TOGLIATTI SYSTEMS AND GALOIS COVERINGS

EMILIA MEZZETTI AND ROSA M. MIRÓ-ROIG

ABSTRACT. We study the homogeneous artinian ideals of the polynomial ring $K[x, y, z]$ generated by the homogenous polynomials of degree d which are invariant under an action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, for any $d \geq 3$. We prove that they are all monomial Togliatti systems, and that they are minimal if the action is defined by a diagonal matrix having on the diagonal $(1, e, e^a)$, where e is a primitive d-th root of the unity. We get a complete description when d is prime or a power of a prime. We also establish the relation of these systems with linear Ceva configurations.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

The Togliatti surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is the rational surface parametrized by the cubic monomials in three variables $x^2y, x^2z, xy^2, xz^2, y^2z, yz^2$. It was introduced and studied by Eugenio Togliatti

in his two articles [15], [16] about rational surfaces satisfying Laplace equations. The apolar system of cubics, i.e. the ideal I_3 generated by the cubic monomials x^3, y^3, z^3, xyz, has the remarkable property of being the only homogeneous ideal, generated by four cubics of the form x^3, y^3, z^3, f, failing the Weak Lefschetz Property ([2]). This twofold example has lead the authors of this note, together with G. Ottaviani, to establish the connection between the geometric notion of variety satisfying Laplace equations and the algebraic notion of artinian ideal failing the Weak Lefschetz property, thanks to the notion of Togliatti system, introduced in [12], see Definition 2.3.

Togliatti systems of cubics have been completely described in [12] and [13]. For degree $d > 3$, the picture quickly becomes much more complicated and only partial results are known so far, see for instance [11] containing a characterization of Togliatti systems with "low" number of generators.

An interesting property of the ideal I_3 is that the associated morphism $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is a cyclic Galois cover of degree 3 of the image surface, such that for a general line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ the inverse image of $\varphi(L)$ is a union of three lines in general position. This observation has been exploited to give a new beautiful proof of the theorem of Togliatti (see [18], Theorem 2.2.1).

In this article we start from this observation to construct a new class of examples of Togliatti systems in three variables of any degree d, and by consequence of rational surfaces parametrized by polynomials of degree d satisfying a Laplace equation of order $d-1$. We call them GT-systems in honour to Galois and Togliatti. Precisely, we consider the Galois cyclic covers with domain $\mathbb{P}^2$, with cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, for any $d \geq 3$ and any representation of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $GL(3, K)$. We prove in Theorem 3.4 that they are all defined by monomial Togliatti systems of degree d. In other words, the ideal generated by the invariant homogeneous polynomials of degree d for any action of this type is a monomial Togliatti system. Moreover we prove in Theorem 4.5 that the GT-systems are all minimal in the case of an action represented by a matrix of the form $M_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix}$, where e denotes a primitive d-th root of the unity and $GCD(a, d) = 1$. In particular this is always the case when d is prime or a power of a prime.

We then perform a detailed study of the Togliatti systems associated to the representation M_a, for any coprime a and d, giving a complete description of the classes and number of generators of the ideals of invariant degree d polynomials I_a. We also describe for any degree d the geometry of the toric surface S_d, image of the morphism defined by the monomials invariant for the action of M_2. These we call generalized classical Togliatti systems.

Finally, we also explain the interesting relations between GT-systems and linear configurations in $\mathbb{P}^2$, in particular Ceva configurations and their dual: Fermat arrangements.

Let us briefly explain how this paper is organized. In Section 2, we fix the notation and basic facts needed later on. In particular, we recall the definition of smooth monomial minimal Togliatti system and we introduce new families of Togliatti systems, the so-called GT-systems, which will be our objects of study. In Section 3, we establish the basic properties of a GT-system I generated by all forms of degree d invariants under the action of the matrix $M_{a,b,c} = \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^c \end{pmatrix}$, where e denotes a primitive d-th root of the unity and $GCD(a,b,c,d) = 1$. Namely, I is generated by monomials, its minimal number of generators is bounded by $d+1$, it fails WLP from degree $d-1$ to degree d and it defines a Galois cover $\varphi_I : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu(I)-1}$ with cyclic Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Section 4 is entirely devoted to study the minimality of GT-systems. As a main tool we use circulant matrices. In Section 5, we completely classify GT-systems in the case d prime or a power of a prime, while in Section 6 we give the complete classification for all d of the actions represented by matrices of the form M_a. In section 7, we study from a geometric point of view the rational surfaces S_d associated to generalized classical GT-systems. We prove that the homogeneous ideal $I(S_d)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal generated by quadrics and cubics if d is odd and only by quadrics if d is even. Finally, we describe the singular locus of S_d: the 3 fundamental points of $\mathbb{P}^2$ are sent to the singular points of S_d which are cyclic quotient singularities. Finally, in section 8, we establish the link between GT-systems and Ceva linear configurations $C(d)$; and we study the freeness of the arrangement $\mathcal{H}_d$ of lines associated to $C(d)$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we establish general results on WLP and linear configurations that will be used along the remainder of this paper. Throughout this work K will be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $R = K[x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_N]$.

2.1. Togliatti systems.

Definition 2.1. Let $I \subset R$ be a homogeneous artinian ideal. We say that R/I has the *weak Lefschetz property* (WLP, for short) if there is a linear form $L \in (R/I)_1$ such that, for all integers j, the multiplication map

$$\times L : (R/I)_j \to (R/I)_{j+1}$$

has maximal rank, i.e. it is injective or surjective. We will often abuse notation and say that the ideal I has the WLP.

Associated to any artinian ideal I generated by r forms $F_1, \cdots, F_r \in R$ of degree d there is a morphism

$$\varphi_I : \mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}.$$

Its image $X_{n,I_d} := \text{Im}(\varphi_{I_d}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is the projection of the n-dimensional Veronese variety $V(n,d)$ from the linear system $\langle (I^{-1})_d \rangle \subset\mid \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \mid = R_d$ where I^{-1} is the ideal generated by the Macaulay inverse system of I (See [12], §3 for details). Analogously, associated to $(I^{-1})_d$ there is a rational map

$$\varphi_{(I^{-1})_d} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-r-1}.$$

The closure of its image $X_{n,(I^{-1})_d} := \overline{\text{Im}(\varphi_{(I^{-1})_d})} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-r-1}$ is the projection of the n-dimensional Veronese variety $V(n,d)$ from the linear system $\langle F_1, \cdots, F_r \rangle \subset\mid \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d) \mid = R_d$.

We have ([12]):

Theorem 2.2. *Let $I \subset R$ be an artinian ideal generated by r homogeneous polynomials $F_1, ..., F_r$ of degree d. If $r \leq \binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The ideal I fails the WLP in degree $d-1$,*

(2) *The homogeneous forms $F_1, ..., F_r$ become k-linearly dependent on a general hyperplane H of $\mathbb{P}^n$,*

(3) *The n-dimensional variety $X = X_{n,(I^{-1})_d}$ satisfies at least one Laplace equation of order $d-1$.*

Proof. [12], Theorem 3.2.   □

The above result motivates the following definition:

Definition 2.3. Let $I \subset R$ be an artinian ideal generated by r forms $F_1, ..., F_r$ of degree d, $r \leq \binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$. We introduce the following definitions:

(i) I is a *Togliatti system* if it satisfies the three equivalent conditions in Theorem 2.2.

(ii) I is a *monomial Togliatti system* if, in addition, I (and hence I^{-1}) can be generated by monomials.

(iii) I is a *smooth Togliatti system* if, in addition, the n-dimensional variety X is smooth.

(iv) A monomial Togliatti system I is said to be *minimal* if I is generated by monomials $m_1, \cdots, m_r$ and there is no proper subset $m_{i_1}, \cdots, m_{i_{r-1}}$ defining a monomial Togliatti system.

The names are in honor of Eugenio Togliatti who proved that for $n = 2$ the only smooth Togliatti system of cubics is $I = (x^3, y^3, z^3, xyz) \subset K[x, y, z]$ (see [2], [15], [16]).

We underline an interesting geometric property of this example: the morphism

$$\varphi_I : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$$

is a cyclic Galois covering of degree 3 of the image, the cubic surface of equation $x_0 x_1 x_2 - x_3^3 = 0$. Moreover, for a general line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, the inverse image of $\varphi_I(L)$ is a union of three lines. This observation can be exploited to give a new beautiful proof of the theorem of Togliatti (see [18], Theorem 2.2.1).

This example admits a family of generalizations in all degrees, sharing the property of defining a cyclic Galois covering, as we see in the next definition.

Definition 2.4. Fix an integer $d = 2k + \epsilon$, $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$. The monomial artinian ideal $I = (x^d, y^d, z^d, xy^{d-2}z, x^2y^{d-4}z^2, \cdots, x^k y^\epsilon z^k) \subset K[x, y, z]$ defines a monomial Togliatti system that we call *generalized classical Togliatti system*. Clearly for $d = 3$ we recover Togliatti's example.

Any such ideal defines a Galois covering of degree d

$$\varphi_I : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k+2}$$

of the surface $\varphi_I(\mathbb{P}^2)$, with cyclic Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^2 \end{pmatrix}$, where e is a primitive d-th root of 1. This is not true for *all* monomial Togliatti systems, as shown for instance by the ideal $I = (x^5, y^5, z^5, x^4y, x^4z) \subset K[x, y, z]$. It is a monomial Togliatti system and its associated regular map $\varphi_I : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is a birational morphism.

