### WRIGHT'S FOURTH PRIME

#### ROBERT BAILLIE

ABSTRACT. Wright proved that there exists a number c such that if  $g_0 = c$  and  $g_{n+1} = 2^{g_n}$ , then  $|g_n|$  is prime for all n > 0.

Wright gave c = 1.9287800 as an example. This value of c produces three primes,  $|g_1| = 3$ ,  $|g_2| = 13$ , and  $|g_3| = 16381$ . But with this c,  $|g_4|$  is a 4932-digit composite number. However, this slightly larger value of c,

 $c = 1.9287800 + 8.2843 \cdot 10^{-4933},$ 

reproduces Wright's first three primes and generates a fourth:

 $\lfloor g_4 \rfloor = 191396642046311049840383730258 \dots 303277517800273822015417418499$ is a 4932-digit prime.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1947, Mills [3] proved that there exists a number A such that

 $|A^{3^{n}}|$ 

is prime for all n > 0. (Here, |x| is the largest integer  $\leq x$ .) Mills did not give an example of such an A.

Caldwell and Cheng [1] calculate such an  $A \approx 1.30637788386308069046$  which generates a sequence of primes that begins 2, 11, 1361, 2521008887, and 16022236204009818131831320183. The next prime has 85 digits. Their digits of A are in [4]. Their sequence of primes is in [6].

In 1951, Wright [8], [10] proved that there exists a number c such that, if  $g_0 = c$  and, for n > 0, we define the sequence

then

$$g_{n+1} = 2^{g_n} ,$$
$$\lfloor g_n \rfloor$$

is prime for all n > 0.

This sequence grows much more rapidly than Mills' sequence.

The key ingredient in Wright's proof is the relatively elementary fact that, for every  $N \geq 2$ , there is a prime between N and 2N.

Wright gave an example of such a constant: c = 1.9287800. This value of c produces three primes,  $\lfloor g_1 \rfloor = \lfloor 3.8073 \dots \rfloor = 3$ ,  $\lfloor g_2 \rfloor = \lfloor 13.9997 \dots \rfloor = 13$ , and  $\lfloor g_3 \rfloor = \lfloor 16381.3640 \rfloor = 16381$ . But with this value of c,  $\lfloor g_4 \rfloor$  is a 4932-digit composite number,

 $|g_4| = |2^{2^{2^{c}}}| = 19139664204631104 \dots 822015417386540.$ 

In 1954, Wright [11] proved that the sets of values of such A and c have the cardinality of the continuum, are nowhere dense, and have measure 0.

Date: June 6, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11A41; Secondary 11A51.

Key words and phrases. prime numbers, prime-representing function.

### ROBERT BAILLIE

Neither Mills' nor Wright's formula is useful in computing primes that are not already known.

In the next section, we show how to compute a value of c slightly larger than Wright's constant, which causes  $\lfloor g_4 \rfloor$  to be a prime. Our modified value preserves all of Wright's original seven decimal places.

In a leter section, we discuss another modification of c that gives a different fourth prime.

## 2. Modifying Wright's Constant to Produce Four Primes

The calculations here were done in *Mathematica*. Snippets of *Mathematica* code are scattered throughout this paper, in a font that looks like this:

2 + 3

In *Mathematica*, if we specify a floating-point value such as 1.9287800, *Mathematica* assumes this number has only machine precision. Therefore, it is better for calculations like ours to use the *exact* value of Wright's constant:

 $c = 1 + 92878/10^{5}$ 

With this c, we can verify that the integer parts of  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ , and  $g_3$ ,

```
Floor[2<sup>c</sup>]
Floor[2<sup>(2<sup>c</sup>)]</sup>
Floor[2<sup>(2<sup>(2<sup>c</sup>)</sup>]</sup>
```

are Wright's three primes 3, 13, and 16381.

