

Forbidden Substrings In Circular K -Successions

Enrique Navarrete*

In this note we define a circular k -succession in a permutation p on $[n]$ as either a pair $p(i), p(i+1)$ if $p(i+1) \equiv p(i) + k \pmod{n}$, or as the pair $p(n), p(1)$ if $p(1) \equiv p(n) + k \pmod{n}$. We count the number of permutations that for fixed $k, k < n$, avoid substrings $j(j+k), 1 \leq j \leq n-k$, as well as permutations that avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$ for all $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$. We also count circular permutations that avoid such substrings, and show that for substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$, the number of permutations depends on whether n is prime, and more generally, on whether n and k are relatively prime.

Keywords: Circular permutations, circular successions, circular k -successions, k -shifts, k -successions, derangements, forbidden substrings, bijections.

1. Introduction and Previous Results

In [3] we counted the number of permutations according to k -shifts, where we defined $\{d_n^k\}$ as the set of permutations on $[n]$ that for fixed $k, k < n$, avoid substrings $j(j+k), 1 \leq j \leq n-k$. The number of such permutations, d_n^k , turned out to be

$$d_n^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} (-1)^j \binom{n-k}{j} (n-j!). \quad (1.1)$$

The forbidden substrings in these permutations can be pictured as a diagonal running k places to the right of the main diagonal of an $n \times n$ chessboard (hence the term “ k -shifts”). Note that we will also refer to these forbidden substrings that are k spacings apart as “ k -successions”; therefore in [3] we counted permutations with no k -successions for $k > 1$ (the case $k = 1$ was discussed in [2]). Note that there are some references that not only count permutations with no successions but also count permutations with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ successions (the so-called “succession numbers”) but they do it only for the case $k = 1$, *ie.* for forbidden substrings that are only one spacing apart, $j(j+1)$, so some care should be taken (see [1], for example).

Table 1 in the Appendix provides some d_n^k values. For example, for $n = 4, k = 2$, the forbidden substrings that are 2 spacings apart are $\{13, 24\}$, and

*Grupo ANFI, Universidad de Antioquia.

$d_4^2 = 14$ since there are 14 permutations in S_4 that avoid such substrings or 2-successions.

The sequence $\langle d_n^k \rangle$ is available in OEIS. For example, for $k = 4$, the sequence is now A277563 [4], and for $k = 1$ it is A000255 [5]. Note that for $k = 0$, $d_n^k = Der(n)$, the n th derangement number, which is A000166 in OEIS [9].

In [3] we also counted the number of permutations according to k -shifts (mod n), where we defined $\{D_n^k\}$ as the set of permutations on $[n]$ that for fixed k , $k < n$, avoid substrings $j(j+k)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, and avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$ for $n-k < j \leq n$. Note that we can summarize in the single definition “avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ ” if we agree to write n instead of 0 when doing addition (mod n).

The forbidden substrings in this case are easily seen along an $n \times n$ chessboard, where for $j > n - k$, the forbidden positions start again from the first column along a diagonal $n - k$ places below the main diagonal, as in Figure 1 below.

	1	2	3	4
1				×
2	×			
3		×		
4			×	

Figure 1: Forbidden positions in $\{D_4^3\}$.

Figure 1 shows forbidden positions on a 4×4 chessboard that correspond to forbidden substrings of permutations in $\{D_4^3\}$. These forbidden substrings are $\{14; 21, 32, 43\}$. The forbidden substrings below the diagonal are separated by a semicolon; these are the forbidden substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$ for $n-k < j \leq n$. Note that while there are only $n - k$ forbidden substrings in $\{d_n^k\}$, there are n forbidden substrings in $\{D_n^k\}$.

The number of permutations in $\{D_n^k\}$ is given by

$$D_n^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n-j)!. \quad (1.2)$$

Furthermore, there are the same number of permutations in $\{D_n^k\}$ as in $\{D_n\}$ whenever n and k are relatively prime ($D_n = D_n^1$; see [3]). Table 4 in the Appendix provides a table for some D_n^k values. For example, for $n = 4$, $k = 3$, we have seen that forbidden substrings in $\{D_4^3\}$ are $\{14; 21, 32, 43\}$ and $D_4^3 = 4$ since there are 4 permutations in S_4 that avoid such substrings or 3-successions. Furthermore, since $(4, 3) = 1$, there is the same number of permutations in $\{D_4^3\}$ as in $\{D_4\}$, which are permutations in S_4 that avoid successions $\{12, 23, 34; 41\}$. The sequence $\langle D_n \rangle$ is A000240 in OEIS [6].

