonnegative integer such that $k n<\mu^{\prime}<(k+1) n$. This means that $\lambda$ has a set of $n$ consecutive columns whose sum is equal to $\mu^{\prime}$. Since $\mu^{\prime} \neq 0(\bmod n)$, this implies that such $n$ columns are not all equal. In particular, the rightmost of them must have $<k+1$ cells. Now, since in a Young shape columns are in decreasing order of length, the sum of the successive $n$ columns of $\lambda$ is $\leq k n$, hence $\mu^{\prime}$ is the only part of $c_{n}(\lambda)$ strictly greater than $k n$. Using a similar argument, we observe that, in the set of columns of $\lambda$ that sum up to $\mu^{\prime}$, the first (leftmost) of them must have $\geq k+1$ cells, and so the sum of the previous $n$ columns of $\lambda$ is $\geq(k+1) n$; as a consequence, $\mu^{\prime}$ is the only part of $c_{n}(\lambda)$ strictly smaller than $(k+1) n$. We have thus proved that the condition in the above statement holds for every partition in the image of $c_{n}$. This is enough to conclude that, if $c_{n}^{2}(\lambda)=\lambda$, then necessarily the same condition holds for $\lambda$ (which lies indeed in the image of $c_{n}$ ).

Conversely, split each row of $\lambda$ into clusters containing $n$ consecutive cells, except at most the last cluster which contains at most $n$ cells. Denoting with $\mu_{i}$ the $i$-th part of $c_{n}(\lambda)$, we have that $\mu_{i}$ is obtained by taking the $i$-th cluster from each row, and the hypothesis implies that, among the rows whose contribution is nonzero, there is at most one row whose contribution is strictly less than $n$. The construction of $c_{n}(\lambda)$ from $\lambda$ is illustrated below for the partition $\lambda=(9,7,6,6,6,4,3,3,2)$ and $n=3$ : cells with the same label have to be grouped together, and the resulting partition $c_{3}(\lambda)=(26,16,4)$ is depicted on the right.

| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $c$ | $c$ | $c$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $c$ |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Now, similarly as above, in order to construct $c_{n}^{2}(\lambda)$, we have to split each row of $c_{n}(\lambda)$ into clusters. Notice that, as a consequence of our hypothesis, if the $i$-th row of $c_{n}(\lambda)$ has a (necessarily unique) cluster containing strictly less than $n$ cells, then this is precisely the unique cluster with less than $n$ cells among all the $i$-th clusters of all rows of $\lambda$. Therefore, constructing $c_{n}^{2}(\lambda)$ from $c_{n}(\lambda)$ we recover exactly the starting partition $\lambda$, as desired.

As already mentioned, as a special case of the above proposition we have that the set of all integer partitions is the set of fixed points of the map $c_{1}^{2}$ (where $c_{1}$ is the conjugation map), because the condition of the proposition becomes empty in this case. Another consequence is recorded in the following corollary, which shows the role of Schröder partitions in this context.

Corollary 2.1. The set of Schröder partitions is the set of fixed points of the map $c_{2}^{2}$.
Proof. Just observe that, setting $n=2$ in the previous proposition, the requirement in order to have $c_{2}^{2}(\lambda)=\lambda$ is that there is at most one part of $\lambda$ between two consecutive even numbers, which means precisely that odd parts have to be simple.

The set Sch of all Schröder shapes can be naturally endowed with a poset structure, by declaring $\lambda \leq \mu$ whenever the set of cells of the shape $\lambda$ is a subset of the set of cells of the shape $\mu$, provided that we draw the two shapes in such a way that their top left cells coincide. This is equivalently (and perhaps more formally) expressed in terms of Schröder partitions: if $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{h}\right)$ and $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}\right)$, then $\lambda \leq \mu$ when $h \leq k$ and, for all $i \leq h, \lambda_{i} \leq \mu_{i}$. Therefore the poset $\mathscr{S} \subset h$ of Schröder shapes is actually a subposet of the Young lattice. However, it seems not at all a trivial one; notice, in particular, that an interval of the Young lattice whose endpoints are Schröder partitions does not contain only Schröder partitions (apart from very simple cases). In general, it appears to be very hard (if not impossible) to infer nontrivial properties of the Schröder poset from properties of the Young lattice. The rest of this section is devoted to developing some elements of the theory of the Schröder poset along the lines suggested by the classical theory of its more noble relative, the Young lattice.

One of the most fundamental properties the Schröder poset shares with the Young lattice is the fact that it is a distributive lattice. We will obtain this result as a consequence of a more general one, which is of independent interest.

Theorem 2.1. Given a function $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, denote with $\mathbf{P}_{f}$ the set of integer partitions in which part $i$ appears at most $f(i)$ times. Then $\mathbf{P}_{f}$ is a distributive sublattice of the Young lattice (with partwise join and meet).

Proof. Since every sublattice of a distributive lattice is distributive, it will be enough to show that $\mathbf{P}_{f}$ is a sublattice of the Young lattice.

Given two partitions $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{P}_{f}$, their join in the Young lattice is the partition $\lambda \vee \mu$ whose $i$-th part is the maximum between $\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$, for all $i$. We will now show that $\lambda \vee \mu$ is in $\mathbf{P}_{f}$.

By contradiction, suppose that part $i$ appears more than $f(i)(\neq \infty)$ times in $\lambda \vee \mu$, and denote with $(\lambda \vee \mu)_{t}=\cdots=(\lambda \vee \mu)_{t+j}=i$ all such parts in $\lambda \vee \mu$. Since $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{P}_{f}$, it cannot happen that $(\lambda \vee \mu)_{t+s}=\lambda_{t+s}$, for all $s=0, \ldots j$, and the same holds with $\lambda$ replaced by $\mu$. In other words, there exists $r<j$ such that (without loss of generality) $(\lambda \vee \mu)_{t+s}=\lambda_{t+s}$ when $0 \leq s \leq r$ and $(\lambda \vee \mu)_{t+s}=\mu_{t+s}$ when $r<s \leq j$. But this would imply, in particular, that $i=\lambda_{t+s}>\mu_{t+s}$ when $0 \leq s \leq r$, and $\lambda_{t+s}<\mu_{t+s}=i$ when $r<s \leq j$, hence $\mu_{t+r}<\lambda_{t+r}=i=\mu_{t+r+1}$, which is plainly impossible. We can thus conclude that $\lambda \vee \mu$ is in $\mathbf{P}_{f}$.