However, the integer part of  $g_4$  is composite:

n4 = Floor[2^(2^(2^c)))] ;
PrimeQ[n4]

(The trailing semicolon suppresses (lengthy) output that we don't need.) PrimeQ[n4] returns False, so n4 is not prime. PrimeQ[] is a probable prime test; see [9] and [12].

The Mathematica command N[n4] shows that n4 is about  $1.913966420463110 \cdot 10^{4931}$ . The last 10 digits of n4 can be found with Mod[n4, 10^10]. These digits are 5417386540, so we can see that n4 is not prime.

We can use *Mathematica*'s NextPrime function to locate the first (probable) prime larger than n4:

prp4 = NextPrime[n4] ; diff = prp4 - n4

prp4 is in Appendix A. The first and last 35 digits of prp4 are

 $19139664204631104984038373025808682 \dots 26398303277517800273822015417418499.$ 

This difference prp4 - n4 is 31959, which is small compared to n4. This suggests that we can compute a new starting value for the sequence, say,  $g_0 = w$ , where w is only slightly larger than c, which makes  $\lfloor g_4 \rfloor = prp4$ , and which reproduces Wright's first three primes.

Because prp4 is computed with the Floor function, this value of w must satisfy the inequalities

$$prp4 \le 2^{2^{2^{2^{w}}}} < prp4 + 1$$
.

Solving the inequalities for the minimum and maximum possible values of w,

wMin = Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, prp4 + 0]]]] ; wMax = Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, prp4 + 1]]]] ; If we attempt to see how much larger wMin and wMin are compared to c, we find that N[wMin - c, 20] gives  $0. \cdot 10^{-70}$  and the warning,

"Internal precision limit \$MaxExtraPrecision = 50. reached ..." .

To remedy this, we use the Block structure to do the calculation with plenty of added precision inside the Block:

Block[ {\$MaxExtraPrecision = 6000}, N[wMin - c, 20] ]
Block[ {\$MaxExtraPrecision = 6000}, N[wMax - c, 20] ]

These differences are

```
wMin - c \approx 8.2842370595324508541 \cdot 10^{-4933}
wMax - c \approx 8.2844962818036719650 \cdot 10^{-4933}.
```

Any number between these two values, for example,  $8.2843 \cdot 10^{-4933}$ , when added to *c*, should produce the value *prp4*. Note that  $8.2842 \cdot 10^{-4933}$  is too small and that  $8.2845 \cdot 10^{-4933}$  is too large. Also, values with four or fewer significant digits, like  $8.284 \cdot 10^{-4933}$ ,  $8.28 \cdot 10^{-4933}$ , or  $8.29 \cdot 10^{-4933}$ , are either too small or too large.

As above, we should use the *exact* value of  $8.2842 \cdot 10^{-4933}$  in our calculations. The value of w that should produce prp4, is

$$w = c + (8 + 2843/10^4) \cdot 10^{-4933}$$

A quick check in *Mathematica* verifies this:

w = (1 + 92878/10<sup>5</sup>) + (8 + 2843/10<sup>4</sup>) \* 10<sup>-4933</sup>; prp4a = Floor[2<sup>(2<sup>(2<sup>(2</sup>)</sup>)</sup>]; prp4a - prp4

This difference is 0. We can also check that this w gives Wright's first three primes:

{ Floor[2<sup>w</sup>] , Floor[2<sup>(2<sup>w</sup>)</sup>] , Floor[2<sup>(2<sup>w</sup>)</sup>] }

These three values are 3, 13, and 16381.

Note: prp4 is not the *closest* probable prime to n4. Let's search for probable primes just less than n4:

```
previousPrp = NextPrime[n4, -1]
n4 - previousPrp
```

returns 129, so this is closer to n4 than was prp4. (The negative second argument to NextPrime causes *Mathematica* to search for the largest probable prime less than n4.)

Like we did above, we can compute the value of  $g_0$  which starts a sequence such that  $g_4 = n4 - 129$ . The value we get is

$$c - (3 + 35/10^2) \cdot 10^{-4935} \approx 1.9287799999 \cdots$$

Unfortunately, this changes the last two of Wright's original decimal places.