Remark 2.5. Note that, for d odd, all monomials different from x^d, y^d, z^d in a generalized classical Togliatti system contain all variables with strictly positive exponent. Therefore, applying the smoothness criterion for toric varieties (see [11]; Proposition 3.4), we get that, for d odd, the generalized classical Togliatti systems are smooth. This is no longer true for d even.

So, we are let to pose the following problem:

Problem 2.6. To classify all Togliatti systems $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ generated by forms of degree d, whose associated regular map is a Galois covering with cyclic Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. We will call them *GT-systems.*

Since any representation of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ can be diagonalized, we can assume that it is represented by a matrix of the form $M_{a,b,c} := \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^c \end{pmatrix}$, where e is a primitive d-th root of 1, and $GCD(a, b, c, d) = 1$.

The goal of next section will be to prove our first main result that for any integer d, any ideal generated by all forms of degree d invariant under the action of $M_{a,b,c}$ is a monomial GT-system (see Theorem 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.4). In section 4, we will study the minimality of GT-systems (see Theorem 4.5) In section 5 we classify all GT-systems $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ generated by forms of degree d with d a prime integer, or a power of a prime and we postpone until §6 the study of GT-systems $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ generated by forms of arbitrary degree d. Finally, we devote Sections 8 and 7 to study their geometric properties.

2.2. Linear configurations.

We will finish this section recalling the definition of linear configuration and we will prove later that associated to any GT-systems there always exists a linear configuration (see Theorem 8.1). The reader can see [4] for more details about linear configurations in Algebraic Geometry.

Definition 2.7. A *linear configuration C* in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is a finite set of points, and a finite arrangement of lines, such that each point is incident to the same number of lines and each line is incident to the same number of points. A linear configuration C in the plane will be denoted by (p_s, ℓ_r) where p is the number of points, ℓ is the number of lines, s is the number of lines per point, and r is the number of points per line. These numbers necessarily satisfy the equation $p \cdot s = \ell \cdot r$.

Example 2.8. (1) $(4_3, 6_2)$ is the complete quadrangle.
(2) $(9_4, 12_3)$ is a Hesse configuration.

FIGURE 1. Hesse configuration

(3) $(12_4, 16_3)$ is a Reye configuration.
(4) For any $d \geq 3$, $C(d) = (3d_d, d_3^2)$ is a Ceva configuration.

3. Galois covers

Let $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ be an artinian ideal generated by forms of degree $d \geq 3$. We denote by $\mu(I)$ the minimal number of generators of I. In this section, we establish the main properties on Galois covers

$$\varphi_I : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu(I)-1}$$

with cyclic Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ represented by a matrix

$$M_{a,b,c} := \begin{pmatrix} e^a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^c \end{pmatrix}$$

where e is a primitive d-th root of 1, and $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq d-1$. We observe that in order that the subgroup of $GL(3)$ generated by $M_{a,b,c}$ is cyclic of order d, the greatest common divisor of a, b, c, d has to be 1. We note that the surface $S = \varphi_I(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is the weighted projective plane $\mathbb{P}(a, b, c)$, that has been extensively studied in the context of classification of singularities of surfaces ([14], [1], [3]).

First, for sake of completeness, we give the proof that the artinian ideal I associated to such Galois cover is monomial. Indeed, we have:

Theorem 3.1. *Fix $3 \leq d \in \mathbb{Z}$, e a primitive d-th root of 1 and $M_{a,b,c}$ a representation of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The ideal $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ generated by all forms of degree d invariant under the action of $M_{a,b,c}$ is monomial. In particular, any GT-system is monomial.*

Proof. Consider $m_1, \cdots, m_s$ all monomials invariants under the action of $M_{a,b,c}$ and assume there is $F \in I \setminus \langle m_1, .., m_s \rangle$. Write F as a sum of monomials of degree d: $F = m_{i_1} + ... + m_{i_t}$. We proceed by induction on t. Set $m_{i_1} = x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma$. Since $M^r_{a,b,c} F = F$ for $0 \leq r \leq d - 1$ we have that $e^{ra\alpha} x^\alpha e^{rb\beta} y^\beta e^{rc\gamma} z^\gamma$ is a summand of F for $0 \leq r \leq d - 1$. Therefore, $x^\alpha y^\beta z^\gamma e^{a\alpha+b\beta+c\gamma}(e^0 + e^1 + ... + e^{d-1})$ is a summand of F but this is zero. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Fix an integer $d \geq 3$ and a representation $M_{a,b,c}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. Let $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ be the ideal generated by all monomials of degree d invariant under the action of $M_{a,b,c}$. If $\mu(I) \leq d+1$ then I is a GT-system, i.e. I fails WLP from degree $d-1$ to degree d.*

Proof. Since $\mu(I) \leq d+1$ we can apply Theorem 2.2 and hence we only have to check that for $L = x + y + z$ the map $\times L : (K[x, y, z])_{d-1} \longrightarrow (K[x, y, z]/I)_d$ is not injective. This is equivalent to prove that given $L = x + y + z$ there exists a form C_{d-1} of degree $d-1$ such that $L \cdot C_{d-1} \in I$. Consider $C_{d-1} = (e^a x + e^b y + e^c z)(e^{2a} x + e^{2b} y + e^{2c} z) \cdots (e^{(d-1)a} x + e^{(d-1)b} y + e^{(d-1)c} z)$. The polynomial $F = L \cdot C_{d-1}$ is invariant under the action of $M_{a,b,c}$. Therefore, it belongs to I and we have proved what we want. $\square$

Remark 3.3. We observe that, up to proportionality, after replacing a, b, c by $0, b-a, c-a$, we can assume $a = 0$. So from now on we will consider always actions defined by matrices of the form $M_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^b \end{pmatrix}$ with e a primitive d-th root of 1 and $GDC(a, b, d) = 1$.

Theorem 3.4. *Fix an integer $d \geq 3$ and let $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ be the ideal generated by all monomials of degree d invariant under the action of $M_{a,b} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^b \end{pmatrix}$, with $1 \leq a \leq b \leq d - 1$ and $GCD(a, b, d) = 1$. Then, I is a GT-system.*

Proof. We know that I is a monomial ideal (see Theorem 3.1) and any monomial of degree d can be written in the form $x^{d-m-n}y^m z^n$ with $m, n \geq 0$ and $m + n \leq d$. Clearly $x^{d-m-n}y^m z^n$ is invariant under the action of $M_{a,b}$ if and only if $am + bn \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. It is easy to prove (see for instance [7], Ch 3) that this linear congruence has d (resp. $d + 1$) incongruent solutions if $a \neq b$ (resp. if $a = b$), which implies that $\mu(I) \leq d + 1$. The thesis follows from Proposition 3.2. $\qquad\qquad\square$

4. Minimality of GT-systems

We fix an integer $d \geq 3$ and a representation $M_{a,b} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^b \end{pmatrix}$ of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. We are going to study the minimality of all monomial GT-systems I generated by all monomials invariant under the action of $M_{a,b}$. By the proof of Proposition 3.2, proving the minimality of the GT-system I is equivalent to proving that the monomials of degree d invariant under the action of $M_{a,b}$ all appear with non-zero coefficient in the development of the product of linear forms $(x+y+z)(x+e^a y+e^b z)(x+e^{2a}y+e^{2b}z)....(x+e^{(d-1a}y+e^{(d-1)b}z)$. This is a not at a all trivial problem, that we will address by expressing this last product as the determinant of a suitable circulant matrix, then we will exploit the basic properties of circulants ([8] and [9]). So, let us start this section by recalling the definition and the properties on circulant matrices needed in the sequel. The reader should read [19] and [10] for more details.

Definition 4.1. A $d \times d$ circulant matrix is a matrix of the form

$$Circ(v_0, ..., v_{d-1}) := \begin{pmatrix} v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{d-2} & v_{d-1} \\ v_{d-1} & v_0 & \cdots & v_{d-1} & v_{d-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_{d-1} & v_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where successive rows are circular permutations of the first row $v_0, ..., v_{d-1}$.

A circulant matrix $Circ(v_0, ..., v_{d-1})$ is a particular form of a Toeplitz matrix i.e. a matrix whose elements are constant along the diagonals. A circulant matrix $Circ(v_0, ..., v_{d-1})$ has d eigenvalues, namely, $v_0 + e^p v_1 + e^{2p} v_2 + \cdots + e^{p(d-1)} v_{d-1}$, $0 \le p \le d-1$, where e is a primitive d-th root of unity. Therefore,

$$(1) \qquad \det(Circ(v_0, ..., v_{d-1})) = \begin{vmatrix} v_0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{d-2} & v_{d-1} \\ v_{d-1} & v_0 & \cdots & v_{d-1} & v_{d-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_{d-1} & v_0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{d-1}(v_0 + e^j v_1 + e^{2j} v_2 + \cdots + e^{j(d-1)} v_{d-1}).$$

The product on the right hand side in the equation (1), when expanded out, contains $\binom{2d-1}{d}$ terms and it is still an open problem to find an efficient formula for the coefficients and decide whether they are zero or not. Let us relate this problem to our problem of determining the minimality of GT-systems. For any integer $d \ge 3$ and $1 \le a < b \le d$, we consider the $d \times d$ circulant matrix

$$A_d^{a,b} = Circ(x, 0, \cdots, 0, y, 0, \cdots 0, z, 0, ..., 0)$$

where y is in the position of index a and z in the position of index b. We have

$$\det(A_d^{a,b}) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1}(x + e^{aj} y + e^{bj} z).$$

The determinant of $A_d^{a,b}$ is therefore exactly the product we are interested in and we want to prove that all monomials of degree d invariant under the action of $M_{a,b}$ appear with non-zero coefficient in $\det(A_d^{a,b})$. Let us now summarize what is known about the coefficients in the left hand side of the equation (1). To this end we express the determinant of a $d \times d$ circulant matrix as follows:

$$\det(Circ(v_0, ..., v_{d-1})) = \sum_{0 \le a_0 \le \cdots \le a_{d-1} \le d-1} c_{a_0 \cdots a_{d-1}} v_{a_0} \cdots v_{a_{d-1}}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *With the above notation, it holds:*

   (1) *If $a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{d-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, then $c_{a_0 \cdots a_{d-1}} = 0$.*
   (2) *If d is prime and $a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{d-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, then $c_{a_0 \cdots a_{d-1}} \ne 0$.*

Proof. (1) See [10]; Theorem 1 or [19]; Proposition 10.4.3.
   (2) See [10]; Corollary 4 or [19]; Chapter 11.