In this note we will discuss permutations in $\{d_n^k\}$ and $\{D_n^k\}$ with no *circular* k -successions, as described in the following definition.

Definition 1.1. We define a *circular k -succession* in a permutation p on $[n]$ as either a pair $p(i), p(i+1)$ if $p(i+1) \equiv p(i) + k \pmod{n}$, or as the pair $p(n), p(1)$ if $p(1) \equiv p(n) + k \pmod{n}$. We will denote as $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ the set of permutations in $\{d_n^k\}$ that have no circular k -successions, and similarly, as $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ the set of permutations in $\{D_n^k\}$ that have no circular k -successions. These permutations are in one-line notation.

Hence permutations in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ avoid substrings $j(j+k)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, and permutations in $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ (as in $\{d_n^k\}$ and $\{D_n^k\}$, respectively), but in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ and $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ there is the additional restriction of the circular k -successions.

For example, in $\{D_4^3\}$, we saw above that the forbidden substrings are $\{14; 21, 32, 43\}$, hence the permutation 2134 has a circular 3-succession 21 and the permutation 2413 has a circular 3-succession 32. Hence such permutations won't be allowed in $\{D_4^{*3}\}$ (but 2413 is allowed in $\{D_4^3\}$). Note as mentioned above that the forbidden substrings in $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ are the same as those in $\{D_n^k\}$ and the same is true for $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ and $\{d_n^k\}$, with differences in cardinalities being accounted by circular k -successions, as will be shown below.

Note that in Definition 1.1 we don't really need the definition \pmod{n} in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ since forbidden positions in those permutations stay above the main diagonal of an $n \times n$ chessboard.

2. Main Lemmas and Propositions

In [2] we divided permutations according to their starting digit and called these groups *classes*. We showed that permutations in $\{D_n\}$ are equidistributed, meaning that all classes are equinumerous. This is also true for deranged permutations (see [2]).

Now we note that in general $\{d_n^{*k}\}$, which forbids permutations with circular k -successions, will have less permutations than $\{d_n^k\}$. However, if we look at individual classes starting with digits $1, 2, \dots, n$, we see that there will be classes in $\{d_n^k\}$ and $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ that have the same number of elements, hence they are the same.

Indeed, since forbidden substrings in $\{d_n^k\}$ run strictly over the main diagonal, there will be substrings $j(j+k)$ where $(j+k) \neq i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, hence $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ will not have circular k -successions starting with $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence classes in $\{d_n^k\}$ and $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ starting with these digits will be the same, and classes starting

with $i = k + 1, \dots, n$ will be smaller in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ than in $\{d_n^k\}$. Hence a total of k classes will be the same in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ and in $\{d_n^k\}$, and $n - k$ classes will be smaller in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ than in $\{d_n^k\}$, where $n - k$ is the number of forbidden substrings in $\{d_n^k\}$ and $\{d_n^{*k}\}$.

For example, for $n = 4$, $k = 3$, the forbidden substring in $\{d_4^3\}$ is $\{14\}$, so there won't be permutations in $\{d_4^{*3}\}$ ending in 1 and starting with 4, since they would represent circular 3-successions, which are forbidden. Hence the class of permutations starting with 4 is smaller in $\{d_4^{*3}\}$ than in $\{d_4^3\}$ and the other classes starting with $i = 1, 2, 3$ are equal in both cases. In fact, the cardinalities of the four classes in $\{d_4^{*3}\}$ are $\{4, 4, 4, 4\}$, while the cardinalities of the four classes in $\{d_4^3\}$ are $\{4, 4, 4, 6\}$, the difference being accounted by the permutations 4231 and 4321 which are allowed in $\{d_4^3\}$ but not in $\{d_4^{*3}\}$.

Similarly, for $n = 6$, $k = 2$, forbidden substrings in $\{d_6^2\}$ are $\{13, 24, 35, 46\}$, so there will be forbidden circular 2-successions in $\{d_6^{*2}\}$ starting with 3, 4, 5, 6 but not with 1 and 2. Therefore, the classes in $\{d_6^2\}$ and in $\{d_6^{*2}\}$ starting with 1 and 2 will be the same, and the other classes will be smaller in $\{d_6^{*2}\}$ than in $\{d_6^2\}$. In fact, the cardinalities of the six classes in $\{d_6^2\}$ are $\{53, 53, 64, 64, 64, 64\}$, for a total of 362 permutations, while the cardinality of the classes in $\{d_6^{*2}\}$ is 53 in all six cases, for a total of 318. Hence there are 318 permutations in S_6 that have no circular 2-successions, *ie.* permutations that avoid substrings such as 13 in 213456 or in 345621.

