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#### Abstract

We naturally obtain some combinatorial identities finding the difference analogs of hyperbolic and trigonometric functions of order $n$. In particular, we obtain the identities connected with the proved in the paper the addition formulas for these analogs.


## 1. Introduction

The original definitions of the hyperbolic and trigonometric functions of order $n$ are the following (cf. [1], point 18.2).

Definition 1. The $n$ functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}(x, n)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \omega^{(1-s) t} \exp \left(\omega^{t} x\right), s=1, \ldots, n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{n}\right)$, are called hyperbolic functions of order $n$.
In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1}(x, 1)=e^{x}, h_{1}(x, 2)=\cosh x, h_{2}(x, 2)=\sinh x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2. The $n$ functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{s}(x, n)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{t} x^{n t+s-1}}{(n t+s-1)!}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \geq 2$, are called trigonometric functions of order $n$.
In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}(x, 1)=e^{-x}, k_{1}(x, 2)=\cos x, k_{2}(x, 2)=\sin x . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following equivalent definitions which could be proved directly from Definitions 1, 2 and the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem.

[^0]Proposition 1. a) The functions $\left\{h_{s}(x, n)\right\}, s=1, \ldots, n$, form the solution of the Cauchy problem for the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$
y_{s}^{\prime}=y_{s-1}, \quad s=2,3, \ldots, n, \quad y_{1}^{\prime}=y_{n}
$$

with the initials $y_{1}(0)=1, y_{s}(0)=0, s=2, \ldots, n$.
b) The functions $\left\{k_{s}(x, n)\right\}, s=1, \ldots, n$, form the solution of the Cauchy problem for the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$
y_{s}^{\prime}=y_{s-1}, \quad s=2,3, \ldots, n, \quad y_{1}^{\prime}=-y_{n}
$$

with the initials $y_{1}(0)=1, y_{s}(0)=0, s=2, \ldots, n$.
Note that also we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}(x, n)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n t+s-1}}{(n t+s-1)!}, s=1, \ldots, n . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1 allows to introduce the difference analogs of hyperbolic and trigonometric functions of order $n$. As usual, set $\Delta f(m)=f(m+1)-f(m)$.

Definition 3. For a fixed $n$ and nonnegative integer variation $m$, the functions $\left\{H_{s}(m, n)\right\}, s=1, \ldots, n$, are called difference hyperbolic of order $n$ if they form the solution of the following system of difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{s}(m)=y_{s-1}(m), \quad s=2,3, \ldots, n, \quad \Delta y_{1}(m)=y_{n}(m) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initials $y_{1}(0)=1, y_{s}(0)=0, s=2, \ldots, n$.
Definition 4. For a fixed $n$ and nonnegative integer variation $m$, the functions $\left\{K_{s}(m, n)\right\}, s=1, \ldots, n$, are called difference trigonometric of order $n$ if they form the solution of the following system of difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{s}(m)=y_{s-1}(m), \quad s=2,3, \ldots, n, \quad \Delta y_{1}(m)=-y_{n}(m) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initials $y_{1}(0)=1, y_{s}(0)=0, s=2, \ldots, n$.
Our goal is, using the properties of functions $H_{s}(m, n)$ and $K_{s}(m, n)$, to prove the following identities.

Theorem 1. For $m \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t \geq 0}\binom{m}{n t+s-1}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\omega^{j}+1\right)^{m} \omega^{j(1-s)}, s=1, \ldots, n \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t \geq 0}(-1)^{t}\binom{m}{n t+s-1}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\mu^{2 j-1}+1\right)^{m} \mu^{(2 j-1)(1-s)}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=\exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{n}\right)$.

Note that formula (8) is known ([3], [4]), but formula (9) probably is new (at least, it is neither in [3] nor in [4]).

Let us define the sets $\left\{H_{s}(m, n)\right\},\left\{K_{s}(m, n)\right\}$ outside $s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, putting for $s=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{-(s-1)}(m, n)=H_{n-s+1}(m, n), \quad K_{-(s-1)}(m, n)=-K_{n-s+1}(m, n) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we show that the definition (10) is quite natural.
Theorem 2. (The addition formulas) For integers $m, s \geq 0$ we have the identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{i}(m+s, n)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} H_{j}(s, n) H_{i-j+1}(m, n), \quad i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{11}\\
& K_{i}(m+s, n)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(s, n) K_{i-j+1}(m, n), \quad i=1, \ldots, n . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, consider circulant matrices $\mathrm{H}_{n}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{n}$ with the first row $\left\{(-1)^{i-1} H_{i}(m, n)\right\}, \quad i=$ $1, \ldots, n$, and $\left\{(-1)^{i-1} K_{i}(m, n)\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n$, respectively.

