

Algebraic structures associated to operads

Loïc Foissy

*Fédération de Recherche Mathématique du Nord Pas de Calais FR 2956
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville
Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale-Centre Universitaire de la Mi-Voix
50, rue Ferdinand Buisson, CS 80699, 62228 Calais Cedex, France*

Email: foissy@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr

Abstract

We study different algebraic structures associated to an operad and their relations: to any operad \mathbf{P} is attached a bialgebra, the monoid of characters of this bialgebra, the underlying pre-Lie algebra and its enveloping algebra; all of them can be explicitly described with the help of the operadic composition. non-commutative versions are also given.

We denote by \mathbf{b}_∞ the operad of \mathbf{b}_∞ algebras, describing all Hopf algebra structures on a symmetric coalgebra. If there exists an operad morphism from \mathbf{b}_∞ to \mathbf{P} , a pair (A, B) of cointeracting bialgebras is also constructed, that is to say: B is a bialgebra, and A is a graded Hopf algebra in the category of B -comodules. Most examples of such pairs (on oriented graphs, posets...) known in the literature are shown to be obtained from an operad; colored versions of these examples and other ones, based on Feynman graphs, are introduced and compared.

AMS classification. 18D50 16T05 16T30 81T18 06A11

Contents

1	Reminders on operads	10
1.1	Non- Σ operads and operads	10
1.2	Algebras over an operad	11
1.3	Operadic species	12
2	Infinitesimal structures on primitive elements	14
2.1	\mathbf{B}_∞ algebras	14
2.1.1	Definition and main property	14
2.1.2	2-associative algebras	17
2.1.3	Quotients of \mathbf{B}_∞	20
2.1.4	Brace modules	23
2.1.5	Dual construction	24
2.2	\mathbf{b}_∞ algebras	26
2.2.1	Definition and main property	26
2.2.2	Associative-commutative algebras	27
2.2.3	Quotients of \mathbf{b}_∞	28
2.2.4	From \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras to \mathbf{b}_∞ algebras	29
2.2.5	Dual construction	30
2.2.6	Associated groups and monoids	31
2.2.7	pre-Lie modules	33
3	Brace and pre-Lie structures on operads	35
3.1	Definition	35
3.2	Interacting bialgebras from operads	37
3.2.1	Bialgebras in interaction	37
3.2.2	Bialgebras in interaction from operads	38
3.2.3	First dual construction	42
3.2.4	Second dual construction	47
3.3	Associated groups and monoids	48
3.4	Set bases	53
4	Examples and applications	54
4.1	Operads Com , As and PreLie	54
4.1.1	The operads Com and As	54
4.1.2	The operad PreLie	57
4.2	Feynman Graphs	59
4.2.1	Oriented Feynman graphs	59
4.2.2	Lemmas on extraction-contraction	61
4.2.3	The operad of Feynman graphs	64
4.2.4	Suboperads and quotients	66
4.3	Oriented graphs, posets, finite topologies	68
4.3.1	Oriented graphs	68

4.3.2	Quasi-orders and orders	70
4.4	\mathbf{b}_∞ structures	73
4.4.1	Operad morphisms and associated products	73
4.4.2	Associated Hopf algebras	74
5	Summary	79

Introduction

Operads –a terminology due to May– appear in the 70’s [32, 40, 35, 3, 8], to study loop spaces in algebraic topology; see [27] for a historical review. They are now widely used in various contexts; our point here is to study certain constructions associated to an operad from a combinatorial Hopf-algebraic point of view. To a given operad \mathbf{P} , several objects are attached, such as monoids and groups, pre-Lie and brace algebras, bialgebras and Hopf algebras, or pairs of interacting or cointeracting Hopf algebras.

Let us precise the structures we obtain here. If \mathbf{P} is an operad, then:

1. the space $\mathbf{P} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}(n)$ is a brace algebra [19, 39] and a pre-Lie algebra [6, 7, 37]; in particular, its pre-Lie product is given by:

$$\forall p \in \mathbf{P}(n), q \in \mathbf{P}, p \bullet q = \sum_{i=1}^n p \circ_i q,$$

where \circ_i is the i -th partial composition of the operad \mathbf{P} . Moreover, the quotient of coinvariant $\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}$ is a pre-Lie (but generally not a brace) quotient of \mathbf{P} .

2. As observed in [5], this pre-Lie structure induces two monoid compositions \diamond and \diamond' on the space $\overline{\mathbf{P}} = \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}(n)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \in \mathbf{P}(n), q \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}, p \diamond' q &= q + p \circ (q, \dots, q), \\ p \diamond q &= q + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \circ (y, \dots, y), \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$x \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \circ (y, \dots, y) = x \circ \underbrace{(I, \dots, y, \dots, y, \dots, I)}_{\text{the } y\text{'s in position } i_1, \dots, i_k}.$$

These two monoids are isomorphic; in both cases, $\overline{\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}}$ is a monoid quotient.

3. Using the Guin-Oudom construction [37], the symmetric algebra $S(\mathbf{P})$ inherits a product $*$, making it a Hopf algebra with its usual product Δ ; similarly, using the brace structure, we obtain a dendriform Hopf algebra structure on $T(\mathbf{P})$ [39, 28, 14], with the deconcatenation coproduct Δ_{dec} , denoted by $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$. Moreover, considering the quotient $S(\text{coinv}\mathbf{P})$ of $S(\mathbf{P})$, we obtain a Hopf algebra $D_{\mathbf{P}}$, which is an explicit description of the enveloping algebra of the pre-Lie algebra $\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}$, and morphisms:

$$D_{\mathbf{P}} \longleftarrow (S(\mathbf{P}), *, \Delta)^{\text{c}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$$

Here are examples of products $*$. if $p_1 \in \mathbf{P}(n_1)$, $p_2 \in \mathbf{P}(n_2)$, $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{P}$:

- In $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 * q_1 &= p_1 q_1 + q_1 p_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} p_1 \circ_i q_1, \\
p_1 * q_1 q_2 &= p_1 q_1 q_2 + q_1 p_1 q_2 + q_1 q_2 p_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) q_2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} q_1 (p_1 \circ_i q_2) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} p \circ_{i,j} (q_1, q_2), \\
p_1 p_2 * q_1 &= p_1 p_2 q_1 + p_1 q_1 p_2 + q_1 p_1 p_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) p_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_2} p_1 (p_2 \circ_i q_1).
\end{aligned}$$

- In $S(\mathbf{P})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 * q_1 &= p_1 q_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} p_1 \circ_i q_1, \\
p_1 * q_1 q_2 &= p_1 q_1 q_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) q_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} q_1 (p_1 \circ_i q_2) + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n_1} p \circ_{i,j} (q_1, q_2), \\
p_1 p_2 * q_1 &= p_1 p_2 q_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) p_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_2} p_1 (p_2 \circ_i q_1).
\end{aligned}$$

These Hopf algebras are graded, but not connected, the elements of $\mathbf{P}(1)$, including the unit I of \mathbf{P} , being homogeneous of degree 0 in them.

4. With the help of a technical condition called 0-boundedness (definition 16), we can define a coproduct Δ_* on $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, in duality with $*$, making $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (T(\mathbf{P}^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*)$, where m_{conc} is the concatenation product, a bialgebra, generally not a Hopf algebra. By abelianization, one obtains bialgebras $(S(\mathbf{P}^*), m, \Delta_*)$ and $D_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (S((\text{coinv}\mathbf{P})^*), m, \Delta_*)$, respectively in duality with $S(\mathbf{P})$ and $D_{\mathbf{P}}$, with morphisms:

$$D_{\mathbf{P}}^* \hookrightarrow S(\mathbf{P}^*) \longleftarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$$

Moreover, $(\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}, \diamond)$ and (\mathbf{P}, \diamond) are the monoids of characters of respectively $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ and $S(\mathbf{P}^*)$.

5. Replacing \mathbf{P} by its augmentation ideal \mathbf{P}_+ , we obtain similar objects:

- Monoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & (\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond) \\
& \nearrow & \searrow \\
(\overline{\mathbf{P}_+}, \diamond) & & (\overline{\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}}, \diamond) = M_{\mathbf{P}}^D \\
& \searrow & \nearrow \\
& & (\overline{\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}_+}, \diamond) = G_{\mathbf{P}}^D
\end{array}$$

Moreover, $G_{\mathbf{P}}^D$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{P}_+}, \diamond)$ are groups.

- Graded and connected Hopf algebras $B_{\mathbf{P}}$, $S(\mathbf{P}_+)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$, and their graded dual:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{\mathbf{P}} \longleftarrow S(\mathbf{P}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}} & & D_{\mathbf{P}}^* \hookrightarrow S(\mathbf{P}^*) \longleftarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \\
\uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\
\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}} \longleftarrow S(\mathbf{P}_+) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}} & & B_{\mathbf{P}}^* \hookrightarrow S(\mathbf{P}_+) \longleftarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow
\end{array}$$


Let us now assume that there exists an operadic morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{P}}$ from the operad \mathbf{b}_{∞} , ruling Hopf-algebraic structures on symmetric coalgebras, to \mathbf{P} . If this holds, for any vector space V , the free \mathbf{P} -algebra $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a \mathbf{b}_{∞} algebra, so the symmetric coalgebra $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is given a product \star , making it a graded, connected Hopf algebra. If V is one-dimensional, we shall simply write $A_{\mathbf{P}}$. We prove that $A_{\mathbf{P}}$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}$ -modules, that is to say its unit, product, counit, coproduct, are all morphisms of $D_{\mathbf{P}}$ -modules, for a certain action induced by the operadic composition. Dually, the graded dual $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ of $A_{\mathbf{P}}$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ -comodules, that is to say its unit, product, counit, coproduct, are all morphisms of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ -comodules. In terms of characters, this means that the monoid $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond)$ acts by group endomorphisms on the group of characters of $A_{\mathbf{P}}$. We shall say that $(A_{\mathbf{P}}, D_{\mathbf{P}})$ is a pair of interacting bialgebras, and $(A_{\mathbf{P}}^*, D_{\mathbf{P}}^*)$ is a pair of cointeracting bialgebras. Note that all these results admit colored versions, tensoring \mathbf{P} with the operad of morphisms from V to $V^{\otimes n}$, where V is a vector space.

Several pairs of cointeracting bialgebras are known in the literature, usually based on combinatorial objects, such as trees, posets, graphs, etc; the proofs of the cointeraction (and of the fact that they are indeed bialgebras) usually need combinatorial operations such as cuts, extractions, contractions... We here obtains algebraic proofs:

- For the pair of cointeracting bialgebras of rooted trees of [4], the operad to consider is **PreLie**, seen as a quotient of \mathbf{b}_{∞} , through the canonical projection $\theta_{\mathbf{PreLie}}$.
- For the posets or quasi-posets of [11], use the operad on quasi-posets of [12].
- For the oriented graphs of [33], use an operad on graphs here introduced.
- The example for Feynman graphs is here treated.

As all these constructions are functorial, one also obtains morphisms of pairs of (co)interacting bialgebras, relating quasi-posets, graphs, Feynman graphs, and sub-families such as posets, graphs without cycles, simple graphs, etc.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first, short, chapter, we give reminders on operads. The examples of associative algebras **As** and commutative, associative algebras **Com** are introduced. The second chapter is devoted to the study of the two operads \mathbf{B}_{∞} et \mathbf{b}_{∞} . For the reader's comfort, we give a complete proof of the bijection between, for any vector space V , the set of \mathbf{B}_{∞} structures on V , and the set of products $*$ on $T(V)$ making $(T(V), *, \Delta_{dec})$ a Hopf algebra (theorem 2). Two quotients of \mathbf{B}_{∞} are described, namely **Brace** (in which case the product $*$ comes from a dendriform Hopf algebra structure), and **As** (obtaining quasi-shuffle Hopf algebras). The quite complicated operad \mathbf{B}_{∞} is shown to be isomorphic to a suboperad of the simpler **2As**, proving again Loday and Ronco's rigidity result [29, 30]. A dual construction is also given, needing the technical condition of 0-boundedness (definition 16). Cocommutative versions of these results are given, replacing $T(V)$ by $S(V)$, \mathbf{B}_{∞} by \mathbf{b}_{∞} , and **2As** by **AsCom**; the operad **Brace** is replaced by **PreLie**, obtaining a diagram of operads:



It is also proved that, if $(V, [, \cdot])$ is a 0-bounded \mathbf{b}_∞ , then its completion \overline{V} is given a non-bilinear product \diamond defined by $x \diamond y = [e^x, e^y]$, making it a monoid, isomorphic to the monoid of characters of the dual of $S(V)$ (theorem 31).

The next chapter applies these construction to operads. The brace algebra structure (hence, \mathbf{B}_∞ structure) on \mathbf{P} is defined in proposition 37; the implied pre-Lie product (hence, \mathbf{b}_∞ structure) in corollary 38; the associated dendriform products on $T(\mathbf{P})$ and associative product on $S(\mathbf{P})$ are given in proposition 40, giving two bialgebras and two Hopf algebras, namely $D_{\mathbf{P}}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$, $B_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$. If $\theta_{\mathbf{P}} : \mathbf{b}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ is an operadic morphism, then any \mathbf{P} -algebra A is also \mathbf{b}_∞ , which implies that $S(A)$ becomes a Hopf algebra with a product \star induced by the \mathbf{P} -algebra structure; in particular, if $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{PreLie}$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{PreLie}}$ is the canonical surjection, we obtain in this way the Oudom-Guin construction. Applied to the free \mathbf{P} -algebra in one variable $F_{\mathbf{P}}$, we prove that the graded, connected Hopf algebra $A_{\mathbf{P}} = S(F_{\mathbf{P}})$, with this product \star , is in interaction with $D_{\mathbf{P}}$. There are two ways to obtain a duality; firstly, dualizing the composition of \mathbf{P} gives bialgebras $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ (non-commutative) and $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ (commutative), such that $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ and $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ are in cointeraction (corollary 51). Secondly, using the 0-boundedness of \mathbf{P} , one obtains bialgebras $\mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{P}}$ (non-commutative) and $D'_{\mathbf{P}}$ (commutative), different but isomorphic to the preceding ones (proposition 52, corollary 53). Consequently, we obtain a monoid $M_{\mathbf{P}}^D$ of characters of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$, which can be described with the product \diamond of chapter 2, acting on the completion of $F_{\mathbf{P}}$; it is shown that this is the monoid of formal endomorphisms of $F_{\mathbf{P}}$ (proposition 58), that is to say a Faà di Bruno-like monoid.

Examples are treated in the last chapter. We start with \mathbf{Com} and \mathbf{As} , getting back Faà di Bruno bialgebras of commutative or non-commutative formal diffeomorphisms coacting on $\mathbb{K}[X]$. For the operad \mathbf{PreLie} , we get back the two cointeracting bialgebras of rooted trees of [4], the first one being the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra, the second one being given by an extraction-contraction process. We then treat the case of Feynman graphs (definition 60), and give them two operadic compositions, \circ and ∇ (theorem 67). It contains a suboperad of Feynman graphs without oriented cycles and a suboperad of simple Feynman graphs; forgetting the external structure, we obtain operads on various families of graphs; considering Hasse graphs, we obtain operads on quasi-posets (or equivalently, finite topologies) and posets, which were described in [12]. Consequently, we obtain pairs of interacting bialgebras on these objects; for certain families of graphs, this was done in [33]; for quasi-posets, in [11, 16]. The functoriality also gives morphisms between these objects. The last chapter is a summary of the different objects attached to an operad used in the paper.

Notations

- For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we put $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, $[0] = \emptyset$.
- \mathbb{K} is a commutative field of characteristic zero. All objects (vector spaces, algebras, coalgebras, operad...) in this text are taken over \mathbb{K} .
- Let V be a vector space.
 - We denote by $T(V)$ the tensor algebra of V , that is to say:

$$T(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes n}.$$

It is given an algebra structure with the concatenation product m_{conc} , and a coalgebra structure with the deconcatenation coproduct Δ_{dec} :

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in V, \Delta_{dec}(x_1 \dots x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n x_1 \dots x_i \otimes x_{i+1} \dots x_n.$$

The shuffle product \sqcup makes $(T(V), \Delta_{dec})$ a commutative Hopf algebra. For example, if $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \sqcup x_2 &= x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1, \\ x_1 \sqcup x_2 x_3 &= x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3 x_1, \\ x_1 x_2 \sqcup x_3 x_4 &= x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_3 x_2 x_4 + x_1 x_3 x_4 x_2 + x_3 x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_3 x_1 x_4 x_2 + x_3 x_4 x_1 x_2. \end{aligned}$$

The augmentation ideal of $T(V)$ is denoted by $T_+(V)$.

- We denote by $S(V)$ the symmetric algebra of V , with its usual product. It is a Hopf algebra, with the coproduct Δ defined by:

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in V, \Delta(x_1 \dots x_k) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} \prod_{i \in I} x_i \otimes \prod_{i \notin I} x_i.$$

The augmentation ideal of $S(V)$ is denoted by $S_+(V)$.

- Let $(\mathbf{P}(n))_{n \geq 0}$ be a family of vector spaces. We denote:

$$\mathbf{P} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}(n), \quad \bar{\mathbf{P}} = \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}(n).$$

The family $(\mathbf{P}_+(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is defined by $\mathbf{P}_+(n) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(n) & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ (0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

- Recall that a \mathbb{S} -module is a family $(\mathbf{P}(n))_{n \geq 0}$ such that for all $n \geq 0$, $\mathbf{P}(n)$ is a right \mathfrak{S}_n -module, where \mathfrak{S}_n is the n -th symmetric group. We denote:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coinv}\mathbf{P}(n) &= \frac{\mathbf{P}(n)}{\text{Vect}(p - p^\sigma \mid p \in \mathbf{P}(n), \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n)}, \\ \text{inv}\mathbf{P}(n) &= \{p \in \mathbf{P}(n) \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n, p^\sigma = p\}. \end{aligned}$$

both $(\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}(n))_{n \geq 0}$ and $(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}(n))_{n \geq 0}$ are \mathfrak{S} -modules, with a trivial action of the symmetric groups.

Chapter 1

Reminders on operads

We here briefly recall the notions we shall use later on operads. We refer to [31, 34, 36, 41] for more details.

1.1 Non- Σ operads and operads

A non- Σ operad \mathbf{P} is a family $(\mathbf{P}(n))_{n \geq 0}$ of vector spaces with, for all $n, k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0$, a composition \circ :

$$\circ : \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(n) \otimes \mathbf{P}(k_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{P}(k_n) & \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(k_1 + \dots + k_n) \\ p \otimes p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n & \longrightarrow p \circ (p_1, \dots, p_n), \end{cases}$$

such that for all $n, k_1, \dots, k_n, l_{1,1}, \dots, l_{n,k_n} \geq 0$, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $p_i \in \mathbf{P}(k_i)$, $p_{i,j} \in \mathbf{P}(l_{i,j})$:

$$(p \circ (p_1, \dots, p_n)) \circ (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{n,k_n}) = p \circ (p_1 \circ (p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{1,k_1}), \dots, p_n \circ (p_{n,1}, \dots, p_{n,k_n})).$$

There exists an element $I \in \mathbf{P}(1)$, called the unit of \mathbf{P} , such that for all $n \geq 1$, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$:

$$I \circ p = p, \quad p \circ (I, \dots, I) = p.$$

An operad is a non- Σ operad \mathbf{P} and a \mathbb{S} -module; there is a compatibility between the action of the symmetric groups and the composition we won't detail here. We just mention that for all $n, k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0$, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $p_i \in \mathbf{P}(k_i)$, for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{k_i}$, there exists a particular $\sigma' \in \mathfrak{S}_{k_1 + \dots + k_n}$ such that:

$$p^\sigma \circ (p_1^{\sigma_1}, \dots, p_n^{\sigma_n}) = (p \circ (p_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}))^{\sigma'}.$$

Notations. Let \mathbf{P} be a non- Σ operad, $n \geq 1$, $i_1, \dots, i_k \in [n]$, all distinct, $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbf{P}$. We put:

$$p \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (p_1, \dots, p_k) = p \circ (p'_1, \dots, p'_k), \quad \text{where } p'_j = \begin{cases} p_j & \text{if } j = i_l, \\ I & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For example, if $p \in \mathbf{P}(3)$, $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbf{P}$:

$$\begin{aligned} p \circ_1 p_1 &= p \circ (p_1, I, I), & p \circ_3 p_1 &= p \circ (I, I, p_1), \\ p \circ_{1,2} (p_1, p_2) &= p \circ (p_1, p_2, I), & p \circ_{2,1} (p_1, p_2) &= p \circ (p_2, p_1, I), \\ p \circ_{1,3} (p_1, p_2) &= p \circ (p_1, I, p_2), & p \circ_{3,1} (p_1, p_2) &= p \circ (p_2, I, p_1), \\ p \circ_{1,2,3} (p_1, p_2, p_3) &= p \circ (p_1, p_2, p_3), & p \circ_{2,3,1} (p_1, p_2, p_3) &= p \circ (p_3, p_1, p_2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. We shall here only consider operads \mathbf{P} such that $\mathbf{P}(0) = (0)$.

1.2 Algebras over an operad

Let V be a vector space. Let us recall the construction of the operad \mathbf{L}_V of multilinear endomorphisms of V :

- For all $n \geq 0$, $\mathbf{L}_V(n)$ is the space of linear maps from $V^{\otimes n}$ to V .
- The operadic composition is defined by:

$$\forall f \in \mathbf{L}_V(n), f_i \in \mathbf{L}_V(k_i), f \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) = f \circ (f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) \in \mathbf{L}_V(k_1 + \dots + k_n).$$

The unit is Id_V .

- The action of \mathfrak{S}_n on $\mathbf{L}_V(n)$ is defined by:

$$f^\sigma(x_1 \dots x_n) = f(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}).$$

Let \mathbf{P} be an operad. An algebra over \mathbf{P} is a pair (V, ρ) , where V is vector space and $\rho : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_V$ is an operad morphism. In other words, for all $n \geq 1$, there exists a map:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow V \\ p \otimes v_1 \dots v_n & \longrightarrow p.(v_1 \dots v_n) = \rho(p)(v_1 \dots v_n), \end{cases}$$

such that:

- For all $v \in V$, $I.v = v$.
- For all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $p_i \in \mathbf{P}(k_i)$, $u_i \in V^{\otimes k_i}$, $p \circ (p_1, \dots, p_n).u_1 \dots u_n = p.((p_1.u_1) \dots (p_n.u_n))$.
- For all $p \in \mathbf{P}_n$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$, $p^\sigma.(x_1 \dots x_n) = p.(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$.

Let \mathbf{P} be an operad and V be a vector space. The free \mathbf{P} -algebra generated by V is:

$$F_{\mathbf{P}}(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n},$$

where for all $n \geq 0$:

$$\mathbf{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n} = \frac{\mathbf{P}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n}}{\text{Vect}(p^\sigma \otimes x_1 \dots x_n - p \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) \mid p \in \mathbf{P}(n), x_1, \dots, x_n \in V}.$$

The \mathbf{P} -algebra structure is given by:

$$p.(\overline{p_1 \otimes u_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{p_n \otimes u_n}) = \overline{(p \circ (p_1, \dots, p_n)) \otimes (u_1 \dots u_n)}.$$

Examples.

1. The operad **Com** of commutative, associative algebras is generated by $m \in \mathbf{Com}(2)$ and the relations:

$$m^{(12)} = m, \quad m \circ_1 m = m \circ_2 m.$$

- Consequently, the **Com**-algebras are the commutative, associative (non necessarily unitary) algebras; for any vector space V , $F_{\mathbf{Com}}(V) = S_+(V)$.
- For all $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{Com}(n) = \text{Vect}(e_n)$, and for all $n, k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1$:

$$e_n \circ (e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_n}) = e_{k_1 + \dots + k_n}.$$

- For all $n \geq 1$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $e_n^\sigma = e_n$.

- For any associative, commutative algebra (V, \cdot) , for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$:

$$e_n \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n.$$

2. The operad \mathbf{As} of associative algebras is generated by $m \in \mathbf{As}(2)$ and the relation:

$$m \circ_1 m = m \circ_2 m.$$

- Consequently, the \mathbf{As} -algebras are the associative (non necessarily unitary) algebras; for any vector space V , $F_{\mathbf{As}}(V) = T_+(V)$.

- For all $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{As}(n) = Vect(\mathfrak{S}_n)$. Here are examples of compositions:

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \circ_1 (12) &= (123), & (12) \circ_1 (21) &= (213), & (12) \circ_2 (12) &= (123), & (12) \circ_2 (21) &= (132), \\ (21) \circ_1 (12) &= (312), & (21) \circ_1 (21) &= (321), & (21) \circ_2 (12) &= (231), & (21) \circ_2 (21) &= (321). \end{aligned}$$

- For any $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $\sigma^\tau = \sigma \circ \tau$.
- For any associative algebra (V, \cdot) , for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$,

$$\sigma \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}.$$

1.3 Operadic species

We shall often work with operadic species [36]. A linear species \mathbf{P} is a functor from the category of finite sets, with bijections as arrows, to the category of vector spaces. An operadic species is a species \mathbf{P} with compositions, defined for all non-empty finite sets A and B , and $a \in A$:

$$\circ_a : \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(A) \otimes \mathbf{P}(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{a\}) \\ p \otimes q & \longrightarrow & p \circ_a q, \end{cases}$$

such that:

- For all finite sets A, B, C , for all $a \neq b \in A$, $p \in \mathbf{P}(A)$, $q \in \mathbf{P}(B)$, $r \in \mathbf{P}(C)$:

$$(p \circ_a q) \circ_b r = (p \circ_b r) \circ_a q.$$

- For all finite sets A, B, C , for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $p \in \mathbf{P}(A)$, $q \in \mathbf{P}(B)$, $r \in \mathbf{P}(C)$:

$$(p \circ_a q) \circ_b r = p \circ_a (q \circ_b r).$$

- For all singleton $\{a\}$, there exists $I_a \in \mathbf{P}(\{a\})$ such that:

- For all finite set A , for all $a \in A$, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(A)$, $p \circ_a I_a = p$.
- For all singleton $\{a\}$, for all finite set A , for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(A)$, $I_a \circ_a p = p$.

Examples.

1. We take $\mathbf{Com}(A) = Vect(\{A\})$ for non-empty all finite set A . For all finite sets A, B , and $a \in A$:

$$\{A\} \circ_a \{B\} = \{A \sqcup B \setminus \{a\}\}.$$

For all singleton $\{a\}$, $I_a = \{a\}$.

2. For all non-empty finite set A , $\mathbf{As}(A)$ is the set of all words w with letters in A , such that any element $a \in A$ appears exactly one time in w . If $a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathbf{As}(A)$ and $a \in A$, there exists a unique $i \in [n]$ such that $a_i = a$; then:

$$a_1 \dots a_n \circ_a w = a_1 \dots a_{i-1} w a_{i+1} \dots a_n.$$

For any singleton $\{a\}$, $I_a = a$.

If \mathbf{P} is an operadic species, one deduces an operad, also denoted by \mathbf{P} , in the following way:

- For all $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{P}(n) = \mathbf{P}([n])$.
- For all $p \in \mathbf{P}(m)$, $q \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $i \in [n]$:

$$p \circ_i q = \mathbf{P}(\sigma_{m,n}^{(i)})(p \circ_i q),$$

with $\sigma_{m,n}^{(i)} : ([m] \setminus \{i\}) \sqcup [n] \longrightarrow [m+n-1]$ defined by:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \sigma_{m,n}^{(i)}(1) = 1, & \sigma_{m,n}^{(i)}(\dot{1}) = i, & \sigma_{m,n}^{(i)}(i+1) = n+i, \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{m,n}^{(i)}(i-1) = i-1, & \sigma_{m,n}^{(i)}(\dot{n}) = n+i-1, & \sigma_{m,n}^{(i)}(m) = m+n-1, \end{array}$$

where the elements of $[m]$ are denoted by $1, \dots, m$, the elements of $[n]$ by $\dot{1}, \dots, \dot{n}$.

- The unit is $I_1 \in \mathbf{P}(\{1\})$.
- For all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $p^\sigma = \mathbf{P}(\sigma^{-1})(p)$.

For example, the operad associated to the operadic species **Com** is the operad **Com**; the operad associated to the operadic species **As** is the operad **As**.

Chapter 2

Infinitesimal structures on primitive elements

Introduction

Let V be a vector space, m a product on $T(V)$ making $H = (T(V), m, \Delta_{dec})$ a bialgebra. Is it possible to obtain m from a structure on the space V of primitive elements of H ? The answer is positive, this is the \mathbf{B}_∞ structure (or multibrace, or LR, or Hirsch algebra structure [20, 19, 26, 30, 29]) on V . More precisely, there is a bijection between the sets of such products m and the set of \mathbf{B}_∞ structures on V (theorem 2). As proved in [30], the operad \mathbf{B}_∞ can be seen as a suboperad of the operad of 2-associative algebras (definition 5 and theorem 11; we here give a complete proof of these well-known results. We will also be interested in several particular cases of such products m : right-sided in the sense of [30], or two-sided, leading to quotients of the operad of \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras, such as the **Brace** operad (definition 12, [19, 39]) or the associative operad. In particular, if the \mathbf{B}_∞ structure on V is brace, then m can be split into a dendriform structure [39], which we here explicitly describe in theorem 13. We give a condition on a \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra to obtain a dual bialgebra, namely the 0-boundedness condition (definition 16 and 17).

There are cocommutative equivalents of these results, working on a symmetric coalgebras instead of tensor coalgebras; then \mathbf{B}_∞ structures are replaced by \mathbf{b}_∞ structures, and brace algebras by pre-Lie algebras in the second part of this chapter.

2.1 \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras

2.1.1 Definition and main property

Definition 1 *Let V be a vector space equipped with a map:*

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \begin{cases} T(V) \otimes T(V) & \longrightarrow V \\ x_1 \dots x_k \otimes y_1 \dots y_l & \longrightarrow \langle x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_l \rangle. \end{cases}$$

For all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, we put $\langle -, - \rangle_{k,l} = \langle -, - \rangle|_{V^{\otimes k} \otimes V^{\otimes l}}$. We shall say that A is a \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra if the following axioms are satisfied:

- For any $k \geq 0$, $\langle -, - \rangle_{0,k} = \langle -, - \rangle_{k,0} = \begin{cases} Id_V & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

- for any tensors $u, v, w \in T(V)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{lg(u)+lg(v)} \sum_{\substack{u=u_1 \dots u_i, \\ v=v_1 \dots v_i}} \langle \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \dots \langle u_i, v_i \rangle, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{lg(v)+lg(w)} \sum_{\substack{v=v_1 \dots v_i, \\ w=w_1 \dots w_i}} \langle u, \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \dots \langle v_i, w_i \rangle \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

The operad of \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras is denoted by \mathbf{B}_∞ .