Using a completely similar argument one can also show that the meet of two partitions belonging to $\mathbf{P}_{f}$ in the Young lattice is again a partition of $\mathbf{P}_{f}$, thus completing the proof.

Corollary 2.2. The Schröder poset Sch is a distributive lattice.
Proof. Just apply the previous theorem with $f$ defined by setting $f(n)=\infty$ when $n$ is even and $f(n)=1$ when $n$ is odd.

The Young lattice is the prototypical example of a differential poset. Following [St, an $r$-differential poset (for some positive integer $r$ ), is a locally finite, ranked poset $\mathcal{P}$ having a minimum and such that:

- for any two distinct elements $x, y$ of $\mathcal{P}$, if there are exactly $k$ elements covered by both $x$ and $y$, then there are exactly $k$ elements which cover both $x$ and $y$;
- if $x$ covers exactly $k$ elements, then $x$ is covered by exactly $k+r$ elements.

The Young lattice is a 1 -differential poset. More specifically, it is the unique 1-differential distributive lattice. Thus, it is clear that $\mathscr{S} \mathscr{C}$ is not a 1 -differential poset, since we have proved right now that it is a distributive lattice (and it is clearly not isomorphic to the Young lattice). However, it belongs to a wider class of posets which we believe to be an interesting generalization of differential posets.

Let $\varphi$ be a map sending a positive integer $k$ to an interval $\varphi(k)$ of positive integers. We say that a poset $\mathcal{P}$ is a $\varphi$-differential poset when it is an infinite, locally finite, ranked poset with a minimum such that:

1. for any two distinct elements $x, y$ of $\mathcal{P}$, if there are exactly $k$ elements covered by both $x$ and $y$, then there are exactly $k$ elements which cover both $x$ and $y$;
2. if $x$ covers exactly $k$ elements, then $x$ is covered by $l$ elements, for some $l \in \varphi(k)$.

When there exists a positive integer $r$ such that $\varphi(k)=\{k+r\}$, for all $k$, a $\varphi$-differential poset is just an $r$-differential poset.

The next proposition shows that $\mathscr{S} C h$ is indeed a $\varphi$-differential distributive lattice, for a suitable $\varphi$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\lambda$ be a Schröder partition covering $k$ Schröder partitions in Sch . Then $\lambda$ is covered by $l$ Schröder partitions, with $\left\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rceil \leq l \leq 2 k$.

Proof. Given $\lambda$ in $\mathscr{S} c h$, we denote with $\uparrow \lambda$ the number of elements of $\mathscr{C} \not \subset$ covering $\lambda$ and with $\downarrow \lambda$ the number of elements of $\mathscr{C}$ ch which are covered by $\lambda$. From the hypothesis we have that $\downarrow \lambda=k$.

In the rest of the proof we slightly modify our notation for partitions. Namely, we will add to each partition a smallest part equal to 0 . So, for instance, we will write $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}, \lambda_{r+1}\right)$, with $\lambda_{r+1}=0$. A part $\lambda_{i}$ of $\lambda$ will be called up-free whenever either

- it is odd, or
- it is even and $\lambda_{i-1} \neq \lambda_{i}, \lambda_{i}+1$.

Similarly, it will be called down-free whenever either

- it is odd, or
- it is even and $\lambda_{i+1} \neq \lambda_{i}, \lambda_{i}-1$.

In particular, each odd part of $\lambda$ is both up-free and down-free (for this reason, we will sometimes refer to odd parts as trivial up-free (or down-free) parts). Observe that, concerning the special (even) part $\lambda_{r+1}=0$, it is never down-free by convention, whereas it is assumed to be up-free when $\lambda_{r} \neq 1$.


Figure 3: A Schröder shape which maximizes $\uparrow \lambda$.

In order to determine $\uparrow \lambda$, we observe that we have to find those parts of $\lambda$ to which we can add 1 without losing the property of being a Schröder partition. These are precisely all up-free parts. Similarly, $\downarrow \lambda$ is given by the number of down-free parts.

If we want to maximize $\uparrow \lambda$, it is then clear that we have to choose a Schröder partition $\lambda$ having many nontrivial up-free parts and few nontrivial down-free parts (odd parts are irrelevant). Observe moreover that we can restrict ourselves to the case of $\lambda$ having all distinct parts, since several repeated (even) parts is equivalent to having only one part of the same cardinality (all parts except for the top one cannot be modified). Concerning the greatest part of $\lambda, \lambda_{1}$, we notice that it has to be even, otherwise it would be down-free. Moreover, in order to have few partitions immediately below $\lambda$, we should try to make $\lambda_{1}=2 n$ not down-free. To do this, just choose $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{1}-1=2 n-1$ (recall that we are assuming $\lambda$ to have all distinct parts). Observe that, in this way, $\lambda_{2}$ is odd (and so trivially down-free), however it is not difficult to realize that any other choice of $\lambda_{2}$ would have produced a down-free part (an even part strictly larger than another even part is certainly down-free). Now, concerning $\lambda_{3}$, we wish it to be up-free but not down-free. The first condition is fulfilled if and only if $\lambda_{3} \neq \lambda_{2}-1=2 n-2$; for the second condition, we must choose $\lambda_{3}$ even and such that $\lambda_{4}=\lambda_{3}-1$. Without loss of generality, we can set $\lambda_{3}=2 n-4$, so that $\lambda_{4}=2 n-5$. We can now argue in a completely analogous way for all the remaining parts of $\lambda$, until we have $\downarrow \lambda=k$ (notice that $n$ has to be large enough to reach this goal). In the end, we obtain that a partition $\lambda$ which maximizes $\uparrow \lambda$ has odd-indexed parts $\lambda_{2 i+1}=2 n-4 i$ and even-indexed parts $\lambda_{2 i}=2 n-4 i-1$ (see Figure 3 for an example).

A direct computation then shows that, in the best possible cases (which occur when the smallest part of $\lambda$ is 1 or 2 ), we get $\uparrow \lambda=2 k$, as desired.