Theorem 3. 1) If $n$ is even, then for every $m \geq 1$, $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{H}_{n}=0$;
2) If $n$ is odd, then for every $m \geq 1$, $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{K}_{n}=0$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. Using $\Delta\binom{m}{k}=\binom{m}{k-1}$, it is easy to verify that $H_{s}(m, n)$ and $K_{s}(m, n)$ have the following form (such that the initials evidently hold):

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{s}(m, n)=\sum_{t \geq 0}\binom{m}{n t+s-1}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n  \tag{13}\\
K_{s}(m, n)=\sum_{t \geq 0}(-1)^{t}\binom{m}{n t+s-1}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, (13) and (14) agree with (10). For example, consider the equality from (10) for $s=1 K_{0}(m, n)=-K_{n}(m, n)$. We have

$$
K_{n}(m)=\binom{m}{n-1}-\binom{m}{2 n-1}+\binom{m}{3 n-1}-\ldots
$$

and formally for " $s=0$ " we have

$$
K_{0}(m, n)=\binom{m}{-1}-\binom{m}{n-1}+\binom{m}{2 n-1}-\binom{m}{3 n-1}-\ldots
$$

Since $\binom{m}{-1}=0$, the considered equality is evident.

Furthermore, note that, by Definition 3, 4, $H_{s}(m, n), s=0, \ldots, n$ satisfies the difference equation $\Delta^{n} y-y=0$, while $K_{s}(m, n), s=0, \ldots, n$ satisfies the difference equation $\Delta^{n} y+y=0$. The characteristic equations of these difference equations are (cf.[2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{n}{k} \lambda^{k} \mp 1=(\lambda-1)^{n} \mp 1=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Thus we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{s}(m, n)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{s, j}^{(1)}\left(\omega^{j}+1\right)^{m}= \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{s, j}^{(2)} \omega^{(1-s) j}\left(\omega^{j}+1\right)^{m}, \quad s=1, \ldots, n . \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further, note that to obtain $n$ distinct roots of $x^{n}=-1$ we could consider $\left\{\mu, \mu^{3}, \ldots, \mu^{2 n-1}\right\}$, where $\mu=\exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{n}\right)$. So,

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{s}(m, n)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{s, j}^{(3)}\left(\mu^{2 j-1}+1\right)^{m}= \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{s, j}^{(4)} \mu^{(1-s)(2 j-1)}\left(\mu^{2 j-1}+1\right)^{m}, s=1, \ldots, n . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us show that

$$
C_{s, j}^{(2)}=C_{s, j}^{(4)}=\frac{1}{n}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{s}(m, n)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega^{(1-s) j}\left(\omega^{j}+1\right)^{m}, s=1, \ldots, n  \tag{18}\\
K_{s}(m, n)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu^{(1-s)(2 j-1)}\left(\mu^{2 j-1}+1\right)^{m}, s=1, \ldots, n . \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Indeed, it is easy to verify that $\Delta H_{s}(m, n)=H_{s-1}$ (in particular, $\Delta H_{1}(m, n)=$ $\left.H_{0}(m, n)=H_{n}(m, n)\right) ; \quad \Delta K_{s}(m, n)=K_{s-1}$ (in particular, $\Delta K_{1}(m, n)=$ $\left.K_{0}(m, n)=-K_{n}(m, n)\right)$. Initials also hold, in view of identities for $s>1$ :

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega^{j(1-s)}=0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu^{(2 j-1)(1-s)}=\mu^{s-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega^{j(1-s)}=0
$$

Comparing (13) with (18) and (14) with (19) we obtain (8) and (9) respectively.

Using (18), (19) and simple transformations, we obtain, for example, formulas:

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{2}(m, 2)=(\sqrt{2})^{m} \sin \frac{\pi m}{4} \\
H_{1}(m, 3)=\frac{1}{3}\left(2^{m}+2 \cos \frac{\pi m}{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