From these examples one might suspect that permutations in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ are equidistributed (equinumerous), a conjecture which is confirmed in the next section.

2.1 Results for permutations in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$

Proposition 2.1. *For fixed k , $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, if d_n^{*k} denotes the number of permutations on $[n]$ that avoid circular k -successions, then $d_n^{*k} = nd_{n-1}^{k-1}$.*

Proof. Consider a class in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ where both $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ and $\{d_n^k\}$ have the same number of elements (there is at least one such class for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$), and denote the cardinality of such a class by c . Since a circular permutation is an n -to-1 mapping with respect to a linear one, we have that $d_n^{*k} = n \cdot c$. By inclusion-exclusion, it is straightforward to show that

$$c = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} (-1)^j \binom{n-k}{j} (n-j-1)! \quad (2.1)$$

since the combinatorial term counts the number of ways to get substrings of length j while the term $(n-j-1)!$ counts the $(n-j)!/(n-j)$ circular permutations of forbidden substrings of length j and the remaining elements.

Hence, by Equation (1.1), we see that the right-hand side of Equation (2.1) is just d_{n-1}^{k-1} and the proof follows. \square

For example, in $\{d_4^2\}$ we see that the forbidden substrings are $\{13, 24\}$. Recall from [3] that we define a minimal forbidden substring as two consecutive elements ik . We assign to this minimal substring a length equal to one. Recall also that a forbidden substring of length j can be considered as either a single substring of length j or as j overlapping substrings of length 1.

Hence for the term $j = 0$ in Equation 2.1 we see that we can choose no forbidden substrings in $\binom{2}{0}$ ways and we can permute them circularly with the remaining elements 1,2,3,4 in $4!/4$ ways. For the term $j = 1$ we can choose 1 forbidden substring in $\binom{2}{1}$ ways and we can permute it circularly with the remaining elements in $3!/3$ ways (for example, choose the substring 13 and permute the blocks 13, 2, 4 circularly in $3!/3$ ways). For the term $j = 2$ we can choose 2 forbidden substrings in $\binom{2}{2}$ ways and we can permute them circularly with the remaining elements in $2!/2$ ways (that is, permute the blocks 13, 24 circularly in 1! way). Then we have that $d_n^{*k} = n \cdot c = 4 \cdot 3$, so there are 12 permutations in S_4 that avoid substrings $\{13, 24\}$ in circular 2-successions (for example, permutations such as 1342 and 3421 are not allowed).

Note that even though permutations in $\{d_n^k\}$ are not equidistributed, we gain this property when we forbid circular k -successions in $\{d_n^k\}$, as recorded in the following corollary, which follows from Proposition 2.1, $d_n^{*k} = nd_{n-1}^{k-1}$.

Corollary 2.2. *The classes in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ are equidistributed (equinumerous) and the cardinality of each class is given by d_{n-1}^{k-1} .*

Note that the property of classes being equidistributed is not shared by all types of permutations with forbidden substrings. For example, $\{d_n\}$ and $\{d_n^k\}$ don't have this property, while $\{D_n\}$ and $\{D_n^k\}$ do.

Now we define c_n^{*k} as the number of circular permutations on $[n]$ (in cycle notation) that avoid substrings $j(j+k)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, *ie.* the same forbidden substrings as in $\{d_n^k\}$ and $\{d_n^{*k}\}$. We have the following corollary that counts such permutations.

Corollary 2.3. *For fixed k , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, the number of circular permutations c_n^{*k} on $[n]$ that avoid substrings $j(j+k)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-k$, is given by*

$$c_n^{*k} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} (-1)^j \binom{n-k}{j} (n-j-1)!. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. This is the number c from the proof of Proposition 2.1. Hence we may write $d_n^{*k} = nc_n^{*k}$, where $c_n^{*k} = d_{n-1}^{k-1}$. \square

For example, $c_5^{*2} = d_4^1 = 11$, so there are 11 circular permutations in $\{c_5^{*2}\}$ that avoid substrings $\{13, 24, 35\}$. Furthermore, there are $5 \cdot 11 = 55$ permutations in $\{d_5^{*2}\}$ that avoid such substrings in circular 2-successions.