A similar approach allows also to determine a lower bound for $\uparrow \lambda$. The only difference with the previous arguments is that now we would like to have a partition $\lambda$ having many downfree parts and few up-free parts. It turns out that the role of odd and even parts are somehow swapped in the above arguments. Specifically, it can be shown that the largest part $\lambda_{1}$ of $\lambda$ has to be odd, and that $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{1}-1$. At the end, we obtain a partition having odd-indexed parts $\lambda_{2 i+1}=2 n-4 i-1$ and even-indexed parts $\lambda_{2 i}=2 n-4 i-2$. Similarly as before, a direct computation shows that, when the smallest part of $\lambda$ is 1 or 2 , we get the desired lower bound. The task of providing all the details is then left to the reader.

## 3 An RSK-like algorithm for Schröder tableaux

From the algorithmic point of view, the main application of Young tableaux is in the context of the RSK algorithm. This algorithm, named after Robinson, Schensted and Knuth, takes as input a word (on the alphabet of positive integers) of length $n$ and produces in output two semistandard Young tableaux with $n$ cells having the same shape. For what concerns us, we
will deal with a special case of the RSK algorithm, often referred to as Robinson-Schensted correspondence (briefly, RS correspondence), in which the input is a permutation of length $n$ and the output is given by a pair of standard Young tableaux. A brief description of such an algorithm is given below (Algorithm 1, where $\pi=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \cdots \pi_{n}$ is a generic permutation of length $n)$.

```
Algorithm 1: RS( \(\pi\) )
    \(P:=\pi_{1}\);
    \(Q:=1\);
    for \(k\) from 2 to \(n\) do
        \(\alpha:=\pi_{k}\);
        for \(i \geq 1\) do
            if \(\alpha\) is bigger than all elements in the \(i\)-th row of \(P\) then
                append a cell with \(\pi_{k}\) inside at the end of the \(i\)-th row of \(P\);
```



```
                break;
            else
                write \(\alpha\) in the cell of the \(i\)-th row containing the smallest element \(\beta\) bigger
                than \(\alpha\);
                \(\alpha:=\beta ;\)
            end
        end
    end
```

The RSK algorithm is extensively described in the literature. For instance, the interested reader can find a modern and elegant presentation of it in Be . Among other things, one of the most beautiful properties of the RS correspondence is that it establishes a bijection between permutations of length $n$ and pairs of standard Young tableaux with $n$ cells having the same shape. This fact bears important enumerative consequences, as well as strictly algebraic ones. For a given permutation $\pi$, the tableaux of the pair $(P, Q)$ returned by the RS algorithm are usually referred to as the insertion tableau (the tableau $P$ ) and the recording tableau (the tableau $Q)$. As a consequence, we have the following nice result, which can again be found in [Be].

Theorem 3.1. Denote with $f^{\lambda}$ the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda$. Then we have:

$$
n!=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n}\left(f^{\lambda}\right)^{2} .
$$

A standard Schröder tableau (from now on, simply Schröder tableau) with $n$ cells is a Schröder shape whose cells are filled in with positive integers from 1 to $n$ in such a way that entries in each row and each column are (strictly) increasing.

We propose here a natural analog of the RS algorithm for Schröder tableaux. The main difference (which is due to the specific underlying shape of a Schröder tableaux) lies in the fact that there are two distinct ways of managing the insertion of a new element in the tableau, depending on whether the cell it should be inserted in is an upper triangle or a lower triangle. As a consequence, our algorithm does not establish a bijection between permutations and pairs of Schröder tabealux; nevertheless, due to the strict analogy with the RS correspondence, we believe that it is very likely to have interesting combinatorial properties. A description of our algorithm is given below (Algorithm 2, where $\pi$ is as in Algorithm (1).

```
Algorithm 2: \(\operatorname{Sch}(\pi)\)
    \(P:=\) the 1 -cell Schröder tableau with \(\pi_{1}\) written in the cell;
    \(Q:=\) the 1-cell Schröder tableau with 1 written in the cell;
    for \(k\) from 2 to \(n\) do
        \(\alpha:=\pi_{k} ;\)
        for \(i \geq 1\) do
            if \(\alpha\) is bigger than all elements in the \(i\)-th row of \(P\) then
                append a cell (either an upper or a lower triangle) with \(\pi_{k}\) inside at the end of
                    the \(i\)-th row of \(P\);
                append a cell (either an upper or a lower triangle) with \(k\) inside at the end of
                the \(i\)-th row of \(Q\);
                break;
            else
                let \(A\) be the cell of the \(i\)-th row containing the smallest element bigger than \(\alpha\);
                if \(A\) is an upper triangle then
                    \(\beta:=\) content of the lower triangle immediately below \(A\);
                    move the content of \(A\) to the lower triangle immediately below \(A\);
                    write \(\alpha\) in \(A\);
                    \(\alpha:=\beta\);
                else
                    \(\beta:=\) content of \(A ;\)
                    write \(\alpha\) in \(A\);
                    \(\alpha:=\beta\);
                end
            end
        end
    end
```

Example. Consider the permutation $\pi=465193287$. The pair $(P, Q)$ of Schröder tableaux produced by applying the algorithm Sch to $\pi$ is illustrated in Figure 3,

In this section we aim at starting the investigation of the combinatorial properties of this RS-analog. More specifically, we will address the following problems: given a Schröder shape $P$, can we characterize those permutations having a Schröder tableau of shape $P$ as their insertion tableau? How many of them are there? This problem seems to be quite difficult in its full generality; here we will deal with very few simple cases, for which we can provide complete answers.

### 3.1 Permutations with given Schröder insertion shape: some cases

The first case we investigate is that of a Schröder shape consisting of a single row (which can terminate either with an upper or a lower triangle). To state our result we first need to recall a classical definition.

Given a permutation $\pi=\pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{n}$, we say that $\pi_{i}$ is a left-to-right maximum (or, briefly, $L R$ maximum $)$ whenever $\pi_{i}=\max \left(\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{i}\right)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\pi=\pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{n}$ be a permutation of length $n$. The Schröder insertion tableau of $\pi$ has a single row if and only if, for all $i \geq n$ :


Figure 4: How our RS-like algorithm works.