## If you don't have Mathematica.

The Wolfram Alpha website http://www.wolframalpha.com can do some of the calculations shown here. First, put the entire calculation into one expression, such as

```
PrimeQ[ Floor[ 2^(2^(2^( 2^( + 92878/10^5) ))) ] ]
```

and paste it into that webpage. On the main Wolfram Alpha page, this returns no (that is, the number is not prime). This works because we are computing PirmeQ of an even number, so this takes very little time to evaluate.

However, prp4 is probably prime, so PrimeQ[prp4] takes a while to compute. So, this expression for prp4

PrimeQ[ Floor[  $2^{(2^{(2^{(2^{(1 + 92878/10^5 + (8 + 2843/10^4) * 10^{-4933})))}]}]$  remains unevaluated. But if you select "Open code", then press the little button with the arrow to evaluate it, *Wolfram Alpha* will return True.

# 3. The Fifth Term in Wright's Sequence

What can we say about the *fifth* term in Wright's sequence?

The fourth term in Wright's sequence is

$$g_4 = 2^{2^{2^{2^w}}}.$$

The fifth term,

 $g_5 = 2^{g_4}$ 

is too large for *Mathematica* to compute directly. It would be even harder to adjust  $g_5$ , like we did above with  $g_4$ , to produce a  $5^{th}$  prime.

However, we can use base 10 logarithms to calculate how many digits  $g_5$  has, and even to calculate the first few of those digits.

Suppose L is the base 10 logarithm of  $g_5$ , that is,

$$L = \log_{10} g_5 = \log_{10} 2^{g_4} = g_4 \cdot \log_{10} 2.$$

Then  $g_5 = 10^L$ . Let k be the integer part of L, that is,  $k = \lfloor L \rfloor$ , and let f be the fractional part of L, that is, f = L - k. Then f is between 0 and 1, and

$$q_5 = 10^L = 10^{f+k} = 10^f \cdot 10^k$$
.

The factor  $10^k$  determines only how many digits there are, not *what* those digits are. f determines the digits of  $g_5$ .

Here's some *Mathematica* code. We'll define c and w again here to make this code be self-contained.

```
c = 1 + 92878/10^5 ;
w = c + (8 + 2843/10000) * 10^-4933 ;
g4 = 2^(2^(2^(2^w))) ; (* about 10^4931 *)
capL = g4 * Log[10, 2] ; (* log base 10 of g5 *)
(* convert L from exact expression to a numerical approximation *)
capL = N[capL, 5100] ; (* compute this to 5100 digits *)
N[capL]
```

The result is  $L \approx 5.761613032530158 \cdot 10^{4930}$ . Next, extract the integer and fractional parts of L and display rough approximations to the much more accurate values that are stored internally.

```
k = Floor[capL] ;
f = capL - k ; (* f is between 0 and 1 *)
{ N[k] , N[f] }
```

The results are  $k \approx 5.761613032530158 \cdot 10^{4930}$ , and  $f \approx 0.776988577922$ . k is a very large integer, having 4931 digits. The first few digits of k are 5761613032. The last few digits of k can be obtained from Mod[k, 10^10]; they are 8933273637. (Or, we could just display k itself to see *all* of its 4931 digits.)

So, k = 5761613032...8933273637. The number of digits in  $g_5$  is the 4931-digit number

 $k + 1 = 5761613032 \dots 8933273638$ .

We can also obtain the first few digits of  $g_5$  itself.

$$g_5 = 10^f \cdot 10^k = 10^f$$
 times (a large power of 10). (3.1)

The digits of  $g_5$  come from  $10^f$ . Here are two approximations to  $10^f$ :

{ N[10^f] , N[10^f, 20] }

These approximations are 5.98395856859 and 5.9839585685895397357. The "large power of 10" in Equation (3.1) just moves the decimal point over. Therefore,  $g_5$  begins with the digits 5983958568.