Similarly, $c_4^{*2} = d_3^1 = 3$, so there are 3 circular permutations that avoid substrings $\{13, 24\}$. These are the permutations $\{(1234), (1423), (1432)\}$, and since $n = 4$, to each of these circular permutations correspond 4 permutations in $\{d_4^{*2}\}$ with no circular 2-succession (for example the ones for (1234) are $\{1234, 2341, 3412, 4123\}$).

Corollary 2.4. *The number of circular permutations on $[n]$ that avoid substrings $j(j+1)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, (ie. $k=1$) is given by*

$$c_n^* = \text{Der}(n-1), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\text{Der}(n)$ is the n th derangement number.

Proof. Since we have that

$$\text{Der}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n-j)! \quad (2.4)$$

and since Equation 2.2 holds for $k=1$, the result is immediate ($c_n^{*1} = c_n^*$). \square

For example, for $n=3$, $k=1$, the number of circular permutations that avoid substrings $\{12, 23\}$ is $\text{Der}(2) = 1$ and this circular permutation is (132), which corresponds to the 3 permutations $\{132, 213, 321\}$ in $\{d_3^{*1}\}$.

Tables 1 - 3 in the Appendix show some values for d_n^k , d_n^{*k} , and c_n^{*k} .

2.2 Results for permutations in $\{D_n^{*k}\}$

As in the case of D_n^k , the numbers D_n^{*k} are more difficult to get. As opposed to permutations in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$, permutations in $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ avoid circular k -successions starting with all digits since a total of n substrings are forbidden (as opposed to $n-k$ forbidden substrings in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$).

We first count the number of permutations in $\{D_n^*\}$, (ie. $k=1$). We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *The number of permutations in $\{D_n^*\}$ is given by*

$$D_n^* = n \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n-j-1)! + (-1)^n \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we first obtain the number of circular permutations without forbidden substrings. It is easy to see that the number of such permutations is given by

$$C = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n-j-1)! + (-1)^n \quad (2.6)$$

since the combinatorial term counts the number of ways to get forbidden substrings while the term $(n-j-1)!$ counts the $(n-j)/(n-j)$ circular permutations of forbidden substrings and the remaining elements. The result is then obtained by inclusion-exclusion, where for the term $j = n$, we note that the only way to get an n -element substring is by the circular permutation $(12 \dots n)$. \square

For example, the forbidden substrings in $\{D^*_4\}$ are $\{12, 23, 34, 41\}$. It is easy to count, for instance, that there are $\binom{4}{2}$ forbidden substrings of length $j = 2$ and $2!/2 = 1!$ circular permutations of these substrings and the remaining elements. For example, a substring of length 2 is given by 123 and we count $2!/2 = 1!$ circular permutation of the blocks 123, 4. Another substring of length 2 (alternatively, two substrings of length 1) is given by 12 34 and we also count $2!/2 = 1!$ circular permutation of these two blocks. Furthermore, the only way to get $j = 4$ forbidden substrings is by the circular permutation (1234) , which produces the forbidden substrings $\{12, 23, 34, 41\}$. Hence $C = 1$ by Equation 2.6 and by Equation 2.5 we have that $D^*_4 = 4$, which counts the permutations $\{1432, 2143, 3214, 4321\}$ in S_4 that have no circular succession, (*ie.* no circular 1-succession) and avoid substrings $\{12, 23, 34, 41\}$.

If we now move on to permutations without k -successions that avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod{n}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we see that as in the case of $\{D^*_n\}$, the number of permutations in $\{D^{*k}_n\}$ depends on whether n is prime, and more generally, on whether n and k are relatively prime. Since the proof follows closely the one for $\{D^*_n\}$, we refer the reader to [3] for the details.

We will only record the main counting result in the proposition below.

Proposition 2.6. *The number of permutations in $\{D^{*k}_n\}$ with n relative prime to k , $n \geq 3$, $k < n$, is the same as the number of permutations in $\{D^*_n\}$.*

The key result in the proof of the proposition is that, if $(n, k) = 1$, there are forbidden substrings of all lengths in $\{D^*_n\}$ (see [3]). But forbidden substrings are the same in $\{D^*_n\}$ and in $\{D^{*k}_n\}$, so in this case there will also exist forbidden substrings of all lengths in $\{D^{*k}_n\}$.

