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# SOME UNIVERSAL QUADRATIC SUMS OVER THE INTEGERS 
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#### Abstract

Let $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \geqslant c \geqslant e>0, b \leqslant a$ and $b \equiv a(\bmod 2), d \leqslant c$ and $d \equiv c(\bmod 2), f \leqslant e$ and $f \equiv e(\bmod 2)$. If any nonnegative integer can be written as $x(a x+b) / 2+y(c y+d) / 2+$ $z(e z+f) / 2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the tuple $(a, b, c, d, e, f)$ is said to be universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. Recently, Z.-W. Sun found all candidates of such universal tuples over $\mathbb{Z}$. In this paper, we use the theory of ternary quadratic forms to show that 38 concrete tuples ( $a, b, c, d, e, f$ ) in Sun's list of candidates are indeed universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. For example, we prove the universality of $(16,4,2,0,1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ which is related to the famous form $x^{2}+y^{2}+32 z^{2}$.


## 1. Introduction

Those $T_{x}=x(x+1) / 2$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ are called triangular numbers. In 1796 Gauss proved Fermat's assertion that each $n \in \mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ can be expressed as the sum of three triangular numbers.

For polynomials $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), f_{3}(x)$ with $f_{i}(\mathbb{Z})=\left\{f_{i}(x): x \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for $i=1,2,3$, if any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(y)+f_{3}(z)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ then we call the sum $f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(y)+f_{3}(z)$ universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. For example, $T_{x}+T_{y}+T_{z}$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$ by Gauss' result.

In 1862 Liouville (cf. [2, p. 82]) determined all universal sums $a T_{x}+b T_{y}+$ $c T_{z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Z.-W. Sun [23, 24] studied universal sums of the form $a p_{i}(x)+b p_{j}(y)+c p_{k}(z)$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j, k \in\{3,4, \ldots\}$, where $p_{m}(x)$ denotes the generalized polygonal number $(m-2)\binom{x}{2}+x$; see also $[11,19,10,18,16]$ for subsequent work on some of Sun's conjectures posed in [23, 24]. In 2017 Sun [26] investigated universal sums $x(a x+1)+$ $y(b y+1)+z(c z+1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and also universal sums $x(a x+b)+y(a y+c)+z(a z+d)$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \geqslant b \geqslant c \geqslant d$. Quite recently, Sun [27] investigated for what tuples $(a, b, c, d, e, f)$ with $a \geqslant c \geqslant e \geqslant 1, b \equiv a(\bmod 2)$ and $|b| \leqslant a, d \equiv c(\bmod 2)$ and $|d| \leqslant c$,

[^0]$f \equiv e(\bmod 2)$ and $|f| \leqslant e$, the sum
$$
\frac{x(a x+b)}{2}+\frac{y(c y+d)}{2}+\frac{z(e z+f)}{2}
$$
is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. Such tuples $(a, b, c, d, e, f)$ are said to be universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. He showed such tuples with $|b|<a,|d|<c,|f|<e$, and $b \geqslant d$ if $a=c$, and $d \geqslant f$ if $c=e$, must be in his list of 12082 candidates (cf. [28, A286944]), and conjectured that all such candidates are indeed universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. Note that
$$
\left\{\frac{x(x-1)}{2}: x \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\left\{T_{x}: x \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\{x(2 x+1): x \in \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

Sun [27] proved that some candidates $(a, b, c, d, e, f)$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$, e.g. $(5,1,3,1,1,1)$ (equivalent to $(5,1,4,2,3,1))$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. Sun even conjectured that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $x(x+1) / 2+y(3 y+$ 1) $/ 2+z(5 z+1) / 2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this paper, via the theory of ternary quadratic forms, we establish the universality (over $\mathbb{Z}$ ) of 38 concrete tuples $(a, b, c, d, e, f)$ in Sun's list of candidates.