1. if $i$ is odd, then $\pi_{i}$ is a LR maximum of $\pi$;
2. if $i$ is even, then $\pi_{i}$ is a LR maximum of the permutation obtained from $\pi$ by removing $\pi_{i-1}$ (and suitably renaming the remaining elements).

Proof. Suppose we are inserting $\pi_{i}$ in the insertion tableau $P$, which is assumed to consist of a single row. If $i$ is odd, then the last cell of $P$ is a lower triangle; in order not to create new rows, $\pi_{i}$ has necessarily to be a LR maximum. On the other hand, if $i$ is even, then the last cell of $P$ is an upper triangle; in this case, $\pi_{i}$ can be inserted in $P$ in two ways: either $\pi_{i}$ is a LR maximum, and so it is simply appended at the end of the unique row of $P$, or $\pi_{i}$ is greater than all previous elements of $\pi$ but $\pi_{i-1}$, hence $\pi_{i}$ is inserted in the cell containing $\pi_{i-1}$ (which is the last cell of the unique row of $P$, and so it is an upper triangle) and a new cell (a lower triangle) containing $\pi_{i-1}$ is added at the end of the unique row of $P$.

Conversely, it is easy (and so left to the reader) to check that a permutation satisfying conditions 1 and 2 in the statement of the present proposition must have a Schröder insertion tableau consisting of a single row.

The permutations $\pi$ of length $n$ whose Schröder insertion tableau have a single row can therefore be simply characterized as follows: for all $i,\left\{\pi_{2 i+1}, \pi_{2 i+2}\right\}=\{2 i+1,2 i+2\}$. As a consequence of this fact, a formula for the number of such permutations follows immediately.

Proposition 3.2. The set of permutations of length $n$ whose Schröder insertion tableau consists of a single row has cardinality $2^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}$.

The second case we consider is the natural counterpart of the previous one, that is Schröder shapes having a single column. Despite the similarities with the previous case, it turns out that the set of permutations having Schröder insertion tableau of this form can be nicely described in terms of pattern avoidance.

Given two permutations $\sigma$ and $\tau=\tau_{1} \cdots \tau_{n}$ (of length $k$ and $n$ respectively, with $k \leq n$ ), we say that there is an occurrence of $\sigma$ in $\tau$ when there exists indices $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}$ such that $\tau_{i_{1}} \tau_{i_{2}} \cdots \tau_{i_{k}}$ is order isomorphic to $\sigma$. When there is an occurrence of $\sigma$ in $\tau$, we also say that $\tau$ contains the pattern $\sigma$. When $\tau$ does not contain $\sigma$, we say that $\tau$ avoids the pattern $\sigma$. The set of all permutations of length $n$ avoiding a given pattern $\sigma$ is denoted with $A v_{n}(\sigma)$. Some useful references for the combinatorics of patterns in permutations are $[\mathrm{B} 0$ and $[\mathrm{K}$, whereas similar notions of patterns in set partitions and in compositions and words are studied in $M$ and $H M$, respectively.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\pi=\pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{n}$ be a permutation of length $n$. The Schröder insertion tableau of $\pi$ has a single column if and only if $\pi \in A v_{n}(123,213)$.

Proof. An argument similar to that of the preceding proposition shows that the Schröder insertion tableau of $\pi$ has a single column if and only if, for all $i \leq n, \pi_{i}<\min \left(\left\{\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{i-1}\right\}\right.$,
$\left.\min \left\{\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{i-1}\right\}\right)$ (i.e., $\pi_{i}$ is smaller than the second minimum of set of all previous elements). Thus $\pi$ can be factored into subpermutations (made of consecutive elements of $\pi$ ), say $\pi=\tilde{\pi_{1}} \cdots \tilde{\pi}_{r}$, in such a way that each factor $\tilde{\pi}_{i}$ is isomorphic to a permutation of the form $1 t(t-1) \cdots 32$ (for some $t$ ) and each element of $\tilde{\pi}_{i}$ is greater than each element of $\pi_{i+1}$ (for all $i$ ). In the language of permutation patterns, this is usually expressed by saying that $\pi$ is a skew sum of permutations of the form $1 t(t-1) \cdots 32$. It is now a known fact (see, for instance, AA ) that such permutations are precisely those avoiding the two patterns 123 and 213.

Many classes of permutations avoiding a given set of patterns have been enumerated. The above one is among them, see SiSc .

Proposition 3.4. The set of permutations of length $n$ whose Schröder insertion tableau consists of a single column has cardinality $2^{n-1}$.

We close this section by simply stating (without proof) one more case, which is, in some sense, a generalization of both the cases described above. Namely, we consider the case of what can be called Schröder hooks, that is Schröder shapes having at most one row and one column with more than one cell.

Again, we need to recall a classical definition, and also to give a new one. A shuffle of two permutations $\sigma$ and $\tau$ (having length $n$ and $m$, respectively) is a permutation of length $n+m$ having two disjoint subpermutations (not made in general by adjacent elements of $\pi$ ) isomorphic to $\sigma$ and $\tau$. Moreover, if the subpermutations of $\sigma$ and $\tau$ formed by the first $k$ elements are isomorphic, a $k$-rooted shuffle of $\sigma$ and $\tau$ is a permutation obtained by concatenating the permutation formed by the first $k$ elements of $\sigma$ (or $\tau$ ) (with elements suitably renamed) with a shuffle of the subpermutations formed by the remaining elements of $\sigma$ and $\tau$. For instance, a shuffle of 25143 and $\overline{4132}$ is given by $4 \overline{7} 92 \overline{1} 8 \overline{53} 6$, and a 3 -rooted shuffle of 253461 and $254 \overline{13}$ is given by $375 \underline{6} \overline{1} \underline{2} \overline{4}$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\pi=\pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{n}$ be a permutation of length $n$. The Schröder insertion tableau of $\pi$ is a Schröder hook if and only if $\pi$ is a 2-rooted shuffle of two permutations having a single row Schröder insertion tableau and a single column Schröder insertion tableau, respectively.