$\square$

Remark 4.3. The converse of Proposition 4.2(2) is not true if d is not prime. Indeed, for $d = 6$ we have $c_{0,0,1,3,3,5} = 0$, and for $d = 10$ we have $c_{0,0,0,0,1,21,1,3,6,8} = 0$ (see, for instance, [19]; pag. 123).

In [9], Loehr, Warrington and Wilf addressed the problem of determining whether $c_{a_0 \cdots a_{d-1}} \neq 0$ when condition (1) in Proposition 4.2 is satisfied and there are only three distinct non-zero elements in $(v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{d-1})$. They obtained the following result.

Proposition 4.4. *Fix integers $d \geq 3$ and $d - 1 \geq a \geq 2$ and consider the $d \times d$ circulant matrix $A_d^a = Circ(x, y, 0, \cdots 0, z, 0, ..., 0)$ where z is located at the position of index a. Then, we have*

$$\det(A_d^a) = \prod_{j=0}^{d-1}(x + e^j y + e^{aj}z) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m,n \leq d \\ m+n \leq d}} c_{m,n} x^{d-m-n} y^m z^n$$

and $c_{m,n} \neq 0$ if and only if $m + an = 0 \pmod{d}$.

Proof. See[9]; Theorem 2. $\hspace{4cm}$ $\square$

We are now ready to state our main result concerning the minimality of GT-systems. We have

Theorem 4.5. *Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer and let $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ be the GT-system generated by all monomials of degree d, which are invariant under the action of $M_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix}$.*
Then, I is a minimal Togliatti system.

Proof. It immediately follows from Proposition 4.4. In particular, all generalized classical Togliatti systems are minimal. $\hspace{4cm}$ $\square$

In Section 5, we will prove that, if $d = p^r$ is a power of a prime $p \geq 3$, then the action on $K[x, y, z]_d$ of a representation $M_{a,b,c}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is equivalent to the action on $K[x, y, z]_d$ of a representation M_α of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ for a suitable $2 \leq \alpha \leq p^r - 1$ and, hence, for $d = p^r$, a power of a prime $p \geq 3$, *all* GT-systems are minimal. As we will see in Section 6, for a general integer d with a prime factorization $d = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ with $r \geq 2$, it is no longer true that all actions of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $K[x, y, z]_d$ can be represented by a matrix of the form M_α for a suitable $2 \leq \alpha \leq d - 1$ (see Remark 6.11). For a general integer d, we will only classify the actions of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $K[x, y, z]_d$ represented by a matrix of the form M_α for a suitable $2 \leq \alpha \leq d - 1$. By Theorem 4.5, the GT-systems associated to these actions are always minimal.

Based on the above theorem and our computation, we are led to pose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.6. *Fix an integer $d \geq 3$ and let $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ be the GT-system generated by all monomials of degree d invariant under the action of $M_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^b \end{pmatrix}$ with $1 \leq a \leq b \leq d - 1$ and $GCD(a, b, d) = 1$. Then, I is a minimal Togliatti system.*

5. Classification of GT-systems: The case d prime or power of a prime.

The goal of this section is to classify all GT-systems $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ generated by forms of degree d, where d is a prime integer or a power of a prime; i.e. classify all monomial Togliatti systems $I \subset K[x, y, z]$ generated by forms of degree d whose associated regular map is a Galois covering with cyclic Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

We have to study the action on $K[x, y, z]_d$ by the matrices of the form $M_{a,b,c}$ with $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c \leq d - 1$. Our aim is first to characterize the monomials, and then the homogeneous artinian ideals, generated by forms of degree d, which are invariant under these actions. Therefore we give the following definition.

Definition 5.1. *We will say that the actions on $K[x, y, z]_d$ of two representations $M_{a,b,c}$ and $M_{a',b',c'}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ are equivalent if the homogeneous artinian ideals, generated by forms of degree d which are invariant under their actions, coincide up to a permutation of the variables.*

First of all we observe that since we are interested in artinian ideals $I \subset K[x, y, z]_d$ failing WLP from degree $d - 1$ to degree d we can always assume that the three exponents are different, i.e. $0 \leq a < b < c \leq d - 1$ because we have:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $I \subset K[x, y, z]_d$ be an ideal invariant under the action of $M_{a,b,c}$. If $a = b$ or $b = c$ then I has the WLP.*

Proof. Assume $a = b$ (analogous argument works for $b = c$). Then, I contains $(x, y)^d$ and $\times (x + y + z) : (K[x, y, z])_{d-1} \longrightarrow (K[x, y, z]/I)_d$ is surjective. Therefore, I has WLP. $\square$

5.1. d **prime.** Assume first that $d \geq 3$ is an odd prime. Let $1, e, e^2, \ldots, e^{d-1}$ be the d-th roots of 1. Then, for any $i = 1, \ldots, d - 1$, e^i is a primitive root of 1.

As observed in Remark 3.3, we can assume $a = 0$. Moreover we can denote by e the primitive d-th root of 1 at the second position in the diagonal of the matrix, in other words, we can assume $b = 1$. So we are reduced to study the action on $K[x, y, z]_d$ by the representations $M_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^a \end{pmatrix}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ for $a = 2, \ldots, d - 1$.

Definition 5.3. For any α with $2 \leq \alpha \leq d - 1$, since d and α are coprime, there is an expression $b'\alpha - k'd = 1$, with $b', k' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Any other pair of coefficients is of the form $(b' + nd, k' + n\alpha)$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We consider the element $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, it is defined as the class modulo d of $b := \min\{b' \in \mathbb{Z} \mid b'\alpha - k'd = 1, k \in \mathbb{Z}, b' > 0\}$. By consequence we define k as the unique integer such that $b\alpha - kd = 1$, and it results that also $k > 0$. Of course $1 < b < d$. Indeed $b \neq 1$ because $\alpha < d$, and $b \neq d$ otherwise $(\alpha - k)d = 1$.

Lemma 5.4.   (1) If $\alpha = 2$, then $\frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{d+1}{2}$, $k = 1$;
  (2) if $\alpha = d - 1$, then $\frac{1}{\alpha} = d - 1$, $k = d - 2$;
  (3) if $\alpha < d - 1$ then $\frac{1}{\alpha} \neq \alpha$.

Proof. The first two assertions are straightforward. For the third one, $\alpha = 1/\alpha$ gives $\alpha^2 = 1$ and since d is prime, d must divide $\alpha - 1$ or $\alpha + 1$, but both cases are impossible. $\square$

We consider now two matrices M_a and M_b and we want to understand when their actions on $K[x, y, z]_d$ are equivalent.

Proposition 5.5. *Assume $2 \leq a, b \leq d - 1$. Then the actions on $K[x, y, z]_d$ of the representations M_a, M_b of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ are equivalent if and only if either $b = d - a + 1$ or $(e^a)^b = e$.*

Proof. Let $x^{d-m-n}y^m z^n$ be a monomial of degree d. This monomial is invariant for the action of the first matrix if and only if $m + an \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. But this congruence is equivalent to $(d - m - n) + (d - a + 1)n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, which means that the monomial $x^m y^{d-m-n} z^n$ obtained from $x^{d-m-n}y^m z^n$ interchanging the roles of x and y is invariant for the action of the second matrix. The second equivalence corresponds to interchanging the roles of y and z, because if $(e^a)^b = e$ then $m + an \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ if and only if $bm + n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.6. *The three matrices M_2, $M_{\frac{d+1}{2}}$, M_{d-1} define equivalent actions on the homogenous polynomials of degree d.*

Proof. It immediately follows from Proposition 5.5 because $d - 1 = d - 2 + 1$ and $e^{(\frac{d+1}{2})^2} = e$. $\square$

Remark 5.7. Assume $2 < a < d - 1$, $a \neq \frac{d+1}{2}$. Then there exists one and only one b, $2 < b < d - 1$, $b \neq \frac{d+1}{2}$ such that $(e^a)^b = e$. Indeed, b is nothing else than $1/a$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ (see Definition 5.3 and Lemma 5.4).

Before stating next theorem, we remark that any prime number $d \geq 5$ can be written in one the forms $6n - 1$ or $6n + 1$.