Theorem 1.1. The tuples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (5,1,2,2,1,1),(6,0,3,3,3,1),(6,2,5,5,1,1) \\
& (6,6,3,3,3,1),(8,2,3,1,1,1),(8,6,3,1,1,1),(8,8,3,1,1,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.1. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 uses some special techniques. Sun [24] conjectured that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $T_{x}+2 T_{y}+p_{7}(z)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$, and J. Ju, B.-K. Oh and B. Seo [16] proved that $T_{x}+2 T_{y}+p_{7}(z)$ (or the tuple $(5,3,2,2,1,1)$ ) is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Similarly to [27, Theorem 1.4], we observe that $\left\{T_{x}+p_{5}(y): x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=$ $\left\{p_{5}(x)+3 p_{5}(y): x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ which can be easily proved.

Theorem 1.2. The tuples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (6,0,5,1,3,1),(6,0,5,3,3,1),(7,1,1,1,1,1),(7,1,2,0,1,1), \\
& (7,1,2,2,1,1),(7,1,3,1,1,1),(7,1,3,3,1,1),(7,3,1,1,1,1), \\
& (7,3,2,0,1,1),(7,3,2,2,1,1),(7,3,3,1,1,1),(7,3,3,3,1,1), \\
& (7,5,1,1,1,1),(7,5,3,1,1,1),(7,5,3,3,1,1),(15,3,3,1,1,1), \\
& (15,5,1,1,1,1),(15,5,3,1,2,0),(15,5,3,1,2,2),(15,9,3,1,1,1), \\
& (21,7,3,1,2,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.
Remark 1.2. Our proof of Theorem 1.2 involves the theory of genera of ternary quadratic forms. Sun [24] conjectured that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $T_{x}+y^{2}+p_{9}(z)\left(\right.$ or $\left.T_{x}+2 T_{y}+p_{9}(z)\right)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$, and Ju, Oh and Seo [16] proved that $T_{x}+y^{2}+p_{9}(z)$ and $T_{x}+2 T_{y}+p_{9}(z)$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., the tuples $(7,5,2,0,1,1)$ and $(7,5,2,2,1,1)$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.3. (i) The tuples $(5,5,3,1,3,1),(5,5,3,3,3,1),(6,4,5,5,1,1)$ and $(7,7,3,1,1,1)$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) All the five tuples
$(6,2,5,1,1,1),(6,2,5,5,1,1),(6,4,5,1,1,1),(15,5,6,2,1,1),(15,5,6,4,1,1)$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.3. Our proof of Theorem 1.3(i) employs the Minkowski-Siegel formula (cf. [17, pp. 173-174]). Sun [24] conjectured that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $T_{x}+p_{7}(y)+2 p_{5}(z)\left(\right.$ or $\left.T_{x}+p_{7}(y)+p_{8}(z)\right)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$, and Ju , Oh and Seo [16] proved that $T_{x}+p_{7}(y)+2 p_{5}(z)$ and $T_{x}+p_{7}(y)+p_{8}(z)$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., the tuples $(6,2,5,3,1,1)$ and $(6,4,5,3,1,1)$ are universal over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.4. The tuple $(16,4,2,0,1,1)$ is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. In other words, any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $T_{x}+y^{2}+2 z(4 z+1)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.4. This result is closely related to the famous form $x^{2}+y^{2}+32 z^{2}$. Sun [27] even conjectured that any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $T_{x}+y^{2}+2 z(4 z-$ 1) with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will show Theorems 1.1-1.4 in Sections 2-5 respectively.

In view of Theorems 1.1-1.3, [27, Theorem 1.4], and some basic facts on regular quadratic forms, among those conjectural universal tuples ( $a, b, c, d, e, f$ ) with $a=6 \geqslant c \geqslant e \geqslant 2, b \in(-a, a), d \in(-c, c), f \in(-e, e)$ and $a-b, c-d, e-f$ all even listed in [28, A286944], only the universality of the tuples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (6,0,5,1,4,2),(6,0,5,3,4,2),(6,2,5,3,4,0),(6,2,5,3,5,3) \\
& (6,2,6,0,5,3),(6,2,6,2,5,3),(6,4,5,1,4,0),(6,4,5,1,5,1) \\
& ((6,4,5,3,2,0),(6,4,5,3,4,0),(6,4,5,3,5,3),(6,4,6,0,5,1) \\
& (6,4,6,0,5,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