## 4 An alternative view of Schröder tableaux

Following our treatment, Schröder tableaux can be interpreted as upper saturated chains in Schröder lattices (where upper means that the maximum of the chain is the maximum of the lattice). Now we propose a different description of Schröder tableaux, relying on at least two main ingredients: interval orders (which are a well known class of posets) and a notion of weak pattern for posets, which is not entirely new in its own right, but which appears to have never been considered from a strictly combinatorial point of view.

### 4.1 Interval orders

A poset $\mathcal{P}$ is called an interval order when it is isomorphic to a collection of intervals of the real line, with partial order relation given as follows: for any two intervals $I, J$, it is declared that $I<J$ whenever all elements of $I$ are less than all elements of $J$. In other words, the interval $I$ lies completely on the left of $J$. For the purposes of the present article, all intervals will be closed, and the minimum and the maximum will be natural numbers. Notice that, under these hypotheses, the set of all maxima and minima of the intervals of a given interval order can be chosen to be an initial segment of the natural numbers.

The notion of interval order is now very classical, and was introduced by Fishburn Fi]. Though the main motivation for the introduction of such a concept came from social choice theory, it soon revealed its intrinsic interest, especially from a combinatorial point of view. To support this statement (and without giving any detail), we only recall here the characterization of interval orders as partially ordered sets avoiding the (induced) subposet $\mathbf{2}+\mathbf{2}$, and the more recent enumeration of finite interval orders [BCDK].

An immediate link between interval orders and Schröder tableaux is given by the fact that every Schröder tableau can be associated with a set of intervals. Given a Schröder shape $\lambda$, two cells $A$ and $B$ of $\lambda$ are called twin when they are adjacent and their union is a square. Equivalently, two adjacent cells $A$ and $B$ are twin cells when their common edge is a diagonal edge. Moreover, a (necessarily upper triangular) cell of $\lambda$ is called lonely when it is the last cell of an odd row. Notice that the set of cells of $\lambda$ can be partitioned into twin cells and lonely cells. Now, given a Schröder tableau $S$ having $n$ cells, consider the set of intervals $\mathcal{I}_{S}$ defined as follows: $I=[a, b] \in \mathcal{I}_{S}$ when both $a$ and $b$ are fillings of a pair of twin cells of $S$ or $a$ is the filling of a lonely cell and $b=n+1$. For instance, for the Schröder tableau $S$ on the right in Figure 3, which has 9 cells, we have $\mathcal{I}_{S}=\{[1,2],[5,8],[3,4],[9,10],[6,7]\}$. The benefit of endowing the set of intervals associated with a Schröder tableau with its interval order will be discussed in the next subsections.

### 4.2 Weak patterns in posets and strong pattern avoidance

The study of classes of posets which contain or avoid certain subposets is a major trend in order theory. Classically, a poset $\mathscr{Q}$ contains another poset $\mathscr{P}$ whenever $\mathscr{Q}$ has a subposet isomorphic to $\mathscr{P}$. Borrowing the terminology from permutations, we could also say that $\mathscr{Q}$ contains the pattern $\mathscr{P}$. On the other hand, we say that $\mathscr{Q}$ avoids $\mathscr{P}$ whenever $\mathscr{Q}$ does not contain $\mathscr{P}$. The notion of pattern containment defines a partial order on the set $\mathfrak{X}$ of all (finite) posets, and we will write $\mathscr{P} \subseteq \mathscr{Q}$ to mean that $\mathscr{P}$ is contained in $\mathscr{Q}$. Instead, the class of all finite posets avoiding a given poset $\mathscr{P}$ will be denoted $\operatorname{Av}(\mathscr{P})$.

Here the use of the word "subposet" might be controversial. Technically speaking, what we have called "subposet" is sometimes called "induced subposet". Formally, we say that $\mathscr{P}$ is an induced subposet of $\mathscr{Q}$ when there is an injective function $f: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$ which is both orderpreserving and order-reflecting: for all $x, y, x \leq y$ in $\mathscr{P}$ if and only if $f(x) \leq f(y)$ in $\mathscr{Q}$. Loosely speaking, this means that $\mathscr{Q}$ contains an isomorphic copy of $\mathscr{P}$. In what follows we will fully adhere to such terminology: $\mathscr{P} \sqsubseteq \mathscr{Q}$ thus means that $\mathscr{Q}$ has an induced subposet isomorphic to $\mathscr{P}$.

What is useful for us is however a weaker version of the above notion of pattern. We say that $\mathscr{P}$ is weakly contained in $\mathscr{Q}$ (or that $\mathscr{P}$ is a weak pattern of $\mathscr{Q}$ ) when there exists an injective order-preserving function $f: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}$. This can be also expressed by saying that $\mathscr{P}$ is a (not necessarily induced) subposet of $\mathscr{Q}$. It is clear that a pattern is also a weak pattern. On the other hand, we say that $\mathscr{Q}$ strongly avoids $\mathscr{P}$ whenever $\mathscr{Q}$ does not weakly contain $\mathscr{P}$. This is also expressed by writing $\mathscr{Q} \in S A v(\mathscr{P})$.

The partial order relation (on the set $\mathfrak{X}$ of all finite posets) defined by weak containment will be denoted $\leq$. This notion of poset containment is not entirely new. Typically, it has been considered in the context of families of sets, rather than generic posets, and many investigations in this field concern the study of finite families of sets which strongly avoid one or more finite posets, often with special focus on extremal properties (see for instance [GL, [JT]). Here, however, we consider this order relation from a purely order-theoretic point of view, with the aim of initiating the investigation of the poset $(\mathfrak{X}, \leq$ ). Some rather easy facts are the following:

- $(\mathfrak{X}, \leq)$ has minimum, which is the empty poset, and does not have maximum.


Figure 5: Hasse diagrams of $\mathfrak{X}_{3}$ (with explicit representation of each element) and $\mathfrak{X}_{4}$.