The proposition implies the following corollary:

Corollary 2.7. *The number of permutations in $\{D^{*k}_p\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, is the same as the number of permutations in $\{D^*_p\}$, p prime, $p \geq 3$.*

Proof. $(p, k) = 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$. □

Note that Proposition 2.6 is not true if n is not relative prime to k , for example in $\{D_4^{*2}\}$. In this case the forbidden substrings are $\{13, 24; 31, 42\}$, and we can't get substrings of lengths 3 or 4. On the other hand, since $(4, 3) = 1$, we see that forbidden substrings in $\{D_4^{*3}\}$ are $\{14; 21, 32, 43\}$, and we can get substrings of all lengths. For example, 2143 is a substring of length 3 (recall that the unit forbidden substring ik has length one, hence the length of forbidden substrings will be one less than the number of elements), and a substring of length 4 (alternatively, 4 substrings of length 1) is given by the circular permutation (1432). We then have that $D_4^* = 4$ by Equation 2.5 and hence $D_4^{*3} = 4$ by Proposition 2.6.

As in the case of $\{d_n^{*k}\}$, permutations in $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ are equidistributed, as established by the following corollary:

Corollary 2.8. *The classes in $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ are equidistributed (equinumerous) and the cardinality of each class is given by the term C in Equation 2.6.*

Proof. Equation 2.5 in Proposition 2.5. □

We now define C_n^{*k} as the number of circular permutations on $[n]$ (in cycle notation) that avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod n$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, *ie.* the same substrings as in $\{D_n^k\}$ and $\{D_n^{*k}\}$. We have the following corollary that counts such permutations for n and k relatively prime.

Corollary 2.9. *For $(n, k) = 1$, the number of circular permutations C_n^{*k} on $[n]$ that avoid substrings $j(j+k) \pmod n$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, is given by*

$$C_n^{*k} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} (n-j-1)! + (-1)^n. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. This is the number C from the proof of Proposition 2.5. Since forbidden substrings in $\{C_n^{*k}\}$ are the same as those in $\{D_n^{*k}\}$ and since $(n, k) = 1$, there are forbidden substrings of all lengths $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n$, so the sum in Equation 2.7 applies. □

For example, since $(4, 3) = 1$, we use Equation 2.7 to compute $C_4^{*3} = 1$, so there is only one circular permutation that avoids substrings $\{14; 21, 32, 43\}$. This circular permutation is (1234), which corresponds to the four permutations $\{1234, 2341, 3412, 4123\}$ in $\{D_4^{*3}\}$ with no circular 3-succession. Since $(4, 1) = 1$, we also have $C_4^{*1} = C_4^* = 1$ by Equation 2.7, and the circular permutation that avoids substrings $\{12, 23, 34; 41\}$ is (1432), which corresponds to the permutations $\{1432, 2143, 3214, 4321\}$ in $\{D_4^*\}$, as seen above.

We have that the *gcd* of n and k is very important to determine the number of permutations in $\{C_n^{*k}\}$. In fact, if for the same n we have that $(n, k_1) = (n, k_2)$,

then $\{C_n^{*k_1}\}$ and $\{C_n^{*k_2}\}$ will have the same number of permutations. The same is true for $\{D_n^{*k}\}$.

Note that usually $C_n^{*k} \neq c_n^{*k}$ except for the case $n = 3$ (and trivially for $n = 2$). Indeed, we have that $C_3^* = c_3^* = 1$ since both numbers count the permutation (132), and $C_3^{*2} = c_3^{*2} = 1$ since both numbers count the permutation (123). This in turn implies that $D_3^* = d_3^* = 3$ and $D_3^{*2} = d_3^{*2} = 3$.

As a final remark for the case $k = 1$ in circular permutations, recall that $c_n^{*1} = c_n^*$, and this counts the number of circular permutations that avoid substrings $j(j+1)$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$; *ie.* substrings $12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n$. By Corollary 2.4, $c_n^* = \text{Der}(n-1)$, so for example, for $n = 4$, we have that $c_4^* = \text{Der}(3) = 2$, and the 2 permutations that avoid such substrings are $\{(1324), (1432)\}$.

On the other hand, $C_n^{*1} = C_n^*$, and this counts the number of permutations that avoid substrings $j(j+1) \pmod{n}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$; *ie.* substrings $\{12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n, n1\}$. By Corollary 2.9, since $(4,1) = 1$, we have seen that $C_4^{*1} = 1$ and the single permutation that avoids such substrings is (1432) (the permutation (1324) from $\{c_4^*\}$ is excluded since it has the succession 41, which is forbidden in $\{C_4^*\}$).