remains open.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lemma 2.1. Let $V$ be a quadratic space. For any isometry $T \in O(V)$ of infinite order,

$$
V_{T}=\left\{x \in V: \text { there is a positive integer } k \text { such that } T^{k}(x)=x\right\} .
$$

is a subspace of $V$ with dimension one, and $T(x)=\operatorname{det}(T) x$ for any $x \in V_{T}$.
Remark 2.1. Any unexplained notation in the theory of quadratic forms can be found in $[4,17,20]$. Lemma 2.1 is a known result, see, e.g., [18].

Lemma 2.2. (i) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write $12 n+5$ as $x^{2}+y^{2}+(6 z)^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $\delta \in\{0,1\}$. Then we can write $6 n+1$ as $x^{2}+3 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$.

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.2 is a known result due to the second author, see [24, Theorem 1.7(iii) and Lemma 3.3] and [26, Remark 3.1].

John S. Hsia, in a letter to Irving Kaplansky in 1993, proved that $x^{2}+$ $y^{2}+10 z^{2}$ represents all eligible numbers of the form $3 m+2$ (cf. [14, pp. 12-14]). As all positive odd numbers are eligible by Hensel's lemma, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write $6 n+5$ as $x^{2}+y^{2}+10 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, we define

$$
E(a, b, c)=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: n \neq a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2} \text { for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

L.E. Dickson [7, pp. 112-113] listed all the 102 primitive regular diagonal quadratic forms $a x^{2}+b y^{2}+c z^{2}$ for which the structure of $E(a, b, c)$ is known explicitly. For example, the Gauss-Legendre theorem asserts that $E(1,1,1)=\left\{4^{k}(8 l+7): k, l \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

In 1996 W. Jagy [12] investigated so-called nearly regular quadratic forms, and showed the following result (cf. [14, pp. 25-26]).

Lemma 2.4. We have

$$
E(1,4,9)=\{2\} \cup \bigcup_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}}\left\{4^{k}(8 l+7), 8 l+3,9 l+3\right\}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (i) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in\{1,3\}$. Apparently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+y(y+1)+\frac{z(5 z+r)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 40 n+r^{2}+15=5(2 x+1)^{2}+10(2 y+1)^{2}+(10 z+r)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
E(1,5,10)=\left\{25^{k} m: k, m \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 5)\right\}
$$

we have $40+r^{2}+15 \in\left\{x^{2}+5 y^{2}+10 z^{2}: x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Thus we can write

$$
40 n+r^{2}+15=\left(2^{k} x_{0}\right)^{2}+5\left(2^{k} y_{0}\right)^{2}+10\left(2^{k} z_{0}\right)^{2}=4^{k}\left(x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2}+10 z_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

with $k \in \mathbb{N}, x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$ not all even. In the case $k=0$, if $2 \mid z_{0}$ then $x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2} \equiv r^{2}+15 \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and hence $x_{0} \equiv y_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ which contradicts that $x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}$ are not all even, thus $2 \nmid z_{0}$ and also $2 \nmid x_{0} y_{0}$ since $x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2} \equiv r^{2}+15-10 z_{0}^{2} \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$.

It is easy to verify the following new identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{2}\left(x^{2}+5 y^{2}+10 z^{2}\right)=(x-5 y-10 z)^{2}+5(x+3 y-2 z)^{2}+10(x-y+2 z)^{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x, y, z$ are odd integers, then by (2.1) we have

$$
4\left(x^{2}+5 y^{2}+z^{2}\right)=\bar{x}^{2}+5 \bar{y}^{2}+10 \bar{z}^{2}
$$

with

$$
\tilde{x}=\frac{x-y}{2}-2 y-5 z, \tilde{y}=\frac{x-y}{2}+2 y-z, \quad \tilde{z}=\frac{x-y}{2}+z
$$