Lemma 5.8. *Let d be a prime, $d \geq 5$. Then there exists an integer a, $2 < a < d - 1$, such that $a(d - a + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ if and only if $d = 6n + 1$.*

Proof. The relation $a(d-a+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ holds true if and only if $a^2 - a + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, i.e. a is a root modulo d of the cyclotomic polynomial $\phi_6(x) = x^2 - x + 1$. This means that the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ of the roots of order 6 of 1 is contained in $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$. This can happen only if 6 divides the cardinality of $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$, which is $d - 1$. The thesis follows. $\square$

Theorem 5.9. *Let $d \geq 5$ be a prime number. Then the number of non-equivalent actions of representations M_a, $2 \leq a \leq d - 1$, of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $K[x, y, z]_d$ is equal to n if $d = 6n - 1$, and to $n + 1$ if $d = 6n + 1$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.6, there is a class with three elements corresponding to $a = 2, \frac{d+1}{2}, d-1$ (Corollary 5.6). If $d = 6n+1$, there is a class with two elements $a_0, d-a_0+1$, where a_0 is a root of $\phi_6(x)$ (Lemma 5.8). The remaining values of a are subdivided in classes with 6 elements that are $a, b, c, d - a + 1, d - b + 1, d - c + 1$, where $b = 1/a \pmod{d}$ and $c = 1/(d - a + 1) \pmod{d}$. This immediately gives the number of classes, in view of Proposition 5.5. $\square$

Remark 5.10. The six numbers $a, b, c, d - a + 1, d - b + 1, d - c + 1$, where $b = 1/a \pmod{d}$ and $c = 1/(d - a + 1) \pmod{d}$, are the values of the cross-ratio with the same $j-$invariant, modulo d. The three values $2, \frac{d+1}{2}, d - 1$ correspond to the harmonic cross-ratio, and the pair $a_0, d - a_0 + 1$ to the equianharmonic cross-ratio.

The matrices in Corollary 5.6 define the generalized classical Togliatti systems (see Definition 2.4). We will see that the other classes we have just found define all GT-systems for d prime. To this end we will study the degree d monomials invariant under these actions.

Example 5.11. Here are the classes of integers ≥ 2 defining equivalent actions M_a on forms of degree d, for the primes $d = 5, \ldots, 17$.

$d = 5$, one class: $(2, 3, 4)$;

$d = 7$, two classes: $(2, 4, 6)$, $(3, 5)$;

$d = 11$, two classes: $(2, 6, 10)$, $(3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9)$;

$d = 13$, three classes: $(2, 7, 12)$, $(4, 10)$, $(3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11)$;

$d = 17$, three classes: $(2, 9, 16)$, $(3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15)$, $(4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 14)$.

Let us fix a prime d and an integer a, $2 \leq a \leq d - 1$. We want to count the number of monomials of degree d which are invariant under the action of the matrix M_a.

Theorem 5.12. *Let $d \geq 3$ be a prime. Then for any integer a, with $2 \leq a \leq d - 1$, the number of invariant monomials under the action of M_a is $3 + \frac{d-1}{2}$.*

Proof. The monomial $x^{d-m-n} y^m z^n$, with $m, n \geq 0$, $m + n \leq d$, is invariant under the action of M_a if and only if $m + an \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$. So we look for the pairs (m, n) of non-negative integers such that $0 \leq m + n \leq d$ and there exists a relation $m + an = kd$ for a suitable

integer $k \geq 0$. The pairs $(0,0), (d,0), (0,d)$ are clearly of this type; they correspond to the monomials x^d, y^d, and z^d. So, we assume from now on $m < d$, $n < d$ and $m + n > 0$. We observe that, if $m + n = d$ and the corresponding monomial $y^{d-n}z^n$ is invariant under the action of M_a, then $d + (a-1)n = kd$, i.e. $(a-1)n = (k-1)d$, which is impossible under our assumption that $n < d$. Moreover the monomials of the form $x^{d-m}y^m$, $x^{d-n}z^n$ are certainly not invariant, the first ones because $e^m \neq 1$, the second one because an cannot be a multiple of d. So from now on we can also assume $m, n > 0$ and $m + n < d$.

We begin looking for pairs (m,n) with $m = 1$. We apply Lemma 5.4 to a, d: there exist unique k, n_1 with $dk - an_1 = 1$, $k > 0$, $0 < n_1 < d$. So we obtain a unique invariant monomial $x^{d-1-n_1}yz^{n_1}$, of degree d with $m = 1$. We easily check that the inequality $1 + n_1 < d$ is also true.

We take now another m and define $n_m :\equiv n_1 m \pmod{d}$, i.e. the remainder of the division of $n_1 m$ by d. The pair (m, n_m) defines a monomial of degree d invariant under the action of M_a if and only if $m + n_m \leq d$. So we want to count for how many m's with $1 \leq m \leq d-1$ the condition $m + n_m \leq d$ holds true. We consider the table

1	n_1	n_1
2	$2n_1$	n_2
3	$3n_1$	n_3
$\ldots$	$\ldots$	$\ldots$
m	mn_1	n_m
$\ldots$	$\ldots$	$\ldots$
$d-2$	$(d-2)n_1$	n_{d-2}
$d-1$	$(d-1)n_1$	n_{d-1}

In the third column there are the remainders of the division by d of the elements of the second column. We observe that they are all different: if $mn_1 = hd + r$ and $m'n_1 = h'd + r$, then $(m - m')n_1 = (h - h')d$, which is impossible because $m - m'$ and n_1 are $< d$. Hence, the first and the third column contain the same numbers.

Now look at the last row: note that $n_{d-1} = d - n_1$ and that $(d-1) + (d-n_1) = 2d - (1 + n_1) \leq d$ if and only if $d \leq 1 + n_1$, a contradiction. Therefore, the last line does not give an invariant monomial. Now we consider the second and the last but one rows: the corresponding pairs (m,n) are respectively $(2, n_2)$ and $(d-2, d-n_2)$, and $2d - (2 + n_2) < d$ if and only if $2 + n_2 > d$, which means that of these two pairs one and only one defines a monomial of degree d invariant under the action of M_a. We can repeat this argument for any m, concluding that one and only one of the pairs (m, n_m), $(d-m, d-n_m)$ gives a monomial

of degree d invariant under the action of M_a. Since there are $d-1$ of these pairs, we get $\frac{d-1}{2}$ invariant monomials of degree d in addition to x^d, y^d, z^d. This concludes the proof. $\qquad\square$

Remark 5.13. Note that any monomial of degree d different from x^d, y^d, z^d and invariant under the action of M_a must contain all variables with strictly positive exponent. In particular, by the smoothness criterion [11]; Proposition 3.4, this implies that the GT-systems for d prime are all smooth.

Example 5.14. For $d = 7$ or $d = 11$, there is only one class, in addition to the generalized classical Togliatti systems.

If $d = 7$ and $a = 3$, we get the invariant monomials $x^7, x^4yz^2, x^2y^4z, xy^2z^4, y^7, z^7$.

If $d = 11$, we have 5 invariant monomials. For instance, for $a = 3$, we get:

$$x^{11}, x^3yz^7, x^6y^2z^3, xy^4z^6, x^4y^5z^2, x^2y^8z, y^{11}, z^{11}.$$

From now on, we denote by $I_a \subset K[x, y, z]$ the artinian ideal generated by all monomials of degree d invariant under the action of M_a. Given a prime integer $d \geq 3$ and $2 \leq a \leq d-1$, we denote by k and n_1 the unique integers $k, n_1 > 0$ with $dk - an_1 = 1$ and $n_1 < d$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.12, for any integer m, we define $n_m := n_1 m \pmod{d}$, i.e. the remainder of the division of $n_1 m$ by d. We have seen:

Proposition 5.15. *For any prime integer $d \geq 5$, set $d = 2k+1$. Take $2 \leq a \leq d-1$. Then, the following holds:*

(1) *$\mu(I_a) = k + 3$. Moreover, there exist integers $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq d-1$ such that*

$$I_a = (x^d, y^d, z^d, f_{i_1}, f_{i_2}, \cdots, f_{i_k})$$

where we use the notation $f_{i_j} = x^{d-i_j-m_{i_j}} y^{i_j} z^{m_{i_j}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$.

(2) *I_2, I_{d-1} and I_{k+1} coincide up to permutation of the variables.*

(3) *If $a \neq 2, d-1, \frac{d+1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{a} \not\equiv d - a + 1 \pmod{d}$, then, I_a, I_b. I_c, I_{d-a+1}, I_{d-b+1} and I_{d-c+1}, where $b = \frac{1}{a} \pmod{d}$ and $c = \frac{1}{d-a+1} \pmod{d}$, coincide up to permutation of the variables.*

(4) *If $\frac{1}{a} \equiv d - a + 1 \pmod{d}$ then I_a and I_{d-a+1} coincide up to permutation of the variables.*

(5) *I_a is a smooth monomial minimal GT-system.*

Proof. (1) It follows from the proof of Theorem 5.12

(2) It follows from Corollary 5.6.

(3) See the proof of Theorem 5.9.

(4) It is a direct application of Proposition 5.5.