These are the first few digits of  $g_5$ , the fifth *term* in Wright's sequence. What about the first few digits of the fifth *prime* in Wright's sequence? The reader may wonder if the leading digits we just computed would have to be changed if  $g_5$  were not prime, but like  $g_4$ , its value had to be adjusted to obtain a prime or a probable prime.

The answer is "no." Given the large size of  $g_5$ , we only need to make a proportionately small increment in  $g_5$  in order to reach the next prime greater than  $g_5$ . Dusart [2, Proposition 6.8] shows that, for any x > 396738, there is a prime p in the interval

$$x$$

Therefore, for values of x near  $g_5$ , we would need to increase x by only a tiny fraction of x to reach the next prime larger than x. Unless x was very slightly below a power of 10 (which  $g_5$  is not), we can do this without changing the first few leading digits of x.

For  $x = g_5$ , we have  $\ln x = \ln g_5 = \log_{10} g_5 \cdot \ln 10$ . This is capL \* Log[E, 10], which is about 1.32666  $\cdot 10^{4931}$ , so the fraction

$$\frac{1}{25(\ln x)^2} \approx 2.3 \cdot 10^{-9864}$$

in Dusart's estimate means that  $g_5$  and the next prime larger than  $g_5$  have  $\approx 9800$  leading digits that are the same.

#### 4. Another Version of Fourth Term in Wright's Sequence

As mentioned above, Wright later proved that his original value, 1.9287800, is not the only one that works.

In OEIS 5, Charles Greathouse defines the sequence:

$$a_0 = 1$$
,  
 $a_n = \text{greatest prime} < 2^{a_{n-1}+1}$ .

Wright does not say anything about a "greatest prime ...", so Greathouse's formulation is slightly different from Wright's.

The first three terms in Greathouse's sequence match Wright's three primes  $a_1 = 3$ ,  $a_2 = 13$ , and  $a_3 = 16381$ . In Greathouse's sequence,  $a_4$  is the 4932-digit probable prime,

$$q = 2^{16382} - 35411 = 29743287383930794127 \dots 11756822667490981293$$

q is roughly  $prp4 \cdot 1.554$ , so it is much larger than prp4.

#### ROBERT BAILLIE

We can transform Greathouse's  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  and  $a_4$  into a sequence of the form proposed by Wright. That is, we can find a z such that

$$q = \lfloor 2^{2^{2^{z^z}}} \rfloor.$$

We work backwards from q to estimate z, just as we did above:

```
zMin = Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, q + 0]]]] ;
zMax = Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, Log[2, q + 1]]]] ;
{ N[zMin, 20] , N[zMax, 20] }
Block[{$MaxExtraPrecision = 6000}, N[zMax - zMin, 20] ]
```

**ZMin** and **ZMax** are both about 1.928782187150216, which is about  $c + 2.187150216 \dots \cdot 10^{-6}$ .

The difference zMax - zMin is about  $1.6680090447391719120 \cdot 10^{-4937}$ . The fact that zMax and zMin are so close together means that, in order to get q as the fourth term in the sequence, we must specify z to at least 4937 decimal places.

So, a value of z that produces q is

 $z = 1.9287800 + 2.187150216 \dots \cdot 10^{-6} \approx 1.928782187150216 \dots$ 

We can verify that this z reproduces Wright's first three primes.

The probable prime q has a form that is easy to write down, which is a very nice feature. However, z is *not* easy to write. In addition, this q leads to a z whose  $6^{\text{th}}$  and  $7^{\text{th}}$  decimal places are different from Wright's.

## 5. PROOF THAT THE 4932-DIGIT PRP IS PRIME

The proof of primality of prp4 was kindly carried out by Marcel Martin, the author of the software Primo.

Mr. Martin has supplied the primality certificate as a 1.5 megabyte text file. It has been uploaded as an ancillary file to Math arXiv along with the LATEX for this pdf, and so is publicly available. The file (converted to use PC-style end of line characters) is P4932Proof.txt. The link to the file may be found at https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.09741.