all odd. Thus, if $2 \nmid x_{0} y_{0} z_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
40 n+r^{2}+15=4^{k}\left(x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2}+10 z_{0}^{2}\right) \in\left\{x^{2}+5 y^{2}+10 z^{2}: x, y, z \text { are odd }\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{0} \not \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 2)$, then $x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2}+10 z_{0}^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $k \geqslant 2$ since $40 n+r^{2}+15 \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, hence by (2.1) we have

$$
4^{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2}+10 z_{0}^{2}\right)=\bar{x}_{0}^{2}+5 \bar{y}_{0}^{2}+10 \bar{z}_{0}^{2}
$$

with $\bar{x}_{0}=x_{0}-5 y_{0}-10 z_{0}, \bar{y}_{0}=x_{0}+3 y_{0}-2 z_{0}$ and $\bar{z}_{0}=x_{0}-y_{0}+2 z_{0}$ all odd, and therefore (2.2) holds.

Now we suppose that $k>0,2 \mid x_{0} y_{0} z_{0}$ and $x_{0} \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 2)$. By (2.1),

$$
4\left(x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2}+10 z_{0}^{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+5 y_{1}^{2}+10 z_{1}^{2}
$$

with

$$
x_{1}=\frac{x_{0}-y_{0}}{2}-2 y_{0}-5 z_{0}, y_{1}=\frac{x_{0}-y_{0}}{2}+2 y_{0}-z_{0}, z_{1}=\frac{x_{0}-y_{0}}{2}+z_{0}
$$

If $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ are odd, then we may assume $x_{0} \not \equiv y_{0}-2 z_{0}(\bmod 4)$ without loss of generality (otherwise we replace $x_{0}$ by $-x_{0}$ ), and hence $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$ are all odd. If $x_{0}, y_{0},\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right) / 2$ are all even, then $z_{0}$ is odd and so are $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$. If $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ are even with $x_{0} \not \equiv y_{0}(\bmod 4)$, then $z_{0}$ is odd and we may assume $z_{0} \equiv\left(y_{0}-x_{0}\right) / 2(\bmod 4)$ without loss of generality (otherwise we replace $z_{0}$ by $\left.-z_{0}\right)$, hence $z_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 4), y_{1}=z_{1}+2\left(y_{0}-z_{0}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right) / 4 \equiv-y_{0}-z_{0} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, therefore by $(2.1)$ we have

$$
x_{1}^{2}+5 y_{1}^{2}+10 z_{1}^{2}=x_{2}^{2}+5 y_{2}^{2}+10 z_{2}^{2}
$$

with

$$
x_{2}=\frac{x_{1}-5 y_{1}-10 z_{1}}{4}, y_{2}=\frac{x_{1}+3 y_{1}-2 z_{1}}{4}, z_{2}=\frac{x_{1}-y_{1}+2 z_{1}}{4}
$$

all odd. So we still have (2.2).
By the above, there always exist odd integers $x, y, z$ such that $40 n+r^{2}+$ $15=x^{2}+5 y^{2}+10 z^{2}$. Write $y=2 u+1$ and $z=2 v+1$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $x^{2} \equiv r^{2}(\bmod 5)$, either $x$ or $-x$ has the form $10 w+r$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$
40 n+r^{2}+15=(10 w+r)^{2}+5(2 u+1)^{2}+10(2 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{u}+v(v+1)+w(5 w+r) / 2$. This proves the universality of ( $5, r, 2,2,1,1$ ) over $\mathbb{Z}$.

There is an alternative way using (2.1) and Lemma 2.1 with

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 4 & -5 / 4 & -5 / 2 \\
1 / 4 & 3 / 4 & -1 / 2 \\
1 / 4 & -1 / 4 & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

to explain that $40 n+r^{2}+15=x^{2}+5 y^{2}+10 z^{2}$ for some odd integers $x, y, z$.
(ii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in\{1,3\}$. Apparently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+\frac{y(3 y+1)}{2}+z(4 z+r) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 48 n+3 r^{2}+8=6(2 x+1)^{2}+2(6 y+1)^{2}+3(8 z+r)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
E(2,3,6)=\{3 q+1: q \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup\left\{4^{k}(8 l+7): k, l \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