Remark. If $i > lg(u) + lg(v)$, $u = u_1 \dots u_i$ and $v = v_1 \dots v_i$, there exists an index i such that $u_i = v_i = 1$, so $\langle u_i, v_i \rangle = 0$. Consequently, (2.1) can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{u=u_1 \dots u_i, \\ v=v_1 \dots v_i}} \langle \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \dots \langle u_i, v_i \rangle, w \rangle = \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{v=v_1 \dots v_i, \\ w=w_1 \dots w_i}} \langle u, \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \dots \langle v_i, w_i \rangle \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

A combinatorial description of free \mathbf{B}_∞ -algebras can be found in [17].

Theorem 2 *Let V be a vector space. Let $Bialg(V)$ be the set of products $*$ on $T(V)$, making $(T(V), *, \Delta_{dec})$ a bialgebra. Let $\mathbf{B}_\infty(V)$ be the set of \mathbf{B}_∞ structures on V . These two sets are in bijections, via the maps:*

$$\Phi_V : \begin{cases} B_\infty(V) & \longrightarrow Bialg(V) \\ \langle -, - \rangle & \longrightarrow * \text{ defined by } u * v = \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{u=u_1 \dots u_i, \\ v=v_1 \dots v_i}} \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \dots \langle u_i, v_i \rangle \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi_V : \begin{cases} Bialg(V) & \longrightarrow B_\infty(V) \\ * & \longrightarrow \langle -, - \rangle \text{ defined by } \langle u, v \rangle = \pi(u * v), \end{cases}$$

where π is the canonical projection on V .

Remark. If $* = \Phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$, (2.1) can be rewritten as:

$$\langle u, v * w \rangle = \langle u * v, w \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

The proof of this theorem will need the following two lemmas:

Lemma 3 *Let C be a connected coalgebra and let $\phi, \psi : C \rightarrow (T(V), \Delta_{dec})$ be two coalgebra morphisms. Then $\phi = \psi$ if, and only if, $\pi \circ \phi = \pi \circ \psi$.*

Proof. Let $(C_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the coradical filtration of C , and deg the associated degree; that is to say:

- C_0 is the coradical of C , that is to say the sum of simple subcoalgebras of C . As C is connected, it has a unique group-like element denoted by 1_C , and $C_0 = Vect(1_C)$.
- If $n \geq 1$, C_n is uniquely defined by:

$$C_n = \{x \in C \mid \Delta(x) \in C \otimes C_{n-1} + C_{n-1} \otimes C\}.$$

As the unique group-like element of $T(V)$ is 1, $\phi(1_C) = \psi(1_C) = 1$. For all $x \in Ker(\epsilon_C)$, we put $\tilde{\Delta}(x) = \Delta(x) - x \otimes 1_C - 1_C \otimes x$. Then $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a coassociative coproduct on $Ker(\epsilon_C)$ and for all $x \in Ker(\epsilon_C) \cap C_n$:

$$\tilde{\Delta}(x) \in C_{n-1} \otimes C_{n-1}.$$

We assume that $\pi \circ \phi = \pi \circ \psi$. Let us prove that for all $x \in C$, $\phi(x) = \psi(x)$, by induction on $n = deg(x)$. If $n = 0$, then $x = \lambda 1_C$ for a certain $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, so $\phi(x) = \psi(x) = \lambda 1$. Let us assume the result at all ranks $< n$. As $\phi(1_C) = \psi(1_C) = 1$, using the induction hypothesis:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{dec} \circ \phi(x) = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x) = (\psi \otimes \psi) \circ \tilde{\Delta}(x) = \tilde{\Delta}_{dec} \circ \psi(x),$$

so $\phi(x) - \psi(x) \in Ker(\tilde{\Delta}_{dec}) = V$. Hence, $\phi(x) - \psi(x) = \pi(\phi(x) - \psi(x)) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4 Let $\langle -, - \rangle : T(V) \otimes T(V) \rightarrow V$ be any linear map such that $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = 0$. The following map is a coalgebra morphism:

$$* : \begin{cases} T(V) \otimes T(V) & \longrightarrow T(V) \\ u \otimes v & \longrightarrow \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{u=u_1 \dots u_i, \\ v=v_1 \dots v_i}} \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \dots \langle u_i, v_i \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for all $u, v \in T(V)$, $\pi(u * v) = \langle u, v \rangle$.

Proof. Note that, as $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = 0$, for all $u, v \in T(V)$:

$$u * v = \sum_{i=1}^{lg(u)+lg(v)} \sum_{\substack{u=u_1 \dots u_i, \\ v=v_1 \dots v_i}} \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \dots \langle u_i, v_i \rangle,$$

so $u * v \in T(V)$. Let u, v be two tensors of $T(V)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{dec}(u * v) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{u=u_1 \dots u_i, \\ v=v_1 \dots v_i}} \sum_{p=0}^i \langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \dots \langle u_p, v_p \rangle \otimes \langle u_{p+1}, v_{p+1} \rangle \dots \langle u_i, v_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u=u^{(1)}u^{(2)}, \\ v=v^{(1)}v^{(2)}}} \sum_{i, j \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{u^{(1)}=u_1^{(1)} \dots u_i^{(1)}, \\ v^{(1)}=v_1^{(1)} \dots v_i^{(1)}, \\ u^{(2)}=u_1^{(2)} \dots u_j^{(2)}, \\ v^{(2)}=v_i^{(2)} \dots v_j^{(2)}}} \langle u_1^{(1)}, v_1^{(1)} \rangle \dots \langle u_i^{(1)}, v_i^{(1)} \rangle \otimes \langle u_1^{(2)}, v_1^{(2)} \rangle \dots \langle u_i^{(2)}, v_j^{(2)} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u=u^{(1)}u^{(2)}, \\ v=v^{(1)}v^{(2)}}} u^{(1)} * v^{(1)} \otimes u^{(2)} * v^{(2)} \\ &= \Delta_{dec}(u) * \Delta_{dec}(v). \end{aligned}$$

So $*$ is a coalgebra morphism. □

Proof. (Theorem 2). Let us first prove that Φ_V is well-defined. By lemma 4, the product $* = \Phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$ is indeed a coalgebra morphism. Moreover, by convention $1 * 1 = 1$, and for all $v = x_1 \dots x_k \in T(V)$, with $k \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 * v &= \langle 1, x_1 \rangle \dots \langle 1, x_k \rangle + 0 = x_1 \dots x_k = v, \\ v * 1 &= \langle x_1, 1 \rangle \dots \langle x_k, 1 \rangle + 0 = x_1 \dots x_k = v. \end{aligned}$$

So 1 is a unit for the product $*$. For all tensors $u, v \in T(V)$, if u or v is not a word of length 0, then $u * v$ is a sum of words of length ≥ 1 : this implies that $\epsilon(u * v) = \epsilon(u)\epsilon(v)$.

We consider the two following morphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} F : \begin{cases} T(V) \otimes T(V) \otimes T(V) & \longrightarrow T(V) \\ u \otimes v \otimes w & \longrightarrow (u * v) * w, \end{cases} \\ G : \begin{cases} T(V) \otimes T(V) \otimes T(V) & \longrightarrow T(V) \\ u \otimes v \otimes w & \longrightarrow u * (v * w). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As $*$ is a coalgebra morphism, F and G are coalgebra morphisms. Moreover, for all tensors $u, v, w \in T(V)$:

$$\pi \circ F(u \otimes v \otimes w) = \langle u * v, w \rangle, \quad \pi \circ G(u \otimes v \otimes w) = \langle u, v * w \rangle.$$

By (2.1), $\pi \circ F = \pi \circ G$. By lemma 3, $F = G$, so $*$ is associative. Finally, $*$ \in $Bialg(V)$.

Let us prove that Ψ_V is well-defined. Let $*$ \in $Bialg(V)$ and $\langle -, - \rangle = \pi \circ *$. The unit of $*$ is a group-like of $T(V)$, so is equal to 1. Hence, if $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$:

$$\langle 1, x_1 \dots x_k \rangle = \pi(1 * x_1 \dots x_k) = \pi(x_1 \dots x_k) = \delta_{1,k} x_1 \dots x_k,$$

so $\langle -, - \rangle_{0,k} = Id_V$ if $k = 1$ and 0 otherwise. The condition on $\langle -, - \rangle_{k,0}$ is proved similarly.

Let $u, v, w \in T(V)$. Then:

$$\langle u * v, w \rangle = \pi((u * v) * w) = \pi(u * (v * w)) = \langle u, v * w \rangle,$$

so $\langle -, - \rangle \in B_\infty(V)$.

Let $\langle -, - \rangle \in B_\infty(V)$ and let $*$ $=$ $\Phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$. By lemma 3, for all $u, v \in T(V)$, $\pi(u * v) = \langle u, v \rangle$, so $\Psi_V \circ \Phi_V = Id_{B_\infty(V)}$. Let $*$ \in $Bialg(V)$, $\langle -, - \rangle = \Psi_V(*)$ and $\star = \Phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$. Then $*$ and \star are both coalgebra morphisms from $T(V) \otimes T(V)$ to $T(V)$, and for all $u, v \in T(V)$:

$$\pi(u * v) = \langle u, v \rangle = \pi(u \star v).$$

By lemma 4, $*$ $=$ \star , so $\Phi_V \circ \Psi_V = Id_{Bialg(V)}$. □

Example. Let $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in V$.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 * y_1 &= x_1 y_1 + y_1 x_1 + \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle, \\ x_1 x_2 * y_1 &= x_1 x_2 y_1 + x_1 y_1 x_2 + y_1 x_1 x_2 + \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle x_2 + x_1 \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 x_2, y_1 \rangle, \\ x_1 * y_1 y_2 &= x_1 y_1 y_2 + y_1 x_1 y_2 + y_1 y_2 x_1 + \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle y_2 + y_1 \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle + \langle x_1, y_1 y_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks.

1. For any vector space, there exists a trivial \mathbf{B}_∞ structure on it, defined by:

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{k,l} = \begin{cases} Id & \text{if } (k,l) = (0,1) \text{ or } (1,0), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The associated product $\Phi_V(0)$ is the shuffle product \sqcup .

2. The coalgebra $(T(V), \Delta_{dec})$ is connected, so for any product $*$ \in $Bialg(V)$, $(T(V), *, \Delta_{dec})$ is a Hopf algebra.

2.1.2 2-associative algebras

Definition 5 A 2-associative algebra [30] is a family $(V, *, m)$, where V is a vector space, and $*$, m are both associative products on V . The operad of 2-associative algebras is denoted by $\mathbf{2As}$. It is generated by $*$ and m , both in $\mathbf{2As}(2)$, with the relations:

$$* \circ_1 * = * \circ_2 *, \quad m \circ_1 m = m \circ_2 m.$$

Lemma 6 1. Let A be a 2-associative algebra. The products m and $*$ on A are extended to $\mathbf{UA} = \mathbb{K} \oplus A$, making it a 2-associative algebra: for all $a, a' \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} a * 1 &= a, & 1 * a' &= a', \\ a 1 &= a, & 1 a' &= a'. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let A and B be two 2-associative algebras. Then $A\overline{\otimes}B = (A \otimes \mathbb{K}) \oplus (\mathbb{K} \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes B)$ is a 2-associative algebra: if $a, a' \in A, b, b' \in B$,

$*$	$a' \otimes 1$	$1 \otimes b'$	$a' \otimes b'$
$a \otimes 1$	$a * a' \otimes 1$	$a \otimes b'$	$a * a' \otimes b'$
$1 \otimes b$	$a' \otimes b$	$1 \otimes b * b'$	$a' \otimes b * b'$
$a \otimes b$	$a * a' \otimes b$	$a \otimes b * b'$	$a * a' \otimes b * b'$

m	$a' \otimes 1$	$1 \otimes b'$	$a' \otimes b'$
$a \otimes 1$	$aa' \otimes 1$	$a \otimes b'$	$aa' \otimes b'$
$1 \otimes b$	0	$1 \otimes bb'$	0
$a \otimes b$	0	$a \otimes bb'$	0

Moreover, if A, B and C are three 2-associative algebras, then $(A\overline{\otimes}B)\overline{\otimes}C = A\overline{\otimes}(B\overline{\otimes}C)$.

Proof. Direct verifications. □

Definition 7 A 2-associative bialgebra is a family $(A, *, m, \Delta)$, where:

1. $(A, *, m)$ is a 2-associative algebra.
2. $\Delta : \mathbf{U}A \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}A \otimes \mathbf{U}A = (\mathbb{K} \otimes \mathbb{K}) \oplus (A\overline{\otimes}A) \equiv \mathbf{U}(A\overline{\otimes}A)$ is a coassociative, counitary coproduct, whose counit is the canonical projection on \mathbb{K} , and sending 1 to $1 \otimes 1$.
3. Δ is a morphism of 2-associative algebras.

Remark. Let A be a 2-associative bialgebra.

1. As the counit of $\mathbf{U}A$ is the canonical projection on \mathbb{K} , for all $x \in A$:

$$\Delta(a) = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a + a' \otimes a'', \text{ with } a' \otimes a'' \in A \otimes A.$$

2. For all $a, b \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a * b) &= \Delta(a) * \Delta(b), \\ \Delta(ab) &= (a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a + a' \otimes a'')(b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b + b' \otimes b'') \\ &= ab \otimes 1 + a \otimes b + ab' \otimes b'' + 1 \otimes ab + a' \otimes a''b \\ &= a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)}b + ab^{(1)} \otimes b^{(2)} - a \otimes b. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $(\mathbf{U}A, *, \Delta)$ is a bialgebra and $(\mathbf{U}A, m, \Delta)$ is an infinitesimal bialgebra [2, 29, 30].

- Definition 8**
1. If A is a 2-associative algebra, we denote by $\text{Prim}(A)$ the space of elements $a \in A$ such that $\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$.
 2. For all $n \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbf{Prim2As}(n)$ the space of elements $p \in \mathbf{2As}(n)$ such that for any 2-associative bialgebra A , for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Prim}(A)$, $p.(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Prim}(A)$.

Note that $\mathbf{Prim2As}$ is a suboperad of $\mathbf{2As}$.

Proposition 9 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be indeterminates, and V_n be the vector space generated by X_1, \dots, X_n . Recall that $F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n)$ is the free 2-associative algebra generated by V_n . Let Δ be the unique 2-associative algebra morphism such that:

$$\Delta : \begin{cases} \mathbf{U}F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{U}F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n) \otimes \mathbf{U}F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n) \\ X_i, i \in [n] & \longrightarrow & X_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i, \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \otimes 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $(F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n), *, m, \Delta)$ is a 2-associative bialgebra. Moreover, for all $n \geq 1$:

$$\mathbf{Prim2As}(n) = \{p \in \mathbf{2As}(n) \mid p.(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \text{Prim}(F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n))\}.$$

Proof. 1. We consider $(\Delta \otimes Id) \circ \Delta$ and $(Id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$. They are both morphisms of 2-associative algebras from $F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n)$ to $F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n)^{\otimes 3}$, sending X_i to $X_i \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes X_i$ for all i , so they are equal: Δ is coassociative. Consequently, $F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n)$ is indeed a 2-associative bialgebra.

2. \subseteq : immediate, as $F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n)$ is a 2-associative bialgebra and X_1, \dots, X_n are primitive elements of this bialgebra.

\supseteq : let $p \in \mathbf{2As}(n)$, such that $p.(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \text{Prim}(F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n))$. Let A be a 2-associative bialgebra and let a_1, \dots, a_n be primitive elements of A . By universal property, there exists a 2-associative algebra morphism $\phi : F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n) \rightarrow A$, sending X_i to a_i for all i . As a_i is primitive for all i , $\Delta \circ \phi(X_i) = (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta(X_i)$ for all i ; as moreover both $(\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta$ and $\Delta \circ \phi$ are 2-associative algebra morphisms from $F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n)$ to $A \otimes A$, they are equal, so ϕ is a 2-associative bialgebra morphism. As $p.(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is primitive, its image by ϕ also is:

$$\phi(p.(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = p.(\phi(X_1), \dots, \phi(X_n)) = p.(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Prim}(A).$$

So $p \in \mathbf{Prim2As}(n)$. □

Remark. The following map is injective:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{2As}(n) & \longrightarrow & F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n) \\ p & \longrightarrow & p.(X_1, \dots, X_n). \end{cases}$$

By restriction, the following map is injective:

$$\theta_{2As} : \begin{cases} \mathbf{Prim2As}(n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Prim}(F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V_n)) \\ p & \longrightarrow & p.(X_1, \dots, X_n). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 10 *Let $A = (A, *, m, \Delta)$ be a connected (as a coalgebra) 2-associative bialgebra.*

1. *The following map is a coalgebra morphism:*

$$\xi : \begin{cases} T(\text{Prim}(A)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{UA} \\ a_1 \dots a_k & \longrightarrow & a_1 \dots a_k. \end{cases}$$

From now on, we assume that $A = (T(V), \Delta_{dec})$ as a coalgebra, and that m is the concatenation product m_{conc} .

2. *For all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a unique $p_{k,l} \in \mathbf{Prim2As}(k+l)$, independent of A , such that for all $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_l \in \text{Prim}(A)$:*

$$\pi(a_1 \dots a_k * b_1 \dots b_l) = p_{k,l}.(a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_l).$$

Proof. 1. By the compatibility between Δ and m , an easy induction proves that for all $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \text{Prim}(A)$:

$$\Delta(a_1 \dots a_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_1 \dots a_i \otimes a_{i+1} \dots a_k.$$

So ξ is a coalgebra morphism. As $\xi|_{\text{Prim}(T(\text{Prim}(A)))} = \xi|_{\text{Prim}(A)} = Id_{\text{Prim}(A)}$ is injective, ξ is injective. Let us prove that ξ is surjective. Let (A_n) be the coradical filtration of \mathbf{UA} . We use the notations of the proof of lemma 4. For all $a \in A_n$, let us prove that $a \in \text{Im}(\xi)$ by induction on n . If $n = 0$, we can assume that a is the unique group-like of A . Then $a = \xi(1)$. Let us assume the result at all rank $< n$. We can suppose that $\epsilon(a) = 0$, that is to say $a \in A$. Then:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{dec}^{(n-1)}(a) = a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \in \text{Prim}(A)^{\otimes n},$$

so $\tilde{\Delta}_{dec}^{(n-1)}(a) = \tilde{\Delta}^{(n-1)}(a_1 \dots a_n)$. As a consequence, $a - a_1 \dots a_n \in A_{n-1}$. By the induction hypothesis, $a - a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Im}(\xi)$, so $a \in \text{Im}(\xi)$.

2. Let us prove the existence of $p_{k,l}$ by induction on $n = k + l$. Firstly, if $k = 0$,

$$\pi(1 * b_1 \dots b_l) = \pi(b_1 \dots b_l) = \begin{cases} b_1 & \text{if } l = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So we take $p_{0,l} = \delta_{l,1}I$. Similarly, we take $p_{k,0} = \delta_{k,1}I$. We now assume that $k, l \geq 1$. There is nothing more to prove if $n \leq 1$. Let us assume that $n \geq 2$. By lemma 4, if $a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_l \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \dots a_k * b_1 \dots b_l &= \sum_{i=2}^{k+l} \sum_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_k = u_1 \dots u_i, \\ b_1 \dots b_l = v_1 \dots v_i}} p_{lg(u_1),lg(v_1)} \cdot (u_1, v_1) \dots p_{lg(u_i),lg(v_i)} \cdot (u_i, v_i) \\ &\quad + \pi(a_1 \dots a_k * b_1 \dots b_l). \end{aligned}$$

So there exists $p_{k,l} \in \mathbf{2As}(k+l)$, such that $\pi(a_1 \dots a_k * b_1 \dots b_l) = p_{k,l} \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_l)$. By definition, $p_{k,l} \in \mathbf{Prim2As}$. By the injectivity of θ_{2As} , $p_{k,l}$ is unique. \square

Theorem 11 *The operad \mathbf{B}_∞ is isomorphic to the operad $\mathbf{Prim2As}$, through the morphism:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{B}_\infty & \longrightarrow \mathbf{Prim2As} \\ \langle -, - \rangle_{k,l} & \longrightarrow p_{k,l}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By theorem 2, for any connected 2-associative bialgebra A , $p_{k,l}$ defines a \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra structure on $\text{Prim}(A)$, which gives the existence of this morphism.

Let V be a space, $(W, \langle -, - \rangle)$ be a \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra and $f : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. By restriction, $F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V)$ contains $F_{\mathbf{Prim2As}}(V)$; by definition of $\mathbf{Prim2As}$, $F_{\mathbf{Prim2As}}(V) \subseteq \text{Prim}(F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V))$. If $* = \Phi_W(\langle -, - \rangle)$, $(T(W), *, m, \Delta)$ is a 2-associative algebra. There exists a unique 2-associative algebra morphism $F : F_{\mathbf{2As}}(V) \rightarrow T(W)$, such that $F(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in V$. By construction, for all $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(p_{k,l}(x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_l)) &= F \circ \pi(x_1 \dots x_k * y_1 \dots y_l) \\ &= \pi(f(x_1) \dots f(x_k) * f(y_1) \dots f(y_l)) \\ &= \langle f(x_1) \dots f(x_k), f(y_1) \dots f(y_l) \rangle_{k,l}. \end{aligned}$$

So the restriction of F to $F_{\mathbf{Prim2As}}(V)$ is a morphism of \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras taking its values in W . Finally, $F_{\mathbf{Prim2As}}(V)$ satisfies a universal property in the category of \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras: consequently, the operad morphism from \mathbf{B}_∞ to $\mathbf{Prim2As}$ is an isomorphism. \square

2.1.3 Quotients of \mathbf{B}_∞

Definition 12 [19, 39] *The operad **Brace** is the quotient of \mathbf{B}_∞ by the operadic ideal generated by the elements $\langle -, - \rangle_{k,l}$, $k \geq 2$, $l \geq 0$. Consequently, a brace algebra is a vector space V equipped with a map $\langle -, - \rangle : V \otimes T(V) \rightarrow V$, such that:*

- For all $x \in V$, $\langle x, 1 \rangle = x$.
- For all $x, y_1, \dots, y_k \in V$, for all tensor $w \in T(V)$:

$$\langle \langle x, y_1 \dots y_k \rangle, w \rangle = \sum_{w=w_1 \dots w_{2k+1}} \langle x, w_1 \langle y_1, w_2 \rangle w_3 \dots w_{2k-1} \langle y_k, w_{2k} \rangle w_{2k+1} \rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

Recall that a dendriform algebra is a family (V, \prec, \succ) , where V is a vector space, and \prec, \succ are bilinear products on V such that for any $x, y, z \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned}(x \prec y) \prec z &= x \prec (y \prec z + y \succ z), \\ (x \succ y) \prec z &= x \succ (y \prec z), \\ x \succ (y \succ z) &= (x \prec y + x \succ y) \succ z.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $* = \prec + \succ$ is associative.

Theorem 13 *Let V be a brace algebra. Then $T_+(V)$ is a dendriform Hopf algebra [28, 39, 14], with the deconcatenation coproduct and the products given in the following way: for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, for all $v = y_1 \dots y_l \in T(V)$, with $(k, l) \neq (0, 0)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 \dots x_k * v &= \sum_{v=v_1 \dots v_{2k+1}} v_1 \langle x_1, v_2 \rangle v_3 \dots v_{2k-1} \langle x_k, v_{2k} \rangle v_{2k+1}, \\ x_1 \dots x_k \prec v &= \sum_{v=v_1 \dots v_{2k}} \langle x_1, v_1 \rangle v_2 \dots v_{2k-2} \langle x_k, v_{2k-1} \rangle v_{2k}, \\ x_1 \dots x_k \succ v &= \sum_{\substack{v=v_1 \dots v_{2k+1}, \\ v_1 \neq 1}} v_1 \langle x_1, v_2 \rangle v_3 \dots v_{2k-1} \langle x_k, v_{2k} \rangle v_{2k+1}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. As brace algebras are also \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras, theorem 2 can be applied. The product $* = \Phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$ is given by the announced formula: we immediately obtain that $(T(V), *, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra.

Let $u, v, w \in T(V)$, u being a non-empty tensor. The formulas defining $*$ and \prec give, with Sweedler's notations:

$$\begin{aligned}u * v &= v^{(1)}(u \prec v^{(2)}), \\ (uv) * w &= (u * w^{(1)})(v \prec w^{(2)}), \\ (uv) \prec w &= (u \prec w^{(1)})(v \prec w^{(2)}).\end{aligned}$$

By subtraction, we also obtain that $(uv) \succ w = (u \succ w^{(1)})(v \prec w^{(2)})$. We consider:

$$A = \{u \in T(V) \mid \forall v, w \in T_+(V), (u \prec v) \prec w = u \prec (v * w)\}.$$

Firstly, $1 \in A$: indeed, for all $v, w \in T_+(V)$, $(1 \prec v) \prec w = 0 = 1 \prec (v * w)$. Let us assume that $u \in A$ and let $x \in V$. For all $v, w \in T_+(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned}(ux \prec v) \prec w &= ((u \prec v^{(1)})(x \prec v^{(2)})) \prec w \\ &= ((u \prec v^{(1)}) \prec w^{(1)})(x \prec v^{(2)}) \prec w^{(2)} \\ &= (u \prec (v^{(1)} * w^{(1)}))(\langle x, v^{(2)} \rangle v^{(3)} \prec w^{(2)}) \\ &= (u \prec (v^{(1)} * w^{(1)}))(\langle x, v^{(2)} \rangle \prec w^{(2)})(v^{(3)} \prec w^{(3)}) \\ &= (u \prec (v^{(1)} * w^{(1)}))(\langle x, v^{(2)} \rangle, w^{(2)})w^{(3)}(v^{(3)} \prec w^{(4)}) \\ &= (u \prec (v^{(1)} * w^{(1)}))\langle x, v^{(2)} * w^{(2)} \rangle (v^{(3)} * w^{(3)}) \\ &= (u \prec (v * w)^{(1)})\langle x, (v * w)^{(2)} \rangle (v * w)^{(3)} \\ &= (u \prec (v * w)^{(1)})(x \prec (v * w)^{(2)}) \\ &= (ux) \prec (v * w).\end{aligned}$$

So $ux \in A$. As a consequence, $A = T(V)$: for all $u, v, w \in T_+(V)$, $(u \prec v) \prec w = u \prec (v * w)$.

Let $u = x_1 \dots x_k \in T(V)$, with $k \geq 1$, $v \in T(V)$ and $y \in V$. Then:

$$\Delta_{dec}(yv) = yv^{(1)} \otimes v^{(2)} + 1 \otimes yv,$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned} u \succ yv &= u * yv - u \prec v \\ &= yv^{(1)} \langle x_1, v^{(2)} v^{(3)} \dots v^{(2k-1)} \rangle \langle x_k, v^{(2k)} \rangle v^{(2k+1)} \\ &\quad + \langle x_1, (yv)^{(1)} \rangle (yv)^{(2)} \dots (yv)^{(2k-2)} \langle x_k, (yv)^{(2k-1)} \rangle (yv)^{(2k)} \\ &\quad - \langle x_1, (yv)^{(1)} \rangle (yv)^{(2)} \dots (yv)^{(2k-2)} \langle x_k, (yv)^{(2k-1)} \rangle (yv)^{(2k)} \\ &= y \left(v^{(1)} \langle x_1, v^{(2)} v^{(3)} \dots v^{(2k-1)} \rangle \langle x_k, v^{(2k)} \rangle v^{(2k+1)} \right) \\ &= y(u * v). \end{aligned}$$

Let $B = \{w \in T(V) \mid \forall u, v \in T_+(V), (u * v) \succ w = u \succ (v \succ w)\}$. Firstly, $1 \in B$: indeed, if $u, v \in T_+(V)$, $(u * v) \succ 1 = 0 = u \succ (v \succ 1)$. Let $w \in B$ and $z \in V$. For all $u, v \in T_+(V)$:

$$(u * v) \succ zw = z((u * v) * w) = z(u * (v * w)) = u \succ (z(v * w)) = u \succ (v \succ (zw)).$$

So $zw \in B$: consequently, $B = T(V)$. For all $u, v, w \in T_+(V)$, $(u * v) \succ w = u \succ (v \succ w)$. So $(T_+(V), \prec, \succ)$ is a dendriform algebra.

Let us prove the axioms of a dendriform Hopf algebra, that is to say for all $u, v \in T_+(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{dec}(u \prec v) &= u \otimes v + u' \otimes u'' * v + u \prec v' \otimes v'' + u' \prec v \otimes u'' + u' \prec v' \otimes u'' * v'', \\ \tilde{\Delta}_{dec}(u \succ v) &= v \otimes u + v' \otimes u * v + u \succ v' \otimes v'' + u' \succ v \otimes u'' + u' \succ v' \otimes u'' * v''. \end{aligned}$$

As we already know that $(T(V), *, \Delta_{dec})$ is a bialgebra, it is enough to prove one of these two relations, say the second one. Let $y \in V$ and $u \in T_+(V)$.

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{dec}(u \succ y) = \tilde{\Delta}_{dec}(yu) = y \otimes u + yu' \otimes u'' = y \otimes u + u' \succ y \otimes u'',$$

which proves the relation for $v \in V$, as then $\tilde{\Delta}_{dec}(v) = 0$. If v is a tensor of length ≥ 2 , we write it as $v = yw$, with $y \in V$ and $w \in T_+(V)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{dec}(u \succ v) &= \tilde{\Delta}_{dec}(y(u * w)) \\ &= y \otimes u * w + yu \otimes w + yw \otimes u + y(u' * w) \otimes u'' \\ &\quad + yu' \otimes u'' * w + y(u * w') \otimes w'' + yw' \otimes u * w'' + y(u' * w') \otimes u'' * w'' \\ &= yw \otimes u + (y \otimes u * w + yw' \otimes u * w'') + (yu \otimes w + y(u * w') \otimes w'') \\ &\quad + y(u' * w) \otimes u'' + (yu' \otimes u'' * w + y(u' * w') \otimes u'' * w'') \\ &= yw \otimes u + (y \otimes u * w + yw' \otimes u * w'') + (u \succ y \otimes w + u \succ yw' \otimes w'') \\ &\quad + u' \succ yw \otimes u'' + (u' \succ y \otimes u'' * w + u' \succ yw' \otimes u'' * w'') \\ &= v \otimes u + v' \otimes u * v'' + u \succ v' \otimes v'' + u' \succ v \otimes u'' + u' \succ v' \otimes u'' * v''. \end{aligned}$$

So the second compatibility is verified. □

Remarks.