Note that while in some references such as [1] C_n^* is referred to as “the number of circular permutations without a succession”, we also consider circular permutations without a succession in $\{c_n^*\}$ on the smaller subset of forbidden substrings $\{12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n\}$. Furthermore, the same reference counts circular permutations with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ successions (the so-called “circular succession numbers”) but it does it only for forbidden substrings that are one spacing apart, $j(j+k)$, $k = 1$.

For $k > 1$, this note generalizes the enumeration of circular permutations without k -successions (or k -shifts) for both kinds of forbidden substrings (above the diagonal of an $n \times n$ chessboard in $\{c_n^{*k}\}$ and both above and below the diagonal, *ie.* \pmod{n} in $\{C_n^{*k}\}$). It also enumerates the corresponding permutations in one-line notation in $\{d_n^{*k}\}$ and $\{D_n^{*k}\}$.

For further references, the sequence $\langle C_n^* \rangle$ is A000757 in OEIS [7], $\langle D_n^* \rangle$ is A167760 [8], $\langle d_n^* \rangle$ is A000240 [6], and $\langle c_n^* \rangle$ can be looked up in the derangement numbers A000166 [9] due to Corollary 2.4. Note that A000240 not only counts the number of permutations of $[n]$ having no circular succession, d_n^* , but also the number of permutations D_n on $[n]$ having no substring in $\{12, 23, \dots, (n-1)n, n1\}$, as well as the number of permutations of $[n]$ having exactly one fixed point (see [2]).

Tables 4 - 6 in the Appendix show some values for D_n^k , D_n^{*k} , and C_n^{*k} .

References

- [1] C. Charalambides, Enumerative Combinatorics, CRC Press, 2002.
- [2] E. Navarrete, Forbidden Patterns and the Alternating Derangement Sequence, arXiv:1610.01987 [math.CO], 2016.
- [3] E. Navarrete, Generalized K -Shift Forbidden Substrings in Permutations, arXiv:1610.06217 [math.CO], 2016.
- [4] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A277563.
- [5] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A000255.
- [6] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A000240.
- [7] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A000757.
- [8] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A167760.
- [9] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, sequence A000166.

APPENDIX

n	Der_n	d_n	d_n^2	d_n^3	d_n^4	d_n^5
1	0					
2	1	1				
3	2	3	4			
4	9	11	14	18		
5	44	53	64	78	96	
6	265	309	362	426	504	600
7	1,854	2,119	2,428	2,790	3,216	3,720
8	14,833	16,687	18,806	21,234	24,024	27,240

Table 1: Some values for d_n^k .

n	d_n^*	d_n^{*2}	d_n^{*3}	d_n^{*4}	d_n^{*5}	d_n^{*6}
1						
2	0					
3	3	3				
4	8	12	16			
5	45	55	70	90		
6	264	318	384	468	576	
7	1,855	2,163	2,534	2,982	3,528	4,200
8	14,832	16,952	19,424	22,320	25,728	29,760

Table 2: Some values for d_n^{*k} .

n	c_n^*	c_n^{*2}	c_n^{*3}	c_n^{*4}	c_n^{*5}	c_n^{*6}
1						
2	0					
3	1	1				
4	2	3	4			
5	9	11	14	18		
6	44	53	64	78	96	
7	265	309	362	426	504	600
8	1,854	2,119	2,428	2,790	3,216	3,720

Table 3: Some values for c_n^{*k} .

	$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$	$k = 5$	$k = 6$
$n = 2$	0					
$n = 3$	3	3				
$n = 4$	8	8	8			
$n = 5$	45	45	45	45		
$n = 6$	264	270	240	270	264	
$n = 7$	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855	1,855

Table 4: Some values for D_n^k .

	$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$	$k = 5$	$k = 6$
$n = 2$	0					
$n = 3$	3	3				
$n = 4$	4	8	4			
$n = 5$	40	40	40	40		
$n = 6$	216	234	192	234	216	
$n = 7$	1,603	1,603	1,603	1,603	1,603	1,603

Table 5: Some values for D_n^{*k} .

	$k = 1$	$k = 2$	$k = 3$	$k = 4$	$k = 5$	$k = 6$
$n = 2$	0					
$n = 3$	1	1				
$n = 4$	1	2	1			
$n = 5$	8	8	8	8		
$n = 6$	36	39	32	39	36	
$n = 7$	229	229	229	229	229	229

Table 6: Some values for C_n^{*k} .