- Given $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q} \in \mathfrak{X}$, if $\mathscr{P} \leq \mathscr{Q}$ then the ground set of $\mathscr{P}$ has at most as many elements as $\mathscr{Q}$.
- $(\mathfrak{X}, \leq)$ is a ranked poset, and the rank function is the sum of the number of elements of the ground set and the number of order relations between them.
- Denoting $\mathfrak{X}_{n}$ the set of all posets of $\operatorname{siz} \varepsilon^{1} n$, the restriction of $\leq$ to $\mathfrak{X}_{n}$ gives a poset with minimum (the discrete poset on $n$ elements) and maximum (the chain having $n$ elements). Also, $\mathfrak{X}_{n}$ has exactly one atom, which is the poset of size $n$ having a single covering relation. Notice that, if we replace $\leq$ with $\subseteq$, the resulting poset structure on $\mathfrak{X}_{n}$ would be trivial (more precisely, discrete). We claim that the study of the posets $\left(\mathfrak{X}_{n}, \leq\right)$ might be a potentially very interesting field of research. In Figure 5 we illustrate the posets $\left(\mathfrak{X}_{n}, \leq\right)$ for a couple of small values of $n$.

Main aim of the present section is to initiate the study of the notion of strong pattern avoidance introduced above. Recall that $S A v(\mathscr{P})$ denotes the class of all posets strongly avoiding $\mathscr{P}$. Moreover, $S A v_{n}(\mathscr{P})$ is the subset of $S A v(\mathscr{P})$ consisting of the posets of size $n$. Finally, the above expression can be easily adapted to the case of strong avoidance of several posets (just by listing all the posets which are required to be avoided). Observe that, for given posets $\mathscr{P}_{1}, \mathscr{P}_{2}, \ldots, \mathscr{P}_{s}$, $S A v\left(\mathscr{P}_{1}, \mathscr{P}_{2}, \ldots, \mathscr{P}_{s}\right)$ is a down-set of $(\mathfrak{X}, \leq)$, which means that, if $\mathscr{Q} \in S A v\left(\mathscr{P}_{1}, \mathscr{P}_{2}, \ldots, \mathscr{P}_{s}\right)$ and $P \leq Q$, then $\mathscr{P} \in S A v\left(\mathscr{P}_{1}, \mathscr{P}_{2}, \ldots, \mathscr{P}_{s}\right)$. In what follows we will always deal with the strong avoidance of a single poset. The generalization of a given statement to several posets is easy (when meaningful) and so it is left to the reader.

Proposition 4.1. For every poset $\mathscr{P} \in \mathfrak{X}_{n}, S A v(\mathscr{P})=A v\left(\langle\mathscr{P}\rangle_{n}\right)$, where $\langle\mathscr{P}\rangle_{n}$ is the up-se $t^{2}$ generated by $\mathscr{P}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{n}$.

Proof. The fact that $\mathscr{Q} \in S A v(\mathscr{P})$ is equivalent to the following: there cannot be an induced subposet $\mathscr{R}$ of size $n$ of $\mathscr{Q}$ which contains $\mathscr{P}$ as a subposet. In other words, this means that $\mathscr{Q}$ cannot contain any induced subposet $\mathscr{R}$ of size $n$ which is a refinement of $\mathscr{P}$, that is $\mathscr{Q} \in$ $A v\left(\langle\mathscr{P}\rangle_{n}\right)$, as desired.

[^1]The above proposition, rather than telling that strong avoidance can just be expressed in terms of classical avoidance, suggests us that the formalism of strong poset avoidance allows to express certain problems concerning classical avoidance in a much simplified way: avoiding several posets is sometimes equivalent to strongly avoiding just one of them.

We next characterize some classes of posets strongly avoiding certain patterns. Before starting, we give a small bunch of definitions that will be useful.

Let $Q, R$ be subsets of the ground set of a poset $\mathscr{P}$. We say that $Q$ is weakly below $R$, and write $Q \nsupseteq R$, whenever, for all $x \in Q$ and $y \in R$, we have $x \nsucceq y$ in $\mathscr{P}$. Given subsets $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{r}$ of $\mathscr{P}$, we say that $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{r}\right)$ is a weakly ordered partition of $\mathscr{P}$ when it is a set partition of the ground set of $\mathscr{P}$ such that $P_{1} \nsupseteq P_{2} \nsupseteq \cdots \nsupseteq P_{r}$. Replacing every symbol $\nsupseteq$ with $\leq$ in the two above definitions, we obtain the definitions of " $Q$ is below $R$ " and " $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{r}\right)$ is an ordered partition of $\mathscr{P}$ ".

Suppose that $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ are two posets. The disjoint union $\mathscr{P} \cup \mathscr{Q}$ is the poset whose ground set is the disjoint union of the ground sets of $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ and such that $x \leq y$ in $\mathscr{P} \cup \mathscr{Q}$ whenever either $x \leq y$ in $\mathscr{P}$ or $x \leq y$ in $\mathscr{Q}$. The linear sum $\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{Q}$ is the poset whose ground set is the disjoint union of the ground sets of $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ and such that $x \leq y$ in $\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{Q}$ whenever either $x \leq y$ in $\mathscr{P}$ or $x \leq y$ in $\mathscr{Q}$ or else $x \in \mathscr{P}$ and $y \in \mathscr{Q}$.

Proposition 4.2. If $\mathscr{P}$ is the discrete poset of size $n$, then $\operatorname{SAv}(\mathscr{P})$ is the class of all posets of size $\leq n-1$.

Proof. Clearly, any poset $\mathscr{Q}$ of size $\leq n-1$ strongly avoids $\mathscr{P}$, since there cannot exist any injective function from $\mathscr{P}$ to $\mathscr{Q}$. Moreover, if $\mathscr{Q}$ has size $\geq n$, then any injective map from $\mathscr{P}$ to $\mathscr{Q}$ trivially preserves the order ( $\mathscr{P}$ does not have any order relation among its elements, except of course the trivial ones coming from reflexivity), and so $\mathscr{Q} \notin \operatorname{SAv}(\mathscr{P})$.

Proposition 4.3. If $\mathscr{P}$ is the poset of size $n$ containing a single covering relation, then $\operatorname{SAv}(\mathscr{P})$ contains all posets of size $\leq n-1$ and all (finite) discrete posets.

Proof. This is essentially a consequence of the previous proposition. Indeed, every poset $\mathscr{Q}$ of size $<n$ trivially strongly avoids $\mathscr{P}$; moreover, if $\mathscr{Q}$ has size $\geq n$ and strongly avoids $\mathscr{P}$, then it cannot contain any covering relation, i.e. it is a discrete poset.