(5) It follows from Theorems 3.4 and 4.5, and Remark 5.13 for the smoothness. $\qquad\square$

5.2. d a power of a prime. We consider now the cases when $d = p^r$ is a power of a prime p. First we observe that arguing as in the case when d is an odd prime we can show that the action on $K[x, y, z]_d$ of a representation $M_{a,b,c}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is always equivalent to the action on $K[x, y, z]_d$ of a representation M_α of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ for a suitable α, $2 \le \alpha \le p^r - 1$. Therefore, it is enough to classify the actions on $K[x, y, z]_d$ of representations M_α of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 5.16. *Let $d = p^r$, where p is a prime, and $r \ge 2$ an integer. Then the number of classes of non-equivalent actions of representations M_a of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $K[x, y, z]_d$ is as follows:*

    (1) *if $p = 2$, $r = 2$, there is only one class; if $p = 2$, $r > 2$ there are $(d/4) + 1$ classes, of which three classes have two elements and the remaining classes have 4 elements;*

    (2) *if $p = 3$ or $p = 6n - 1$, then there are one class with three elements, $(d - p)/2p$ classes with 4 elements and $(dp - 2d - 3p)/6p$ classes with six elements;*

    (3) *if $p = 6n + 1$, then there are one class with three elements, one class with two elements, $(d - p)/2p$ classes with 4 elements and $(dp - 2d - 5p)/6p$ classes with six elements.*

Proof. (1) If $p = 2$, there are exactly three values of a, $2 \le a \le d - 1$, such that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$: $a = d - 1$, $(d - 2)/2$ and $(d + 2)/2$. Each of them gives rise to a class formed by two elements $a, d - a + 1$. For any other value of a, in the pair $(a, d - a + 1)$ there is an even and an odd number, which admits inverse b. So, the class is formed by $a, b, d - a + 1, d - b + 1$.

Assume now that p is odd. Then $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ if and only if $a = d - 1$, which produces the class with three elements: 2, $d - 1$ and $(d + 1)/2$. Note also that the equation $a(a - d + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ has a solution a_0 only if $p = 6n + 1$. So we have a class with two elements $a_0, d - a_0 + 1$ only if $d = 6n + 1$. Then for any multiple of p, we have a class with 4 elements of the form $px, d - px + 1, y, d - y + 1$, where y is the inverse of $d - px + 1$ modulo d. It is easy to check that in this class there is also exactly one other multiple of p i.e. $d - y + 1$. Finally, the remaining elements distribute themselves in classes of 6 elements of the form $a, b, d - a + 1, x, d - b + 1, d - x + 1$, where b, x are the inverses $\pmod{d}$ of a and $d - a + 1$, respectively. The thesis follows by a straightforward computation, taking into account that the number of non-zero multiples of p in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ is $(d/p) - 1$. $\qquad \square$

6. Classification of GT-systems: The general case

We consider now the case of a general integer d. We will restrict our attention to actions represented by matrices of the form M_a.

For seek of completeness we start recalling a couple of well known results on arithmetic equations.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $d = 2^\alpha p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ be the prime factorization of d, where p_i are distinct odd primes, $r \ge 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le r$. The number of solutions of the equation $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ is:*

(1) 2^r *if* $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$;

(2) 2^{r+1} *if* $\alpha = 2$;

(3) 2^{r+2} *if* $\alpha \geq 3$.

Proof. See [6], a060594. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $d = p_0^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ *be the prime factorization of* d, *where* $r \geq 0$, $p_0 = 2$, $p_1 = 3$, $p_i > 3$ *for* $i \geq 2$, $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \geq 0$, *and* $\alpha_i > 0$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq r$. *The equation* $x^2 - x + 1 \equiv 0$ (mod d) *is compatible if and only if* $\alpha_0 = 0$, $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1$ *and* $p_i \equiv 1$ (mod 6) *for any* $i = 2 \ldots, r$, *and in this case there are exactly* 2^{r-1} *solutions.*

Proof. First of all we observe that for any prime integer $p \geq 5$, $x^2 - x + 1 \equiv 0$ (mod p^k) is compatible if and only if there is a root x_0 modulo p^k of the cyclotomic polynomial $\phi_6(x) = x^2 - x + 1$. This means that the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ of roots of order 6 of 1 is contained in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^*$. This happens if and only if $6 | \varphi(p^k) = p^{k-1}(p-1)$ if and only if $p \equiv 1$ (mod 6). Moreover, in this case we have 2 solutions.

For $p = 2$, there is no solution of the equation $x^2 - x + 1 \equiv 0$ (mod 2^a) and for $p = 3$ there is a solution of the equation $x^2 - x + 1 \equiv 0$ (mod 3^b) if and only if $b = 1$ and in this case there is only one solution.

Putting altogether, we obtain the thesis. □

We want to count now the number of non-equivalent representations M_a of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $K[x, y, z]_d$.

Lemma 6.3. *An equivalence class of representations* M_a *of* $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ *on* $K[x, y, z]_d$ *can have* $2, 3, 4$ *or* 6 *elements. Precisely:*

- *an equivalence class with two elements is formed by* $a, d - a + 1$, *where one of the following happens:*
    - *(i)* $a^2 \equiv 1$, *and* $d - a + 1$ *is non invertible in* $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$;
    - *(ii)* $a(d - a + 1) \equiv 1$ (mod d);
    - *(iii)* $a, d - a + 1$ *are both non invertible in* $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$;
- *an equivalence class with three elements is formed by* $2, d - 1, \frac{d+1}{2}$; *such class exists if and only if* d *is odd;*
- *an equivalence class with four elements is formed by* $a, d - a + 1, y, d - y + 1$, *where* y *is the inverse of* $d - a + 1$ (mod d), *and* $a, d - y + 1$ *are non invertible in* $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$;
- *an equivalence class with six elements is formed by* $a, b, c, d - a + 1, d - b + 1, d - c + 1$ *where* $b = 1/a$, $c = 1/(d - a + 1)$; *all six elements are invertible in* $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We recall, from Proposition 5.5, that the class containing an element a always contains also $d - a + 1$, and, if a is invertible, also $1/a$.

So to have a class with only two elements, one of the following three possibilities must occur: $1/a \equiv a$, or $1/a \equiv d - a + 1$, or a and $d - a + 1$ are both non invertible. In the first case, it follows that the two integers d and $d - a + 1$ are not coprime. Indeed if $1/a \equiv a$ in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^2 - 1 = (a - 1)(a + 1) = kd$. So each prime divisor of d divides either $a - 1$ or $a + 1$, and at least one divides $a - 1$. Therefore $d - (a - 1)$ is not coprime with d, this gives case (i). In the second case and third case, it is clear that the class does not contain any other element. For the class with three elements see Corollary 5.6. The shape of the classes with four or six elements are analogous to those discussed in Theorem 5.16.   □

Let us denote by N_i the number of classes with i elements, and N_{21}, N_{22}, N_{23} respectively the numbers of classes with two elements of type (i),(ii),(iii). Our next goal is to compute them.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $d = p_0^{\alpha_0} p_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ be the prime factorization of d, where $r \geq 0$, $p_0 = 2$, $p_1 = 3$, $p_i > 3$ for $i \geq 2$, $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \geq 0$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq r$. It holds:*

(1) $N_{21} = \begin{cases} 2^r - 2 & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 0; \\ 2^r - 1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 1; \\ 2^{r+1} - 1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 2; \\ 2^{r+2} - 1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 \geq 3. \end{cases}$

(2) $N_{22} = \begin{cases} 2^r & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 0, 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1 \text{ and } p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \text{ for any } i = 2 \ldots, r; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

(3) *If $\alpha_0 = 0$, then $N_{23} = \sum_{k=2}^{r} (-1)^k (2^{k-1} - 1) \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq r} \frac{d}{p_{i_1} \ldots p_{i_k}}$. If $\alpha_0 > 0$, then the expression is analogous but the sum goes from 2 to $r + 1$.*

In addition, $N_2 = N_{21} + N_{22} + N_{23}$.

Proof. (1) is a consequence of Lemma 6.1, but we have to subtract 1 because we do not want to consider the solution 1. (2) is a consequence of Lemma 6.2. We prove now (3). Let p_i, p_j be two prime factors of d. We claim that the number of pairs $(a, d - a + 1)$, where a is divisible by p_i and $d - a + 1$ by p_j, is $d/(p_i p_j)$. Indeed, the pair $(a = p_i x, d - a + 1 = p_j y)$ is as we want if we can write $d + 1$ as $p_i x + p_j y$, with x, y positive integers. We can write $p_i \lambda - p_j \mu = 1$, and we choose λ minimum > 0, hence $\mu > 0$. So the pairs x, y that work are obtained in this way:

$x = (1 + d)\lambda - mp_j > 0$,
$y = -(1 + d)\mu + mp_i > 0$,

with $m > 0$. Thus, we must count the number of positive m such that the two inequalities are satisfied. We must have $(1+d)\mu/p_i < m < (1+d)\lambda/p_j$, which is equivalent to

$$p_j(d/p_ip_j)\mu + (\mu/p_i) < m < p_i(d/p_ip_j)\lambda + (\lambda/p_j).$$

The number of m's satisfying this inequality is $p_i(d/p_ip_j)\lambda - p_j(d/p_ip_j)\mu = d/p_ip_j$, which proves the claim.

So the number of these pairs, as i, j vary, is $\sum_{0 \le i < j \le r} d/p_ip_j$.