1. The products \prec and \succ can also be inductively defined: let $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \in V$, with

$k, l \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 \dots x_k \prec 1 &= x_1 \dots x_k, \\
1 \prec y_1 \dots y_l &= 0, \\
x_1 \dots x_k \prec y_1 \dots y_l &= \sum_{p=0}^l \langle x_1, y_1 \dots y_p \rangle (x_2 \dots x_k * y_{p+1} \dots y_l), \\
x_1 \dots x_k \succ 1 &= 0, \\
1 \succ y_1 \dots y_l &= y_1 \dots y_l, \\
x_1 \dots x_k \succ y_1 \dots y_l &= y_1 (x_1 \dots x_k * y_2 \dots y_l).
\end{aligned}$$

2. If $(V, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is brace, putting $* = \Phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$, (2.4) can be written in the following way:

$$\forall x \in V, u, v \in T(V), \langle \langle x, u \rangle, v \rangle = \langle x, u * v \rangle. \quad (2.5)$$

Proposition 14 *The quotient of \mathbf{B}_∞ by the operadic ideal generated by the elements $\langle -, - \rangle_{k,l}$, $k \geq 2$ or $l \geq 2$, is isomorphic to the operad \mathbf{As} of associative algebras.*

Proof. This quotient is generated by $\langle -, - \rangle_{1,1}$. The unique relation defining \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras which does not become trivial in this quotient is:

$$\forall x, y, z \in V, \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle = \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle.$$

So this quotient is indeed \mathbf{As} . □

Consequently, if (V, \cdot) is an associative algebra, it is also a \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra; $T(V)$ becomes a bialgebra with the product $* = \Phi_V(\cdot)$. For all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, v a word in $T(V)$:

$$x_1 \dots x_k * v = \sum_{\substack{v=v_1 \dots v_{2k+1}, \\ lg(v_2), \dots, lg(v_{2k}) \leq 1}} v_1 (x_1 \cdot v_2) v_3 \dots v_{2k-1} (x_k \cdot v_{2k}) v_{2k+1},$$

with the convention $x \cdot 1 = x$ for all $x \in V$. This is the quasi-shuffle product associated to \cdot [24, 18]. It is a dendriform algebra, with the following products:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 \dots x_k \prec v &= \sum_{\substack{v=v_1 \dots v_{2k}, \\ lg(v_1), \dots, lg(v_{2k-1}) \leq 1}} (x_1 \cdot v_1) v_2 \dots v_{2k-2} (x_k \cdot v_{2k-1}) v_{2k}, \\
x_1 \dots x_k \succ v &= \sum_{\substack{v=v_1 \dots v_{2k+1}, \\ v_1 \neq 1, lg(v_2), \dots, lg(v_{2k}) \leq 1}} v_1 (x_1 \cdot v_2) v_3 \dots v_{2k-1} (x_k \cdot v_{2k}) v_{2k+1},
\end{aligned}$$

2.1.4 Brace modules

Definition 15 *Let V be a brace algebra. A brace module over V is a vector space M with a map:*

$$\leftarrow: \begin{cases} M \otimes T(V) & \longrightarrow M \\ m \otimes x_1 \dots x_k & \longrightarrow m \leftarrow x_1 \dots x_k, \end{cases}$$

such that:

- For all $m \in M$, $m \leftarrow 1 = m$.
- For all $m \in M$, $y_1, \dots, y_k \in V$, for all tensor $w \in T(V)$:

$$(m \leftarrow y_1 \dots y_k) \leftarrow w = m \leftarrow \left(\sum_{w=w_1 \dots w_{2k+1}} w_1 \langle y_1, w_2 \rangle w_3 \dots w_{2k-1} \langle y_k, w_{2k} \rangle w_{2k+1} \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Remark. Let $*$ = $\Phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$. Then (2.6) can be rewritten as:

$$\forall m \in M, u, v \in T(V), (m \leftarrow u) \leftarrow v = m \leftarrow (u * v). \quad (2.7)$$

So a brace module over V is a (right) module over the algebra $(T(V), *)$.

Example. If V is a brace algebra, $(V, \langle -, - \rangle)$ is a brace module over itself.

2.1.5 Dual construction

Notation. Let V be a graded space, such that the homogeneous components of V are finite-dimensional. We denote by V^{\otimes} the dual of V . The graded dual of V is:

$$V^* = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n^* \subseteq V^{\otimes}.$$

If $V_0 = (0)$, then $S(V)$ and $T(V)$ are also graded spaces, and $S(V)^*$ is isomorphic to $S(V^*)$; $T(V)^*$ is isomorphic to $T(V^*)$.

Definition 16 Let V be a graded \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra. We shall say that V is 0-bounded if:

- For all $n \geq 0$, V_n is finite-dimensional.
- For all $m, n \geq 0$, there exists $B(m, n) \geq 0$ such that for all $p_1, \dots, p_k, q_1, \dots, q_l \geq 0$ with $p_1 + \dots + p_k = m$ and $q_1 + \dots + q_l = n$:

$$\sharp\{i \mid p_i = 0\} + \sharp\{j \mid q_j = 0\} > B(m, n) \implies \langle V_{p_1} \dots V_{p_k}, V_{q_1} \dots V_{q_l} \rangle = (0).$$

Examples.

1. If V is connected, that is to say if $V_0 = (0)$, then V is 0-bounded, with $B(m, n) = 0$ for all m, n .
2. If V is associative, then $\langle -, - \rangle_{k,l} = 0$ if $k \geq 2$ or $l \geq 2$. Consequently, V is 0-bounded, with $B(m, n) = 2$ for all m, n .

Let us assume that V is 0-bounded. We identify $T(V^*)$ with a subspace of $T(V)^*$ by the pairing $\ll -, - \gg'$ such that for all $x_1, \dots, x_l \in V$, $f_1, \dots, f_k \in V^*$:

$$\ll f_1 \dots f_k, x_1 \dots x_l \gg' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq l, \\ f_1(x_1) \dots f_k(x_k) & \text{if } k = l. \end{cases}$$

Note that for all $F, G \in T(V^*)$, $X, Y \in T(V)$:

$$\ll \Delta_{dec}(F), X \otimes Y \gg' = \ll F, XY \gg, \quad \ll F \otimes G, \Delta_{dec}(X) \gg' = \ll FG, X \gg.$$

Proposition 17 Let V be a 0-bounded \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra. We define a coproduct Δ'_* on $T(V^*)$ as the unique algebra morphism such that for all $f \in V^*$, for all $X, Y \in T(V)$,

$$\ll \Delta'_*(f), X \otimes Y \gg' = \ll f, \langle X, Y \rangle \gg'.$$

Then $(T(V^*), m_{conc}, \Delta'_*)$ is a graded bialgebra. Moreover, for all $F, G \in T(V^*)$, $X, Y \in T(V)$:

$$\ll \Delta'_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg' = \ll F, X * Y \gg', \quad \ll F \otimes G, \Delta_{dec}(Y) \gg' = \ll FG, X \gg'.$$

In other words, $\ll -, - \gg'$ is a Hopf pairing.

Proof. The \mathbf{B}_∞ bracket can be dualized into a map δ from V^* to $(T(V) \otimes T(V))^*$. Unfortunately, if $V_0 \neq (0)$, the homogeneous components of $T(V)$ are not finite-dimensional, so $T(V^*) \otimes T(V^*)$ is (identified to) a strict subspace of $(T(V) \otimes T(V))^*$. But, by the 0-bounded condition, for all $N \geq 0$:

$$\delta(V_N^*) \subseteq \sum_{m+n=N} \sum_{\substack{p_1+\dots+p_k=m, \\ q_1+\dots+q_l=n, \\ \#\{i|p_i=0\}+\#\{j|q_j=0\} \leq B(m,n)}} V_{p_1}^* \dots V_{p_k}^* \otimes V_{q_1}^* \dots V_{q_l}^*.$$

As this is a finite sum, $\delta(V_N^*) \subseteq T(V^*) \otimes T(V^*)$. We can define an algebra morphism Δ'_* from $T(V^*)$ to $T(V^*) \otimes T(V^*)$ by $\Delta'_*(f) = \delta(f)$ for all $f \in V^*$.

Let us consider:

$$A = \{F \in T(V^*) \mid \forall X, Y \in T(V), \ll \Delta'_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg' = \ll F, X * Y \gg' \}.$$

Firstly, $1 \in A$: for all $X, Y \in T(V)$,

$$\ll \Delta'_*(1), X \otimes Y \gg' = \ll 1 \otimes 1, X \otimes Y \gg' = \varepsilon(X)\varepsilon(Y) = \varepsilon(X * Y) = \ll 1, X * Y \gg'.$$

Let $F, G \in A$. For all $X, Y \in T(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \Delta'_*(FG), X \otimes Y \gg' &= \ll F_*^{(1)} G_*^{(1)} \otimes F_*^{(2)} G_*^{(2)}, X \otimes Y \gg' \\ &= \ll F_*^{(1)} \otimes G_*^{(1)} \otimes F_*^{(2)} \otimes G_*^{(2)}, X^{(1)} \otimes X^{(2)} \otimes Y^{(1)} \otimes Y^{(2)} \gg' \\ &= \ll F \otimes G, X^{(1)} * Y^{(1)} \otimes X^{(2)} * Y^{(2)} \gg' \\ &= \ll F \otimes G, (X * Y)^{(1)} \otimes (X * Y)^{(2)} \gg' \\ &= \ll FG, X * Y \gg'. \end{aligned}$$

So A is a subalgebra of $(T(V^*), m_{conc})$. In order to prove that $\ll -, - \gg'$ is a Hopf pairing, it is enough to prove that $V^* \subseteq A$. Let $f \in V^*$. For all $X, Y \in T(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \Delta'_*(f), X \otimes Y \gg' &= \ll f, \langle X, Y \rangle \gg' \\ &= \ll f, \pi(X * Y) \gg' \\ &= \ll f, X * Y \gg' + 0 \\ &= \ll f, X * Y \gg'. \end{aligned}$$

So $\ll -, - \gg'$ is a Hopf pairing.

Let $F \in T(V^*)$, $X, Y, Z \in T(V)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \ll (\Delta'_* \otimes Id) \circ \Delta'_*(F), X \otimes Y \otimes Z \gg' &= \ll F, (X * Y) * Z \gg' \\ &= \ll F, X * (Y * Z) \gg' \\ &= \ll (Id \otimes \Delta'_*) \circ \Delta'_*(F), X \otimes Y \otimes Z \gg'. \end{aligned}$$

As the pairing is non degenerate, Δ'_* is coassociative: $(T(V^*), m_{conc}, \Delta'_*)$ is a bialgebra. \square

Remark. If V is connected, then $(T(V^*), m_{conc}, \Delta'_*)$ is a graded, connected bialgebra, so is a Hopf algebra, isomorphic to the graded dual of $(T(V), *, \Delta_{dec})$.

Corollary 18 *Let V be a 0-bounded \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra.*

- $T(V_0^*)$ is a subbialgebra of $T(V^*)$.

- Let I_0 be the ideal of $T(V^*)$ generated by V_0^* . Then I_0 is a biideal of $T(V^*)$, and $T(V^*)/I_0$ is a graded, connected Hopf algebra, isomorphic to the graded dual of $T(V_+)$.

Proof. We already noticed that:

$$\delta(V_0^*) \subseteq \sum_{k+l \leq B(0,0)} V_0^{\otimes k} \otimes V_0^{\otimes l},$$

so $\Delta'_*(V_0^*) \subseteq T(V_0^*) \otimes T(V_0^*)$: $T(V_0^*)$ is a subbialgebra.

As V_+ is a \mathbf{B}_∞ subalgebra of V , $T(V_+)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $T(V)$: its orthogonal J is a biideal of $T(V^*)$. Moreover, if $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V_+$, for all $f \in V_0^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll f, x_1 \dots x_k \gg' &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq 1, \\ f(x_1) & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $I_0 \subseteq J$. Moreover, the following map is an algebra isomorphism:

$$\begin{cases} T(V_+^*) & \longrightarrow T(V^*)/I_0 \\ f_1 \dots f_k & \longrightarrow \overline{f_1 \dots f_k}. \end{cases}$$

For all $f_1, \dots, f_k \in V_+^*$, $x_1, \dots, x_l \in V_+$:

$$\ll \overline{f_1 \dots f_k}, y_1 \dots y_l \gg' = \ll f_1 \dots f_k, y_1 \dots y_l \gg' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq l, \\ f_1(x_1) \dots f_k(x_k) & \text{if } k = l. \end{cases}$$

So the pairing induced by $\ll -, - \gg'$ between $T(V^*)/I_0$ and $T(V_+)$ is non degenerate: therefore, $I_0 = J$. Finally, $T(V^*)/I_0$ is the graded dual of $(T(V_+), *, \Delta)$, so is a Hopf algebra. \square

2.2 \mathbf{b}_∞ algebras

2.2.1 Definition and main property

Definition 19 Let V be a vector space equipped with a map:

$$[-, -] : \begin{cases} S(V) \otimes S(V) & \longrightarrow V \\ x_1 \dots x_k \otimes y_1 \dots y_l & \longrightarrow [x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_l]. \end{cases}$$

For all k, l , let us put $[-, -]_{k,l} = [-, -]_{|S^k(V) \otimes S^l(V)}$. We shall say that V is a \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra if the following properties are satisfied:

- $[-, -]_{0,1} = [-, -]_{1,0} = \begin{cases} Id_V & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- We shall need the following notations: let $u = x_1 \dots x_k \in S^k(V)$ and $v = y_1 \dots y_l \in S^l(V)$. Let $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k+l\}$. We put $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q\}$, with

$$i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq k < j_1 < \dots < j_q.$$

We then put:

$$[u, v]_I = [x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_p}, y_{j_1} \dots y_{j_q}].$$

For all $u = x_1 \dots x_k \in S^k(V)$, $v = y_1 \dots y_l \in S^l(V)$, $w = z_1 \dots z_m \in S^m(V)$,

$$\sum_{\substack{\{I_1, \dots, I_p\} \\ \text{partition of } [l+m]}} [u, [v, w]_{I_1} \dots [v, w]_{I_p}] = \sum_{\substack{\{I_1, \dots, I_p\} \\ \text{partition of } [k+l]}} [[u, v]_{I_1} \dots [u, v]_{I_p}, w]. \quad (2.8)$$

The operad of \mathbf{b}_∞ algebras is denoted by \mathbf{b}_∞ .

Theorem 20 *Let V be a vector space. Let $\mathit{bialg}(V)$ be the set of products \star on $T(V)$, making $(S(V), \star, \Delta)$ a bialgebra. Let $b_\infty(V)$ be the set of \mathbf{b}_∞ structures on V . These two sets are in bijections, via the maps:*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_V : & \begin{cases} b_\infty(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathit{bialg}(V) \\ [-, -] & \longrightarrow & \star \text{ defined by } u \star v = \sum_{\substack{\{I_1, \dots, I_p\} \\ \text{partition of } [k+l]}} [u, v]_{I_1} \dots [u, v]_{I_p} \end{cases} \\ \psi_V : & \begin{cases} \mathit{bialg}(V) & \longrightarrow & b_\infty(V) \\ \star & \longrightarrow & [-, -] \text{ defined by } [u, v] = \pi(u \star v), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where π is the canonical projection on V .

The proof of the following theorem is similar to the proof of theorem 2. In particular, its proof uses the following lemma:

Lemma 21 *Let C be a connected coalgebra and let $\phi, \psi : C \longrightarrow S(V)$ be two coalgebra morphisms. Then $\phi = \psi$ if, and only if, $\pi \circ \phi = \pi \circ \psi$.*

Example. Let $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in V$.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \star y_1 &= x_1 y_1 + [x_1, y_1], \\ x_1 \star y_1 y_2 &= x_1 y_1 y_2 + [x_1, y_1] y_2 + [x_1, y_2] y_1 + [x_1, y_1 y_2] \\ x_1 x_2 \star y_1 y_2 &= x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 + [x_1, y_1] x_2 y_2 + [x_1, y_2] x_2 y_1 + [x_1, y_1 y_2] x_2 \\ &\quad + [x_2, y_1] x_1 y_2 + [x_2, y_2] x_1 y_1 + [x_2, y_1 y_2] x_1 \\ &\quad + [x_1 x_2, y_1] y_2 + [x_1 x_2, y_2] y_1 + [x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2] \\ &\quad + [x_1, y_1] [x_2, y_2] + [x_1, y_2] [x_2, y_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Remarks.

1. The coalgebra $(S(V), \Delta)$ is connected so, for any $\star \in \mathit{bialg}(V)$, $(S(V), \star, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra.
2. Any vector space V admits a trivial \mathbf{b}_∞ structure, defined by:

$$[-, -]_{k,l} = \begin{cases} Id_V & \text{if } (k, l) = (1, 0) \text{ or } (0, 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The associated product is the usual one of $S(V)$.

2.2.2 Associative-commutative algebras

Definition 22 *1. An associative-commutative algebra is a 2-associative algebra (A, \star, m) , such that m is commutative. The operad of associative-commutative algebras is denoted by \mathbf{AsCom} . It is generated by m and \star , both in $\mathbf{AsCom}(2)$, with the relations:*

$$m^{(12)} = m, \quad m \circ_1 m = m \circ_2 m, \quad \star \circ_1 \star = \star \circ_2 \star.$$

2. An associative-commutative algebra is a family (A, \star, m, Δ) , such that (A, \star, m) is an associative-commutative algebra, and both $(\mathbf{U}A, \star, \Delta)$ and $(\mathbf{U}A, m, \Delta)$ are bialgebras.

3. For all $n \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbf{PrimAsCom}(n)$ the subspace of elements $p \in \mathbf{AsCom}(n)$ such that for any associative-commutative bialgebra A :

$$\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in \text{Prim}(A), p.(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{Prim}(A).$$

This is a suboperad of \mathbf{AsCom} .

As for \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras:

Theorem 23 *The operads \mathbf{b}_∞ and $\mathbf{PrimAsCom}$ are isomorphic.*

2.2.3 Quotients of \mathbf{b}_∞

Recall that a (right) pre-Lie algebra –also called a right symmetric algebra or a Vinberg algebra– is a vector space V together with a bilinear product \bullet such that:

$$\forall x, y, z \in V, x \bullet (y \bullet z) - (x \bullet y) \bullet z = x \bullet (z \bullet y) - (x \bullet z) \bullet y.$$

Proposition 24 *The quotient of \mathbf{b}_∞ by the operadic ideal generated by $[-, -]_{k,l}$, $k \geq 2$, is isomorphic to the operad \mathbf{PreLie} , generated by $\bullet \in \mathbf{PreLie}(2)$ and the relation:*

$$\bullet \circ_1 \bullet - \bullet \circ_2 \bullet = (\bullet \circ_1 \bullet - \bullet \circ_2 \bullet)^{(23)}.$$

Proof. We denote by \mathbf{b}_∞' this quotient of \mathbf{b}_∞ . If V is a \mathbf{b}_∞' algebra and $x, y, z \in V$, in $S(V)$, $x \star y = xy + [x, y]$. So:

$$\begin{aligned} [[x, y], z] - [x, [y, z]] &= [x \star y, z] + [xy, z] - [x, y \star z] - [x, yz] \\ &= [x \star y, z] - [x, y \star z] - [x, yz] \\ &= [x, yz]. \end{aligned}$$

As $yz = zy$ in $S^2(V)$, $[-, -]_{1,1}$ is pre-Lie. We obtain an operad morphism:

$$\Phi : \begin{cases} \mathbf{PreLie} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{b}'_\infty \\ \bullet & \longrightarrow [-, -]_{1,1}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, in a \mathbf{b}_∞' algebra V , if $x, y_1, \dots, y_{k+1} \in V$:

$$y_1 \dots y_k \star y_{k+1} = y_1 \dots y_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^k y_1 \dots y_{i-1} [y_i, y_{k+1}] y_{i+1} \dots y_k,$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y_1 \dots y_{k+1}] &= [x, y_1 \dots y_k \star y_{k+1}] - \sum_{i=1}^k [x, y_1 \dots y_{i-1} [y_i, y_{k+1}] y_{i+1} \dots y_k] \\ &= [[x, y_1 \dots y_k], y_{k+1}] - \sum_{i=1}^k [x, y_1 \dots y_{i-1} [y_i, y_{k+1}] y_{i+1} \dots y_k]. \end{aligned}$$

An easy induction proves that \mathbf{b}'_∞ is generated by $[-, -]_{1,1}$, so Φ is surjective.

Let (V, \bullet) be a pre-Lie algebra. The Oudom-Guin construction [37] allows to construct a product \star on $S(V)$, making it a Hopf algebra, isomorphic to the enveloping algebra of V . So V is \mathbf{b}_∞ . Moreover, for all $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \in V$, with the notations of [37]:

$$\pi(x_1 \dots x_k \star y_1 \dots y_l) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq 1, \\ x_1 \bullet y_1 \dots y_l & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

So V is a b'_∞ -algebra: we obtain an operad morphism:

$$\phi' : \begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_\infty' & \longrightarrow \mathbf{PreLie} \\ [-, -]_{1,1} & \longrightarrow \bullet \end{cases}$$

Then $\Phi' \circ \Phi = Id_{\mathbf{PreLie}}$, so Φ is injective. \square

Remark. As noticed in the preceding proof, if (V, \bullet) is a pre-Lie algebra, $\star = \phi_V(\bullet)$ is the Oudom-Guin construction. In particular, its \mathbf{b}_∞ brackets can be inductively computed:

- For all $x \in V$, $[x, 1] = x \bullet 1 = x$.
- For all $x, y \in V$, $[x, y] = x \bullet y$.
- For all $x, x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$,

$$[x, x_1 \dots x_k] = [[x, x_1 \dots x_{k-1}], x_k] - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [x, x_1 \dots [x_i, x_k] \dots x_{k-1}].$$

$[x, x_1 \dots x_k]$ is denoted by $x \bullet x_1 \dots x_k$ in [37].

Corollary 25 *The quotient of \mathbf{b}_∞ by the operadic ideal generated by $[-, -]_{k,l}$, $k \geq 2$ or $l \geq 2$, is isomorphic to the operad \mathbf{As} .*

Proof. The antecedent by Φ of the element $[-, -]_{1,2}$ is $\bullet \circ_1 \bullet - \bullet \circ_2 \bullet$. So this quotient of \mathbf{b}_∞ is isomorphic to the quotient of \mathbf{PreLie} by the element $\bullet \circ_1 \bullet - \bullet \circ_2 \bullet$. This quotient is generated by the class m of \bullet and the relation $m \circ_1 m = m \circ_2 m$, so it is \mathbf{As} . \square

2.2.4 From \mathbf{B}_∞ algebras to \mathbf{b}_∞ algebras

Notations. We denote by $coS(V)$ the subalgebra of $(T(V), \sqcup)$ generated by V ; it is a Hopf subalgebra of $(T(V), \sqcup, \Delta_{dec})$. As the characteristic of the base field \mathbb{K} is zero, this Hopf algebra is isomorphic to $S(V)$ via the morphism:

$$\iota_V : \begin{cases} S(V) & \longrightarrow coS(V) \\ x_1 \dots x_k & \longrightarrow x_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup x_k \end{cases}$$

Lemma 26 1. $coS(V)$ is the greatest cocommutative subcoalgebra of $T(V)$.

2. Let $\ast \in \mathbf{Bialg}(V)$. The subalgebra of $(T(V), \ast)$ generated by V is $coS(V)$.

Proof. 1. $coS(V)$ is indeed a cocommutative coalgebra of $T(V)$. Let C be a cocommutative coalgebra of $T(V)$. The subalgebra C' generated for the shuffle product by C is then a cocommutative subbialgebra of $T(V)$. As $T(V)$ is connected as a coalgebra, C' also is: by the Cartier-Quillen-Milnor-Moore theorem, C' is generated by $Prim(C')$. As $Prim(C') \subseteq Prim(T(V)) = V$, $C \subseteq C' \subseteq coS(V)$.

2. As \ast is a coalgebra morphism and $coS(V)^{\otimes 2}$ is a cocommutative subcoalgebra of $T(V)^{\otimes 2}$, $coS(V) \ast coS(V)$ is a cocommutative subcoalgebra of $T(V)$. By the first point, it is included in $coS(V)$. So $coS(V)$ is a subalgebra of $(T(V), \ast)$, containing V . Denoting by A the subalgebra of $(T(V), \ast)$ generated by V , $A \subseteq coS(V)$. Moreover, for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, by theorem 2:

$$x_1 \ast \dots \ast x_k = x_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup x_k + \text{a sum of words of length } < k.$$

By a triangularity argument, $coS(V) \subseteq A$. \square

Let V be a \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra, and let $*$ = $\phi_V(\langle -, - \rangle)$. By the preceding lemma, $coS(V)$ is a subbialgebra of $(T(V), *, \Delta_{dec})$. Via ι_V , $S(V)$ is also a bialgebra, so V is a \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra. This structure is given by:


$$[x_1 \dots x_k, y_1 \dots y_l] = \langle x_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup x_k, y_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup y_l \rangle.$$

At the operadic level, we obtain:

Proposition 27 *There is an operad morphism Φ from \mathbf{b}_∞ to \mathbf{B}_∞ , such that:*

$$\forall k, l \geq 0, \Phi(\lfloor -, - \rfloor_{k,l}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_l} \langle -, - \rangle_{k,l}^{\sigma \otimes \tau}.$$

We finally obtain a commutative diagram of operads:



2.2.5 Dual construction

Definition 28 *Let V be a graded \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra. We shall say that V is 0-bounded if:*

- For all $n \geq 0$, V_n is finite-dimensional.
- For all $m, n \geq 0$, there exists $B(m, n) \geq 0$ such that:

$$k + l \geq B(m, n) \implies [S^k(V_0)S(V)_m, S^l(V_0)S(V)_n] = (0).$$

Examples.

1. If V is connected, that is to say if $V_0 = (0)$, then V is 0-bounded, with $B(m, n) = 0$ for all m, n .
2. If V is associative, then $\langle -, - \rangle_{k,l} = 0$ if $k \geq 2$ or $l \geq 2$. Consequently, V is 0-bounded, with $B(m, n) = 2$ for all m, n .
3. If V is a 0-bounded \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra, it is also a 0-bounded \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra.

Let us assume that V is 0-bounded. We identify $S(V^*)$ with a subspace of $S(V)^*$ by the pairing $\ll -, - \gg'$ induced by the pairing between V^* and V . More precisely, let us choose a basis $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ of V , made of homogeneous elements of V . The dual basis of V^* is denoted by $(f_i)_{i \in I}$. We shall need the following notations:

- We denote by Λ the set of sequences of positive integers $(\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$ whose support is finite.
- For all $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in I}$, we put:

$$x^\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} x_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad f^\alpha = \prod_{i \in I} f_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad \alpha! = \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i!$$

Then $(x^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a basis of $S(V)$, $(f^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a basis of $S(V^*)$, and the pairing is given by:

$$\langle\langle f^\alpha, x^\beta \rangle\rangle = \alpha! \delta_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

Note that for all $F, G \in S(V^*)$, $X, Y \in S(V)$:

$$\langle\langle \Delta(F), X \otimes Y \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle F, XY \rangle\rangle, \quad \langle\langle F \otimes G, \Delta(Y) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle FG, X \rangle\rangle.$$

Proposition 29 *Let V be a 0-bounded \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra. We define a coproduct Δ_* on $S(V^*)$ as the unique algebra morphism such that for all $f \in V^*$, for all $X, Y \in S(V)$,*

$$\langle\langle \Delta_*(f), X \otimes Y \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle f, [X, Y] \rangle\rangle.$$

Then $(S(V^*), \Delta_*)$ is a graded bialgebra. Moreover, for all $F, G \in S(V^*)$, $X, Y \in S(V)$:

$$\langle\langle \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle F, X * Y \rangle\rangle, \quad \langle\langle F \otimes G, \Delta(Y) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle FG, X \rangle\rangle.$$

In other words, $\langle\langle -, - \rangle\rangle$ is a Hopf pairing.

Proof. Similar to the proof of proposition 17. □

Remarks.

1. If V is a 0-bounded \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra, then $(S(V^*), m, \Delta_*)$ is the abelianization of the bialgebra $(T(V^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*)$.
2. If V is connected, then $(S(V^*), m, \Delta_*)$ is a graded, connected Hopf algebra, isomorphic to the graded dual of $(S(V), *, \Delta)$.

Corollary 30 *Let V be a 0-bounded \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra.*

- $S(V_0^*)$ is a subbialgebra of $S(V^*)$.
- Let J_0 be the ideal of $S(V^*)$ generated by the elements $f \in V_0^*$. Then J_0 is a biideal of $S(V^*)$, and $S(V^*)/J_0$ is a graded, connected Hopf algebra, isomorphic to the graded dual of $S(V_+)$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of corollary 18. □

Remark. If V is a 0-bounded \mathbf{B}_∞ algebra, then $(S(V^*), m, \Delta_*)$ is the abelianization of $(T(V^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*)$, whereas $S(V^*)/J_0$ is the abelianization of $T(V^*)/I_0$.

2.2.6 Associated groups and monoids

Theorem 31 *Let $(V, [-, -])$ be a 0-bounded \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra. Then \overline{V} is given a monoid structure with the product defined by:*

$$\forall x, y \in \overline{V}, x \diamond y = [e^x, e^y].$$

It is isomorphic to the monoid of characters of both $(S(V^), m, \Delta_*)$ and $(T(V^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*)$.*

Proof. As $S(V^*)$ is the abelianization of $T(V^*)$, these two bialgebras have the same monoid of characters. Let us determine the monoid of characters of $S(V^*)$. For all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$, we put:

$$[x^\alpha, y^\beta] = \sum_{i \in I} a_{\alpha, \beta}^{(i)} x_i.$$

If $j \in I$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \Delta_*(f_j), x^\alpha \otimes x^\beta \gg &= \ll f_j, x^\alpha * x^\beta \gg \\ &= \ll f_j, \pi(x^\alpha * x^\beta) \gg \\ &= \ll f_j, [x^\alpha, y^\beta] \gg \\ &= a_{\alpha, \beta}^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\Delta_*(f_j) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda} \frac{a_{\alpha, \beta}^{(j)}}{\alpha! \beta!} f^\alpha \otimes f^\beta.$$

The monoid of characters of $S(V^*)$ is identified, as a set, with the (complete) dual $(V^*)^{\otimes}$ of V^* , that is to say:

$$\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} V_n^* \right)^{\otimes} = \prod_{n \geq 1} V_n^{**} = \prod_{n \geq 1} V_n = \bar{V}.$$

The identification is through the map:

$$\varphi : \begin{cases} \bar{V} & \longrightarrow \text{Char}(S(V^*)) \\ x & \longrightarrow \varphi_x : \begin{cases} S(V^*) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ f^\alpha & \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \ll f_i, x \gg^{\alpha_i}. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Let $x = \sum \lambda_i x_i$ and $y = \sum \mu_i x_i \in \bar{V}$. For all $j \in I$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_x * \varphi_y(f_j) &= (\varphi_x \otimes \varphi_y) \circ \Delta_*(f_j) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda} \frac{a_{\alpha, \beta}^{(j)}}{\alpha! \beta!} \varphi_x(f^\alpha) \varphi_y(f^\beta) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda} \frac{a_{\alpha, \beta}^{(j)}}{\alpha! \beta!} \prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i^{\beta_i}; \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{[e^x, e^y]}(f_j) &= \ll f_j, [e^x, e^y] \gg \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda} \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\lambda_i^{\alpha_i} \mu_i^{\beta_i}}{\alpha_i! \beta_i!} \ll f_j, [x^\alpha, y^\beta] \gg \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda} \frac{a_{\alpha, \beta}^{(j)}}{\alpha! \beta!} \prod_{i \in I} \lambda_i^{\alpha_i} \prod_{i \in I} \mu_i^{\beta_i}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\varphi_x * \varphi_y$ and $\varphi_{[e^x, e^y]}$ are characters which coincide on V^* , they are equal. Through the bijection φ , we obtain the monoid structure on \bar{V} . \square

Remark. If V is connected, then $S(V^*)$ is a Hopf algebra, and in this case (\bar{V}, \diamond) is a group.