by Dickson [7, pp. 112-113], we see that $48 n+3 r^{2}+8=2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $y^{2}+2 z^{2} \neq 0$, and hence by [24, Lemma 2.1] we have $y^{2}+2 z^{2}=y_{0}^{2}+2 z_{0}^{2}$ for some $y_{0}, z_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all divisible by 3 . Thus, without any loss of generality, we simply assume that $3 \nmid y$ or $3 \nmid z$. Note that $3 \nmid x, 2 \nmid y$, and $x \equiv z(\bmod 2)$ since $2\left(x^{2}+z^{2}\right) \equiv 2 x^{2}+6 z^{2} \equiv$ $3 r^{2}+8-3 y^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. If $3 \mid y$ and $3 \nmid z$, then $z$ or $-z$ is congruent to $x+y$ modulo 3 . If $3 \nmid y$ and $3 \mid z$, then $y$ or $-y$ is congruent to $x+z$ modulo 3. If $3 \nmid y z$, then $\varepsilon_{1} y \equiv \varepsilon_{2} z \equiv x(\bmod 3)$ for some $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. So, without loss of generality, we may assume that $x+y+z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ (otherwise we may change signs of $x, y, z$ suitably). Note that

$$
48 n+3 r^{2}+8=2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+6 z^{2}=2 a^{2}+3 b^{2}+6 c^{2}
$$

where $a=y+z, b=(2 x-y+2 z) / 3$ and $c=(x+y-2 z) / 3$ are integers. If $x \equiv z \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then $x, y, z$ are all odd. If $x \equiv z \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, then $a, b, c$ are all odd.

By the above, $48 n+3 r^{2}+8=2 a^{2}+3 b^{2}+6 c^{2}$ for some odd integers $a, b, c$. Since $3 b^{2} \equiv 3 r^{2}+8-2 a^{2}-6 c^{2} \equiv 3 r^{2}(\bmod 16)$, we can write $b$ or $-b$ as $8 w+r$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $a$ or $-a$ has the form $6 u+1$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $c=2 v+1$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$
48 n+3 r^{2}+8=2(6 u+1)^{2}+3(8 w+r)^{2}+6(2 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=u(3 u+1) / 2+T_{v}+w(4 w+r)$. This proves the universality of $(8,2 r, 3,1,1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(iii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.2(ii), we can write $6 n+7$ in the form $x^{2}+3 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \equiv n+1(\bmod 2)$. Clearly, $y \equiv n(\bmod 2)$. Since $6 z^{2} \equiv 6 n+7-(n+1)^{2}-3 n^{2} \equiv 6(\bmod 4)$, we have $2 \nmid z$. Hence

$$
24 n+28=4(6 n+7)=4\left(x^{2}+3 y^{2}+6 z^{2}\right)=(x-3 y)^{2}+3(x+y)^{2}+24 z^{2}
$$

with $x-2 y, x+2 y$ and $z$ all odd. Note that $x-3 y$ or $3 y-x$ has the form $6 w+1$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $x+y=2 u+1$ and $z=2 v+1$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
24 n+28=(6 w+1)^{2}+3(2 u+1)^{2}+24(2 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=w(3 w+1) / 2+T_{u}+8 T_{v}$. This proves the universality of $(8,8,3,1,1,1)$.
(iv) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.3, we can write $6 n+5$ as $x^{2}+y^{2}+10 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $x \not \equiv y(\bmod 2)$. Since $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, exactly one of $x, y, z$ is divisible by 3 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x+y+z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ (other we adjust signs of $x, y, z$ suitably to meet our purpose). Observe that

$$
4\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+10 z^{2}\right)=2(x-y)^{2}+3\left(\frac{x+y+10 z}{3}\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{x+y-2 z}{3}\right)^{2}
$$