But if $r \ge 2$, some of the pairs have been counted twice. So we have to subtract the contribution of these pairs. For any choice of three prime factors p_i, p_j, p_h, the contribution is $3d/(p_ip_jp_h)$, because it is equal to the number of pairs $(a, d-a+1)$ such that a is divisible by one among p_i, p_j, p_h, and $d - a + 1$ by the product of the other two. We continue in this way, taking the contribution of $2, 3, \ldots$ prime factors of d with alternate signs. The coefficient in the contribution of k primes is the number of partitions of k as sum of two positive numbers, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \binom{k}{i} = (2^k - 2)/2$. $\qquad\square$

Remark 6.5. For any $d = p_0^{\alpha_0}p_1^{\alpha_1}p_2^{\alpha_2}\ldots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ with $p_0 = 2$, $p_1 = 3$, $p_i > 3$ for $i \ge 2$, $r \ge 0$, $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \ge 0$ and $\alpha_i > 0$ for $i \ge 2$, we have $N_3 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proposition 6.6. *Let d be an integer. Let $\varphi(d)$ be the Euler's function that counts the positive integers up to d that are relatively prime to d. Then the following holds:*

(1)
$$N_4 = \frac{d - 1 - \varphi(d) - N_{21} - 2N_{23}}{2};$$

(2)
$$N_6 = \frac{d - 2 - 2N_2 - 3N_3 - 4N_4}{6}.$$

Proof. (1) By Lemma 6.3 the equivalence classes with four elements are formed by $a, d-a+1, y, d-y+1$, where y is the inverse of $d-a+1 \pmod{d}$, and $a, d-y+1$ are non invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. So, we have to compute the number of non-invertible elements not involved in the equivalence classes with 2 or 3 elements. Since the Euler function $\varphi(d)$ counts the invertible elements in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. i.e. the cardinality of $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^*$, we get the required formula for N_4.

(2) It immediately follows from the fact that $2N_2 + 3N_3 + 4N_4 + 6N_6 = d - 2$. $\qquad\square$

Putting altogether we have

Theorem 6.7. *Let $d = p_0^{\alpha_0}p_1^{\alpha_1}\ldots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ be the prime factorization of d, where $r \ge 0$, $p_0 = 2$, $p_1 = 3$, $p_i > 3$ for $i \ge 2$, $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \ge 0$, and $\alpha_i > 0$ for $2 \le i \le r$. The number of classes of non-equivalent actions of representations M_a of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $K[x, y, z]_d$ is $N_2 + N_3 + N_4 + N_6$.*

Proof. It immediately follows from Lemma 6.3.   □

Let us illustrate our result with a couple of concrete examples.

Example 6.8. (i) If $d = 825 = 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11$, we get the following numbers: $N_3 = 1, N_2 = N_{21} + N_{22} + N_{23} = 6 + 0 + 80 = 86, N_4 = 129, N_6 = 22$.

(ii) If $d = 42 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$, then $N_3 = 0, N_2 = N_{21} + N_{22} + N_{23} = 3 + 0 + 9 = 12, N_4 = 4, N_6 = 0$.

(iii) If $d = 210 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, then $N_3 = 0, N_2 = N_{21} + N_{22} + N_{23} = 7 + 57 = 64, N_4 = 20, N_6 = 0$.

In Theorem 3.4 we have obtained un upper bound, valid for any d, on the number of monomials of degree d invariant under the action of M_a. Next Proposition gives a lower bound on the same number.

Proposition 6.9. *Let* $d = p_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots p_r^{\alpha_r}$, *where* $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_r$ *are primes*, $\alpha_i > 0$ *for all* i *and* $r \geq 2$. *Let* I_a *be the ideal generated by all polynomials of degree* d *invariant under the action of* M_a, $2 \leq a \leq d - 1$. *Then,* I_a *is a monomial minimal GT-system and* $\mu(I_a) \geq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 3$.

Proof. By Theorems 3.1, 3.4 and 4.5, I_a is a monomial minimal GT-system. Thus, it only remains to compute $\mu(I_a)$. We distinguish two cases.

If we consider an equivalence class that can be represented by a number a coprime with d, we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 5.12. For any m with $1 \leq m \leq d - 1$, we define the number $n_m > 0$, the minimal positive integer such that $an_m + m$ is a multiple of d of the form $kd, k > 0$. Note that for $m = 1$ we have $n_1 \neq d - 1$. Otherwise it would be $a(d-1) + 1 = kd$ with $1 \leq k \leq a - 1$, so $d = (a-1)/(a-k)$, which is impossible. We note that the integer n_{d-m} associated to $d - m$ is equal to $d - n_m$. Therefore $m + n_m \leq d$ if and only if $(d - m) + n_{d-m} \geq d$.

We have to count the integers m such that $m + n_m \leq d$. It is enough to analyze only $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ pairs. If, for any $m, n_m \neq d - m$, then only one of the possibilities: $m + n_m < d$ or $(d - m) + (d - n_m) < d$ is true, and we can conclude that $\mu(I_a) = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 3$. Otherwise, if $n_m = d - m$ for some m, then both pairs $(m, n_m = d - m)$ and $(d - m, m)$ give invariant monomial and $\mu(I_a) > \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 3$.

Assume now that a and $d - a + 1$ are both non invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. that both are not coprime with d. Let $g = \gcd(d, a) > 1$ and write $a = g\alpha$ and $d = g\delta$. We look for the pairs (m, n) with $m \geq 0, n \geq 0, m + n \leq d$, such that $m + an = kd$, for some k. This last relation is possible only if $m = 0$ or m is a multiple of $\gcd(d, a) = g$. So m has to be of the form yg, with $0 \leq y \leq \delta$.

If $m = 0$, then $0 = kd - an$, i.e. $n = kd/a = k\delta/\alpha$, which implies that α divides k, so $n = x\delta$, with $0 \leq x \leq g$.

If $m = g$, we look for n, k such that $g = kd - an$. Let $\bar{k}, \bar{n}$ be the integers with $\bar{k} > 0$ minimum such that $g = \bar{k}d - \bar{n}a$, i.e. $1 = \bar{k}\delta - \bar{n}\alpha$. So also $\bar{n} > 0$. The other integers k, n are of the form $\bar{k} + x\alpha, \bar{n} + x\delta$, with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. From them we get the pairs $(m, n) = (g, \bar{n} + x\delta)$. Note that $0 \leq n \leq d$ if and only if $0 \leq x \leq g - 1$. Indeed $\bar{n} + x\delta \leq d$ if and only if $x \leq (d - \bar{n})/\delta = g - (\bar{n}/\delta)$; moreover from the minimality of $\bar{k}$, we deduce $\bar{n} - \delta < 0$, which gives $0 < \bar{n}/\delta < 1$.

Let now $m = yg$, with $1 \leq y \leq \delta$. We clearly have the pairs $(m, n) = (yg, y\bar{n} + x\delta)$. The condition $0 \leq n = y\bar{n} + x\delta \leq d$ becomes $-y\bar{n}/\delta \leq x \leq g - y\bar{n}\delta$.

Altogether we have $d + 2$ pairs: $g + 1$ pairs with $m = 0$, g pairs with $m = yg$ for any $1 \leq y \leq \delta-1$, and $(d, 0)$. We have to impose now the condition $m+n \leq d$, i.e. $yg+y\bar{n}+x\delta \leq d$ to the pairs different from $(d, 0), (0, d), (0, 0)$. But it is easy to check that this last inequality is equivalent to $(\delta-y)g+(\delta-y)\bar{n}+(g-\bar{n}-x)\delta \geq d$. It is clear that $0 \leq \delta - y \leq d$. Moreover $-(\delta - y)\bar{n}/\delta \leq g - \bar{n} - x \leq g - (\delta - y)\bar{n}/\delta$ is equivalent to $(d - g) - y\bar{n} \leq \delta x \leq d - y\bar{n}$, so the pair $(\delta - y)g, (\delta - y)\bar{n} + (g - \bar{n} - x)\delta$ is in the admissible range. We conclude that there are at least $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 3$ invariant monomials.

$\square$

Remark 6.10. If $\mu(I_a) > \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 3$, with a invertible modulo d, then $mn_1 + m = m(n_1 + 1)$ must be multiple of d. This observation allows to construct a whole class of examples with $d = 2^k$, where the first m such that $n_m = d - m$ is 2, and $n_1 + 1 = d/2$. It is enough to take $a = (d/2) + 1$ and then $n_1 = (d/2) - 1$. We can compute that $\mu(I_a) = 3d/4 + 2$. In this case, all pairs $(m, d - m + 1)$ with m even satisfy $n_m = d - m$. The first example is for $d = 8, a = 5, n_1 = 3, \mu(I_5) = 8$. For $d = 16$, we get $a = 9, n_1 = 7$, and $\mu(I_9) = 14$.

Remark 6.11. Not all actions of a cyclic group on $K[x, y, z]_d$ can be represented by a matrix of the form M_a, if d has 3 or more prime factors. For instance if $d = 42 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ and e is a primitive root of order 42 of 1, then the action of $M_{0,3,7}$ is not equivalent to the action of a matrix of the form M_a for any a, since it has the following set of monomials invariant under the action of $M_{0,3,7}$ and involving only two variables. They are:

$$x^{36}z^6, x^{30}z^{12}, x^{24}z^{18}, x^{18}z^{24}, x^{12}z^{30}, x^6z^{36}, x^{28}y^{14}, x^{14}y^{28}, y^{21}z^{21}.$$

If we now consider the monomials invariant under the action of M_a and involving only two variables, we have monomials involving x and z, and monomials involving y and z but never monomials involving x and y.