Corollary 32 1. Let (V, \bullet) be a 0-bounded pre-Lie algebra. Then \bar{V} is a monoid, with the product defined by:

$$\forall x, y \in \bar{V}, x \diamond y = y + x \bullet e^y.$$

2. Let (V, \cdot) be a graded associative algebra. Then \bar{V} is a monoid, with the product defined by:

$$\forall x, y \in \bar{V}, x \diamond y = x + y + x \cdot y.$$

Proof. 1. Here, $[-, -]_{k, l} = 0$ if $k \geq 2$. So:

$$x \diamond y = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} [x^k, e^y] = [1, e^y] + [x, e^y] = \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{1}{l!} [1, y^l] + x \bullet e^y = y + x \bullet e^y.$$

2. Here, $[-, -]_{k,l} = 0$, except for $(k, l) = (0, 1)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$. Hence:

$$x \diamond y = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!l!} [x^k, y^l]_{k,l} = [x, 1] + [1, y] + [x, y] = x + y + x \cdot y.$$

Note that, \overline{V} can be identified with the monoid of elements of the associative, unitary algebra $\mathbb{K} \oplus \overline{V}$, whose constant terms are equal to 1. \square

Using the graduation:

Corollary 33 *Let V be a 0-bounded \mathbf{b}_∞ algebra.*

1. *Then V_0 and \overline{V}_+ are submonoids of (\overline{V}, \diamond) . Moreover, $(\overline{V}_+, \diamond)$ is a group, isomorphic to the group of characters of both $(S(V_+^*), m, \Delta_*)$ and $(T(V_+^*), m, \Delta_*)$.*
2. *Let $x = x_0 + x_+ \in \overline{V}$, with $x_0 \in V_0$ and $x_+ \in \overline{V}_+$. Then x is a unit of \overline{V} if, and only if, x_0 is a unit in V_0 .*

Proof. 1. Immediate.

2. \implies . The canonical projection on V_0 is a monoid morphism from \overline{V} to V_0 , which implies the first point.

\impliedby . Let y_0 be the inverse of x_0 in V_0 . We put $y = x \diamond y_0$. Then:

$$y_0 = ([e^x, e^{y_0}])_0 = [e^{x_0}, e^{y_0}] = x_0 \diamond y_0 = 0.$$

So $y \in \overline{V}_+$, so is a unit of \overline{V} ; by composition, x is a unit of \overline{V} . \square

2.2.7 pre-Lie modules

Definition 34 *Let (V, \bullet) be a pre-Lie algebra. A pre-Lie module over V is a vector space M , with a map:*

$$\leftarrow: \begin{cases} M \otimes V & \longrightarrow M \\ m \otimes x & \longrightarrow m \leftarrow x, \end{cases}$$

such that for all $m \in M$, $x_1, x_2 \in V$:

$$(m \leftarrow a_1) \leftarrow a_2 - (m \leftarrow a_2) \leftarrow a_1 = m \leftarrow (a_1 \bullet a_2 - a_2 \bullet a_1).$$

Example. If V is a pre-Lie algebra, (V, \bullet) is a pre-Lie module over itself.

Remarks.

1. A pre-Lie module over V is a module over the Lie algebra associated to V , so is a module over the Hopf algebra $(S(V), *, \Delta)$, where $*$ = $\phi_V(\bullet)$. The action is given in the following way:

- For all $m \in M$, $m \leftarrow 1 = m$.
- For all $m \in M$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$:

$$m \leftarrow x_1 \dots x_k = (m \leftarrow x_1 \dots x_{k-1}) \leftarrow x_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m \leftarrow (x_1 \dots (x_i \bullet x_k) \dots x_{k-1}).$$

2. Let V be a brace algebra and M be a brace module over V . Then V is also a pre-Lie algebra, with $\bullet = \langle -, - \rangle_{1,1}$. Moreover, for all $m \in M$, $x_1, x_2 \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} m \leftarrow (x_1 * x_2) &= m \leftarrow (x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_1 + x_1 \bullet x_2) = (m \leftarrow x_1) \leftarrow x_2, \\ (m \leftarrow x_1) \leftarrow x_2 - (m \leftarrow x_2) \leftarrow x_1 &= m \leftarrow (x_1 \bullet x_2 - x_2 \bullet x_1). \end{aligned}$$

So the restriction \leftarrow of \leftarrow to $M \otimes V$ makes M a pre-Lie module over V . By the isomorphism between $S(A)$ and $coS(A)$, for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, $m \in M$:

$$m \leftarrow x_1 \dots x_k = m \leftarrow (x_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup x_k).$$

Definition 35 *Let V be a 0-bounded pre-Lie algebra and M be a graded pre-Lie module over V . We shall say that M is 0-bounded if for all $k, l \geq 0$, there exists $B(k, l) \geq 0$ such that:*

$$p > B(k, l) \implies M_k \leftarrow S^p(V_0)S(V)_l = (0).$$

Note that if V is connected, then any graded pre-Lie module over V is 0-bounded, with $B(k, l) = 0$ for all k, l .

Proposition 36 *Let V be a 0-bounded pre-Lie algebra and M be a 0-bounded pre-Lie module over V . \overline{M} is a module over the monoid (\overline{V}, \diamond) , with the action defined by:*

$$\forall m \in \overline{M}, \forall x \in \overline{V}, m \triangleleft x = x \leftarrow e^y.$$

By restriction, it is also a module over the group $(\overline{V}_+, \diamond)$.

Proof. By transposition of the action of $(S(V); *)$ on M , we obtain thanks to the 0-bounded condition a coaction of $(S(V^*), \Delta_*)$ on M^* ; consequently, the dual of M^* , identified with \overline{M} , becomes a module over the monoid of characters of $(S(V^*), m, \Delta_*)$, identified with (\overline{V}, \diamond) . The end of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 31. \square

Chapter 3

Brace and pre-Lie structures on operads

Introduction

We now study the brace and pre-Lie structure on an operad \mathbf{P} induced by the operadic composition (proposition 37 and corollary 38). We have seen in the preceding chapter that these structures imply a product on $T(\mathbf{P})$ making it a graded, non connected dendriform Hopf algebra, named $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$ (proposition 40). By the 0-boundedness condition, we construct a dual bialgebra $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$. Considering a connected Hopf subalgebra of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$, we obtain a graded, connected Hopf algebra on $T(\mathbf{P}_+)$, named $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$, and its graded dual $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$, as a quotient of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$.

Using the pre-Lie product induced by the brace structure, we obtain a graded, non connected Hopf algebra $D_{\mathbf{P}}$, which underlying coalgebra is $S(\text{coinv}\mathbf{P})$ with its usual coproduct; a graded, connected Hopf algebra $B_{\mathbf{P}}$, which underlying coalgebra is $S(\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}_+)$ with its usual coproduct; and bialgebras $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ and $B_{\mathbf{P}}^*$, in duality with the preceding ones. All these objects admit colored versions by any vector space V , see propositions 42, 43 and 44. As $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ and $B_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ are commutative, they can be seen as coordinates bialgebras of a monoid: these monoids are described in proposition 54, with the help of the pre-Lie product of \mathbf{P} , as in theorem 31; they appeared in [5].

A specially interesting case is obtained by operads \mathbf{P} equipped with an operad morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{P}} : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$. In this case, for any vector space V , the coalgebra $S(F_{\mathbf{P}}(V))$, where $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is the free \mathbf{P} -algebra generated by V , becomes a graded, connected Hopf algebra denoted by $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. We prove in theorem 46 that $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ and $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ are bialgebras in interaction (definition 41), that is to say that $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a bialgebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules; dually, $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ and $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ are cointeracting bialgebras, in the sense of [33], that is to say that $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ -comodules.

3.1 Definition

Proposition 37 *Let \mathbf{P} be a non- Σ operad. We define a brace structure on $\mathbf{P} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(n)$ by:*

$$\forall p \in \mathbf{P}(n), p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbf{P}, \langle p, p_1 \dots p_k \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} p \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (p_1, \dots, p_k).$$

It is graded, putting the elements of $\mathbf{P}(n)$ homogeneous of degree $n - 1$, and 0-bounded.

Proof. Let $p, p_1, \dots, p_k, q_1, \dots, q_l \in \mathbf{P}$. Then, using the associativity of the operadic com-

position:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \langle p, p_1 \dots p_k \rangle, q_1 \dots q_l \rangle &= \sum \langle p \circ (I, \dots, p_1, \dots, p_k, \dots, I), q_1 \dots q_l \rangle \\
&= \sum (p \circ (I, \dots, p_1, \dots, p_k, \dots, I)) \circ (I, \dots, q_1, \dots, q_l, \dots, I) \\
&= \sum p \circ (I, \dots, q_1, \dots, q_{i_1}, p_1 \circ (I, \dots, q_{i_1+1}, \dots, q_{i_1+i_2}, \dots, I), \dots, \\
&\quad p_k \circ (I, \dots, q_{i_1+\dots+i_{2k-1}+1}, \dots, q_{i_1+\dots+i_{2k}}, \dots, I), \dots, \\
&\quad q_{i_1+\dots+i_{2k+1}}, \dots, q_l, \dots, I) \\
&= \sum_{q_1 \dots q_l = Q_1 \dots Q_{2k+1}} \langle p, Q_1 \langle p_1, Q_2 \rangle Q_3 \dots Q_{2k-1} \langle p_k, Q_{2k} \rangle Q_{2k+1} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\langle -, - \rangle$ is a brace structure on \mathbf{P} .

Let p, p_1, \dots, p_k in \mathbf{P} , homogeneous of respective degrees n, n_1, \dots, n_k . Then $p \in \mathbf{P}(n+1)$ and $p_i \in \mathbf{P}(n_i+1)$ for all i . Then $\langle p, p_1 \dots p_k \rangle$ is a linear span of element of $\mathbf{P}(m)$, with:

$$m = n + 1 - k + n_1 + 1 + \dots + n_k + 1 = n + n_1 + \dots + n_k + 1.$$

So $\langle p, p_1 \dots p_k \rangle$ is homogeneous of degree $n + n_1 + \dots + n_k$: the brace algebra \mathbf{P} is graded.

Let $m, n \geq 1$. If $p \in \mathbf{P}(m+1)$, and if $k > m+1$, then $\langle p, p_1 \dots p_k \rangle = 0$ for all $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbf{P}$. So \mathbf{P} is 0-bounded, with $B(m, n) = m+1$. \square

Remark. Consequently, $\mathbf{P}(1)$ is a brace subalgebra of \mathbf{P} . For all $p, p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbf{P}(1)$,

$$\langle p, p_1 \dots p_k \rangle = \begin{cases} p \circ p_1 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

So the brace algebra $\mathbf{P}(1)$ is the associative algebra $(\mathbf{P}(1), \circ)$.

By the morphism from **PreLie** to **Brace**, we immediately obtain:

Corollary 38 *Let \mathbf{P} be a non- Σ operad. It is a graded pre-Lie algebra, with:*

$$\forall p \in \mathbf{P}(n), q \in \mathbf{P}, p \bullet q = \langle p, q \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n p \circ_i q.$$

Its \mathbf{b}_∞ brackets are given by:

$$\forall p \in \mathbf{P}(n), p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbf{P}, [p, p_1 \dots p_k] = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n, \\ \text{all distincts}}} p \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (p_1, \dots, p_k).$$

Proof. Indeed, $[p, p_1 \dots p_k] = \langle p, p_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup p_k \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \langle p, p_{\sigma(1)} \dots p_{\sigma(k)} \rangle$. \square

Corollary 39 *Let \mathbf{P} be an operad. Then $\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}$ is a graded pre-Lie algebra, quotient of \mathbf{P} .*

Proof. Let us prove that $I = \text{Vect}(p - p^\sigma \mid p \in \mathbf{P}(n), \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n)$ is a pre-Lie ideal. Let $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $q \in \mathbf{P}(m)$. There exist permutations σ'_i such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
(p^\sigma - p) * q &= \sum_{i=1}^n p^\sigma \circ_i q - p \circ_i q \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (p \circ_{\sigma(i)} q)^{\sigma'_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n p \circ_i q \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (p \circ_i q)^{\sigma'^{-1}(i)} - p \circ_i q \in I.
\end{aligned}$$

So I is a right pre-Lie ideal. There exists permutations σ_i'' such that:


$$q * (p^\sigma - p) = \sum_{i=1}^m (q \circ_i p^\sigma - q \circ_i p) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left((q \circ_i p)^{\sigma_i''} - q \circ_i p \right) \in I.$$

So I is also a left pre-Lie ideal. □

By theorems 13 and 20, and by proposition 24:

Proposition 40 *Let \mathbf{P} be an operad.*

1. *The brace structure on \mathbf{P} induces a product $* = \prec + \succ$, making $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}} = (T(\mathbf{P}), *, \Delta_{dec})$ a dendriform bialgebra. The graded, connected Hopf subalgebra $T(\mathbf{P}_+)$ is denoted by $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$.*
2. *The pre-Lie product on \mathbf{P} induces products $*$ making $(S(\mathbf{P}), *, \Delta)$, $(S(\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta)$, $D_{\mathbf{P}} = (S(\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}), *, \Delta)$ and $B_{\mathbf{P}} = (S(\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta)$ bialgebras.*
3. *There is a commutative diagram of bialgebras:*



Examples. Let $p_1 \in \mathbf{P}(n_1)$, $p_2 \in \mathbf{P}(n_2)$, $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbf{P}$. In $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1 \prec q_1 &= p_1 q_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} p_1 \circ_i q_1, \\
 p_1 \succ q_1 &= q_1 p_1, \\
 p_1 \prec q_1 q_2 &= p_1 q_1 q_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) q_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} p_1 \circ_{i,j} (q_1, q_2), \\
 p_1 \succ q_1 q_2 &= q_1 p_1 q_2 + q_1 q_2 p_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} q_1 (p_1 \circ_i q_2), \\
 p_1 p_2 \prec q_1 &= p_1 p_2 q_1 + p_1 q_1 p_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) p_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_2} p_1 (p_2 \circ_i q_1), \\
 p_1 p_2 \succ q_1 &= q_1 p_1 p_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

In $S(\mathbf{P})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1 * q_1 &= p_1 q_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} p_1 \circ_i q_1, \\
 p_1 * q_1 q_2 &= p_1 q_1 q_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) q_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} q_1 (p_1 \circ_i q_2) + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n_1} p_1 \circ_{i,j} (q_1, q_2), \\
 p_1 p_2 * q_1 &= p_1 p_2 q_1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_1} (p_1 \circ_i q_1) p_2 + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_2} p_1 (p_2 \circ_i q_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Interacting bialgebras from operads

3.2.1 Bialgebras in interaction

Definition 41 *Let A and B be two bialgebras.*

1. We shall say that A and B are in interaction if A is a B -module-bialgebra, or equivalently if A is a bialgebra in the category of B -modules, that is to say:

- B is acting on A , via a map $\leftarrow: A \otimes B \rightarrow A$.
- A is a bialgebra in the category of B -modules, that is to say:
 - For all $b \in B$, $1_A \leftarrow b = \epsilon(b)1_A$.
 - For all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $\epsilon(a \leftarrow b) = \epsilon(a)\epsilon(b)$.
 - For all $a_1, a_2 \in A$, $b \in B$, or, $(a_1 a_2) \leftarrow b = m((a_1 \otimes a_2) \leftarrow \Delta(b))$ or, with Sweedler's notation, $(a_1 a_2) \leftarrow b = (a_1 \leftarrow b^{(1)})(a_2 \leftarrow b^{(2)})$.
 - For all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $\Delta(a \leftarrow b) = \Delta(a) \leftarrow \Delta(b)$ or, with Sweedler's notation, $\Delta(a \leftarrow b) = a^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(2)}$.

2. We shall say that A and B are in cointeraction if A is a B -comodule-bialgebra, or equivalently if A is a bialgebra in the category of B -comodules, that is to say:

- B is coacting on A , via a map $\rho: \begin{cases} A & \rightarrow A \otimes B \\ a & \rightarrow \rho(a) = a_1 \otimes a_0. \end{cases}$
- A is a bialgebra in the category of B -comodules, that is to say:
 - $\rho(1_A) = 1_A \otimes 1_B$.
 - $m_{2,4}^3 \circ (\rho \otimes \rho) \circ \Delta = (\Delta \otimes Id) \circ \rho$, where:

$$m_{2,4}^3: \begin{cases} A \otimes B \otimes A \otimes B & \rightarrow A \otimes A \otimes B \\ a_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_2 & \rightarrow a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_1 b_2. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, for all $a \in A$:

$$(a^{(1)})_1 \otimes (a^{(2)})_1 \otimes (a^{(1)})_0 (a^{(2)})_0 = (a_1)^{(1)} \otimes (a_1)^{(2)} \otimes a_0.$$

- For all $a, b \in A$, $\rho(ab) = \rho(a)\rho(b)$.
- For all $a \in A$, $(\epsilon_A \otimes Id) \circ \rho(a) = \epsilon_A(a)1_B$.

Remark. If A and B are in interaction, the action map \leftarrow is a coalgebra morphism; if A and B are in cointeraction, the coaction map ρ is an algebra morphism.

For examples and applications of cointeracting bialgebras, see [4, 11, 16, 15].

3.2.2 Bialgebras in interaction from operads

Proposition 42 1. Let V be a vector space. We define the operad \mathbf{C}_V by:

- For all $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{C}_V(n) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes n})$.
- For all $f \in \mathbf{C}_V(m)$, $g \in \mathbf{C}_V(n)$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$:

$$f \circ_i g = (Id^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes g \otimes Id^{\otimes(n-i)}) \circ f \in \mathbf{C}_V(m+n-1).$$

The unit is Id_V .

- For all $f \in \mathbf{C}_V(n)$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, and $x \in V$, if $f(x) = x_1 \dots x_n$:

$$f^\sigma(x) = x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}.$$

2. The tensor algebra $T(V)$ is a brace module over the brace algebra $(\mathbf{C}_V, \langle -, - \rangle)$ with, for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$, $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbf{C}_V$:

$$x_1 \dots x_n \leftarrow f_1 \dots f_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_1 \dots x_{i_1-1} f_1(x_{i_1}) x_{i_1+1} \dots x_{i_k-1} f_k(x_{i_k}) x_{i_k+1} \dots x_n.$$

Putting the elements of $V^{\otimes n}$ homogeneous of degree n , $T(V)$ is a graded brace module over \mathbf{C}_V .

Proof. We leave to the reader the proof that \mathbf{C}_V is an operad and $T(V)$ is a brace module over \mathbf{C}_V . Let $x \in V$, homogeneous of degree n , and $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbf{C}_V$, homogeneous of respective degree n_1, \dots, n_k . Then for all i , $f_i \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes(n_i+1)})$, so:

$$x \leftarrow f_1 \dots f_k \in V^{\otimes(n-k+n_1+1+\dots+n_k+1)} = V^{\otimes(n+n_1+\dots+n_k)},$$

so it is homogeneous of degree $n + n_1 + \dots + n_k$: $T(V)$ is a graded brace module. \square

Remark. If V is finite-dimensional, via the transposition, \mathbf{C}_V is isomorphic to \mathbf{L}_{V^*} . More generally, the transposition defines an injective operad morphism from \mathbf{C}_V to \mathbf{L}_{V^*} .

Proposition 43 *Let \mathbf{P} be an operad and V be a vector space.*

1. *The following space is a graded brace module over the brace algebra associated to the operad $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V$:*

$$M = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(n) \otimes V^{\otimes n}.$$

2. *By restriction, M is a pre-Lie module on $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V$. This structure induces a graded pre-Lie coinvariant $(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)$ -module structure over the vector space $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, such that, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(k)$, for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, for all $q \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes n})$:*

$$p.(x_1 \dots x_k) \leftarrow \overline{q \otimes f} = \sum_{i=1}^k p \circ_i q.(x_1 \dots x_{i-1} f(x_i) x_{i+1} \dots x_n).$$

Proof. 1. As \mathbf{P} is a \mathbb{N} -graded brace module over \mathbf{P} and V is a \mathbb{N} -graded brace module over the brace algebra \mathbf{C}_V , M is a graded brace module over $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V$. Moreover, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(k)$, for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, for all $q \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes n})$:

$$p \otimes x_1 \dots x_k \leftarrow q \otimes f = \sum_{i=1}^k p \circ_i q \otimes (x_1 \dots x_{i-1} f(x_i) x_{i+1} \dots x_n).$$

2. Let $p \in \mathbf{P}(k)$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$, $q \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes n})$. There exist permutations σ_i, σ'_j such that:

$$\begin{aligned} (p \otimes x_1 \dots x_k)^\sigma \leftarrow q \otimes f &= p^\sigma \otimes x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(k)} \leftarrow p \otimes f \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k p^\sigma \circ_i q \otimes x_{\sigma(1)} \dots f(x_{\sigma(i)}) \dots x_{\sigma(n)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (p \circ_{\sigma(i)} q \otimes x_1 \dots f(x_{\sigma(i)}) \dots x_n)^{\sigma_i} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k (p \circ_j q \otimes x_1 \dots f(x_j) \dots x_n)^{\sigma'_j}, \end{aligned}$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned} ((p \otimes x_1 \dots x_k)^\sigma - p \otimes x_1 \dots x_k) \leftarrow q \otimes f \\ = \sum_{j=1}^k (p \circ_j q \otimes x_1 \dots f(x_j) \dots x_n)^{\sigma'_j} - p \circ_j q \otimes x_1 \dots f(x_j) \dots x_n. \end{aligned}$$

The pre-Lie action of $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V$ induces a pre-Lie action on the quotient of M by the ideal I :

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \text{Vect}((p \otimes x_1 \dots x_k)^\sigma - p \otimes x_1 \dots x_k \mid k \geq 1, p \in \mathbf{P}(k), x_1, \dots, x_k \in V, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k) \\ &= \text{Vect}(p^\sigma \otimes x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(k)} - p \otimes x_1 \dots x_k \mid k \geq 1, p \in \mathbf{P}(k), x_1, \dots, x_k \in V, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k) \\ &= \text{Vect}(p^\sigma \otimes x_1 \dots x_k - p \otimes x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots x_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \mid k \geq 1, p \in \mathbf{P}(k), x_1, \dots, x_k \in V, \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the quotient $M/I = F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$.

Let $p \in \mathbf{P}(k)$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$, $q \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes n})$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} p.x_1 \dots x_k \leftarrow (q \otimes f)^\sigma &= \sum_{i=1}^k p \circ iq^\sigma.(x_1 \dots f^\sigma(x_i) \dots x_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k p \circ iq.(x_1 \dots (f^\sigma)^{\sigma^{-1}}(x_i) \dots x_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k p \circ iq.(x_1 \dots f(x_i) \dots x_k) \\ &= p.x_1 \dots x_k \leftarrow q \otimes f. \end{aligned}$$

so the action of $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V$ on $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ induces an action of $\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)$ on $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. \square

Definition 44 We put:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &= D_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V} = (S(\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)), *, \Delta), \\ B_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &= B_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V} = (S(\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)_+), *, \Delta), \\ A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &= S(F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if V is one-dimensional, then $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, respectively $B_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, is isomorphic to $D_{\mathbf{P}}$, respectively to $B_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Lemma 45 1. The pre-Lie action of $\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)$ on $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is extended to $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$:

$$\forall v_1, \dots, v_k \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V), \forall q \in \text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V), v_1 \dots v_k \leftarrow q = \sum_{i=1}^k v_1 \dots (v_i \leftarrow q) \dots v_k.$$

This induces an action of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ on $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, such that:

- For all $a \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $\Delta(a \leftarrow b) = a^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(2)}$.
- For all $b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $1 \leftarrow b = \varepsilon(b)$.
- For all $a_1, a_2 \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $a_1 a_2 \leftarrow b = (a_1 \leftarrow b^{(1)})(a_2 \leftarrow b^{(2)})$.

In other words, $(A_{\mathbf{P}}(V), m, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules.

2. For all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $Q \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$:

$$p.(v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftarrow Q = p.(v_1 \leftarrow Q^{(1)}, \dots, v_n \leftarrow Q^{(n)}).$$

In other words, $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a \mathbf{P} -algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules.

Proof. 1. We consider:

$$X = \{a \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \mid \forall b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V), \Delta(a \leftarrow b) = a^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(2)}\}.$$

Firstly, $1 \in X$:

$$\forall b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V), \Delta(1 \leftarrow b) = \varepsilon(b)1 \otimes 1 = \varepsilon(b^{(1)})\varepsilon(b^{(2)})1 \otimes 1 = 1 \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes 1 \leftarrow b^{(2)}.$$

Let $a_1, a_2 \in X$. For all $b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, by the cocommutativity of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta((a_1 a_2) \leftarrow b) &= \Delta((a_1 \leftarrow b^{(1)})(a_2 \leftarrow b^{(2)})) \\ &= (a_1^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)})(a_2^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(3)}) \otimes (a_1^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(2)})(a_2^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(4)}) \\ &= (a_1^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)})(a_2^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(2)}) \otimes (a_1^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(3)})(a_2^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(4)}) \\ &= ((a_1^{(1)} a_2^{(1)}) \leftarrow b^{(1)}) \otimes ((a_1^{(2)} a_2^{(2)}) \leftarrow b^{(2)}) \\ &= ((a_1 a_2)^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)}) \otimes ((a_1 a_2)^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

So X is a subalgebra of $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ for its usual product. Let $a \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ and $b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. Then $a \leftarrow b \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, so:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(a \leftarrow b) &= a \leftarrow b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a \leftarrow b \\ &= a \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes \varepsilon(b^{(2)})1 + \varepsilon(b^{(1)})1 \otimes a \leftarrow b^{(2)} \\ &= a \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes 1 \leftarrow b^{(2)} + 1 \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes a \leftarrow b^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

so $a \in X$. As X is a subalgebra containing $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, it is equal to $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$: $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a coalgebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules.

2. As this pre-Lie action comes from a brace action, if $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in V$, $q_1 \otimes f_1, \dots, q_k \otimes f_k \in \mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V$:

$$p.x_1 \dots x_n \leftarrow \overline{q_1 \otimes f_1} \dots \overline{q_k \otimes f_k} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n, \\ \text{all distinct}}} p \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (q_1, \dots, q_k). (x_1 \dots f_1(x_{i_1}) \dots f_k(x_{i_k}) \dots x_n).$$

Let us consider:

$$C = \left\{ Q \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \mid \forall p \in \mathbf{P}(n), v_1, \dots, v_n \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V), \right. \\ \left. p.(v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftarrow Q = p.(v_1 \leftarrow Q^{(1)}, \dots, v_n \leftarrow Q^{(n)}) \right\}.$$

Obviously, $1 \in C$. Let us take $Q_1, Q_2 \in C$. For all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} p.(v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftarrow Q_1 * Q_2 &= (p.(v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftarrow Q_1) \leftarrow Q_2 \\ &= p.((v_1 \leftarrow Q_1^{(1)}) \leftarrow Q_2^{(1)}, \dots, (v_n \leftarrow Q_1^{(n)}) \leftarrow Q_2^{(n)}) \\ &= p.(v_1 \leftarrow (Q_1^{(1)} * Q_2^{(1)}), \dots, v_n \leftarrow (Q_1^{(n)} * Q_2^{(n)})) \\ &= p.(v_1 \leftarrow (Q_1 * Q_2)^{(1)}, \dots, v_n \leftarrow (Q_1 * Q_2)^{(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

So $Q_1 * Q_2 \in C$: C is a subalgebra of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$.

Let us take $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$ and $v_i = p_i.(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,l_i}) \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $q \otimes f \in \mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V$, by the associativity of the operadic composition:

$$\begin{aligned} p.(v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftarrow \overline{q \otimes f} &= p \circ (p_1, \dots, p_n). (x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{n,l_n}) \leftarrow \overline{q \otimes f} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} p \circ (p_1, \dots, p_i \circ_j q, \dots, p_n). (x_{1,1}, \dots, f(x_{i,j}), \dots, x_{n,l_n}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n p.(v_1, \dots, v_i \leftarrow \overline{q \otimes f}, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V) \subseteq C$. As $\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)$ generates $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $C = D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. \square

Theorem 46 Let $\theta_{\mathbf{P}} : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be an operad morphism. Any \mathbf{P} -algebra is also \mathbf{b}_{∞} , and we denote:

$$\star = \phi_{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}(\theta_{\mathbf{P}}(\lfloor -, - \rfloor)).$$

Then $(A_{\mathbf{P}}(V), \star, \Delta)$ and $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ are two bialgebras in interaction.