The *Primo* website [7] allows one to download a Linux version of *Primo*. Inside this compressed file is the file verifier-f4.txt, which explains the format of the certificate file. Excerpts of the primality certificate are in Appendix B.

#### References

- Chris K. Caldwell and Yuanyou Furui Cheng, Determining Mills' Constant and a Note on Honaker's Problem, Journal of Integer Sequences, vol. 8 (2005), Article 05.4.1 https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.4883v2.
- [2] Pierre Dusart, Estimates of Some Functions Over Primes Without R.H. https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.0442

https://oeis.org/A016104.

- W. H. Mills, A prime-representing function, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 53, no. 6 (1947) p. 604. Available at <a href="https://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1947-08849-2">https://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1947-08849-2</a>.
- [4] OEIS Foundation, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, Sequence A051021,
- https://oeis.org/A051021.
  [5] Charles Greathouse's version of Wright's Constant, OEIS Foundation, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, Sequence A016104,

6

### WRIGHT'S FOURTH PRIME

- [6] OEIS Foundation, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, Sequence A051254, https://oeis.org/A051254.
- [7] Primo, http://www.ellipsa.eu/public/primo/primo.html
- [8] Formula for primes Wright's formula, Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Formula\_for\_primes#Wright.27s\_formula.
- [9] Probable prime, Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probable\_prime
- [10] E. M. Wright, A prime-representing function, American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 58, no. 9 (November, 1951) pp. 616-618.
  Available through JSTOR at http://www.jstor.org/stable/2306356 or from sci-hub at http://www.jstor.org.sci-hub.io/stable/2306356 .
- [11] E. M. Wright, A class of representing functions, J. London Math. Soc. vol. 29 (1954), pp. 63–71, DOI 10.1112/jlms/s1-29.1.63 .
   Available from sci-hub at http://sci-hub.io/10.1112/jlms/s1-29.1.63

[12] Wolfram Research, Some Notes on Internal Implementation, http://reference.wolfram.com/language/tutorial/SomeNotesOnInternalImplementation.html#6849

Email: rjbaillie@frii.com State College, PA 16803

# APPENDIX A. WRIGHT'S 4932-DIGIT PRIME

The first 49 lines have 100 digits each; the 50<sup>th</sup> line has 32 digits.

# Appendix B. Excerpts of the Primality Certificate

Below is an abbreviated version of the primality certificate file produced by Marcel Martin's *Primo* program.

[PRIMO - Primality Certificate] Version=4.2.1 - LX64 WebSite=http://www.ellipsa.eu/ Format=4 ID=B3CC803D5740A Created=May-7-2017 05:52:04 PM TestCount=540 Status=Candidate certified prime [Comments] Put here any comment... [Running Times (Wall-Clock)] 1stPhase=20253s 2ndPhase=6336s Total=26588s [Running Times (Processes)] 1stPhase=156839s 2ndPhase=50655s Total=207494s [Candidate] File=/home/primo64/work/Baillie4932.in N=\$292F...0303 HexadecimalSize=4096 DecimalSize=4932 BinarySize=16382 [1] S=\$12 W=\$B979...FD56 J=\$4FE3...51F2 T=\$2 [2] S=\$39330122B W=\$12FA...F0F5 J=\$A137...006F T=\$1 . . . [537] S=\$5CB304 W=-\$AD87C590F88A80304CA A=\$2 B=0 T=\$3

[538] S=\$5A Q=\$3 [539] S=\$379 W=-\$19D0C7AD9EA43AB5 A=0 B=\$3 T=\$1 [540] S=\$5E0A0257FA10 B=\$2

[Signature] 1=\$06DE9AC57B1F53C2FD64648659604AEF1531E97C9871A932 2=\$C5858EFD6BB8AADF1C00EA4A566005740310857178FBCE25