7. Geometric properties of generalized classical Togliatti systems

This section is entirely devoted to study the geometric properties of the rational surfaces associated to generalized classical Togliatti systems. To this end, we fix an integer d, $3 \leq d \in \mathbb{Z}$. We write $d = 2k + \epsilon$, $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$ and we consider the monomial artinian ideal

$$I_d = (x^d, y^d, z^d, x^k y^k z^\epsilon, \cdots, x^2 y^2 z^{d-4}, xyz^{d-2}) \subset K[x, y, z].$$

Set $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathrm{Proj}(K[x, y, z])$ and $\mathbb{P}^{k+2} = \mathrm{Proj}(K[x_0, x_1, \cdots x_{k+2}])$. We have seen in Theorem 4.5 that I_d is a minimal Togliatti system which defines a Galois cover

$$\varphi_{I_d} : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k+2}$$

with Galois cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$ where e is a primitive d-th root of 1.

We denote by $S_d \subset \mathbb{P}^{k+2}$ the rational surface image of φ_{I_d}. We will prove that its homogeneous ideal $I(S_d)$ is generated by $1 + \binom{k}{2}$ quadrics if d is even and by $\binom{k}{2}$ quadrics and k cubics if d is odd. To achieve our result we need some basic facts on determinantal ideals that we recall now for seek of completeness.

Definition 7.1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a homogeneous $m \times n$ matrix, $m \geq n$. We denote by $I(\mathcal{A})$ the ideal of $R = K[x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_N]$ generated by the maximal minors of $\mathcal{A}$ and we say that $I(\mathcal{A})$ is a *determinantal* ideal if depth $I(\mathcal{A}) = m - n + 1$.

Any determinantal ideal $I \subset R$ is Cohen-Macaulay (i.e. $\mathrm{pd}(R/I) = \mathrm{codim}(I)$ or, equivalent $\dim(R/I) = \mathrm{depth}(R/I)$) with a minimal free resolution given by the so called *Eagon-Northcott complex*. In fact, we denote by $f : F \longrightarrow G$ the morphism of free graded R-modules of rank $t + c - 1$ and t, defined by the homogeneous $t \times (t + c - 1)$ matrix $\mathcal{A}$ associated to the determinantal ideal $I \subset R$ of codimension c. The Eagon-Northcott complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \overset{t+c-1}{\bigwedge} F \otimes S_{c-1}(G)^* \otimes \overset{t}{\bigwedge} G^* \longrightarrow \overset{t+c-2}{\bigwedge} F \otimes S_{c-2}(G)^* \otimes \overset{t}{\bigwedge} G^* \longrightarrow \ldots$$

$$\longrightarrow \overset{t}{\bigwedge} F \otimes S_0(G)^* \otimes \overset{t}{\bigwedge} G^* \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

gives a minimal free R-resolution of R/I.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $I_d \subset K[x, y, z]$ be a generalized classical Togliatti system and set $R = K[x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_{k+2}]$. Then, the following holds:*

(1) If $d = 2k + 1$ is odd then $I(S_d) = I_2(\mathcal{A})$ where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_{k+1} & x_{k+2} & x_0 x_1 \\ x_4 & x_5 & \cdots & x_{k+2} & x_2 & x_3^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $I(S_d)$ is generated by $\binom{k}{2}$ quadrics and k cubics.

(2) If $d = 2k$ is even then $I(S_d) = I_2(\mathcal{B}) + (x_0 x_1 - x_3^2)$ where

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_{k+1} & x_{k+2} \\ x_4 & x_5 & \cdots & x_{k+2} & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $I(S_d)$ is generated by $1 + \binom{k}{2}$ quadrics.

Proof. (1) The morphism $\varphi_{I_d} : \mathbb{P}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k+2}$ is defined by sending

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x^d, y^d, z^d, x^k y^k z, \cdots, xyz^{d-2}).$$

Therefore, we easily check that $I_2(\mathcal{A}) \subset I(S_d)$. The equality follows from the fact that $\dim(R/I(S_d)) = \dim(R/I_2(\mathcal{A})) = 3$ and $\mathrm{degree}(I_2(\mathcal{A})) = \mathrm{degree}(I(S_d)) = d$.

(2) It is analogous. □

Theorem 7.3. *With the above notation, the following holds:*

(1) *If $d = 2k+1$ is odd then $I(S_d)$ is a determinantal ideal (and, hence, Cohen-Macaulay) with the following minimal free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-k-2)^k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow R(-4)^{3\binom{k}{4}} \oplus R(-5)^{3\binom{k}{3}} \longrightarrow$$

$$R(-3)^{2\binom{k}{3}} \oplus R(-4)^{2\binom{k}{2}} \longrightarrow R(-2)^{\binom{k}{2}} \oplus R(-3)^k \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I(S_d) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(2) *If $d = 2k$ is even then $I(S_d)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal with the following minimal free resolution:*

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-k-2)^k \longrightarrow R(-k-1)^{(k-1)\binom{k}{k-1}} \oplus R(-k)^k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow$$

$$R(-5)^{2\binom{k}{3}} \oplus R(-4)^{3\binom{k}{4}} \longrightarrow R(-4)^{\binom{k}{2}} \oplus R(-3)^{2\binom{k}{3}} \longrightarrow R(-2)^{1+\binom{k}{2}} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I(S_d) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. (1) By Theorem 7.2(1) we know that $I(S_d) = I_2(\mathcal{A})$ where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_{k+1} & x_{k+2} & x_0 x_1 \\ x_4 & x_5 & \cdots & x_{k+2} & x_2 & x_3^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Associated to $\mathcal{A}$ we have a morphism $F := R(-1)^k \oplus R(-2) \xrightarrow{f} G := R^2$ of free graded R-modules and by the Eagon-Northcott complex $I(S_d)$ has the following minimal free R-resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow \overset{k+1}{\bigwedge} F \otimes S_{k-1} G^* \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overset{4}{\bigwedge} F \otimes S_2 G^* \longrightarrow \overset{3}{\bigwedge} F \otimes G^* \longrightarrow \overset{2}{\bigwedge} F \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I(S_d) \longrightarrow 0$$

which gives what we want.

(2) By Theorem 7.2(2) we know that $I(S_d) = I_2(\mathcal{B}) + (x_0 x_1 - x_3^2)$ where

$$\mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_{k+1} & x_{k+2} \\ x_4 & x_5 & \cdots & x_{k+2} & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the Eagon-Northcott complex $I_2(\mathcal{B})$ has the following minimal free R-resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-k)^k \longrightarrow R(-k+1)^{(k-1)\binom{k}{k-1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow R(-4)^{3\binom{k}{4}} \longrightarrow$$

$$R(-3)^{2\binom{k}{3}} \longrightarrow R(-2)^{\binom{k}{2}} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I_2(\mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

To find a minimal free R-resolution of $I(S_d) = I_2(\mathcal{B}) + (x_0 x_1 - x_3^2)$ we consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I_2(\mathcal{B})(-2) \xrightarrow{\psi} I_2(\mathcal{B}) \oplus R(-2) \xrightarrow{\rho} I(S_d) = I_2(\mathcal{B}) + (x_0 x_1 - x_3^2) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\psi(F) = (F(x_0 x_1 - x_3^2), F)$ and $\rho(G, H) = G - (x_0 x_1 - x_3^2)H$. Applying the mapping cone procedure to the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & R(-k-2)^k & & R(-k)^k & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & R(-6)^{3\binom{k}{4}} & & R(-4)^{3\binom{k}{4}} & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & R(-5)^{2\binom{k}{3}} & & R(-3)^{2\binom{k}{3}} & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & R(-4)^{\binom{k}{2}} & & R(-2)^{\binom{k}{2}} \oplus R(-2) & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & I_2(\mathcal{B})(-2) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & I_2(\mathcal{B}) \oplus R(-2) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & I(S_d) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}
$$

we get the minimal free R resolution of $I(S_d)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-k-2)^k \longrightarrow R(-k-1)^{(k-1)\binom{k}{k-1}} \oplus R(-k)^k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow$$

$$R(-5)^{2\binom{k}{3}} \oplus R(-4)^{3\binom{k}{4}} \longrightarrow R(-4)^{\binom{k}{2}} \oplus R(-3)^{2\binom{k}{3}} \longrightarrow R(-2)^{1+\binom{k}{2}} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I(S_d) \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

7.1. **Singularities of** S_d**.** We describe now the singular points of the surface S_d. The morphism φ_{I_d} is unramified outside the three fundamental points of $\mathbb{P}^2$: $E_0 = [1,0,0]$, $E_1 = [0,1,0]$, $E_2 = [0,0,1]$. They are sent by φ_{I_d} to the singular points of S_d, $P_i := \varphi(E_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, that are cyclic quotient singularities: P_0, P_1 are of type $\frac{1}{d}(1,2)$ and P_2 is of type $\frac{1}{d}(d-2, d-1)$.

Proposition 7.4. *The points P_0, P_1 have multiplicity k on S_d and tangent cone defined by the equations* $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{B} < 2$ *and* $x_1 = 0$ *(resp.* $x_0 = 0$*). The point P_2 is a double point with tangent cone the pair of planes of equations* $x_0 x_1 = x_3 = \cdots = x_k = x_{k+1} = 0$.

Proof. Let us compute first the tangent cone to S_d at P_0. We work on the affine chart $x_0 = 1$, with affine coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{k+2}$. If d is odd, looking at the initial forms of the polynomials in the ideal of S_d, it follows from Theorem 7.2 that the equations of the tangent cone are $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{B} < 2$ and $x_1 x_4 = x_1 x_5 = \cdots = x_1 x_{k+2} = x_1 x_2 = 0$, which reduces to $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{B} < 2$ and $x_1 = 0$. If d is even, we get immediately the same equations. The case of P_1 is similar.