Proof. We already proved that $(A_{\mathbf{P}}(V), m, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules. Let us consider the two following maps:

$$\Phi_1 : \begin{cases} A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \otimes A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \otimes D_{\mathbf{P}}(V) & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \\ a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b & \longrightarrow & (a_1 \star a_2) \leftarrow b, \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_2 : \begin{cases} A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \otimes A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \otimes D_{\mathbf{P}}(V) & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \\ a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b & \longrightarrow & (a_1 \leftarrow b^{(1)}) \star (a_2 \leftarrow b^{(2)}). \end{cases}$$

For all $a_1, a_2 \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \Phi_1(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b) &= (a_1^{(1)} \star a_2^{(1)}) \leftarrow b^{(1)} \otimes (a_1^{(2)} \star a_2^{(2)}) \leftarrow b^{(2)} \\ &= (\Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_1) \circ \Delta(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \Phi_2(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b) &= (a_1^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)}) \star (a_2^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(3)}) \otimes (a_1^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(2)}) \star (a_2^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(4)}) \\ &= (a_1^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(1)}) \star (a_2^{(1)} \leftarrow b^{(2)}) \otimes (a_1^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(3)}) \star (a_2^{(2)} \leftarrow b^{(4)}) \\ &= (\Phi_2 \otimes \Phi_2) \circ \Delta(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

So both Φ_1 and Φ_2 are coalgebra morphisms. In order to prove that their equality, by lemma 21, it is enough to prove that $\pi \circ \Phi_1 = \pi \circ \Phi_2$, where π is the canonical projection on $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ in $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. As for all k , $S^k(F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)) \leftarrow D_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \subseteq S^k(F_{\mathbf{P}}(V))$:

$$\pi \circ \Phi_1(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b) = \pi((a_1 \star a_2) \leftarrow b) = \pi(a_1 \star a_2) \leftarrow b = \lfloor a_1, a_2 \rfloor \leftarrow b.$$

The \mathbf{b}_{∞} structure is induced by $\theta_{\mathbf{P}}$: denoting $q_{k,l} = \theta_{\mathbf{P}}(\lfloor -, - \rfloor_{k,l})$ and $q = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} q_{k,l}$, for all $a_1, a_2 \in A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, $\lfloor a_1, a_2 \rfloor = q.(a_1, a_2)$. By lemma 45, for all $b \in D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor a_1, a_2 \rfloor \leftarrow b &= q.(a_1, a_2) \leftarrow b \\ &= q.(a_1 \leftarrow b^{(1)}, a_2 \leftarrow b^{(2)}) \\ &= \lfloor a_1 \leftarrow b^{(1)}, a_2 \leftarrow b^{(2)} \rfloor \\ &= \pi \circ \Phi_2(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

As a conclusion, $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$, and $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a bialgebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules. \square

3.2.3 First dual construction

We assume now that for all $n \geq 1$, \mathbf{P} is finite-dimensional. The composition can be seen as a map:

$$\circ : \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(n) \otimes \mathbf{P}^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}.$$

Moreover, for any $n \geq 1$:

$$\circ^{-1}(\mathbf{P}(n)) = \bigoplus_{p=1}^n \bigoplus_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = n} \mathbf{P}(p) \otimes \mathbf{P}(k_1) \dots \mathbf{P}(k_p).$$

By duality, we obtain a map $\delta : \mathbf{P}^* \longrightarrow (\mathbf{P} \otimes T(\mathbf{P}))^*$, such that for any $n \geq 1$:

$$\delta(\mathbf{P}(n)) \subseteq \bigoplus_{p=1}^n \bigoplus_{k_1+\dots+k_p=n} \mathbf{P}^*(p) \otimes \mathbf{P}^*(k_1) \dots \mathbf{P}^*(k_p).$$

So $\delta(\mathbf{P}^*) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^* \otimes T(\mathbf{P}^*)$.

Proposition 47 1. We define a coproduct Δ_* on $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$ as the unique algebra morphism (for the concatenation product m_{conc}) such that for all $f \in \mathbf{P}^*$:

$$\Delta_*(f) = \delta(f).$$

Then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (T(\mathbf{P}^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*)$ is a bialgebra. It is graded, the elements of $\mathbf{P}^*(n)$ being homogeneous of degree $n - 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.

2. There exists a nondegenerate pairing $\ll -, - \gg : T(\mathbf{P}^*) \otimes T(\mathbf{P}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$, such that for all $F, G \in T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, for all $X, Y \in T(\mathbf{P})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll 1, X \gg &= \varepsilon(X), & \ll F \otimes G, \Delta(X) \gg &= \ll FG, X \gg, \\ \ll F, 1 \gg &= \varepsilon(F), & \ll \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg &= \ll F, X * Y \gg. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\ll -, - \gg$ is a Hopf pairing between $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Proof. The following map is an algebra isomorphism:

$$\begin{cases} T(\mathbf{P}_+) \longrightarrow T(\mathbf{P}^*)/I_0 \\ f_1 \dots f_k \longrightarrow f_1 \dots f_k. \end{cases}$$

We define a first pairing between $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$ and $T(\mathbf{P})$ by:

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbf{P}, \forall f_1, \dots, f_l \in \mathbf{P}^*, \ll f_1 \dots f_l, x_1 \dots x_k \gg' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq l, \\ f_1(x_1) \dots f_k(x_k) & \text{if } k = l. \end{cases}$$

We shall need the completion:

$$\overline{T(\mathbf{P})} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}^{\otimes k}.$$

We can extend the concatenation product, deconcatenation coproduct and the pairing as maps:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{conc} &: \overline{T(\mathbf{P})} \otimes \overline{T(\mathbf{P})} \longrightarrow \overline{T(\mathbf{P})}, \\ \Delta_{dec} &: \overline{T(\mathbf{P})} \longrightarrow \overline{T(\mathbf{P})} \otimes \overline{T(\mathbf{P})}, \\ \ll -, - \gg' &: T(\mathbf{P}^*) \otimes \overline{T(\mathbf{P})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}. \end{aligned}$$

We put:

$$J = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I^n = \frac{1}{1-I} \in \overline{T(\mathbf{P})}.$$

Then:

$$\Delta(J) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k+l=n} I^k \otimes I^l = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} I^k \otimes I^l = J \otimes J.$$

This implies that the following map is a coalgebra morphism:

$$\phi : \begin{cases} T(\mathbf{P}_+) \longrightarrow \overline{T(\mathbf{P})} \\ x_1 \dots x_k \longrightarrow Jx_1J \dots Jx_kJ. \end{cases}$$

We now define the pairing $\ll -, - \gg$:

$$\forall F \in T(\mathbf{P}^*), X \in T(\mathbf{P}), \ll F, X \gg = \ll F, \phi(X) \gg'.$$

If $l > k$, $\ll f_1 \dots f_k, x_1 \dots x_k \gg = 0$. If $k = l$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll f_1 \dots f_k, x_1 \dots x_k \gg &= \ll f_1 \dots f_k, Jx_1J \dots Jx_kJ \gg' \\ &= \ll f_1 \dots f_k, x_1 \dots x_k \gg' + 0 \\ &= f_1(x_1) \dots f_k(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

By a triangularity argument, this pairing is non degenerate.

Let $X = x_1 \dots x_k \in T(\mathbf{P})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \ll 1, X \gg &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1 \\ \ll 1, J \gg' & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \varepsilon(X). \end{aligned}$$

Let $F, G \in T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, and $X \in T(\mathbf{P})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \ll F \otimes G, \Delta(X) \gg &= \ll F \otimes G, (\phi \otimes \phi) \circ \Delta(X) \gg' \\ &= \ll F \otimes G, \Delta \circ \phi(X) \gg' \\ &= \ll FG, \phi(X) \gg' \\ &= \ll FG, X \gg. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider now:

$$A = \{F \in T(\mathbf{P}^*) \mid \forall X, Y \in T(\mathbf{P}), \ll \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg = \ll F, X * Y \gg\}.$$

For all $X, Y \in T(\mathbf{P})$:

$$\ll 1, X * Y \gg = \varepsilon(X * Y) = \varepsilon(X)\varepsilon(Y) = \ll 1 \otimes 1, X \otimes Y \gg,$$

so $1 \in A$. Let $F, G \in A$. For all $X, Y \in T(\mathbf{P})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \Delta_*(FG), X \otimes Y \gg &= \ll \Delta_*(F)\Delta_*(G), X \otimes Y \gg \\ &= \ll \Delta_*(F) \otimes \Delta_*(G), X^{(1)} \otimes Y^{(1)} \otimes X^{(2)} \otimes Y^{(2)} \gg \\ &= \ll F \otimes G, X^{(1)} * Y^{(1)} \otimes X^{(2)} * Y^{(2)} \gg \\ &= \ll F \otimes G, (X * Y)^{(1)} \otimes (X * Y)^{(2)} \gg \\ &= \ll FG, X * Y \gg. \end{aligned}$$

So A is a subalgebra of $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$. In order to prove that $A = T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, it is now enough to prove that $\mathbf{P}^* \subseteq A$. Let $f \in \mathbf{P}^*$, $X = x_1 \dots x_k, y = y_1 \dots y_l \in T(\mathbf{P})$.

- Let us assume that $k \geq 2$. As $\Delta_*(\mathbf{P}^*) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^* \otimes T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, $\ll \Delta_*(f), X \otimes Y \gg = 0$. Moreover:

$$\left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathbf{P}^{\otimes n} \right) * T(\mathbf{P}) \subseteq \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathbf{P}^{\otimes n},$$

so $\ll f, X * Y \gg = 0$.

- Let us assume that $k = 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ll \Delta_*(f), 1 \otimes Y \gg &= \ll \Delta_*(f), J \otimes \phi(Y) \gg' \\
&= \ll \delta(f), I \otimes \phi(Y) \gg' + 0 \\
&= \ll f, I \circ \phi(Y) \gg' \\
&= \ll f, \phi(Y) \gg' \\
&= \ll f, 1 * Y \gg .
\end{aligned}$$

- Let us finally assume that $k = 1$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ll \Delta_*(f), 1 \otimes Y \gg &= \ll \delta(f), x_1 \otimes Jy_1J \dots Jy_lJ \gg' \\
&= \ll f, x_1 \circ (Jy_1J \dots Jy_lJ) \gg' \\
&= \ll f, \langle x_1, y_1 \dots y_l \rangle \gg' .
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ll f, x_1 * y_1 \dots y_l \gg &= \ll f, \langle x_1, y_1 \dots y_l \rangle \gg + \text{terms } \ll f, z_1 \dots z_l \gg', l \geq 2 \\
&= \ll f, \langle x_1, y_1 \dots y_l \rangle \gg + 0 \\
&= \ll f, \langle x_1, y_1 \dots y_l \rangle \gg' .
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, A is equal to $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$: $\ll -, - \gg$ is a Hopf pairing.

Let $F \in T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, $X, Y, Z \in T(\mathbf{P})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\ll (\Delta_* \otimes Id) \circ \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \otimes Z \gg &= \ll F, (X * Y) * Z \gg \\
&= \ll F, X * (Y * Z) \gg \\
&= \ll (Id \otimes \Delta_*) \circ \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \otimes Z \gg .
\end{aligned}$$

As the pairing is nondegenerate, Δ_* is coassociative. □

Remarks.

1. $\mathbf{P}^*(0)$ is a subcoalgebra of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$; it is the dual of the algebra $(\mathbf{P}(0), \circ)$. Its counit is denoted by ε_0 ; for all $f \in \mathbf{P}^*(0)$, $\varepsilon_0(f) = f(I)$.
2. In general, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ is not a Hopf algebra. Let us take the example where $\mathbf{P}(1) = Vect(I)$. We define $X \in \mathbf{P}^*(1)$ by $X(I) = 1$. Then $\Delta_*(X) = X \otimes X$. As X has no inverse, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ is not a Hopf algebra.

Corollary 48 *The abelianized algebra $S(\mathbf{P}^*)$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ inherits a coproduct Δ_* , making it a bialgebra. Moreover, $D_{\mathbf{P}} = S(inv\mathbf{P}^*)$ is a subbialgebra of $S(\mathbf{P}^*)$.*

Proof. We denote by I_{ab} the ideal of $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$ generated by all the commutators. Then $S(\mathbf{P}^*) = T(\mathbf{P}^*)/I_{ab}$. Let $f \in inv\mathbf{P}^*$. We denote by $f^{(1)} \otimes f_1^{(2)} \dots f_n^{(2)}$ the component of $\Delta_*(f)$ belonging to $\mathbf{P}^*(n) \otimes \mathbf{P}^*(k_1) \dots \mathbf{P}^*(k_n)$. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{k_i}$. There exists $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{k_1 + \dots + k_n}$ such

that for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, $p_i \in \mathbf{P}(k_i)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll (f^{(1)})^\sigma \otimes (f_1^{(2)})^{\sigma_1} \dots (f_n^{(2)})^{\sigma_n}, p \otimes p_1 \dots p_n \gg \\
& = \ll (f^{(1)})^\sigma \otimes (f_1^{(2)})^{\sigma_1} \dots (f_n^{(2)})^{\sigma_n}, p \otimes p_1 \dots p_n \gg' \\
& = \ll f^{(1)} \otimes f_1^{(2)} \dots f_n^{(2)}, p^{\sigma^{-1}} \otimes p_1^{\sigma_1^{-1}} \dots p_n^{\sigma_n^{-1}} \gg' \\
& = \ll f^{(1)} \otimes f_1^{(2)} \dots f_n^{(2)}, p^{\sigma^{-1}} \otimes p_1^{\sigma_1^{-1}} \dots p_n^{\sigma_n^{-1}} \gg' \\
& = \ll f, p^{\sigma^{-1}} \circ (p_1^{\sigma_1^{-1}}, \dots, p_n^{\sigma_n^{-1}}) \gg' \\
& = \ll f, (p \circ (p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)}))^\tau \gg' \\
& = \ll f^{\tau^{-1}}, p \circ (p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)}) \gg' \\
& = \ll f, p \circ (p_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, p_{\sigma(n)}) \gg' \\
& = \ll f^{(1)} \otimes f_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}^{(2)} \dots f_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}^{(2)}, p \otimes p_1 \dots p_n \gg.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Delta_*(f) \in T(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*) \otimes T(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*) + T(\mathbf{P}^*) \otimes I_{ab}$. So, in the quotient $S(\mathbf{P}^*)$, $\Delta_*(f) \in S(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*) \otimes S(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*)$, so $S(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*)$ is a subbialgebra of $S(\mathbf{P}^*)$. \square

Corollary 49 1. Let I_0 be the ideal of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ generated by the elements $f - \varepsilon_0(f)1$, $f \in \mathbf{P}^*(1)$. This is a biideal; the quotient $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^* = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*/I_0$ is a graded, connected Hopf algebra, and its graded dual is $(T(\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta)$.

2. Let J_0 be the ideal of $S(\mathbf{P}^*)$ generated by the elements $f - \varepsilon_0(f)1$, $f \in \mathbf{P}^*(1)$. This is a biideal; the quotient $S(\mathbf{P}^*)/J_0$ is a graded, connected Hopf algebra, and its graded dual is $(S(\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta)$.

3. $B_{\mathbf{P}}^* = D_{\mathbf{P}}^*/J_0 \cap D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ is a graded, connected Hopf subalgebra of $S(\mathbf{P}^*)/J_0$, and its graded dual is $B_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Proof. 1. Firstly, observe that for all $f \in I_0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(f - \varepsilon_0(f)1) &= f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)} - \varepsilon_0(f)1 \otimes 1 \\
&= f^{(1)} \otimes (f^{(2)} - \varepsilon_0(f^{(2)})1) + \varepsilon_0(f^{(2)})f^{(1)} \otimes 1 - \varepsilon_0(f)1 \otimes 1 \\
&= f^{(1)} \otimes (f^{(2)} - \varepsilon_0(f^{(2)})1) + f \otimes 1 - \varepsilon_0(f)1 \otimes 1 \\
&= f^{(1)} \otimes (f^{(2)} - \varepsilon_0(f^{(2)})1) + (f - \varepsilon_0(f)1) \otimes 1 \in I_0 \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^* + \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^* \otimes I_0.
\end{aligned}$$

So I_0 is a biideal of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$. Moreover, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*/I_0$ is isomorphic to the algebra $T(\mathbf{P}_+^*)$ via the morphism:

$$\begin{cases} T(\mathbf{P}_+^*) & \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*/I_0 \\ f_1 \dots f_k & \longrightarrow \overline{f_1 \dots f_k}. \end{cases}$$

Let $f \in \mathbf{P}_0$. If $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbf{P}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ll f - \varepsilon_0(f)1, x_1 \dots x_k \gg &= \ll f - \varepsilon_0(f)1, Jx_1J \dots Jx_kJ \gg' \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ \ll f, x_1 \gg' & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \ll f, I \gg' - \varepsilon_0(f) \ll 1, 1 \gg' & \text{if } k = 0; \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \varepsilon_0(f) - \varepsilon_0(f) = 0 & \text{if } k = 0; \end{cases} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So the pairing $\ll -, - \gg$ induces a Hopf pairing between $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*/I_0$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$, which is a Hopf subalgebra of $(T(\mathbf{P}), * \Delta)$. Moreover, for all $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbf{P}_+^*$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbf{P}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \overline{f_1 \dots f_k}, x_1 \dots x_l \gg &= \ll f_1 \dots f_k, x_1 \dots x_l \gg \\ &= \ll f_1 \dots f_k, Jx_2J \dots Jx_lJ \gg \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k > l \text{ (as } \ll f_i, I \gg' = 0 \text{ for all } i), \\ 0 & \text{if } k < l, \\ f_1(x_1) \dots f_k(x_k) & \text{if } k = l. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have a nondegenerate Hopf pairing between $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*/I_0$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$. It is clearly homogeneous, so $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*/I_0$ is isomorphic to the graded dual of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$.

2. Taking the abelianization of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*/I_0$, we obtain the graded, connected Hopf algebra $S(\mathbf{P}^*)/J_0$. Its graded dual is isomorphic to the largest cocommutative Hopf subalgebra of $T(\mathbf{P}_+)$, that is to say $coS(\mathbf{P}_+)$, or up to an isomorphism $S(\mathbf{P}_+)$.

3. This is implied by the second point, noticing that the dual of $coinv\mathbf{P}(n)$ is $inv\mathbf{P}^*(n)$ for all n . \square

Definition 50 For any finite-dimensional vector space V , we put:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}^*, & D_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= D_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}^*, \\ \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}^*, & B_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= B_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. If V is one-dimensional:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &\approx \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*, & D_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &\approx D_{\mathbf{P}}, \\ \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &\approx \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*, & B_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &\approx B_{\mathbf{P}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be an operad morphism. Then $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a graded Hopf algebra in the category of $B_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules. Let us consider its graded dual $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$. Using the pairing $\ll -, - \gg$, one can define a coaction of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ over the graded dual $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$, which we can quotient to obtain a coaction of $B_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. We obtain:

Corollary 51 Let V be a finite-dimensional space and $\theta : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be an operad morphism. Then $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ and $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ are in cointeraction, via the transposition ρ of the action of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ over $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$.

3.2.4 Second dual construction

As \mathbf{P} is a 0-bounded brace algebra, there exists a second coproduct Δ'_* on $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, induced by the brace product, as defined in proposition 17. It is different from Δ_* (see section 4.1.1 for an example), but there is a bialgebra isomorphism:

Proposition 52 The following map is a bialgebra isomorphism:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{P}} : \begin{cases} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (T(\mathbf{P}^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{P}} = (T(\mathbf{P}^*), m_{conc}, \Delta'_*) \\ f \in \mathbf{P}_0^* & \longrightarrow & f - \varepsilon_0(f)1, \\ f \in \mathbf{P}_+^* & \longrightarrow & f. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The coproducts Δ'_* and Δ_* are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall F \in \mathbf{P}^*, \forall X, Y \in \mathbf{P}, \ll \Delta'_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg' &= \ll F, X * Y \gg', \\ \ll \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg' &= \ll F, X * Y \gg. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first prove that for all $F \in T(\mathbf{P}^*)$, $X \in T(\mathbf{P})$:

$$\ll \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(F), X \gg' = \ll F, X \gg .$$

Let:

$$A = \{F \in T(\mathbf{P}^*) \mid \forall X \in T(\mathbf{P}), \ll \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(F), X \gg' = \ll F, X \gg\}.$$

Clearly, $1 \in A$. Let $F, G \in A$. For all $X \in T(\mathbf{P})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(FG), X \gg' &= \ll \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(F)\Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(G), X \gg' \\ &= \ll \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(F) \otimes \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(G), \Delta(X) \gg' \\ &= \ll F \otimes G, \Delta(X) \gg \\ &= \ll FG, X \gg . \end{aligned}$$

So A is a subalgebra of $T(\mathbf{P}^*)$. Let us take $f \in \mathbf{P}^*$. For all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbf{P}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(f), x_1 \dots x_k \gg' &= \ll f, x_1 \dots x_k \gg' - \varepsilon_0(f)\varepsilon(x_1 \dots x_k) \\ &= \begin{cases} f(x_1) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ \varepsilon(f) - \varepsilon_0(f) & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} f(x_1) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \ll f, x_1 \dots x_k \gg . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\mathbf{P}^* \subseteq A$, so $A = T(\mathbf{P}^*)$.

Let $F \in T(\mathbf{P}^*)$. For all $X, Y \in T(\mathbf{P})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll (\Psi_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}) \circ \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg' &= \ll \Delta_*(F), X \otimes Y \gg \\ &= \ll F, X * Y \gg \\ &= \ll \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(F), X * Y \gg' \\ &= \ll \Delta'_* \circ \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}(F), X \otimes Y \gg' . \end{aligned}$$

As the pairing $\ll -, - \gg'$ is non degenerate, $(\Psi_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}) \circ \Delta_* = \Delta'_* \circ \Psi_{\mathbf{P}}$. □

Considering the abelianization:

Corollary 53 *The following map is a bialgebra isomorphism:*

$$\psi_{\mathbf{P}} : \begin{cases} D_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (S(\mathbf{P}^*), m, \Delta_*) & \longrightarrow & D'_{\mathbf{P}} = (S(\mathbf{P}^*), m, \Delta'_*) \\ f \in \mathbf{P}_0^* & \longrightarrow & f - \varepsilon_0(f)1, \\ f \in \mathbf{P}_+^* & \longrightarrow & f. \end{cases}$$

3.3 Associated groups and monoids

Proposition 54 *1. (a) The monoid of characters of both $\mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $(\mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{P}})_{ab}$ is identified with $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond')$, where for all $x = \sum x_n \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$, $y \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$:*

$$x \diamond' y = y + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_n \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (y, \dots, y).$$

(b) The monoid of characters of both $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ and $(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*)_{ab}$ is identified with $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond)$, where for all $x = \sum x_n \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$, $y \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$:

$$x \diamond y = \sum_{n \geq 1} x_n \circ_{1, \dots, n} (y, \dots, y).$$

(c) The monoids $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond')$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond)$ are isomorphic.

(d) $M_{\mathbf{P}}^D = \overline{\text{coinv} \mathbf{P}}$ is a quotient of the monoids $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond)$, and $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond')$, and is identified with the monoid of characters of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$.

2. (a) The group of characters of both $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ and $(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*)_{ab}$ is identified with $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_+, \diamond)$, where for all $x = \sum x_n \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$, $y \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$:

$$x \diamond y = y + \sum_{n \geq 1} x_n \circ (I + y, \dots, I + y).$$

(b) $G_{\mathbf{P}}^B = \overline{\text{coinv} \mathbf{P}_+}$ is a quotient group of $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_+, \diamond)$, identified with the group of characters of $B_{\mathbf{P}}^*$.

Proof. 1. We use the description of the monoid of characters of $(\mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{P}})_{ab} = (S(\mathbf{P}^*), *, \Delta_*)$ from corollary 32; its product \diamond' is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x \diamond' y &= y + x \bullet e^y \\ &= y + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n, \\ \text{all distincts,} \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 0}} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\#\{p \mid j_p = l\}!} x \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_k}) \\ &= y + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n, \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 0}} x \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_k}) \\ &= y + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_n \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (y, \dots, y). \end{aligned}$$

For all $f \in \mathbf{P}^*$, we shall use the following notation for the transposition of the operadic composition:

$$\delta(f) = \sum_n f_n^{(1)} \otimes f_1^{(2)} \dots f_n^{(2)}.$$

We identify the monoids of characters of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}$ with $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ by the map:

$$\phi : \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbf{P}} & \longrightarrow & M_{\mathbf{P}}^D \\ x & \longrightarrow & \begin{cases} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K} \\ f_1 \dots f_k & \longrightarrow & f_1(x) \dots f_k(x). \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Let $x, y \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$. For all $f \in \mathbf{P}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x * \phi_y(f) &= (\phi_x \otimes \phi_y) \circ \Delta_*(f) \\ &= (\phi_x \otimes \phi_y) \circ \delta(f) \\ &= \sum_n f_n^{(1)}(x) f_1^{(2)}(y) \dots f_n^{(2)}(y) \\ &= \sum_n f_n^{(1)}(x_n) f_1^{(2)}(y) \dots f_n^{(2)}(y) \\ &= f(x_n \circ (y, \dots, y)) \\ &= \phi_x \diamond y(f). \end{aligned}$$

As \mathbf{P}^* generates $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$, $\phi_x * \phi_y = \phi_{x \diamond y}$. As $\mathbf{D}'_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ are isomorphic, their monoids of characters are isomorphic. As $D_{\mathbf{P}}^* = S(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*)$ is a subbialgebra of $(\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}})_{ab} = S(\mathbf{P}^*)$, and as the graded dual of $\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*$ is identified with $\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}$, the group of characters of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ is identified with $\overline{\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}}$, quotient of $(\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond)$.

2. We use corollary 32. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
x \diamond y &= y + x \bullet e^y \\
&= y + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n, \\ \text{all distincts,} \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 1}} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\#\{p \mid j_p = l\}!} x \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_k}) \\
&= y + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n, \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 1}} x \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_k}) \\
&= y + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_n \circ_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (y, \dots, y) \\
&= y + \sum_{n \geq 1} x \circ (I + y, \dots, I + y).
\end{aligned}$$

As $B_{\mathbf{P}}^* = S(\text{inv}\mathbf{P}_+^*)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*)_{ab} = S(\mathbf{P}_+^*)$, and as the graded dual of $\text{inv}\mathbf{P}_+^*$ is identified with $\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}_+$, the group of characters of $B_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ is identified with $\overline{\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}_+}$, quotient of $(\overline{\mathbf{P}_+}, \diamond)$. \square

Remarks.

1. $G_{\mathbf{P}}^B$ can be seen a submonoid of $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond)$ and $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond')$, via the injections:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_{\mathbf{P}}^B \longrightarrow (M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond) \\ x \longrightarrow I + x, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_{\mathbf{P}}^B \longrightarrow (M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond') \\ x \longrightarrow x. \end{array} \right.$$

2. Using the isomorphism $\psi_{\mathbf{P}}$, we obtain an explicit isomorphism of monoids:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond') \longrightarrow (M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond) \\ x \longrightarrow x + I, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond) \longrightarrow (M_{\mathbf{P}}^D, \diamond') \\ x \longrightarrow x - I. \end{array} \right.$$

Definition 55 Let V be a vector space and \mathbf{P} be an operad. We put:

$$M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V) = M_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}^D, \quad G_{\mathbf{P}}^B(V) = G_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}^B.$$

Let $\theta_{\mathbf{P}} : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}$ be an operad morphism. We obtain:

• A group structure on $G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V) = \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$, given by:

$$\forall x, y \in G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V), \quad x \blacklozenge y = [e^x, e^y].$$

In particular, if the morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{P}}$ is trivial, that is to say:

$$\theta_{\mathbf{P}}([_, _]_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } (k, l) = (1, 0) \text{ or } (0, 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $x \blacklozenge y = x + y$.

• The monoid $M_{\mathbf{P}}^D = (\overline{\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)}, \diamond)$ and the group $G_{\mathbf{P}}^B = (\overline{\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V)_+}, \diamond)$.

- By theorem 46 and proposition 36, there exists right actions \triangleleft of $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \diamond)$ and \triangleleft' of $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \diamond')$ on $G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V)$ by group endomorphisms; by restriction, there exists a right action \triangleleft of $G_{\mathbf{P}}^B(V)$ on $G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V)$ by group automorphisms.

Let us first describe these two actions.

Proposition 56 *The vector spaces $M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$ and $\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)} \otimes V^*$ are canonically isomorphic. For all $x = \sum p_n.(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V)$, for all $y = q \otimes f \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$, with $q \in \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$ and $f \in V^*$:*

$$x \triangleleft' y = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} f(x_{i_1}) \dots f(x_{i_k}) p_n.(x_1, \dots, x_{i_1-1}, q, x_{i_1+1}, \dots, x_{i_k-1}, q, x_{i_k+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

$$x \triangleleft y = \sum_{n \geq 1} f(x_1) \dots f(x_n) p.(q, \dots, q).$$

Proof. We naturally identify $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes n})$ with $V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^*$. For all $n \geq 1$:

$$\text{coinv}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V(n) = \mathbf{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} (V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^*) = (\mathbf{P}(n) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_n} V^{\otimes n}) \otimes V^* = F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)(n) \otimes V^*,$$

so:

$$M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V) = \prod_{n \geq 1} F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)(n) \otimes V^* = \left(\prod_{n \geq 1} F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)(n) \right) \otimes V^* = \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)} \otimes V^*.$$

The first formula comes from proposition 36, as $x \triangleleft' y = x \leftarrow e^y$. The second formula is obtained by the application of the isomorphism between $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ and $D'_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, inducing the isomorphism between $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \diamond')$ and $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \diamond)$. \square

The graduation of $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ induces a distance d on $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$: denoting val the valuation associated to this graduation,

$$\forall x, y \in F_{\mathbf{P}}(V), d(x, y) = 2^{-\text{val}(x-y)}.$$

Proposition 57 *For all $y \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$, we consider:*

$$\phi_y : \begin{cases} \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)} & \longrightarrow & \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)} \\ x & \longrightarrow & \phi_y(x) = x \triangleleft y. \end{cases}$$

Then ϕ_y is a continuous endomorphism of \mathbf{P} -algebras. Moreover:

$$\forall y, z \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \phi_y \circ \phi_z = \phi_{z \diamond y}.$$

Proof. For all $x \in G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V)$, $y \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$, $\text{val}(x \triangleleft y) \geq \text{val}(x)$, so ϕ_y is continuous.

Un to the isomorphism between $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \diamond)$ and $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \diamond')$, we work with the action \triangleleft' . For all $y \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$, $x \in \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$, we put $\phi_y(x) = x \triangleleft y$. By lemma 45, for all $p \in \mathbf{P}(n)$, for all $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$, as y is primitive, e^y is a group-like element and:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_y'(p.(v_1, \dots, v_n)) &= p.(v_1, \dots, v_n) \leftarrow e^y \\ &= p.(v_1 \leftarrow e^y, \dots, v_n \leftarrow e^y) \\ &= p.(\phi_y'(v_1), \dots, \phi_y'(v_n)). \end{aligned}$$

By continuity of ϕ_y' , this is still true if $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$, so ϕ_y' is indeed a continuous morphism of \mathbf{P} -algebras. Up to an automorphism, this is also the case for ϕ_y .

For all $x \in G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V)$, $y, z \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$:

$$\phi_y \circ \phi_z(x) = (x \triangleleft z) \triangleleft y = x \triangleleft (z \diamond y) = \phi_{z \diamond y}(x),$$

so $\phi_y \circ \phi_z = \phi_{z \diamond y}$. □

Notations.

1. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ the monoid of continuous \mathbf{P} -algebra endomorphisms of $\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$ and by $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ the group of continuous \mathbf{P} -algebra automorphisms of $\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$.
2. We put:

$$\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}_2 = \prod_{n \geq 2} \mathbf{P}(n).V^{\otimes n}.$$

If $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$, then $\phi(\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}_2) \subseteq \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}_2$, so ϕ induces a map:

$$\phi' : \begin{cases} V = \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}/\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}_2 & \longrightarrow \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}/\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}_2 \\ \bar{v} & \longrightarrow \overline{\phi(v)}. \end{cases}$$

We obtain in this way a monoid morphism $\varpi : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V)$, and by restriction a group morphism $\varpi : \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}(V) \longrightarrow GL(V)$. The kernel of this morphism is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}(V)$: this is the group of continuous automorphisms of $\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$ tangent to the identity.

3. If $\varphi \in GL(V)$, let $\iota(\varphi)$ be the unique continuous endomorphism of \mathbf{P} -algebras of $\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$ such that $\iota(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in V$. Then $\iota : GL(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a group morphism, such that $\varpi \circ \iota = \text{Id}_{GL(V)}$. So:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}(V) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}(V) \rtimes GL(V).$$

Proposition 58 1. The morphism ϕ is an anti-isomorphism from $M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$.

2. Its restriction to $G_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$ is an anti-isomorphism from $G_{\mathbf{P}}^B(V)$ to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}(V)$.

Proof. By proposition 57, ϕ is antimorphism from $M_{\mathbf{P}}^B(V)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. Let $y = q \otimes f \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$, with $q \in \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$ and $f \in V^*$. For all $x \in V$,

$$\phi_y(x) = f(x)q,$$

so ϕ is injective. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. We fix a basis $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ of V . For all $i \in I$, we put $q_i = \phi(x_i)$, and $y = \sum q_j \otimes x_j^*$. For all $i \in I$:

$$\phi_y(x_i) = \sum_j x_j^*(x_i)q_j = q_i = \phi(x_i).$$

As both ϕ_y and ϕ are continuous morphisms of \mathbf{P} -algebras, they are equal, so ϕ is surjective.

Moreover, for all $y = q \otimes f \in M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_y \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}(V) &\iff \forall x \in V, \phi_y(x) - x \in \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}_2 \\ &\iff \forall x \in V, f(x)q - x \in \overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}_2 \\ &\iff y_1 = \text{Id}_V \\ &\iff y \in G_{\mathbf{P}}^B(V). \end{aligned}$$

So ϕ induces an anti-isomorphism from $G_{\mathbf{P}}^B(V)$ to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}(V)$. □

3.4 Set bases

In numerous cases, there exists, for all $n \geq 1$, a basis \mathcal{B}_n of $\mathbf{P}(n)$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{B}_n$, for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $x^\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_n$. We shall say in this case that the basis $\mathcal{B} = \bigsqcup \mathcal{B}_n$ of \mathbf{P} is a set basis, and we shall identify the vector spaces \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{P}^* through the map:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^* \\ x \in \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow x^* \in \mathcal{B}^*. \end{cases}$$

We do not expect these bases to be stable under the operadic composition (we shall not always work here with set operads). For example, $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $(\sigma)_{\sigma \in \sqcup \mathfrak{S}_n}$ are set bases of respectively **Com** and **As**.

Let us consider a set basis \mathcal{B} of \mathbf{P} .

- For all $n \geq 1$, we denote by \mathcal{O}_n the set of orbits of the action of \mathfrak{S}_n on \mathcal{B}_n . This is the set of isoclasses of elements of \mathcal{B}_n .
- For all $x \in \mathcal{B}_n$, its orbit will be denoted by $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{O}_n$.
- For all $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_n$, we denote by s_ω the quotient cardinal of $N!/|\omega|$ (number of symmetries of ω).

Let us fix a system $(x_\omega)_{\omega \in \mathcal{O}_n}$ of representants of the orbits. For all $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_n$, we denote by $\bar{\omega}$ the class of x_ω in $\text{coinv}\mathbf{P}(n)$: this does not depend of the choice of x_ω , and $(\bar{\omega})_{\omega \in \mathcal{O}_n}$ is a basis of $\text{coinv}(\mathbf{P})$. We denote by \mathcal{B}_n^* the dual basis of $\mathbf{P}^*(n)$. For all $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_n$, we put:

$$f_\omega = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (x_\omega^\sigma)^* = s_\omega \sum_{x \in \omega} x^*.$$

Then $(f_\omega)_{\omega \in \sqcup \mathcal{O}_n}$ is a basis of $\text{inv}\mathbf{P}^*$. For all $\omega, \omega' \in \mathcal{O}_n$:

$$f_\omega(\bar{\omega}') = \delta_{\omega, \omega'} s_\omega.$$

We now fix a finite-dimensional vector space V . Let us choose a basis (X_1, \dots, X_N) of V and let us denote by $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N)$ the dual basis of V^* . A basis of $\mathbf{P} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ is given by $(x \otimes X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n})_{b \in \mathcal{B}_n, 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq N}$, which can be seen as the set of elements of \mathcal{B}_n decorated by elements of $[N]$. The action of the symmetric group is given by:

$$(x \otimes X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n})^\sigma = x^\sigma \otimes X_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \dots X_{i_{\sigma(n)}},$$

so this is also a set basis. The set of orbits of this action is interpreted as the set of isoclasses of elements of \mathcal{B}_n decorated by $[N]$. It is a basis of the homogeneous component of degree n of $F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$.

A basis of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, V^{\otimes n})$ is given by $(\epsilon_j X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n})_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n, j \leq N}$, where for all $v \in V$:

$$\epsilon_j X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n}(v) = \epsilon_j(v) X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n}.$$

A basis of $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V(n)$ is given by $(x \otimes \epsilon_j X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n})_{b \in \mathcal{B}_n, 1 \leq j, i_1, \dots, i_n \leq N}$. The action of the symmetric group is given by:

$$(x \otimes \epsilon_j X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_n})^\sigma = x^\sigma \otimes \epsilon_j X_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \dots X_{i_{\sigma(n)}},$$

so it is a set basis. Moreover, the orbits of this action can be seen as pairs (ω, j) , where ω is an isoclasse of elements of \mathcal{B} decorated by $[N]$ and $j \in [N]$.

Chapter 4

Examples and applications

Let us now give examples of these constructions. We start with classical operads **Com**, **As** and **PreLie**. We obtain that $A_{\mathbf{Com}}^*$ is the coordinate Hopf algebra of the group $G = (\mathbb{K}[[X]]_+, +)$ and $B_{\mathbf{Com}}^*$ is the coordinate bialgebra of the Faà di Bruno monoid of formal continuous maps $M = (\mathbb{K}[[X]]_+, \circ)$; the coaction of $B_{\mathbf{Com}}^*$ and $A_{\mathbf{Com}}^*$ corresponds to the action of M on G by composition. Similarly, for **As**, we obtain groups and monoids of non-commutative formal series. Moreover, $A_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*$ is the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra [9, 25, 13, 38], and $A_{\mathbf{PreLie}}$ is the Grossman-Larson Hopf algebra [21, 22, 23], both of them based on trees; $D_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*$ is another bialgebra of rooted trees, whose coproduct is given by extraction-contraction operations, defined in [4], as well as the coaction of $D_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*$ on $A_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*$.

We then introduce an operadic structure on Feynman graphs, inducing operadic structures on other combinatorial objects as simple graphs, simple graphs without cycle, posets. All these operads give pairs of (co)-interacting bialgebras, as well as non-commutative versions of them; we recover in particular in this process the bialgebras on graphs without cycle of [33], or the bialgebras of quasiposets used in [16].

4.1 Operads Com, As and PreLie

4.1.1 The operads Com and As

The brace and pre-Lie structures of **Com** are given by:

$$\langle e_i, e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_k} \rangle = \binom{i+1}{k} e_{i+j_1+\dots+j_k}, \quad e_i \bullet e_j = (i+1)e_{i+1}.$$

Let us fix the vector space $V = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$. We denote by $(\epsilon_i)_{i \geq 1}$ the dual basis of (X_1, \dots, X_N) . We consider the morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{Com}} : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{Com}$ given in section 2.2.3. Then:

$$A_{\mathbf{Com}}(V) = S(F_{\mathbf{Com}}(V)) = S(\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_N]_+),$$

with the quasi-shuffle product $*$ induced by the product of $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_N]_+$. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$, we put $X_{\alpha} = X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_N^{\alpha_N}$. Then, for example, if $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}^N - \{0\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\alpha} * X_{\beta} &= X_{\alpha} X_{\beta} + X_{\alpha+\beta}, \\ X_{\alpha} * X_{\beta} X_{\gamma} &= X_{\alpha} X_{\beta} X_{\gamma} + X_{\alpha+\beta} X_{\gamma} + X_{\alpha} X_{\beta+\gamma}, \\ X_{\alpha} X_{\beta} * X_{\gamma} X_{\delta} &= X_{\alpha} X_{\beta} X_{\gamma} X_{\delta} + X_{\alpha+\gamma} X_{\beta} X_{\delta} + X_{\alpha+\delta} X_{\beta} X_{\gamma} \\ &\quad + X_{\alpha} X_{\beta+\gamma} X_{\delta} + X_{\alpha} X_{\beta+\delta} X_{\gamma} + X_{\alpha+\gamma} X_{\beta+\delta} + X_{\alpha+\delta} X_{\beta+\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Dually, $A_{\mathbf{Com}}^*(V)$ is identified with $S(\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_N]_+)$ as an algebra. Its coproduct Δ_* is given by:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N, \Delta(X_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha=\beta+\gamma} X_{\beta} \otimes X_{\gamma}.$$

Its group of characters is isomorphic to:

$$(\{1 + P(X_1, \dots, X_N) \mid P \in \mathbb{K}[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]_+\}, \cdot).$$

Moreover, $B_{\mathbf{Com}}^*(V)$ is the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra on N variables, which group of characters is the group of formal diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]$ which are tangent to the identity, that is to say:

$$(\{(X_i + P(X_1, \dots, X_N))_{i \in [N]} \mid P_i(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in \mathbb{K}[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]_{\geq 2}\}, \circ),$$

where $\mathbb{K}[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]_{\geq 2}$ is the subspace of formal series in $\mathbb{K}[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]$ of valuation ≥ 2 .

Here are examples of coproducts Δ_* and Δ'_* on $D_{\mathbf{Com}}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta'_*(e_1) &= e_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_1, & \Delta_*(e_1) &= e_1 \otimes e_1, \\ \Delta'_*(e_2) &= e_2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1 e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_2 + 2e_2 \otimes e_1, & \Delta_*(e_2) &= e_2 \otimes e_1 e_1 + e_1 \otimes e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us describe $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{As}}^*$.

Definition 59 Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

1. We shall write $I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_k =_\sigma [n]$ if:

- For all $p \in [k]$, both I_p and $\sigma(I_p)$ are intervals of $[n]$.
- $[n] = I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_k$.
- For all $1 \leq p < q \leq k$, for all $i \in I_p, j \in I_q, i < j$.

2. Let us assume that $I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_k =_\sigma [n]$. As $\sigma(I_1), \dots, \sigma(I_k)$ are intervals, there exists a unique permutation $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ such that $\sigma(I_{\tau(1)}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \sigma(I_{\tau(k)}) =_{\sigma^{-1}} [n]$. We denote $\sigma/(I_1, \dots, I_k) = \tau^{-1}$.

The bialgebra $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{As}}^*$ is freely generated by the set $\bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{S}_n$. For all permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$,

$$\Delta_*(\sigma) = \sum_{I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_k =_\sigma [n]} \sigma/(I_1, \dots, I_k) \otimes \text{Std}(\sigma|_{I_1}) \dots \text{Std}(\sigma|_{I_k}),$$

where Std is the usual standardization of permutations. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_*((1)) &= (1) \otimes (1), \\ \Delta_*((12)) &= (12) \otimes (1)(1) + (1) \otimes (12), \\ \Delta_*((21)) &= (21) \otimes (1)(1) + (1) \otimes (21), \\ \Delta_*((123)) &= (123) \otimes (1)(1)(1) + (1) \otimes (123) + (12) \otimes (12)(1) + (12) \otimes (1)(12), \\ \Delta_*((132)) &= (132) \otimes (1)(1)(1) + (1) \otimes (132) + (12) \otimes (1)(21), \\ \Delta_*((213)) &= (213) \otimes (1)(1)(1) + (1) \otimes (213) + (12) \otimes (21)(1), \\ \Delta_*((231)) &= (231) \otimes (1)(1)(1) + (1) \otimes (231) + (21) \otimes (12)(1), \\ \Delta_*((312)) &= (312) \otimes (1)(1)(1) + (1) \otimes (312) + (21) \otimes (1)(12), \\ \Delta_*((321)) &= (321) \otimes (1)(1)(1) + (1) \otimes (321) + (21) \otimes (21)(1) + (21) \otimes (1)(21). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the vector space $V = \text{Vect}(X_1, \dots, X_N)$. Then $D_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$ is generated by the elements $(X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k} \epsilon_j)_{k \geq 1, i_1, \dots, i_k, j \in [N]}$. For all word w in letters X_1, \dots, X_N , for all $i \in [N]$:

$$\Delta_*(w \epsilon_i) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{w = u_0 v_1 u_1 \dots v_k u_k, \\ i_1, \dots, i_k \in [N]}} u_0 X_{i_1} u_1 \dots u_{k-1} X_{i_k} u_k \epsilon_i \otimes (v_1 \epsilon_{i_1}) \dots (v_k \epsilon_{i_k}).$$

Note that the abelianization of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Com}}^*(V)$ is $D_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$.

Examples. In $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{Com}}^*(V)$ or in $D_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$, if $i, j, k, l \in [N]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_*(X_i \epsilon_j) &= \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \epsilon_j \otimes X_i \epsilon_p, \\ \Delta_*(X_i X_j \epsilon_k) &= \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \epsilon_k \otimes X_i X_j \epsilon_p + \sum_{p,q=1}^N X_p X_q \epsilon_k \otimes (X_i \epsilon_p)(X_j \epsilon_q), \\ \Delta_*(X_i X_j X_k \epsilon_l) &= \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \epsilon_l \otimes X_i X_j X_k \epsilon_p + \sum_{p,q=1}^N X_p X_q \epsilon_l \otimes (X_i X_j \epsilon_p)(X_k \epsilon_q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N X_p X_q \epsilon_l \otimes (X_i \epsilon_p)(X_j X_k \epsilon_q) + \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N X_p X_q X_r \epsilon_l \otimes (X_i \epsilon_p)(X_j \epsilon_q)(X_k \epsilon_r).\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain the Hopf algebra $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$, we quotient by the relations $X_i \epsilon_j = \delta_{i,j} 1$. The coproduct becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_*(X_i X_j \epsilon_k) &= 1 \otimes X_i X_j \epsilon_p + X_i X_j \epsilon_k \otimes 1, \\ \Delta_*(X_i X_j X_k \epsilon_l) &= 1 \otimes X_i X_j X_k \epsilon_l + \sum_{p=1}^N X_p X_k \epsilon_l \otimes X_i X_j \epsilon_p + \sum_{q=1}^N X_i X_q \epsilon_l \otimes X_j X_k \epsilon_q + X_i X_j X_k \epsilon_l \otimes 1.\end{aligned}$$

We consider the morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{As}} : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{As}$ defined in section 2.2.3. The Hopf algebra $A_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$ is generated by the elements $(X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_k})_{k \geq 1, i_1, \dots, i_k \in [N]}$. For all word w in letters X_1, \dots, X_N , for all $i \in [N]$:

$$\Delta_*(w) = w \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes w + \sum_{w=uv, u, v \neq \emptyset} u \otimes v.$$

The coaction of $D_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$ over $A_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$ is given by:

$$\rho(w) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{w=u_0 v_1 u_1 \dots v_k u_k, \\ i_1, \dots, i_k \in [n]}} u_0 X_{i_1} u_1 \dots u_{k-1} X_{i_k} u_k \otimes (v_1 \epsilon_{i_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (v_k \epsilon_{i_k}).$$

For example:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X_i) &= \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \otimes X_i \epsilon_p, \\ \rho(X_i X_j) &= \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \otimes X_i X_j \epsilon_p + \sum_{p,q=1}^N X_p X_q \otimes (X_i \epsilon_p)(X_j \epsilon_q), \\ \rho(X_i X_j X_k) &= \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \otimes X_i X_j X_k \epsilon_p + \sum_{p,q=1}^N X_p X_q \otimes (X_i X_j \epsilon_p)(X_k \epsilon_q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N X_p X_q \otimes (X_i \epsilon_p)(X_j X_k \epsilon_q) + \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N X_p X_q X_r \otimes (X_i \epsilon_p)(X_j \epsilon_q)(X_k \epsilon_r).\end{aligned}$$

Here are examples of the coaction of $B_{\mathbf{As}}^*(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X_i) &= X_i \otimes 1, \\ \rho(X_i X_j) &= X_i X_j \otimes 1 + \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \otimes X_i \epsilon_p, \\ \rho(X_i X_j X_k) &= X_i X_j X_k \otimes 1 + \sum_{p=1}^N X_p X_k \otimes X_i X_j \epsilon_p + \sum_{q=1}^N X_i X_q \otimes X_j X_k \epsilon_q + \sum_{p=1}^N X_p \otimes X_i X_j X_k \epsilon_p.\end{aligned}$$

4.1.2 The operad \mathbf{PreLie}

We now consider the operad \mathbf{PreLie} , as described in [6, 7]. This operad comes from an operadic species; for all finite set A , $\mathbf{PreLie}(A)$ is the vector space generated by rooted trees whose set of vertices is A . For example:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{PreLie}(\{1\}) &= \mathit{Vect}(\bullet_1), \\ \mathbf{PreLie}(\{1, 2\}) &= \mathit{Vect}(\mathbf{1}_1^2, \mathbf{1}_2^1), \\ \mathbf{PreLie}(\{1, 2, 3\}) &= \mathit{Vect}(\mathbf{1}_1^3, \mathbf{1}_1^2, \mathbf{1}_1^1, \mathbf{1}_2^3, \mathbf{1}_2^2, \mathbf{1}_2^1, \mathbf{1}_3^3, \mathbf{1}_3^2, \mathbf{1}_3^1, {}^2\mathbf{V}_1^3, {}^1\mathbf{V}_2^3, {}^1\mathbf{V}_3^2).\end{aligned}$$

The composition is given by insertion at vertices in all possible ways. For example:

$$\mathbf{1}_1^2 \circ_1 \mathbf{1}_3^4 = {}^4\mathbf{V}_3^2 + \mathbf{1}_3^4, \quad \mathbf{1}_1^2 \circ_2 \mathbf{1}_3^4 = \mathbf{1}_1^4.$$

The morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{PreLie}} : \mathbf{b}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{PreLie}$ is described in section 2.2.3.

Let us fix $V = \mathit{Vect}(X_1, \dots, X_N)$.

- A basis of $A_{\mathbf{PreLie}}(V)$ is given by forests of rooted trees decorated by $[N]$; in particular, if $i \in [N]$, X_i is identified with \bullet_i . The product is given by graftings; for example, if $i, j, k \in [N]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\bullet_i * \bullet_j &= \bullet_i \bullet_j + \mathbf{1}_i^j, \\ \bullet_i * \mathbf{1}_j^k &= \bullet_i \mathbf{1}_j^k + \mathbf{1}_i^k, & \mathbf{1}_i^j * \bullet_k &= \mathbf{1}_i^j \bullet_k + {}^j\mathbf{V}_i^k + \mathbf{1}_i^k, \\ \bullet_i \bullet_j * \bullet_k &= \bullet_i \bullet_j \bullet_k + \mathbf{1}_i^k \bullet_j + \bullet_i \mathbf{1}_j^k, & \mathbf{1}_i^j * \mathbf{1}_j^k &= \bullet_i \bullet_j \bullet_k + \mathbf{1}_i^j \bullet_k + \mathbf{1}_i^k \bullet_j + {}^j\mathbf{V}_i^k.\end{aligned}$$

In other terms, this is the Grossman-Larson Hopf algebra of decorated rooted trees [21, 22, 23]. Its dual is (the coopposite of) the Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra of decorated rooted trees [9, 13, 38, 25], which coproduct is given by admissible cuts. If $i, j, k \in [N]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\star(\bullet_i) &= \bullet_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \bullet_i, \\ \Delta_\star(\mathbf{1}_i^j) &= \mathbf{1}_i^j \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_i^j + \bullet_i \otimes \bullet_j, \\ \Delta_\star({}^j\mathbf{V}_i^k) &= {}^j\mathbf{V}_i^k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes {}^j\mathbf{V}_i^k + \mathbf{1}_i^j \otimes \bullet_k + \mathbf{1}_i^k \otimes \bullet_j + \bullet_i \otimes \bullet_j \bullet_k, \\ \Delta_\star(\mathbf{1}_i^k) &= \mathbf{1}_i^k \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{1}_i^k + \mathbf{1}_i^j \otimes \bullet_k + \bullet_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_j^k.\end{aligned}$$

- A basis of $B_{\mathbf{PreLie}}(V)$ is given by forests of pairs (t, j) , where t is a rooted tree decorated by $[N]$ and $j \in [N]$. The underlying pre-Lie product \bullet is given by insertion at a vertex, as the operadic composition is. For example, if $i, j, k \in [N]$ and $N \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{1}_1^2, i) \bullet (\mathbf{1}_j^k, 1) &= ({}^k\mathbf{V}_j^2, i) + (\mathbf{1}_j^2, i), & (\mathbf{1}_1^2, i) \bullet (\mathbf{1}_j^k, 2) &= (\mathbf{1}_1^k, i), \\ (\mathbf{1}_1^1, i) \bullet (\mathbf{1}_j^k, 1) &= ({}^k\mathbf{V}_j^1, i) + (\mathbf{1}_j^1, i) + (\mathbf{1}_1^k, i), & (\mathbf{1}_1^1, i) \bullet (\mathbf{1}_j^k, 2) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- The bialgebra $D_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*(V)$ has the same basis. Its coproduct is given by extraction-contraction of subtrees. For example, in the non decorated case (or equivalently if $N = 1$):

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_*(\bullet) &= \bullet \otimes \bullet, \\ \Delta_*(\mathbf{!}) &= \mathbf{!} \otimes \bullet + \bullet \otimes \mathbf{!}, \\ \Delta_*(\mathbf{V}) &= \mathbf{V} \otimes \dots + \mathbf{!} \otimes \mathbf{!} + \mathbf{!} \otimes \bullet + \bullet \otimes \mathbf{V}, \\ \Delta_*(\mathbf{!}) &= \mathbf{!} \otimes \dots + \mathbf{!} \otimes \mathbf{!} + \mathbf{!} \otimes \bullet + \bullet \otimes \mathbf{!}.\end{aligned}$$

This is the extraction-contraction coproduct of [4]. More generally, in $D_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*(V)$, if $a, b, c, d \in [N]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_*((\bullet_a, d)) &= \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p), \\ \Delta_*((\mathbf{!}_a^b, d)) &= \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^q, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^b, p), \\ \Delta_*(({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, d)) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N ({}^q\mathbf{V}_p^r, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^b, p)(\bullet_c, q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^c, p)(\bullet_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes ({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, p), \\ \Delta_*((\mathbf{!}_a^c, d)) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^q, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^b, p)(\bullet_c, q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^q, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, q)(\mathbf{!}_b^c, p) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^c, p).\end{aligned}$$

After taking the quotient by $\bullet_i \epsilon_j X - \delta_{i,j} 1$, in $B_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_*((\mathbf{!}_a^b, d)) &= (\mathbf{!}_a^b, d) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^b, d), \\ \Delta_*(({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, d)) &= ({}^c\mathbf{V}_a^b, d) \otimes 1 + \sum_{p=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^b, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^b, p) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^b, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^c, p) + 1 \otimes ({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, d), \\ \Delta_*((\mathbf{!}_a^c, d)) &= (\mathbf{!}_a^c, d) \otimes 1 + \sum_{p=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_p^c, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^b, p) + \sum_{q=1}^N (\mathbf{!}_a^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{!}_b^c, p) + 1 \otimes (\mathbf{!}_a^c, d).\end{aligned}$$

The coaction of $D_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*(V)$ over $A_{\mathbf{PreLie}}^*(V)$ is given in a similar way. For example:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\cdot_a) &= \sum_{p=1}^N \cdot_p \otimes (\cdot_a, p), \\
\rho(\mathbf{1}_a^b) &= \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\cdot_a, p)(\cdot_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N \cdot_p \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p), \\
\rho({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N {}^q\mathbf{V}_p^r \otimes (\cdot_a, p)(\cdot_b, q)(\cdot_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p)(\cdot_c, q) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, p)(\cdot_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N \cdot_p \otimes ({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, p), \\
\rho(\mathbf{1}_a^c) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^r \otimes (\cdot_a, p)(\cdot_b, q)(\cdot_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p)(\cdot_c, q) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\cdot_a, q)(\mathbf{1}_b^c, p) + \sum_{p=1}^N \cdot_p \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, p).
\end{aligned}$$

4.2 Feynman Graphs

4.2.1 Oriented Feynman graphs

We shall use the following formalism for oriented Feynman graphs :

Definition 60 1. A Feynman graph is a family

$$\Gamma = (V(\Gamma), \text{Int}(\Gamma), \text{OutExt}(\Gamma), \text{InExt}(\Gamma), S_\Gamma, T_\Gamma),$$

where:

- $V(\Gamma)$ is a finite, non-empty set, called the set of vertices of Γ .
 - $\text{Int}(\Gamma)$ is a finite set, called the set of internal edges of Γ .
 - $\text{OutExt}(\Gamma)$ is a finite set, called the set of external outgoing edges of Γ .
 - $\text{InExt}(\Gamma)$ is a finite set, called the set of internal ingoing edges of Γ .
 - $S_\Gamma : \text{Int}(\Gamma) \sqcup \text{OutExt}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow V(\Gamma)$ is the source map.
 - $T_\Gamma : \text{Int}(\Gamma) \sqcup \text{InExt}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow V(\Gamma)$ is the target map.
2. Let Γ and Γ' be two Feynman graphs. We shall say that Γ and Γ' are equivalent if the following conditions hold:
- $V(\Gamma) = V(\Gamma')$.
 - There exist bijections:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\text{Int}} &: \text{Int}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Int}(\Gamma'), \\
\phi_{\text{OutExt}} &: \text{OutExt}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{OutExt}(\Gamma'), \\
\phi_{\text{InExt}} &: \text{InExt}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{InExt}(\Gamma'),
\end{aligned}$$

such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma), \quad S_\Gamma(e) &= S_{\Gamma'} \circ \phi_{\text{Int}}(e) \quad \text{and} \quad T_\Gamma(e) = T_{\Gamma'} \circ \phi_{\text{Int}}(e), \\
\forall e \in \text{OutExt}(\Gamma), \quad S_\Gamma(e) &= S_{\Gamma'} \circ \phi_{\text{OutExt}}(e), \\
\forall e \in \text{InExt}(\Gamma), \quad T_\Gamma(e) &= T_{\Gamma'} \circ \phi_{\text{InExt}}(e).
\end{aligned}$$

Roughly speaking, two Feynman graphs Γ and Γ' are equivalent if one obtains Γ from Γ' by changing the names of its edges.


- Let A be a finite set. The set of all equivalence classes of Feynman graphs Γ such that $V(\Gamma)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(A)$ and the space generated by $\mathcal{F}(A)$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{F}(A)$.

We shall work only with equivalence classes of Feynman graphs, which we now simply call Feynman graphs.

Remarks.

- $Int(\Gamma)$, $OutExt(\Gamma)$ or $InExt(\Gamma)$ may be empty.
- Restricting to $Int(\Gamma)$, Feynman graphs are also oriented graphs, possibly with multiple edges and loops.

We shall represent Feynman graphs by a diagram, such as:



Definition 61 Let Γ be a Feynman graph, and $I \subseteq V(\Gamma)$, non-empty.

- (Extraction). We define the Feynman graph $\Gamma|_I$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma|_I) &= I, \\ Int(\Gamma|_I) &= \{e \in Int(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \in I, T_\Gamma(e) \in I\}, \\ OutExt(\Gamma|_I) &= \{e \in Int(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \in I, T_\Gamma(e) \notin I\} \sqcup \{e \in OutExt(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \in I\}, \\ InExt(\Gamma|_I) &= \{e \in Int(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \notin I, T_\Gamma(e) \in I\} \sqcup \{e \in InExt(\Gamma) \mid T_\Gamma(e) \in I\}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $e \in Int(\Gamma|_I) \sqcup OutExt(\Gamma|_I)$, for all $f \in Int(\Gamma|_I) \sqcup InExt(\Gamma|_I)$:

$$S_{\Gamma|_I}(e) = S_\Gamma(e), \quad T_{\Gamma|_I}(f) = T_\Gamma(f).$$

Roughly speaking, $\Gamma|_I$ is the Feynman graph obtained by taking all the vertices in I and the half edges attached to them.

- (Contraction). Let $b \notin V(\Gamma)$. We define the Feynman graph $\Gamma/I \rightarrow b$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b) &= (V(\Gamma) \setminus I) \sqcup \{b\}, & OutExt(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b) &= OutExt(\Gamma), \\ Int(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b) &= Int(\Gamma) \setminus Int(\Gamma|_I), & InExt(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b) &= InExt(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

For all $e \in Int(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b) \sqcup OutExt(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b)$:

$$S_{\Gamma/I \rightarrow b}(e) = \begin{cases} S_\Gamma(e) & \text{if } S_\Gamma(e) \notin I, \\ b & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b) \sqcup \text{InExt}(\Gamma/I \rightarrow b)$:

$$T_{\Gamma/I \rightarrow b}(e) = \begin{cases} T_{\Gamma}(e) & \text{if } T_{\Gamma}(e) \notin I, \\ b & \text{if otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Roughly speaking, $\Gamma/I \rightarrow b$ is obtained by contracting all the vertices of I and the internal edges between them to a single vertex b .

3. We shall say that I is Γ -convex if for any oriented path $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ :

$$x, z \in I \implies y_1, \dots, y_k \in I.$$

4.2.2 Lemmas on extraction-contraction

Lemma 62 Let Γ be a Feynman graph, $I_a, I_b \subseteq V(\Gamma)$, non-empty and disjoint.

1. $(\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)|_{I_b} = \Gamma|_{I_b}$.
2. $(\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)/I_b \rightarrow b = (\Gamma/I_b \rightarrow b)/I_a \rightarrow a$.
3. The following conditions are equivalent:
 - (a) I_a is Γ -convex and I_b is $(\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)$ -convex.
 - (b) I_b is Γ -convex and I_a is $(\Gamma/I_b \rightarrow b)$ -convex.