Recall that the height of a poset is the maximum cardinality of a chain.
Proposition 4.4. If $\mathscr{P}$ is the chain of size $n$, then $\operatorname{SAv}(\mathscr{P})$ is the class of all finite posets of height $\leq n-1$.

Proof. If there is an injective function from $\mathscr{P}$ to a certain poset $\mathscr{Q}$ which preserves the order, then every pair of elements in the image of $\mathscr{P}$ must comparable, i.e. $f(\mathscr{P})$ has to be a chain. This immediately yields the thesis.

Notice that, in this last case, that is when $\mathscr{P}$ is a chain, clearly $\operatorname{SAv}(\mathscr{P})=\operatorname{Av}(\mathscr{P})$, since the up-set generated by $\mathscr{P}$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{n}$ consists of $\mathscr{P}$ alone.

A finite poset is called a flat when it consists of a (possibly empty) antichain with an added maximum.

Proposition 4.5. If $\mathscr{P}=\vee$, then $\operatorname{SAv}(\mathscr{P})$ is the class of all disjoint unions of flats. As a consequence, $\left|S A v_{n}(\mathscr{P})\right|=B_{n}$, the $n$-th Bell number.

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 4.1 and 4.4, we observe that $S A v(\mathscr{P})=A v(\vee, \mathfrak{l})$, and so in particular, if $\mathscr{Q} \in S A v(\mathscr{P})$, then $\mathscr{Q}$ has height at most 1 . Moreover, any subset of cardinality 3 of $\mathscr{Q}$ cannot have minimum; thus, any three elements in the same connected component are either an antichain or one of them is greater than the remaining two. This means that each connected component of $\mathscr{Q}$ is a flat.

Concerning enumeration, the class of posets of size $n$ whose connected components are flats is in bijection with the class of partitions of a set having $n$ element: just map each of such posets into the partition of its ground set whose blocks are the connected components (and observe that the order structure of a flat is completely determined by its cardinality). From this observation the thesis follows.

We close this section with some general results which allow to understand the class $S A v(\mathscr{P})$ when $\mathscr{P}$ is built from simpler posets using classical operations.

Proposition 4.6. Let $\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{Q}$ be two posets.

1. $\mathscr{R} \in S A v(\mathscr{P} \cup \mathscr{Q})$ if and only if, for every partition $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ into two blocks of the ground set of $\mathscr{R}$, denoting with $\mathscr{R}_{1}, \mathscr{R}_{2}$ the associated induced subposets, either $\mathscr{R}_{1} \in S A v(\mathscr{P})$ or $\mathscr{R}_{2} \in S A v(\mathscr{Q})$.
2. If $\mathscr{R} \in S A v(\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{Q})$, then, for every ordered partition $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ into two blocks of $\mathscr{R}$, either $\mathscr{R}_{1} \in S A v(\mathscr{P})$ or $\mathscr{R}_{2} \in S A v(\mathscr{Q})$. If $\mathscr{R} \notin S A v(\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{Q})$, then there exists a weakly ordered partition $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ into two blocks of $\mathscr{R}$ such that $\mathscr{R}_{1} \notin S A v(\mathscr{P})$ and $\mathscr{R}_{2} \notin S A v(\mathscr{Q})$.

## Proof.

1. An occurrence of $\mathscr{P} \cup \mathscr{Q}$ in $\mathscr{R}$ consists of an occurrence of $\mathscr{P}$ and an occurrence of $\mathscr{Q}$ whose ground sets are disjoint and with no requirements about the order relations among pairs of elements $(x, y)$ such that $x \in \mathscr{P}$ and $y \in \mathscr{Q}$. Therefore, if $\mathscr{R} \in S A v(\mathscr{P} \cup \mathscr{Q})$ and $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ is a partition of the ground set of $\mathscr{R}$, then it is clear that, if $\mathscr{R}_{1}$ weakly contains $\mathscr{P}$, then necessarily $\mathscr{R}_{2}$ strongly avoids $\mathscr{Q}$. Vice versa, if $\mathscr{R}$ weakly contains $\mathscr{P} \cup \mathscr{Q}$, then clearly there exists an occurrence of $\mathscr{P}$ whose complement weakly contains $\mathscr{Q}$.
2. An occurrence of $\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{Q}$ in $\mathscr{R}$ consists of an occurrence of $\mathscr{P}$ and an occurrence of $\mathscr{Q}$ whose ground sets are disjoint and such that every element of $\mathscr{P}$ is less than every element of $\mathscr{Q}$. Thus, if $\mathscr{R} \in S A v(\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{Q})$ and $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ is an ordered partition of $\mathscr{R}$ such that $R_{1}$ weakly contains $\mathscr{P}$, then necessarily $R_{2}$ strongly avoids $\mathscr{Q}$, since the ground set of $\mathscr{P}$ lies below $R_{2}$. On the other hand, if $\mathscr{R}$ weakly contains $\mathscr{P} \oplus \mathscr{Q}$, then the partition $\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ of $\mathscr{R}$ in which $R_{1}$ is the down-set generated by an occurrence of $\mathscr{P}$ (and, of course, $R_{2}$ is the complement of $R_{1}$, and so an up-set) is a weakly ordered partition having the required properties.

Another simple, general result involving the disjoint union of posets is the following.
Proposition 4.7. If $\mathscr{Q} \in S A v(\mathscr{P})$ and $\mathscr{P}$ is connected, then $\mathscr{Q}$ is the disjoint union of a family of posets strongly avoiding $\mathscr{P}$.

Proof. Indeed, take $\mathscr{Q} \in S A v(\mathscr{P})$ and suppose that $\mathscr{Q}$ is not connected (otherwise the thesis is trivial). Since $\mathscr{P}$ is connected, any occurrence of $\mathscr{P}$ in $\mathscr{Q}$ would be connected too (since such an occurrence is essentially $\mathscr{P}$ with possibly some added order relations), so, in order $\mathscr{Q}$ to strongly avoid $\mathscr{P}$, each connected component of $\mathscr{Q}$ has to strongly avoid $\mathscr{P}$, as desired.