We work then on the affine chart $x_2 = 1$ with affine coordinates $x_0, x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_{k+2}$. If d is odd, the tangent cone at P_2 is defined by $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{B}' < 2$, $x_3 = x_4 = \cdots = x_{k+1} = x_0 x_1 = 0$, where

$$\mathcal{B}' = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_{k+1} \\ x_4 & x_5 & \cdots & x_{k+2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives the equations $x_3 = x_4 = \cdots = x_{k+1} = x_0 x_1 = 0$. If d is even, we get directly the same equations. $\square$

Remark 7.5. It is interesting to remark that the surface S_d is also a Galois covering of $\mathbb{P}^2$, with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The covering map $S_d \to \mathbb{P}^2$ composed with φ_{I_d} is $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$, $[x, y, z] \to [x^d, y^d, z^d]$.

8. Relations with linear configurations

We establish now a link between GT-systems and linear configurations.

Theorem 8.1. *Fix an integer $d \geq 2$ and an integer a, $2 \leq a \leq d-1$. Let $I_a \subset K[x, y, z]$ be the artinian ideal generated by all forms of degree d invariant under the action of M_a. Then, the following holds.*

(1) *I_a is a monomial minimal GT-system.*
(2) *For any linear form $L = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z$ we define $L[j] := \alpha x + \beta e^j y + \gamma e^{aj} z$, $j \geq 0$. It holds:*

$$L \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{d-1} L[j] \in I_a.$$

(3) *For any integer $0 \le i \le d-1$ we define $L_{i,j} := x + e^i y + e^j z$. The set of d^2 lines $\{L_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i,j \le d-1}$ gives rise to a Ceva configuration $C(d) = (3d_d, d_3^2)$ of d^2 lines and $3d$ points with d lines through each point and 3 points on each line.*

Proof. (1) follows from Theorems 3.1, 3.4 and 4.5.

(2) Since I_a fails WLP from degree $d-1$ to degree d, we know that for any line $L = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z$ there exists a form C_{d-1} of degree $d-1$ such that $F = L \cdot C_{d-1} \in I_a$. By definition I_a is generated by the monomials of degree d invariant under the action of M_a. Therefore, $F = L \cdot C_{d-1}$ is invariant under the action of M_a, which implies that $L[j] := \alpha x + \beta e^j y + \gamma e^{aj} z$, $j \ge 1$, divides F and we conclude that C_{d-1} factorizes as $\prod_{j=1}^{d-1} L[j]$ which proves what we want.

(3) We have a set $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i,j \le d-1}$ of d^2 lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and we consider $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, \cdots, p_{3d}\}$ the following set of points

$$p_1 = (1,0,-1) \qquad p_2 = (1,0,-e) \qquad p_3 = (1,0,-e^2) \qquad \cdots \qquad p_d = (1,0,-e^{d-1})$$
$$p_{d+1} = (0,1,-1) \quad p_{d+2} = (0,1,-e) \quad p_{d+3} = (0,1,-e^2) \quad \cdots \quad p_{2d} = (0,1,-e^{d-1})$$
$$p_{2d+1} = (1,-1,0) \quad p_{2d+2} = (1,-e,0) \quad p_{2d+3} = (1,-e^2,0) \quad \cdots \quad p_{3d} = (1,-e^{d-1},0)$$

The pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is a Ceva configuration $C(d)$ since we have d^2 lines and $3d$ points such that any line $L_{i,j}$ contains 3 points and through each point p_s we have d lines. $\square$

Associated to the above Ceva configuration $C(d)$ we have an arrangement $\mathcal{H}_d$ of $d^2 + 3$ lines $\{x,y,z\} \cup \{L_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i,j \le d-1}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the derivation bundle $\mathcal{D}_0$ defined as the kernel of the jacobian map:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^3 \xrightarrow{\nabla F} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d^2 + 2)$$

where $F = xyz \prod_{0 \le i,j \le d-1} L_{i,j}$ and $\nabla F = (\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z})$. The arrangement $\mathcal{H}_d$ of $d^2 + 3$ lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ is said to be *free with exponents* (a,b) if the derivation bundle $\mathcal{D}_0$ splits as $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-b)$. So, we are let to pose the natural question whether the arrangement $\mathcal{H}_d$ of lines associated to the Ceva configuration $C(d)$ is free. It holds:

Proposition 8.2. *With the above notation the arrangement $\mathcal{H}_d$ is a free arrangement of $d^2 + 3$ lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ if and only if $3 \le d \le 4$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_3$ is an arrangement of 12 lines free with exponent $(4,7)$ and $\mathcal{H}_4$ is an arrangement of 19 lines free with exponents $(9,9)$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{H}_d$ is free with exponents (a,b) we necessarily have $c_1(\mathcal{D}_0) = a + b = d^2 + 2$ and $c_2(\mathcal{D}_0) = ab$. On the other hand, if b_h is the number of points of multiplicity h in $\mathcal{D}_0$, then we have the following relation (see [5]; Remark 2.2):

$$b_3 + 3b_4 + 6b_5 + 10b_6 + \cdots = \binom{d^2 + 2}{2} - c_2(\mathcal{D}_0).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_d$ is a free arrangement if and only if $3 \leq d \leq 4$. In addition, $\mathcal{H}_3$ is a free arrangement of 12 lines with 9 quadruple base points. It is a free arrangement with exponents (4,7). $\mathcal{H}_4$ is a free arrangement of 19 lines with 12 quintuple base points; it is a free arrangement with exponents (9,9). $\qquad\square$

Remark 8.3. The set of $3d$ points in a Ceva configuration $C(d)$ is the dual set of the so called *Fermat arrangement* $\mathcal{F}_d$: $(x^d - y^d)(x^d - z^d)(y^d - z^d) = 0$ which is an arrangement of $3d$ lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ with d^2 triple points and 3 points of multiplicity d. $\mathcal{F}_d$ is a free arrangement of lines in $\mathbb{P}^2$ with exponents $(2d - 2, d + 1)$. In fact, let $\mathcal{D}_0$ be derivation bundle defined as the kernel of the jacobian map:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^3 \xrightarrow{\nabla F} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3d - 1)$$

where $F = (x^d - y^d)(x^d - z^d)(y^d - z^d)$. We are looking for integers $a \leq b$ such that $c_1(\mathcal{D}_0) = 3d - 1 = a + b$ and $c_2(\mathcal{D}_0) = ab = \binom{3d-1}{2} - d^2 + 3\binom{d-1}{2}$. Therefore, $(a, b) = (d + 1, 2d - 2)$ which proves what we want.

References

[1] W. Barth, C. Peters and A. Van de Ven, Compact complex surfaces. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[2] H. Brenner and A. Kaid, *Syzygy bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and the Weak Lefschetz Property*, Illinois J. Math. **51** (2007), 1299–1308.

[3] D.A. Cox, J.B. Little and H.K. Schenck, Toric varieties. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[4] I. Dolgachev, *Abstract configurations in Algebraic Geometry*, The Fano Conference, 423–462, Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004.

[5] D. Faenzi and J. Vallès, *Logarithmic bundles and line arrangements, an approach via the standard construction*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 90 (2014), no. 3, 675–694.

[6] The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integers Sequences, published electronically at https://oeis.org

[7] P. J. Mc Carthy, Introduction to arithmetic functions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[8] I. Kra and S.R. Simanca, *On circulant matrices*, Notices AMS **59** (3) (2012), 368–377.

[9] N.A. Loehr, G.S. Warrington, H.S. Wil, *The Combinatorics of a Three-Line Circulant Determinant*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **143** (2004) 141–156.

[10] J. Malenfant, *On the Matrix-Element Expansion of a Circulant Determinant*, arXiv:1502.06012v5

[11] E. Mezzetti and R.M. Miró-Roig, *The minimal number of generators of a Togliatti system*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata **195** (2016), 2077-2098. DOI .10.1007/s10231-016-0554-y.

[12] E. Mezzetti, R.M. Miró-Roig and G. Ottaviani, *Laplace Equations and the Weak Lefschetz Property*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **65** (2013), 634–654.

[13] R.M. Miró-Roig and M. Michalek, *Smooth monomial Togliatti systems of cubics*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A **143** (2016), 66-87. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2016.05.004.

[14] M. Reid, Chapters on algebraic surfaces. Complex algebraic geometry (Park City, UT, 1993), 3–159, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[15] E. Togliatti, *Alcuni esempi di superfici algebriche degli iperspazi che rappresentano un'equazione di Laplace*, Comm. Math. Helvetici **1** (1929), 255-272.

[16] E. Togliatti, *Alcune osservazioni sulle superfici razionali che rappresentano equazioni di Laplace*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **25** (1946) 325-339.

[17] J. Vallès, *Variétés de type Togliatti*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **343** (2006), 411-414.

[18] J. Vallès, Quelques contributions à la classification des fibrés vectoriels sur les espaces projectifs complexes, Thèse HDR, Pau, 2010.

[19] A. Wyn-Jones, *Circulants*, Chapters 10 and 11. www.circulants.org/circ/circall.pdf.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze, Università di Trieste, Via Valerio 12/1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

E-mail address: `mezzette@units.it`

Facultat de Matemàtiques i Informàtica, Departament de Matemàtiques i Informàtica, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain

E-mail address: `miro@ub.edu`