Proof. 1. Let us put $\Gamma' = (\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)|_{I_b}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma') &= I_b, \\ \text{Int}(\Gamma') &= \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b, T_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b\}, \\ \text{OutExt}(\Gamma') &= \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b, T_{\Gamma}(e) \notin I_b\} \sqcup \{e \in \text{OutExt}(\Gamma) \mid S_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b\}, \\ \text{InExt}(\Gamma') &= \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_{\Gamma}(e) \notin I_b, T_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b\} \sqcup \{e \in \text{OutExt}(\Gamma) \mid T_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b\}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma') \sqcup \text{OutExt}(\Gamma')$, $S_{\Gamma'}(e) = S_{\Gamma}(e)$. For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma') \sqcup \text{InExt}(\Gamma')$, $T_{\Gamma'}(e) = T_{\Gamma}(e)$. So $\Gamma' = \Gamma|_{I_b}$.

2. Let us put $\Gamma'' = (\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)/I_b \rightarrow b$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma'') &= V(\Gamma) \sqcup \{a, \} \setminus (I_a \sqcup I_b), \\ \text{Int}(\Gamma'') &= \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_{\Gamma}(e) \notin I_a \sqcup I_b \text{ or } T_{\Gamma}(e) \notin I_a \sqcup I_b\}, \\ \text{OutExt}(\Gamma'') &= \text{OutExt}(\Gamma), \\ \text{InExt}(\Gamma'') &= \text{InExt}(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma'') \sqcup \text{OutExt}(\Gamma'')$:

$$S_{\Gamma''}(e) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } S_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_a, \\ b & \text{if } S_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b, \\ S_{\Gamma}(e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma'') \sqcup \text{InExt}(\Gamma'')$:

$$T_{\Gamma''}(e) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } T_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_a, \\ b & \text{if } T_{\Gamma}(e) \in I_b, \\ T_{\Gamma}(e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By symmetry between a and b , $\Gamma'' = (\Gamma/I_b \rightarrow b)/I_a \rightarrow a$.

3. \implies . Let us assume that $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ , with $x, z \in I_b$. For all $y \in V(\Gamma)$, we put:

$$\bar{y} = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } y \in I_a, \\ y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \rightarrow \bar{y}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{y}_k \rightarrow z$ in $\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a$. As I_b is $(\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)$ -convex, all the \bar{y}_i belong to I_b , so are different from a : hence, $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I_b$.

Let us assume $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in $\Gamma/I_b \rightarrow b$, with $x, z \in I_a$. If at least one of the y_p is equal to b , let us consider the smallest index i such that $x_i = b$ and the greatest index j such that $x_j = b$. There exists $y'_i, y''_j \in I_b$, such that $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_{i-1} \rightarrow y'_i$ and $y''_j \rightarrow y_{j+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z$ in Γ . As a consequence, in $\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a$:

$$y''_j \rightarrow y_{j+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow a \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_{i-1} \rightarrow y'_i.$$

As I_b is $(\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)$ -convex, $a \in I_b$, which is absurd. So none of the y_p is equal to b , which implies that $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ . As I_a is Γ -convex, $y_1, \dots, y_p \in I_a$.

\Leftarrow : by symmetry between a and b . □

Lemma 63 *Let Γ be a Feynman graph, and $I_a \subseteq I_b \subseteq V(G)$ be non-empty sets.*

1. $(\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)/(I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a) \rightarrow b = \Gamma/I_b \rightarrow b$.

2. $(\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)|_{I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a} = (\Gamma|_{I_b})/I_a \rightarrow a$.

3. $(\Gamma|_{I_b})|_{I_a} = \Gamma|_{I_a}$.

4. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) I_b is Γ -convex and I_a is $\Gamma|_{I_b}$ -convex.

(b) I_a is Γ -convex and $I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a$ is $\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a$ -convex.

Proof. 1. Let $\Gamma' = (\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)/(I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a) \rightarrow b$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma') &= V(\Gamma) \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_b, \\ \text{Int}(\Gamma') &= \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \notin I_b \text{ or } T_\Gamma(e) \notin I_b\}, \\ \text{OutExt}(\Gamma') &= \text{OutExt}(\Gamma), \\ \text{InExt}(\Gamma') &= \text{InExt}(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma') \sqcup \text{OutExt}(\Gamma')$:

$$S_{\Gamma'}(e) = \begin{cases} S_\Gamma(e) & \text{if } S_\Gamma(e) \notin I_b, \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma') \sqcup \text{InExt}(\Gamma')$:

$$T_{\Gamma'}(e) = \begin{cases} T_\Gamma(e) & \text{if } T_\Gamma(e) \notin I_b, \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So $\Gamma' = \Gamma/I_b \rightarrow b$.

2. Let $\Gamma'' = (\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a)|_{I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\Gamma'') &= I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a, \\ \text{Int}(\Gamma'') &= \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \in I_b \text{ and } T_\Gamma(e) \in I_b\} \\ &\quad \setminus \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \in I_a \text{ and } T_\Gamma(e) \in I_a\}, \\ \text{OutExt}(\Gamma'') &= \{e \in \text{OutExt}(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \in I_b\} \sqcup \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \in I_b \text{ and } T_\Gamma(e) \notin I_b\}, \\ \text{InExt}(\Gamma'') &= \{e \in \text{InExt}(\Gamma) \mid T_\Gamma(e) \in I_b\} \sqcup \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_\Gamma(e) \notin I_b \text{ and } T_\Gamma(e) \in I_b\}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma'') \sqcup \text{OutExt}(\Gamma'')$:

$$S_{\Gamma''}(e) = \begin{cases} S_\Gamma(e) & \text{if } S_\Gamma(e) \in I_b \setminus I_a, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma'') \sqcup \text{InExt}(\Gamma'')$:

$$T_{\Gamma''}(e) = \begin{cases} T_\Gamma(e) & \text{if } T_\Gamma(e) \in I_b \setminus I_a, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So $\Gamma'' = (\Gamma|_{I_b})/I_a \rightarrow a$.

3. Immediate.

4. \implies . Let $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ , with $x, z \in I_a$. Then $x, z \in I_b$. As I_b is Γ -convex, $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I_b$. As I_a is $\Gamma|_{I_b}$ -convex, $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I_a$.

Let $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in $\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a$, with $x, z \in I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a$. Let $i_1 < \dots < i_l$ be the indices such that $y_{i_j} = a$. There exists elements $y'_{i_p}, y''_{i_p} \in I_a$, such that, in Γ :

$$x \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y'_{i_1}, y''_{i_1} \rightarrow y_{i_1+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y'_{i_p}, y''_{i_p} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow z.$$

As $I_a \subseteq I_b$ and I_b is Γ -convex, all the y_i except the y_{i_p} are elements of I_b . So $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a$.

\Leftarrow . Let $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ , with $x, z \in I_b$. For all $y \in V(\Gamma)$, we put:

$$\bar{y} = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } y \notin I_a, \\ a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{y}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bar{y}_k \rightarrow \bar{z}$ in $\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a$. As $I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a$ is $\Gamma/I_a \rightarrow a$ -convex, $\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_k \in I_b \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I_a$, so $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I_b$.

Let $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in $\Gamma|_{I_b}$, with $x, z \in I_a$. Then Let $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ ; as I_a is Γ -convex, $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I_a$. \square

Definition 64 Let Γ be a Feynman graph.

1. We shall say that Γ is simple if the two following conditions hold:

- For all $v, v' \in V(\Gamma)$, there exists at most one internal edge e in Γ with $S_\Gamma(e) = v$ and $T_\Gamma(e) = v'$.
- For all $e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma)$, $S_\Gamma(e) \neq T_\Gamma(e)$.

Lemma 65 Let Γ be a Feynman graph, $I \subseteq V(\Gamma)$, non-empty.


1. If $\Gamma|_I$ and $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$ has no oriented cycle, then Γ has no oriented cycle and I is Γ -connex.
2. We assume that I is Γ -convex. If $\Gamma|_I$ or $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$ has an oriented cycle, then Γ has an oriented cycle.

Proof. 1. Let $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ , with $x, z \in I$. We assume that at least one of the y_i is not in I . Let i be the smallest index such that $y_i \notin I$ and j be the smallest index greater than i such that $y_j \in I$, with the convention $y_{k+1} = z$. Then $a \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_{j-1} \rightarrow a$ in $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$, and $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$ has an oriented cycle: this is a contradiction, so $y_1, \dots, y_k \in I$ and I is Γ -convex.


Let us consider an oriented cycle $x_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow x_1$ in Γ . If one of the x_i belongs to I , as I is Γ -convex, all the x_i belongs to I , so $\Gamma|_I$ has an oriented cycle: this is a contradiction. If none of the x_i belong to I , they form an oriented cycle in $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$: this is a contradiction. As a conclusion, Γ has no oriented cycle.

2. If $\Gamma|_I$ has an oriented cycle, obviously Γ has an oriented cycle. Let us assume that $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$ has an oriented cycle. If this cycle does not contain a , then obviously Γ has an oriented cycle. If not, there exists an oriented cycle $a \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow a$ in $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$, with $y_1, \dots, y_k \notin V(\Gamma) \setminus I$. Note that $k \geq 1$, by definition of $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$. Hence, there exists $x, z \in I$, such that $x \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow y_k \rightarrow z$ in Γ : I is not Γ -convex. \square

Remark. If I is not Γ -convex, Γ may have no oriented cycle, whereas $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$ may have one. Take for example:



If $I = \{1, 3\}$, then:



4.2.3 The operad of Feynman graphs

Proposition 66 Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(B)$, and $b \in A$. We put:

$$\Gamma \nabla_b \Gamma' = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ \Gamma''|_B = \Gamma', \Gamma''/B \rightarrow b = \Gamma}} \Gamma'', \quad \Gamma \circ_b \Gamma' = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ \Gamma''|_B = \Gamma', \Gamma''/B \rightarrow b = \Gamma, \\ B \text{ } \Gamma''\text{-convex}}} \Gamma''.$$

For all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(B)$, $\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{F}(C)$, if $b \neq c \in A$:

$$(\Gamma \nabla_b \Gamma') \nabla_c \Gamma'' = (\Gamma \nabla_c \Gamma'') \nabla_b \Gamma', \quad (\Gamma \circ_b \Gamma') \circ_c \Gamma'' = (\Gamma \circ_c \Gamma'') \circ_b \Gamma'.$$

If $b \in B$ and $c \in C$:

$$(\Gamma \nabla_b \Gamma') \nabla_c \Gamma'' = \Gamma \nabla_b (\Gamma' \nabla_c \Gamma''), \quad (\Gamma \circ_b \Gamma') \circ_c \Gamma'' = \Gamma \circ_b (\Gamma' \circ_c \Gamma'').$$

Proof. If $b \neq c \in A$:

$$(\Gamma \nabla_b \Gamma') \nabla_c \Gamma'' = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ \Upsilon|_C = \Gamma'', (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow C)|_B = \Gamma', \\ (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow c)/B \rightarrow b = \Gamma}} \Upsilon = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ \Upsilon|_C = \Gamma'', \Upsilon|_B = \Gamma', \\ (\Upsilon/B \rightarrow b)/C \rightarrow c = \Gamma}} \Upsilon = (\Gamma \nabla_c \Gamma'') \nabla_b \Gamma';$$

$$(\Gamma \circ_b \Gamma') \circ_c \Gamma'' = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ \Upsilon|_C = \Gamma'', (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow C)|_B = \Gamma', \\ (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow c)/B \rightarrow b = \Gamma, \\ C \text{ } \Upsilon\text{-convex, } B \text{ } \Upsilon/C \rightarrow c\text{-convex}}} \Upsilon = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ \Upsilon|_C = \Gamma'', \Upsilon|_B = \Gamma', \\ (\Upsilon/B \rightarrow b)/C \rightarrow c = \Gamma, \\ B \text{ } \Upsilon\text{-convex, } C \text{ } \Upsilon/B \rightarrow b\text{-convex}}} \Upsilon = (\Gamma \circ_c \Gamma'') \circ_b \Gamma'.$$

We used lemma 62, with $I_a = B$ and $I_b = C$.

Let $b \in A$ and $c \in B$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Gamma \nabla_b \Gamma') \nabla_c \Gamma'' &= \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ \Upsilon|_C = \Gamma'', (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow c)|_B = \Gamma', \\ (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow c)/B \rightarrow b = \Gamma}} \Upsilon = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ (\Upsilon|_{B \sqcup C} \setminus \{c\})|_C = \Gamma'', \\ (\Upsilon|_{B \sqcup C} \setminus \{c\})/C \rightarrow c = \Gamma'', \\ \Upsilon/B \sqcup C \setminus \{c\} \rightarrow b = \Gamma}} \Upsilon = \Gamma \nabla_b (\Gamma' \nabla_c \Gamma''); \\
(\Gamma \circ_b \Gamma') \circ_c \Gamma'' &= \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ \Upsilon|_C = \Gamma'', (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow c)|_B = \Gamma', \\ (\Upsilon/C \rightarrow c)/B \rightarrow b = \Gamma, \\ C \text{ } \Upsilon\text{-convex, } B \text{ } \Upsilon|_C\text{-convex}}} \Upsilon = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \sqcup C \setminus \{b, c\}), \\ (\Upsilon|_{B \sqcup C} \setminus \{c\})|_C = \Gamma'', \\ (\Upsilon|_{B \sqcup C} \setminus \{c\})/C \rightarrow c = \Gamma'', \\ \Upsilon/B \sqcup C \setminus \{c\} \rightarrow b = \Gamma, \\ B \sqcup C \setminus \{c\} \text{ } \Upsilon\text{-convex,} \\ C \text{ } \Upsilon|_{B \sqcup C \setminus \{c\}}\text{-convex}}} \Upsilon = \Gamma \circ_b (\Gamma' \circ_c \Gamma'').
\end{aligned}$$

We used lemma 63, with $I_a = C$ and $I_b = B \sqcup C \setminus \{c\}$. \square

Although the associativity of the composition is satisfied, \mathbf{F} is not an operad: it contains no unit. In order to obtain it, we must take a completion. For all finite set A , we put:

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}}(A) = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma.$$

It contains $\mathbf{F}(A)$. Its elements will be denoted under the form:

$$\sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)} a_\Gamma \Gamma.$$

Theorem 67 *The compositions ∇ and \circ are naturally extended to $\bar{\mathbf{F}}$, making it an operad. Its unit is:*

$$I = \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(\{1\})} \Gamma.$$

Proof. Let $X = \sum a_\Gamma \Gamma \in \mathbf{F}(A)$ and $Y = \sum b_\Gamma \Gamma$ in $\mathbf{F}(B)$ and $b \in A$. Then:

$$X \nabla_b Y = \sum_{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\})} a_{\Upsilon/B \rightarrow b} b_{\Upsilon|_B} \Upsilon, \quad X \circ_b Y = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ B \text{ } \Upsilon\text{-convex}}} a_{\Upsilon/B \rightarrow b} b_{\Upsilon|_B} \Upsilon.$$

These formulas also make sense if $X \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}(A)$ and $Y \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}(B)$. Proposition 66 implies the associativity of ∇ and \circ .

Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and $b \in A$. If $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(\{b\})$:

$$\Gamma \nabla_b \Gamma' = \begin{cases} \Gamma & \text{if } InExt(\Gamma|_{\{b\}}) = InExt(\Gamma') \text{ and } OutExt(\Gamma|_{\{b\}}) = OutExt(\Gamma'), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Summing over all possible Γ' , $\Gamma \nabla_b I = \Gamma$. Hence, for all $X \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}(A)$, $X \nabla_b I = X$.

Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(\{1\})$ and $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. Then:

$$\Gamma \nabla_1 \Gamma' = \begin{cases} \Gamma & \text{if } InExt(\Gamma') = InExt(\Gamma) \text{ and } OutExt(\Gamma) = OutExt(\Gamma'), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Summing over all possible Γ' , $I \nabla_1 X = X$. Hence, for all $X \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}(A)$, $I \nabla_1 X = X$, so I is the unit of the operad $(\bar{\mathbf{F}}, \nabla)$. The proof is similar for $(\bar{\mathbf{F}}, \circ)$. \square

Remark. The unit of $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$ is:

$$I = \sum_{i,j,k \geq 0} \text{---} \overset{i}{\rightarrow} \textcircled{1} \text{---} \overset{j}{\rightarrow} \text{---} ,$$

where the integers on the edges and half-edges indicate their multiplicity.

4.2.4 Suboperads and quotients

Proposition 68 1. For all finite space A , we denote by $\mathcal{NcF}(A)$ the set of Feynman graphs $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ with no oriented cycle. We also put:

$$\mathbf{NcF}(A) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{NcF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma, \quad \overline{\mathbf{NcF}}(A) = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{NcF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma.$$

Then $\overline{\mathbf{NcF}}$ is a suboperad of $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \nabla)$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \circ)$. Moreover, $(\overline{\mathbf{NcF}}, \nabla) = (\overline{\mathbf{NcF}}, \circ)$.

2. For all finite set A , we put:

$$I(A) = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A) \setminus \mathcal{NcF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma.$$

Then I is an operadic ideal of $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \circ)$. Moreover, $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}/I, \circ)$ is isomorphic to $(\overline{\mathbf{NcF}}, \circ)$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of lemma 65. □

Definition 69 Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. We define an equivalence relation on $\text{Int}(\Gamma)$:

$$\forall e, f \in \text{Int}(\Gamma), e \sim f \iff (S_{\Gamma}(e), T_{\Gamma}(e)) = (S_{\Gamma}(f), T_{\Gamma}(f)).$$

We define a Feynman graph $s(\Gamma)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} V(s(\Gamma)) &= V(\Gamma), & \text{OutExt}(s(\Gamma)) &= \text{OutExt}(\Gamma), \\ \text{Int}(s(\Gamma)) &= \{e \in \text{Int}(\Gamma) \mid S_{\Gamma}(e) \neq T_{\Gamma}(e)\} / \sim, & \text{InExt}(s(\Gamma)) &= \text{InExt}(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

For all $\bar{e} \in \text{Int}(s(\Gamma))$:

$$S_{s(\Gamma)}(\bar{e}) = S_{\Gamma}(e), \quad T_{s(\Gamma)}(\bar{e}) = T_{\Gamma}(e).$$

For all $e \in \text{OutExt}(s(\Gamma))$, for all $f \in \text{InExt}(s(\Gamma))$:

$$S_{s(\Gamma)}(e) = S_{\Gamma}(e), \quad T_{s(\Gamma)}(f) = T_{\Gamma}(f).$$

Roughly speaking, $s(\Gamma)$ is obtained by deleting the loops of Γ and reducing multiple edges to single edges. Note that $s(\Gamma)$ is a simple Feynman graph.

Proposition 70 For all finite set A , we denote by $\mathcal{SF}(A)$ the set of simple Feynman graphs Γ such that $V(\Gamma) = A$. We also put:

$$\mathbf{SF}(A) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{SF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma, \quad \overline{\mathbf{SF}}(A) = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{SF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma.$$

We define two operadic composition on $\overline{\mathbf{SF}}$: if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{SF}(A)$, $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{SF}(B)$ and $b \in A$:

$$\Gamma \nabla_b \Gamma' = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{SF}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ \Gamma''|_B = \Gamma', s(\Gamma''/B \rightarrow b) = \Gamma}} \Gamma'', \quad \Gamma \circ_b \Gamma' = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{SF}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ \Gamma''|_B = \Gamma', s(\Gamma''/B \rightarrow b) = \Gamma, \\ B \text{ } \Gamma''\text{-convex}}} \Gamma''.$$

The following map is an injective operad morphism from $(\overline{\mathbf{SF}}, \nabla)$ to $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \nabla)$ and from $(\overline{\mathbf{SF}}, \circ)$ to $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \circ)$:

$$\psi : \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbf{SF}}(A) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbf{F}}(A) \\ \Gamma & \longrightarrow & \sum_{\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(A), s(\Gamma') = \Gamma} \Gamma'. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The map ψ is clearly injective. Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{SF}(A)$, $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{SF}(B)$, $b \in A$. Note that $\psi(\Gamma)\nabla_b\psi(\Gamma')$ is a sum of Feynman graphs with multiplicity 1, more precisely:

$$\psi(\Gamma)\nabla_b\psi(\Gamma') = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ s(\Upsilon|_B) = \Gamma, s(\Upsilon/B \rightarrow b) = \Gamma'}} \Upsilon.$$

Let us assume that Υ appears in $\psi(\Gamma)\nabla_b\psi(\Gamma')$ and that $s(\Upsilon) = s(\Gamma')$. Then, obviously, $s(\Upsilon|_B) = s(\Upsilon|_B) = \Gamma'$, and $g(\Upsilon/B \rightarrow b) = g(\Upsilon/B \rightarrow b) = \Gamma$, so Υ' also appears in $\psi(\Gamma)\nabla_b\psi(\Gamma')$. Therefore:

$$\psi(\Gamma)\nabla_b\psi(\Gamma') = \psi \left(\sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ s(\Upsilon|_B) = \Gamma', \Upsilon/B \rightarrow b = \Gamma}} \Upsilon \right).$$

As ψ is injective, this defines an operadic composition on $\overline{\mathbf{SF}}$, making ψ an operad morphism. The proof is similar for \circ , observing that if $\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\})$ are such that $s(\Gamma) = s(\Gamma')$, then B is Γ -convex if, and only if, B is Γ' -convex. \square

Remark. The unit of \mathbf{SF} is:

$$I = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \xrightarrow{i} \textcircled{1} \xrightarrow{j} .$$

Restricting to Feynman graphs with no oriented cycle:

Proposition 71 1. For all finite set A , we denote by $\mathcal{NcSF}(A)$ the set of simple Feynman graphs Γ with no oriented cycle such that $V(\Gamma) = A$. We also put:

$$\mathbf{NcSF}(A) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{NcSF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma, \quad \overline{\mathbf{NcSF}}(A) = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{NcSF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma.$$

Then $\overline{\mathbf{NcSF}}$ is a suboperad of both $(\overline{\mathbf{SF}}, \nabla)$ and $(\overline{\mathbf{SF}}, \circ)$, and $\psi(\overline{\mathbf{NcSF}}) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{NcF}}$. Moreover, $(\overline{\mathbf{NcSF}}, \nabla) = (\overline{\mathbf{NcSF}}, \circ)$.

2. For all finite set A , we put:

$$J(A) = \prod_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{SF}(A) \setminus \mathcal{NcSF}(A)} \mathbb{K}\Gamma.$$

Then J is an operadic ideal of $(\overline{\mathbf{SF}}, \circ)$. Moreover, $(\overline{\mathbf{SF}}/J, \circ)$ is isomorphic to $(\overline{\mathbf{NcSF}}, \circ)$.

We obtain two commutative diagrams of operads.

For ∇ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overline{\mathbf{F}} & \\ \overline{\mathbf{SF}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{\mathbf{NcF}} \\ & \overline{\mathbf{NcSF}} & \end{array}$$

For \circ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \overline{\mathbf{F}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{\mathbf{NcF}} \\ & \overline{\mathbf{SF}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{\mathbf{NcSF}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \overline{\mathbf{NcF}} \\ & & \uparrow \text{Id} & & \uparrow \text{Id} \\ & & \overline{\mathbf{NcSF}} & & \overline{\mathbf{NcF}} \end{array}$$

4.3 Oriented graphs, posets, finite topologies

4.3.1 Oriented graphs

We shall identify oriented graphs (possibly with loops and multiple edges) with Feynman graphs with no external edge. For all finite set A , we denote by $\mathcal{G}(A)$ the set of graphs G such that $V(G) = A$ and we put:

$$\mathbf{G}(A) = \bigoplus_{G \in \mathcal{G}(A)} \mathbb{K}G, \quad \overline{\mathbf{G}}(A) = \prod_{G \in \mathcal{G}(A)} \mathbb{K}G.$$

Definition 72 Let Γ be a Feynman graph. The graph $g(\Gamma)$ is defined by:

- $V(g(\Gamma)) = V(\Gamma)$.
- $\text{Int}(g(\Gamma)) = \text{Int}(\Gamma)$.
- $S_{g(\Gamma)} = (S_\Gamma)_{|\text{Int}(\Gamma)}$.
- $T_{g(\Gamma)} = (T_\Gamma)_{|\text{Int}(\Gamma)}$.

Roughly speaking, one deletes the external edges of Γ to obtain $g(\Gamma)$.

Note that if $G \in \mathcal{G}(A)$ and $I \subseteq A$, non-empty, then $G/I \rightarrow a$ is also a graph, whereas $G|_I$ is not always a graph (external edges may appear).

Theorem 73 We define two operadic composition on $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$: if $G \in \mathcal{G}(A)$, $G' \in \mathcal{G}(B)$ and $b \in A$,

$$G \nabla_a G' = \sum_{\substack{G'' \in \mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ g(G''|_B) = G', G''/B \rightarrow b = G}} G'', \quad G \circ_a G' = \sum_{\substack{G'' \in \mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ g(G''|_B) = G', G''/B \rightarrow b = G, \\ B \text{ is } G\text{-convex}}} G''.$$

The unit is:

$$I = \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}(\{1\})} G.$$

Moreover, the following map is an injective morphism from $(\overline{\mathbf{G}}, \nabla)$ to $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \nabla)$ and from $(\overline{\mathbf{G}}, \circ)$ to $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \circ)$:

$$\phi : \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbf{G}}(A) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathbf{F}}(A) \\ G & \longrightarrow & \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A), g(\Gamma) = G} \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The map ϕ is clearly injective. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(A)$, $G' \in \mathcal{G}(B)$, $b \in A$. Note that $\phi(G) \nabla_b \phi(G')$ is a sum of Feynman graphs with multiplicity 1, more precisely:

$$\phi(G) \nabla_b \phi(G') = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ g(\Gamma|_B) = G, g(\Gamma/B \rightarrow b) = G'}} \Gamma.$$

Let us assume that Γ appears in $\phi(G) \nabla_b \phi(G')$ and that $g(\Gamma) = g(\Gamma')$. Then, obviously, $g(\Gamma'|_B) = g(\Gamma|_B) = G'$, and $g(\Gamma'/B \rightarrow b) = g(\Gamma/B \rightarrow b) = G$, so Γ' also appears in $\phi(G) \nabla_b \phi(G')$. Hence:

$$\phi(G) \nabla_b \phi(G') = \phi \left(\sum_{\substack{G'' \in \mathcal{G}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ g(G''|_B) = G', G''/B \rightarrow b = G}} G'' \right).$$

As ϕ is injective, this defines an operadic composition on $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$, making ϕ an operad morphism. The proof is similar for \circ , observing that if $\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\})$ are such that $g(\Gamma) = g(\Gamma')$, then B is Γ -convex if, and only if, B is Γ' -convex. \square

Remark. The unit of \mathbf{G} is:

$$I = \sum_{k \geq 0} \textcircled{1}^k.$$

Definition 74 Let A be a finite set.

1. We denote by $\mathcal{NcG}(A)$ the set of graphs G with no oriented cycle such that $V(G) = A$. We also put:

$$\mathbf{NcG}(A) = \bigoplus_{G \in \mathcal{NcG}(A)} \mathbb{K}G, \quad \overline{\mathbf{NcG}}(A) = \prod_{G \in \mathcal{NcG}(A)} \mathbb{K}G.$$

2. We denote by $\mathcal{SG}(A)$ the set of simple graphs G such that $V(G) = A$. We also put:

$$\mathbf{SG}(A) = \bigoplus_{G \in \mathcal{SG}(A)} \mathbb{K}G.$$

This is a finite-dimensional space, of dimension $2^{|A|(|A|-1)}$.

3. We denote by $\mathcal{NcSG}(A)$ the set of simple graphs G with no oriented cycle such that $V(G) = A$. We also put:

$$\mathbf{NcSG}(A) = \bigoplus_{G \in \mathcal{NcSG}(A)} \mathbb{K}G.$$


This is a finite-dimensional space.

By restriction of ϕ , we obtain:


Corollary 75 $\overline{\mathbf{NcG}}$, \mathbf{SG} and \mathbf{NcSG} are operads for ∇ and \circ .

Remark. The unit of \mathbf{SG} is $I = \textcircled{1}$.

We obtain two commutative diagrams of operads. For ∇ :



For \circ :



4.3.2 Quasi-orders and orders

A quasi-order on a set A is a transitive, reflexive relation on A . By Alexandroff's theorem, if A is finite, there is a bijective correspondence relation between quasi-orders on A and topologies on A [1, 10].

Definition 76 Let A be a finite set.

1. We denote by $q\mathcal{O}(A)$ the set of quasi-orders on A and we denote by $\mathbf{qO}(A)$ the space generated by $q\mathcal{O}(A)$.
2. We denote by $\mathcal{O}(A)$ the set of orders on A and we denote by $\mathbf{O}(A)$ the space generated by $\mathcal{O}(A)$.

The elements of $q\mathcal{O}(A)$ will be denoted under the form $P = (A, \leq_P)$, where \leq_P the considered quasi-order defined on the set A .

Definition 77 Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. We define a quasi-order on A by:

$$\forall x, y \in A, x \leq_{\Gamma} y \text{ if there exists an oriented path from } x \text{ to } y \text{ in } \Gamma.$$

Remark. The quasi-order \leq_{Γ} is an order if, and only if, Γ has no oriented cycle.

Definition 78 Let $P \in q\mathcal{O}(A)$ and $I \subseteq A$, non-empty.

1. We shall say that I is P -convex if, and only if, for all $x, y, z \in A$:

$$x, z \in I \text{ and } x \leq_P y \leq_P z \implies y \in I.$$

2. The quasi-order $\leq_{P/I \rightarrow a}$ is defined on $A \sqcup \{a\} \setminus I$: if $x, y \in A \setminus I$,

- $x \leq_{P/I \rightarrow a} y$ if $x \leq_P y$ or if there exists $x', y' \in I$, $x \leq_P y'$ and $x' \leq_P y$.
- $x \leq_{P/I \rightarrow a} a$ if there exists $y' \in I$ such that $x \leq_P y'$.
- $a \leq_{P/I \rightarrow a} y$ if there exists $x' \in I$, such that $x' \leq_P y$.

Remark. If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and $I \subseteq A$, then I is Γ -convex if, and only if, I is \leq_{Γ} -convex. Moreover, $\leq_{\Gamma/I \rightarrow a} = (\leq_{\Gamma})_{/I \rightarrow a}$.