### 4.3 How Schröder tableaux come into play

Our introduction of weak poset patterns is motivated by the role they have in the description of Schröder tableaux. Let $S$ be a Schröder tableau, and let $\mathcal{I}_{S}$ be the associated set of intervals, as defined in Subsection 4.1. Consider the interval order associated with $\mathcal{I}_{S}$, to be denoted $\mathcal{I}_{S}$ as well. The map $S \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{S}$ from Schröder tableaux to interval orders is clearly neither injective nor surjective. Therefore two natural questions concerning such a map arise.

1. Given an interval order $\mathcal{I}$, does there exist a Schröder tableau $S$ such that $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{S}$ ?
2. In case of a positive answer to the previous question, how many Schröder tableaux associated with a given interval order are there?

Below we give an answer to the first question. Recall that $\mathbf{N}$ denotes the set of natural numbers (without 0), which will be endowed with its usual total order structure.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an interval order of size $n$. There exists a Schröder tableau $S$ such that $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{S}$ if and only if $\mathcal{I}$ weakly contains a down-set of size $n$ of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{S}$, for some Schröder tableau $S$. This means that we can use the map $S \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{S}$ to label the set $C$ of all pairs of twin cells and of all lonely cells of $S$ with the elements of $\mathcal{I}$, so that $C$ can be identified with $\mathcal{I}$. Consider the function $f: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ mapping $I$ to the pair $\left(n_{I}, m_{I}\right)$ such that $n_{I}$ (resp. $m_{I}$ ) is the row (resp. column) of the pair of twin cells or of the lonely cell associated with $I$ (as usual, rows and columns are enumerated from top to bottom and from left to right, respectively). We show that $f(\mathcal{I})$ is a down-set of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ : indeed, if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $(n, m) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ are such that $(n, m) \leq f(I)=\left(n_{I}, m_{I}\right)$, then the tableau $S$ has at least $n_{I}$ rows and $m_{I}$ columns, so in particular it exists a pair of twin cells or a lonely cell at the crossing of row $n$ and column $m$ (since $n \leq n_{I}$ and $m \leq m_{I}$ ); denoting with $J$ the associated interval of $\mathcal{I}$, we then have that $(n, m)=f(J) \in f(\mathcal{I})$, as desired. By construction $f$ is injective, since two distinct intervals of $\mathcal{I}$ correspond to two distinct cells of $S$, which of course cannot lie both in the same row and in the same column of $S$. We can thus consider the inverse $g: f(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ of $f$ on $f(\mathcal{I})$. We now show that $g$ is order-preserving: indeed, consider $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ and suppose that $\left(n_{I}, m_{I}\right)=f(I) \leq f(J)=\left(n_{J}, m_{J}\right)$; the tableau $S$ has a pair of twin cells of a lonely cell at the crossing of row $n_{J}$ and column $m_{I}\left(\right.$ since $\left.m_{I} \leq m_{J}\right)$, and the associated interval, call it $K$, is such that $K \leq J$ in $\mathcal{I}$; moreover, since $n_{I} \leq n_{J}$, it is not difficult to realize that $I \leq K$, hence we conclude that $I \leq J$. Therefore we have proved that $f(\mathcal{I})$ is a down-set (of size $n$ ) of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ and that $g: f(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ is an injective order-preserving map, i.e. $f(\mathcal{I})$ is a weak pattern of $\mathcal{I}$ : this is exactly the thesis.

In the other direction, suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ weakly contains a down-set $D$ of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ of size $n$. In other words, $D$ is a coarsening of $\mathcal{I}$ (i.e. it is obtained from $\mathcal{I}$ by possibly removing some order relations only, leaving untouched its ground set). This means that there is an order-preserving injective map $g: D \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. As we have already recalled, it is not restrictive to suppose that the endpoints of the elements of $\mathcal{I}$ are all distinct and constitute an initial segment of $\mathbf{N}$. Consider the tableau $S$ having a pair of twin cells in row $n$ and column $m$ if and only if $(n, m) \in D$ and, in such case, the two cells are filled in with the endpoints of the interval $g(n, m) \in \mathcal{I}$. It is clear that $S$ is a Schröder tableau: since $D$ is a down-set, rows are left-justified; moreover rows and columns are increasing because $g$ is order-preserving. Now it is not difficult to realize that $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{S}$, which is precisely what we wanted to show.

Remark. Notice that, in the second part of the above proof, we construct a Schröder tableau $S$ having no lonely cells such that $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{S}$. This again shows the fact that there can be several Schröder tableaux associated with the same interval order.

## 5 Further work

The algebraic and combinatorial properties of the distributive lattice $\mathscr{S}$ ch of Schröder shapes needs to be further investigated. In particular, the analogies with differential posets should be much deepened, for instance trying to understand the role of the lowering and raising operators (a fundamental tool for computations in differential posets) in the Schröder lattice, or even in the more general setting of $\varphi$-differential posets.

We have just started the characterization and enumeration of permutations having a given Schröder insertion tableau. Many more shapes should be investigated. Moreover, we still have to understand the role of the recording tableau.

Can we find a nice closed formula for the number of Schröder tableaux of a given shape? In the case of Young tableaux there is a famous hook formula, which however seems to be unlikely in our case, since we have numerical evidence that, for certain shapes, this number has large prime factors.

The alternative presentation of Schröder tableaux in terms of interval orders and weak poset patterns might have more secrets to reveal. For instance, the enumeration of Schröder tableaux associated with a given interval order is entirely to be done. In a different direction, the topic of strong pattern avoidance for posets seems to be an interesting line of research in its own, independently from its relationship with Schröder tableaux.

The analogies between Young tableaux and Schröder tableaux should be investigated more, especially from a purely algebraic point of view. Combinatorial objects related to Young tableaux, such as Schur functions and the plactic monoid, as well as algorithmic and algebraic constructions, such as Schützenberger's jeu de taquin, the Littlewood-Richardson rule and the Schubert calculus on Grassmannians and flag varieties, could have some interesting counterparts in the context of Schröder tableaux.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The size is the number of elements of the ground set.
    ${ }^{2}$ In a poset $\mathscr{P}$, an up-set $F$ is a subset of $\mathscr{P}$ such that, if $x \in F$ and $y \leq x$, then $y \in \mathscr{P}$. For a given subset $A$ of $\mathscr{P}$, the up-set generated by $A$ is the smallest up-set of $\mathscr{P}$ containing $A$.