These are A009545, A024493 [5] respectively. In particular, $(\sqrt{2})^{m} \sin \frac{\pi m}{4}$ is the difference analog of $k_{2}(x, 2)=\sin x$.
Further examples: for $m \geq 1$, using (9), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{1}(m, 5)=(2 / 5)(\varphi+2)^{m / 2}\left(\cos (\pi m / 10)+(\varphi-1)^{m} \cos (3 \pi m / 10)\right), \\
K_{2}(m, 5)=(2 / 5)(\varphi+2)^{m / 2}\left(\cos (\pi(m-2) / 10)+(\varphi-1)^{m} \cos (3 \pi(m-2) / 10)\right), \\
K_{3}(m, 5)=(2 / 5)(\varphi+2)^{m / 2}\left(\cos (\pi(m-4) / 10)+(\varphi-1)^{m} \cos (3 \pi(m-4) / 10)\right), \\
K_{4}(m, 5)=(2 / 5)(\varphi+2)^{m / 2}\left(\cos (\pi(m-6) / 10)+(\varphi-1)^{m} \cos (3 \pi(m-6) / 10)\right), \\
K_{5}(m, 5)=(2 / 5)(\varphi+2)^{m / 2}\left(\cos (\pi(m-8) / 10)+(\varphi-1)^{m} \cos (3 \pi(m-8) / 10)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the golden ratio. These sequences are A289306, A289321, A289387, A289388, A289389 [5] respectively. Note that in case $n=5$ in (9) the third summand is 0 , but if $m=0,0^{0}$ is accepted as 1 . It is the reason why the formulas hold only for $m \geq 1$. Note also that, using these formulas, it is easy to find all zeros of the functions $K_{i}(m, 5)$. So, we find that
$K_{1}(m, 5)=0$ if and only if $m \equiv 5(\bmod 10)$;
$K_{2}(m, 5)=0$ if and only if $m=0$ or $m \equiv 7(\bmod 10)$;
$K_{3}(m, 5)=0$ if and only if $m=0, m=1$ or $m \equiv 9(\bmod 10)$;
$K_{4}(m, 5)=0$ if and only if $m=0, m=2$ or $m \equiv 1(\bmod 10)$;
$K_{5}(m, 5)=0$ if and only if $m=0, m=1, m=2$ or $m \equiv 3(\bmod 10)$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Since the proofs for the formulas of Theorem 2 are identical, we prove the latter one. Using Definition 4 and (10), let us find the values $K_{i}(1)=$ $y_{i}(1)$ (Here we write $K_{i}(m, n)=K_{i}(m)$ for a fixed $n$ ). Since $\Delta y_{i}(m)=$ $y_{i-1}(m)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}(m+1)=y_{i}(m)+y_{i-1}(m) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $\mathrm{m}=0$, we have $y_{i}(1)=0$, except for $i=1$ and $i=2: y_{1}(1)=1$ and $y_{2}(1)=1$. Consequently, the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(1) K_{i-j+1}(m)$ contains only two positive summands for $j=1,2$. So, by (20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}(m+1)=K_{i}(m)+K_{i-1}(m)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(1) K_{i-j+1}(m) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is formula (12) for $s=1$. Further we use induction. Suppose, for every $m \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}(m+s)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(s) K_{i-j+1}(m), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}(m+(s+1))=\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(s+1) K_{i-j+1}(m), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}(m+s+1)=K_{i}((m+s)+1)=K_{i}(m+s)+K_{i-1}(m+s) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, again by (21), the right hand side of (23) equals

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(s+1) K_{i-j+1}(m)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(K_{j}(s)+K_{j-1}(s)\right) K_{i-j+1}(m)= \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(s) K_{i-j+1}(m)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j-1}(s) K_{i-j+1}(m)=\Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2} . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

According to the induction supposition (22), we have $\Sigma_{1}=K_{i}(m+s)$ and, by (24), it is left to prove that $\Sigma_{2}=K_{i-1}(m+s)$. Again by the induction supposition (22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i-1}(m+s)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j}(s) K_{i-j}(m) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

But for $\Sigma_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{j-1}(s) K_{i-j+1}(m)(j-1:=j)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_{j}(s) K_{i-j}(m) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by (26), (27) and (10) we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{i-1}(m+s)-\Sigma_{2}=K_{n}(s) K_{i-n}(m)-K_{0}(s) K_{i}(m)= \\
\left(-K_{0}(s)\right)\left(-K_{i}(m)\right)-K_{0}(m) K_{i}(m)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

which completes the proof.
For example, using (10), for $n=3, i=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(m+s)=H_{1}(s) H_{1}(m)+H_{2}(s) H_{3}(m)+H_{3}(s) H_{2}(m), \\
& K_{1}(m+s)=K_{1}(s) K_{1}(m)-K_{2}(s) K_{3}(m)-K_{3}(s) K_{2}(m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, in particular, using (13) and (14), we obtain the corresponding identities for the binomial coefficients of the form $\binom{r}{3 t+i-1}, r=s, m, s+m, t \geq$ $0, \quad i=1,2,3$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. By the well known classic result, the determinant of a circulant matrix H equals

$$
\prod_{t=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i-1} H_{i}(m, n) \omega_{t}^{i-1}
$$

where $\left\{\omega_{t}\right\}, t=1, \ldots, n$, are all distinct roots of order $n$ from 1 . The factor corresponding $\omega_{t}=1$ equals $H_{1}-H_{2}+\ldots-H_{n}$ since $n$ is even. By (13), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{1}-H_{2}+\ldots-H_{n}= \\
\binom{m}{0}+\binom{m}{n}+\binom{m}{2 n}+\binom{m}{3 n}+\ldots \\
-\binom{m}{1}-\binom{m}{n+1}-\binom{m}{2 n+1}-\binom{m}{3 n+1}-\ldots+ \\
\binom{m}{2}+\binom{m}{n+2}+\binom{m}{2 n+2}+\binom{m}{3 n+2}+\ldots- \\
-\binom{m}{n-1}-\binom{m}{2 n-1}-\binom{m}{3 n-1}-\binom{m}{4 n-1}-\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Reading over columns, we see that all consecutive binomial coefficients occur with alternative signs. It is clear that $\binom{m}{m}$ occurs in the $r$-th row, if $m \equiv r$ $(\bmod n), \quad 0 \leq r \leq n-1$, and other summands are zeros. So, for $m \geq 1$, $H_{1}-H_{2}+\ldots-H_{n}=\sum_{l=0}^{m}(-1)^{l}\binom{m}{l}=0$ and also $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{H}=0$. Analogously, using (14), for odd $n$ we find that $K_{1}-K_{2}+K_{3}-\ldots+K_{n}=0$ and so also $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{K}=0$.
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