The following operad is described in [11]:

Theorem 79 We define an operadic composition \circ on \mathbf{qO} : if $P \in q\mathcal{O}(A)$, $Q \in q\mathcal{O}(B)$ and $b \in A$,

$$P \circ_a Q = \sum_{\substack{R \in q\mathcal{O}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ R|_B = Q, R/I \rightarrow a = P, \\ B \text{ } R\text{-convex}}} R.$$

The following map is an injective operad morphism from (\mathbf{qO}, \circ) to (\mathbf{SG}, \circ) :

$$\kappa : \begin{cases} \mathbf{qO}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SG}(A) \\ P & \longrightarrow & \sum_{G \in \mathbf{SG}(A), \leq_G = P} G. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $P \in q\mathcal{O}(A)$, $Q \in q\mathcal{O}(B)$ and $b \in B$. Then:

$$\kappa(P) \circ_b \kappa(Q) = \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathbf{SG}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ \leq_{G|_B} = Q, \leq_{G/\rightarrow b} = P, \\ B \text{ } G\text{-convex}}} G.$$

Let us assume that G appears in $\kappa(P) \circ_b \kappa(Q)$ and that $\leq_{G'} = \leq_G$. Then, as B is G -convex, it is \leq_G -convex, hence $\leq_{G'}$ -convex, hence G' -convex. Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} \leq_{G'|_B} &= (\leq_{G'})|_B = (\leq_G)|_B = \leq_{G|_B} = P, \\ \leq_{G'/B \rightarrow b} &= (\leq_{G'})/B \rightarrow b = (\leq_G)/B \rightarrow b = \leq_{G/B \rightarrow b} = Q, \end{aligned}$$

so G' appears in $\kappa(G) \circ_b \kappa(G')$. Therefore:

$$\kappa(P) \circ_a \kappa(Q) = \kappa \left(\sum_{\substack{R \in q\mathcal{O}(A \sqcup B \setminus \{b\}), \\ R|_B = Q, R/I \rightarrow a = P, \\ B \text{ } R\text{-convex}}} R \right).$$

Moreover, κ is injective: indeed, if $P \in q\mathcal{O}(A)$, the arrow graph G_P of this quasi-order satisfies $\leq_{G_P} = \leq_P$. Therefore, this defines an operadic composition on quasi-orders. \square

The following operad \mathbf{O} is described in [12]:


Corollary 80 \mathbf{O} is a suboperad of (\mathbf{qO}, \circ) . Moreover, if, for any finite set A , we denote by $J(A)$ the space generated by the elements of $q\mathcal{O}(A) \setminus \mathcal{O}(A)$, then J is an ideal of (\mathbf{qO}, \circ) and the quotient \mathbf{qO}/J is isomorphic to \mathbf{O} .

Proof. This is implied by $\mathbf{O} = \kappa^{-1}(\kappa(\mathbf{qO}) \cap \mathbf{NcSG})$. \square

Examples. In \mathbf{O} :

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = \mathfrak{I}_1^3 + {}^2\mathfrak{V}_1^3 & \mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = \mathfrak{I}_1^3 + {}_1\mathfrak{A}_2^3 \\
\mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = \mathfrak{I}_2^3 + {}^1\mathfrak{V}_2^3 & \mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = \mathfrak{I}_1^3 + {}_1\mathfrak{A}_3^2 \\
\mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = {}_1\mathfrak{A}_2^3 + \mathfrak{I}_1^3 \cdot_{1,2} + \cdot_{1,2} \mathfrak{I}_2^3 & \mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = {}^2\mathfrak{V}_1^3 + \mathfrak{I}_1^2 \cdot_{1,2} + \mathfrak{I}_1^3 \cdot_{1,2} \\
\mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = \mathfrak{I}_{1,2}^3 & \mathfrak{I}_1^2 \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = \mathfrak{I}_1^{2,3} \\
\\
\mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = {}_1\mathfrak{A}_3^2 + \mathfrak{I}_3^2 & \mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = \mathfrak{I}_2^3 + {}^1\mathfrak{V}_2^3 \\
\mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = {}_2\mathfrak{A}_3^1 + \mathfrak{I}_3^1 & \mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = \mathfrak{I}_3^1 + {}^1\mathfrak{V}_3^2 \\
\mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = {}^1\mathfrak{V}_2^3 + \mathfrak{I}_2^1 \cdot_{1,2} + \cdot_{1,2} \mathfrak{I}_3^3 & \mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = {}_2\mathfrak{A}_3^1 + \mathfrak{I}_2^1 \cdot_{1,2} + \mathfrak{I}_3^1 \cdot_{1,2} \\
\mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = \mathfrak{I}_3^{1,2} & \mathfrak{I}_2^1 \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = \mathfrak{I}_{2,3}^1 \\
\\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = \mathfrak{I}_1^2 \cdot_{1,2} & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = \cdot_{1,2} \mathfrak{I}_2^3 \\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = \mathfrak{I}_2^1 \cdot_{1,2} & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = \cdot_{1,2} \mathfrak{I}_3^2 \\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = \cdot_{1,2} \cdot_{1,2} & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = \cdot_{1,2} \cdot_{1,2} \\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = \cdot_{1,2} \cdot_{1,2} & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = \cdot_{1,2} \cdot_{1,2} \\
\\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = 0 & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_1^2 = 0 \\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = 0 & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \mathfrak{I}_2^1 = 0 \\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = 0 & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = 0 \\
\cdot_{1,2} \circ_1 \cdot_{1,2} = 0 & \cdot_{1,2} \circ_2 \cdot_{1,2} = 0
\end{array}$$


We obtain a diagram of operads (for \circ):



By composition, we obtain morphism from \mathbf{qO} to several other operads; for example:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{qO}(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{G}}(A) \\ P \longrightarrow \sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}(A), \leq G=P} G, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{qO}(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{SF}}(A) \\ P \longrightarrow \sum_{G \in \mathcal{SF}(A), \leq G=P} G, \end{cases} \\
\\
\begin{cases} \mathbf{qO}(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}(A) \\ P \longrightarrow \sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}(A), \leq G=P} G. \end{cases}
\end{array}$$

Remark. The image of κ is not a suboperad of (\mathbf{SG}, ∇) . For example, let us take P and Q be the quasi-orders associated to the following graphs:



Then G appears in $\kappa(P)\nabla_b\kappa(Q)$, and G' not:



although $\leq_G = \leq_{G'}$.

4.4 \mathbf{b}_∞ structures

4.4.1 Operad morphisms and associated products

Theorem 81 *There exists a unique operad morphism:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{AsCom} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{O} \\ m & \longrightarrow \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2, \\ \star & \longrightarrow \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2 + \mathfrak{I}_1^2. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. In \mathbf{O} :

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{(12)} &= \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2, \\ \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \circ_1 \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2 &= \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \circ_2 \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2 = \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3, \\ (\mathfrak{I}_1^2 + \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2) \circ_1 (\mathfrak{I}_1^2 + \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2) &= (\mathfrak{I}_1^2 + \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2) \circ_2 (\mathfrak{I}_1^2 + \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2) \\ &= \mathfrak{I}_1^3 + {}^2\mathfrak{V}_1^3 + {}_1\mathfrak{A}_2^3 + \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot \mathfrak{I}_2^3 + \mathfrak{I}_1^2 \cdot 3 + \mathfrak{I}_1^3 \cdot 2 + \bullet \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3. \end{aligned}$$

So these elements define a morphism from \mathbf{AsCom} to \mathbf{qO} . \square

By composition, we obtain morphisms from \mathbf{AsCom} to any operad of the commutative diagram (4.1). We always denote by m and \star the image of the two products of \mathbf{AsCom} in all these operads.

Definition 82 *Let A a finite set and $I \subseteq A$.*

1. Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. We shall say that I is an ideal of Γ if for all $x, y \in A$:

$$x \leq_\Gamma y \text{ and } x \in I \implies y \in I.$$

2. Let $\leq \in \mathbf{qO}(A)$. We shall say that I is an ideal of \leq if for all $x, y \in A$:

$$x \leq y \text{ and } x \in I \implies y \in I.$$

Proposition 83 *Let A and B be disjoint finite sets. If $\mathcal{P} \in \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{SF}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{SG}, \mathbf{qO}\}$, for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{P}(B)$:*

$$m \circ (\Gamma, \Gamma') = \Gamma\Gamma', \quad \star \circ (\Gamma, \Gamma') = \sum_{\substack{\Upsilon \in \mathcal{P}(A \sqcup B), \\ \Upsilon|_A = \Gamma, \Upsilon|_B = \Gamma', \\ B \text{ ideal of } \Upsilon}} \Upsilon.$$

Proof. We prove it for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{F}$; the other cases are proved similarly. In $\overline{\mathbf{F}}$, m is the sum over all Feynman graphs Γ on $\{1, 2\}$, with no internal edge between 1 and 2 and no internal edge between 2 and 1. Then $m \circ_1 \Gamma$ is the sum over all Feynman graphs Υ over $A \sqcup \{2\}$, with $\Upsilon|_A = \Gamma$ and no edge between any vertex of A and 2 and no edge between 2 and any vertex of A . In other words, $m \circ_1 \Gamma$ is the sum over all Feynman graphs $\Upsilon = \Gamma\Upsilon'$, where Υ' is a Feynman graph on $\{2\}$. Consequently, $m \circ (\Gamma, \Gamma') = (m \circ_1 \Gamma) \circ_2 \Gamma'$ is the sum over all Feynman graphs Υ on $A \sqcup B$, with $\Upsilon|_A = \Gamma$ and $\Upsilon|_B = \Gamma'$, and no internal edge between A and B and no internal edge between B and A , that is to say $\Upsilon = \Gamma\Gamma'$. The proof is similar for \star : $\star \circ (\Gamma, \Gamma')$ is the sum over all Feynman graphs Υ on $A \sqcup B$, such that $\Upsilon|_A = \Gamma$ and $\Upsilon|_B = \Gamma'$, and no internal edge between B and A . \square

4.4.2 Associated Hopf algebras

Corollary 84 *The vector space \mathbf{CF} generated by connected Feynman graph is a suboperad of (\mathbf{F}, ∇) and (\mathbf{F}, \circ) .*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$ and $I \subseteq A$, non-empty. Let us prove that if $\Gamma|_I$ and $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$ are connected, then Γ is connected. For all $x \in A$, let us denote by $CC(x)$ the connected component of x in Γ . As $\Gamma|_I$ is connected, for all $x \in I$, $I \subseteq CC(x)$. Let $x \notin I$. As $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$ is connected, there exists a non oriented path from x to a in $\Gamma/I \rightarrow a$, so there exists a non-oriented path from x to a vertex $y \in I$ in Γ : we obtain that $I \subseteq CC(y) = CC(x)$ for all $x \notin I$. So Γ has only one connected component. \square

Following corollary 80, it is possible to define suboperad of connected objects for all the operads in the commutative diagram. As the product m is, in all cases, the disjoint union, the morphism from \mathbf{b}_∞ to any of these operads obtained by restriction of the morphism from \mathbf{AsCom} takes its image in the suboperad of connected objects. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\mathbf{O}}(\lfloor -, - \rfloor_{1,1}) &= \mathbf{1}_1^2, & \theta_{\mathbf{O}}(\lfloor -, - \rfloor_{1,2}) &= {}^2\mathbf{V}_1^3, \\ \theta_{\mathbf{O}}(\lfloor -, - \rfloor_{2,1}) &= {}_2\mathbf{A}_3^1, & \theta_{\mathbf{O}}(\lfloor -, - \rfloor_{2,2}) &= {}^3\mathbf{M}_2^4 + {}^3\mathbf{N}_2^4 + {}^4\mathbf{N}_2^3 + {}^3\mathbf{U}_2^4 + {}^4\mathbf{U}_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

For all $k, l \geq 1$, $\theta_{\mathbf{O}}(\lfloor -, - \rfloor_{k,l})$ is the sum of all connected bipartite graphs with blocks $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and $\{k+1, \dots, k+l\}$. The number of such graphs is given by sequence A227322 of the OEIS.

For any vector space V , for any $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{CP})$ in the following set:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{CF}), (\mathbf{NcF}, \mathbf{CNcF}), (\mathbf{SF}, \mathbf{CSF}), (\mathbf{NcSF}, \mathbf{CNcSF}), (\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{CG}), \\ (\mathbf{NcG}, \mathbf{CNcG}), (\mathbf{SG}, \mathbf{CSG}), (\mathbf{NcSG}, \mathbf{CNcSG}), (\mathbf{qO}, \mathbf{CqO}), (\mathbf{O}, \mathbf{CO}) \end{array} \right\},$$

we have:

$$F_{\mathbf{P}}(V) = S(F_{\mathbf{CP}}(V)).$$

Let us describe the product \star induced by the \mathbf{b}_∞ structure on all these Hopf algebras. We restrict ourselves to $F_{\mathbf{F}}(V)$, the other cases are similar. We fix $V = \text{Vect}(X_1, \dots, X_N)$.

- As a vector space, $A_{\mathbf{CF}}(V) = F_{\mathbf{F}}(V)$ is generated by isoclasses $\widehat{\Gamma}$ of Feynman graphs Γ whose vertices are decorated by elements of $[N]$.
- For any Feynman graph Γ whose vertices are decorated by $[N]$:

$$\Delta(\widehat{\Gamma}) = \sum_{\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2} \widehat{\Gamma}_1 \otimes \widehat{\Gamma}_2.$$

- For any Feynman graphs $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}(B)$, whose vertices are decorated by $[N]$:

$$\widehat{\Gamma} \star \widehat{\Gamma}' = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma'' \in \mathcal{F}(A \sqcup B), \\ \Gamma''|_A = \Gamma, \Gamma''|_B = \Gamma', \\ B \text{ ideal of } \Gamma''}} \widehat{\Gamma}''.$$

The dual Hopf algebra $A_{\mathbf{CF}}^*(V)$ has the same basis, up to an identification. Moreover:


- For any Feynman graph $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}(A)$, whose vertices are decorated by $[N]$:

$$\Delta_*(\widehat{\Gamma}) = \sum_{I \text{ ideal of } \Gamma} \widehat{\Gamma}_{|V(\Gamma) \setminus I} \otimes \widehat{\Gamma}|_I.$$

- For any Feynman graphs Γ, Γ' , whose vertices are decorated by $[N]$:

$$\widehat{\Gamma}.\widehat{\Gamma}' = \widehat{\Gamma\Gamma'}.$$

By functoriality, we obtain a diagram of Hopf algebra morphisms:



Here are examples of morphisms in this diagram:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{\mathbf{CF}}^*(V) \longrightarrow A_{\mathbf{CSF}}^*(V) \\ \widehat{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \widehat{s(\Gamma)}, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{\mathbf{CF}}^*(V) \longrightarrow A_{\mathbf{CNcF}}^*(V) \\ \widehat{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \widehat{\Gamma} & \text{if } \Gamma \text{ has no oriented cycle,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{\mathbf{CF}}^*(V) \longrightarrow A_{\mathbf{CG}}^*(V) \\ \widehat{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \widehat{g(\Gamma)}, \end{array} \right. \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{\mathbf{CG}}^*(V) \longrightarrow A_{\mathbf{CqO}}^*(V) \\ \widehat{G} \longrightarrow \widehat{\leq G}. \end{array} \right.$$

Let us describe the bialgebra $D_{\mathbf{F}}^*(V)$. It is generated by pairs $(\widehat{\Gamma}, d)$, where Γ is a connected Feynman graph decorated by $[N]$, and $d \in [N]$. We shall need the following notions:

Definition 85 *Let Γ be a Feynman graph, with $V(\Gamma) = A$, and let $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ be a partition of A .*

1. *We shall say that this partition is Γ -admissible if:*

- *For all i , $\Gamma|_{A_i}$ is connected.*
- *– A_1 is Γ -convex.*
- *– A_2 is $(\Gamma/A_1 \rightarrow 1)$ -convex.*
- *– \vdots*
- *– A_k is $((\dots(\Gamma/A_1 \rightarrow 1)/\dots)/A_{k-1} \rightarrow (k-1))$ -convex.*

By lemma 62-3, this does not depend on the choice of the order on A_1, \dots, A_k .

2. *Let us assume that $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ is Γ -admissible. Let $D : [k] \rightarrow [N]$.*

(a) *We obtain a Feynman graph on $[k]$:*

$$\Gamma/\{A_1, \dots, A_k\} = (\dots(\Gamma/A_1 \rightarrow 1)/\dots)/A_k \rightarrow k.$$

Its isoclasse does not depend on the order chosen on the partition $A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_k$ by lemma 62. If Γ is connected, then $\Gamma/\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ is connected. Moreover, $(\Gamma/\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}, D)$ is a Feynman graph decorated by $[N]$.

(b) $\Gamma|_{A_1} \dots \Gamma|_{A_k}$ is a decorated Feynman graph, with k connected components, namely A_1, \dots, A_k .

For all connected Feynman graphs Γ and $d \in [N]$, in $D_{\mathbf{F}}^*(V)$:

$$\Delta_*((\widehat{\Gamma}, d)) = \sum_{\substack{\{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \Gamma\text{-admissible,} \\ D: [k] \rightarrow N}} ((\Gamma/\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}, D), d) \otimes ((\widehat{\Gamma}|_{A_1}, D(1)) \dots (\widehat{\Gamma}|_{A_k}, D(k))).$$

For any Feynman graph decorated by $[N]$:

$$\rho(\widehat{\Gamma}) = \sum_{\substack{\{A_1, \dots, A_k\} \Gamma\text{-admissible,} \\ D: [k] \rightarrow N}} (\Gamma/\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}, D) \otimes (\widehat{\Gamma}|_{A_1}, D(1)) \dots (\widehat{\Gamma}|_{A_k}, D(k)).$$

Similar formulas can be given for the other operads. For example, if $a, b, c, d \in [N]$, in $D_{\mathbf{CqO}}^*(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_*((\bullet_a, d)) &= \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p), \\ \Delta_*((\mathbf{1}_a^b, d)) &= \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^q, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p), \\ \Delta_*(({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, d)) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N ({}^q\mathbf{V}_p^r, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p)(\bullet_c, q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, p)(\bullet_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes ({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, p), \\ \Delta_*(({}_b\mathbf{L}_a^c, d)) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N ({}_q\mathbf{L}_p^r, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^q, d) \otimes (\bullet_c, p)(\mathbf{1}_b^a, q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^q, d) \otimes (\bullet_b, p)(\mathbf{1}_c^a, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes ({}_b\mathbf{L}_a^c, p), \\ \Delta_*((\mathbf{1}_a^c, d)) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^r, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p)(\bullet_c, q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^q, d) \otimes (\bullet_a, q)(\mathbf{1}_b^c, p) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\bullet_p, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, p). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the subalgebra of $D_{\mathbf{CqO}}^*(V)$ generated by rooted trees is a subbialgebra. This comes from the surjective operad morphism from \mathbf{CqO} to \mathbf{PreLie} , sending any rooted tree to itself and the other quasi-order to 0.


For the coaction:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\bullet_a) &= \sum_{p=1}^N \bullet_p \otimes (\bullet_a, p), \\
\rho(\mathbf{1}_a^b) &= \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N \bullet_p \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p), \\
\rho({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N {}^q\mathbf{V}_p^r \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p)(\bullet_c, q) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, p)(\bullet_b, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N \bullet_p \otimes ({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, p), \\
\rho({}_b\mathbf{\Lambda}_c^a) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N {}_q\mathbf{\Lambda}_p^r \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\bullet_c, p)(\mathbf{1}_b^a, q) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\bullet_b, p)(\mathbf{1}_c^a, q) + \sum_{p=1}^N \bullet_p \otimes ({}_b\mathbf{\Lambda}_c^a, p), \\
\rho(\mathbf{1}_a^c) &= \sum_{p,q,r=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^r \otimes (\bullet_a, p)(\bullet_b, q)(\bullet_c, r) + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p)(\bullet_c, q) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p,q=1}^N \mathbf{1}_p^q \otimes (\bullet_a, q)(\mathbf{1}_b^c, p) + \sum_{p=1}^N \bullet_p \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, p).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain the coproduct of $B_{\mathbf{qO}}^*(V)$, let us quotient by relations $(\bullet_i, j) = \delta_{i,j}$. In $B_{\mathbf{CqO}}^*(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_*((\mathbf{1}_a^b, d)) &= (\mathbf{1}_a^b, d) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, d), \\
\Delta_*({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, d) &= ({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, d) \otimes 1 + \sum_{p=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^c, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p) + \sum_{p=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^b, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, p) + 1 \otimes ({}^b\mathbf{V}_a^c, d), \\
\Delta_*({}_b\mathbf{\Lambda}_c^a, d) &= ({}_b\mathbf{\Lambda}_c^a, d) \otimes 1 + \sum_{q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_q^a, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_b^c, q) + \sum_{q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_q^b, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_c^a, q) + 1 \otimes ({}_b\mathbf{\Lambda}_c^a, d), \\
\Delta_*((\mathbf{1}_a^c, d)) &= (\mathbf{1}_a^c, d) \otimes 1 + \sum_{p=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_p^c, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^b, p) + \sum_{q=1}^N (\mathbf{1}_a^q, d) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_b^c, p) + 1 \otimes (\mathbf{1}_a^c, d).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain a commutative diagram of Hopf algebra morphisms:



Remarks. The components of \mathbf{CF} are not finite-dimensional, in order to obtain $D_{\mathbf{CF}}^*(V)$, we use the duality between \mathbf{CF} and $\overline{\mathbf{CF}}$ such that for every Feynman graphs Γ, Γ' :

$$\langle\langle \Gamma, \Gamma' \rangle\rangle = \delta_{\Gamma, \Gamma'}.$$


The formulas given in the finite-dimensional case also make sense for this duality. The same remark holds for other operads here appearing, such as $\overline{\mathbf{CG}}$.

Chapter 5


Summary

Let \mathbf{P} be an operad, such that $\mathbf{P}(0) = (0)$ and, for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathbf{P}(n)$ is finite dimensional.

1. (a) \mathbf{P} is a graded, non connected brace algebra, with a bracket denoted by $\langle -, - \rangle$. Moreover, \mathbf{P}_+ is a graded and connected brace subalgebra of \mathbf{P} .
- (b) This induces a graded, non connected pre-Lie algebra structure on \mathbf{P} , which pre-Lie product is denoted by \bullet . The following diagram of pre-Lie algebras is commutative:



- (c) This induces a monoid product \diamond on $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ and a group product \diamond on $\overline{\mathbf{P}_+}$. The following diagram of monoids is commutative:



2. (a) There exist products $*$, induced by the operadic composition of \mathbf{P} , making the following diagram of graded bialgebras commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D_{\mathbf{P}} = (S(\mathit{coinv}\mathbf{P}), *, \Delta) & \longleftarrow & (S(\mathbf{P}), *, \Delta) & \longrightarrow & D_{\mathbf{P}} = (T(\mathbf{P}), *, \Delta_{dec}) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 B_{\mathbf{P}} = (S(\mathit{coinv}\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta) & \longleftarrow & (S(\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta) & \longrightarrow & B_{\mathbf{P}} = (T(\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta_{dec})
 \end{array}$$

- (b) They are all graded; the three bialgebras on the bottom row are graded Hopf algebras.

3. (a) There exist coproducts Δ_* making the following diagram of graded bialgebras commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (S(\mathit{inv}\mathbf{P}^*), m, \Delta_*) & \longrightarrow & (S(\mathbf{P}^*), m, \Delta_*) & \longleftarrow & D_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (T(\mathbf{P}^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (S(\mathit{inv}\mathbf{P}_+^*), m, \Delta_*) & \longrightarrow & (S(\mathbf{P}_+^*), m, \Delta_*) & \longleftarrow & B_{\mathbf{P}}^* = (T(\mathbf{P}_+^*), m_{conc}, \Delta_*)
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*$, $(S(\mathbf{P}_+^*), m, \Delta_*)$ and $B_{\mathbf{P}}^*$ are graded, connected Hopf algebras, dual to $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}$, $(S(\mathbf{P}_+), *, \Delta)$ and $B_{\mathbf{P}}$ respectively.

- (b) Considering the monoids of characters of these bialgebras, we obtain a commutative diagram of monoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M_{\mathbf{P}}^D & \longleftarrow & (\overline{\mathbf{P}}, \diamond) & \equiv & M_{\mathbf{P}}^D \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ G_{\mathbf{P}}^B & \longleftarrow & (\overline{\mathbf{P}_+}, \diamond) & \equiv & G_{\mathbf{P}}^B \end{array}$$


4. Let us consider an operad morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{P}} : \mathbf{b}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}$. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. We denote by \mathbf{C}_V the operad of morphisms from V to $V^{\otimes n}$.

- (a) We put:


$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &= \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}, & \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{P}^* \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}, & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &= \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}, & \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{P}^* \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}, \\ B_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &= B_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}, & B_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= B_{\mathbf{P}^* \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}, & D_{\mathbf{P}}(V) &= D_{\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}, & D_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V) &= D_{\mathbf{P}^* \otimes \mathbf{C}_V}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) The morphism $\theta_{\mathbf{P}}$ induces a product \star on $S(F_{\mathbf{P}}(V))$, making $(S(F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)), \star, \Delta)$ a graded, connected Hopf algebra, denoted by $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$. Its graded dual is denoted by $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$.
- (c) $A_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}(V)$ -modules; dually, $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ -comodules.
- (d) The monoid $(M_{\mathbf{P}}^D(V), \diamond)$ of characters of $D_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ acts by endomorphisms on the group $G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V)$ of characters of $A_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$, and is isomorphic to the monoid of continuous endomorphisms of the \mathbf{P} -algebra $\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$. The group of characters $(G_{\mathbf{P}}^B(V), \diamond)$ of $B_{\mathbf{P}}^*(V)$ acts by group automorphisms on $G_{\mathbf{P}}^A(V)$, and is isomorphic to the group of formal diffeomorphisms of $\overline{F_{\mathbf{P}}(V)}$ which are tangent to the identity.


Here are diagrams of the different functors which appear in this text (contravariant functors are represented by dashed arrows).




Replacing \mathbf{P} by its augmentation ideal \mathbf{P}_+ :



For operads with morphisms form \mathbf{b}_{∞} , which we here call \mathbf{b}_{∞} -operads:



Replacing \mathbf{P} by \mathbf{P}_+ :



Bibliography

- [1] P. Alexandroff, *Diskrete Räume*, Rec. Math. Moscou, n. Ser. **2** (1937), 501–519.
- [2] Israel Berstein, *On co-groups in the category of graded algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 257–269.
- [3] J. M. Boardman and R. M. Vogt, *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 347, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [4] Damien Calaque, Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard, and Dominique Manchon, *Two interacting Hopf algebras of trees: a Hopf-algebraic approach to composition and substitution of B-series*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **47** (2011), no. 2, 282–308.
- [5] F. Chapoton and M. Livernet, *Relating two Hopf algebras built from an operad*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007), no. 24, Art. ID rnm131, 27.
- [6] Frédéric Chapoton, *Algèbres pré-lie et algèbres de Hopf liées à la renormalisation*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **332** (2001), no. 8, 681–684.
- [7] Frédéric Chapoton and Muriel Livernet, *Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **8** (2001), 395–408, arXiv:math/0002069.
- [8] Frederick R. Cohen, Thomas J. Lada, and J. Peter May, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 533, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [9] Alain Connes and Dirk Kreimer, *Hopf algebras, Renormalization and Noncommutative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys **199** (1998), no. 1, 203–242.
- [10] Marcel Ern e and Kurt Stege, *Counting finite posets and topologies*, Order **8** (1991), no. 3, 247–265.
- [11] Frédéric Fauvet, Lo c Foissy, and Dominique Manchon, *The Hopf algebra of finite topologies and mould composition*, arXiv:1503.03820, 2015.
- [12] ———, *Operads of finite posets*, arXiv:1604.08149, 2016.
- [13] Lo c Foissy, *Finite-dimensional comodules over the Hopf algebra of rooted trees*, J. Algebra **255** (2002), no. 1, 85–120.
- [14] Lo c Foissy, *Bidendriform bialgebras, trees, and free quasi-symmetric functions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **209** (2007), no. 2, 439–459.
- [15] ———, *Chromatic polynomials and bialgebras of graphs*, arXiv:1611.04303, 2016.
- [16] ———, *Commutative and non-commutative bialgebras of quasi-posets and applications to Ehrhart polynomials*, arXiv:1605.08310, 2016.
- [17] Lo c Foissy, Claudia Malvenuto, and Fr d eric Patras, *Infinitesimal and B_∞ -algebras, finite spaces, and quasi-symmetric functions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **220** (2016), no. 6, 2434–2458.

- [18] Loïc Foissy and Frédéric Patras, *Lie theory for quasi-shuffle bialgebras*, arXiv:1605.02444, 2016.
- [19] Murray Gerstenhaber and Alexander A. Voronov, *Homotopy G -algebras and moduli space operad*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1995), no. 3, 141–153 (electronic).
- [20] Ezra Getzler and John D.S. Jones, *Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces*, 1994.
- [21] Robert L. Grossman and Richard G. Larson, *Hopf-algebraic structure of families of trees*, J. Algebra **126** (1989), no. 1, 184–210.
- [22] ———, *Hopf-algebraic structure of combinatorial objects and differential operators*, Israel J. Math. **72** (1990), no. 1-2, 109–117.
- [23] ———, *Differential algebra structures on families of trees*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **35** (2005), no. 1, 97–119.
- [24] Michael E. Hoffman, *Quasi-shuffle products*, J. Algebraic Combin. **11** (2000), no. 1, 49–68.
- [25] ———, *Combinatorics of rooted trees and Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), no. 9, 3795–3811 (electronic).
- [26] T. Kadeishvili, *Measuring the noncommutativity of DG -algebras*, J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **119** (2004), no. 4, 494–512, Topology and noncommutative geometry.
- [27] Jean-Louis Loday, *La renaissance des opérades*, Astérisque (1996), no. 237, Exp. No. 792, 3, 47–74, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95.
- [28] ———, *Dialgebras*, Dialgebras and related operads, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1763, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 7–66.
- [29] Jean-Louis Loday and María Ronco, *On the structure of cofree Hopf algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **592** (2006), 123–155.
- [30] ———, *Combinatorial Hopf algebras*, Quanta of maths, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 347–383.
- [31] Jean-Louis Loday and Bruno Vallette, *Algebraic operads*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 346, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [32] Saunders MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5.
- [33] Dominique Manchon, *On bialgebras and Hopf algebras or oriented graphs*, Confluentes Math. **4** (2012), no. 1, 1240003, 10.
- [34] Martin Markl, Steve Shnider, and Jim Stasheff, *Operads in algebra, topology and physics*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 96, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [35] J. P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271.
- [36] Miguel A. Méndez, *Set operads in combinatorics and computer science*, SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2015.

- [37] Jean-Michel Oudom and Daniel Guin, *Sur l'algèbre enveloppante d'une algèbre pré-Lie*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **340** (2005), no. 5, 331–336.
- [38] Florin Panaite, *Relating the Connes-Kreimer and Grossman-Larson Hopf algebras built on rooted trees*, Lett. Math. Phys. **51** (2000), no. 3, 211–219.
- [39] María Ronco, *Eulerian idempotents and Milnor-Moore theorem for certain non-cocommutative Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **254** (2002), no. 1, 152–172.
- [40] James Dillon Stasheff, *homotopy associativity of H-spaces*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1961, Thesis (Ph.D.)—Princeton University.
- [41] Donald Yau, *Colored operads*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 170, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016.