So, $4(6 n+5)=2 a^{2}+3 b^{2}+15 c^{2}$ for some odd integers $a, b, c$. As $3 \nmid a$, we may write $a$ or $-a$ as $6 w+1$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $b=2 u+1$ and $c=2 v+1$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
24 n+20=2(6 w+1)^{2}+3(2 u+1)^{2}+15(2 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{u}+5 T_{v}+w(3 w+1)$. This proves the universality of $(6,2,5,5,1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(v) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.2(i), we can write $12 n+5$ in the form $x^{2}+y^{2}+(6 z)^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $24 n+10=(x+y)^{2}+(x-$ $y)^{2}+72 z^{2}$. As $(x+y)^{2}+(x-y)^{2} \equiv 10 \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$, both $x+y$ and $x-y$ are odd. Since $(x+y)^{2}+(x-y)^{2} \equiv 10 \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, exactly one of $x+y$ and $x-y$ is divisible by 3 . So $(x+y)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}=(6 u+1)^{2}+(6 v+3)^{2}$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$
24 n+10=(6 u+1)^{2}+(6 v+3)^{2}+72 z^{2}
$$

and hence $n=u(3 u+1) / 2+3 T_{v}+3 z^{2}$. This proves the universality of $(6,0,3,3,3,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

By Lemma 2.4, we can write $12 n+14$ in the form $x^{2}+4 y^{2}+9 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $x^{2}+z^{2} \equiv 14(\bmod 4)$, we have $2 \nmid x z$. Observe that

$$
24 n+28=2\left(x^{2}+4 y^{2}+9 z^{2}\right)=(x-2 y)^{2}+(x+2 y)^{2}+18 z^{2}
$$

with $x \pm 2 y$ and $z$ all odd. Clearly, exactly one of $x-2 y$ and $x+2 y$ is divisible by 3 . So, for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
24 n+28=(6 x+1)^{2}+9(2 y+1)^{2}+18(2 z+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=x(3 x+1) / 2+3 T_{y}+6 T_{z}$. This proves the universality of $(6,6,3,3,3,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The following lemma is one of the most important theorems about integral representations of quadratic forms (cf. [4, pp.129]).

Lemma 3.1. Let $f$ be a nonsingular integral quadratic form and let $m$ be $a$ nonzero integer which is represented by $f$ over the real field $\mathbb{R}$ and the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ of p-adic integers for each prime $p$. Then $m$ is represented by some form $f^{*}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ where $f^{*}$ is in the same genus of $f$.

Lemma 3.2. (i) [24, Lemma 3.2] If $x^{2}+3 y^{2} \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $x^{2}+3 y^{2}=u^{2}+3 v^{2}$ for some odd integers $u$ and $v$.
(ii) [24, Lemma 3.6] If $w=x^{2}+7 y^{2}>0$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $8 \mid w$, then $w=u^{2}+7 v^{2}$ for some odd integers $u$ and $v$.
(iii) [27, Lemma 5.1] If $w=3 x^{2}+5 y^{2}>0$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $8 \mid w$, then $w=3 u^{2}+5 v^{2}$ for some odd integers $u$ and $v$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly,
$n=T_{x}+T_{y}+5 z(3 z+1) / 2 \Longleftrightarrow 24 n+11=3(2 x+1)^{2}+3(2 y+1)^{2}+5(6 z+1)^{2}$.
There are two classes in the genus of $3 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+5 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $3 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+5 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 x^{2}+2 y^{2}+8 z^{2}-2 y z & =3 x^{2}+3\left(\frac{y}{2}+z\right)^{2}+5\left(\frac{y}{2}-z\right)^{2} \\
& =3 x^{2}+3\left(\frac{y-3 z}{2}\right)^{2}+5\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $24 n+11=3 x^{2}+2 y^{2}+8 z^{2}-2 y z$ with $y$ odd and $z$ even, then $3 x^{2} \equiv 11-$ $2 y^{2} \equiv 9(\bmod 4)$ which is impossible. Thus, if $24 n+11 \in\left\{3 x^{2}+2 y^{2}+8 z^{2}-\right.$ $2 y z: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ then $24 n+11 \in\left\{3 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+5 z^{2}: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. With the help of Lemma 3.1, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24 n+11=3 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+5 z^{2}$. As $5 z^{2} \not \equiv 11(\bmod 4), x$ and $y$ cannot be both even. Without loss of generality, we assume that $2 \nmid x$. Then $3 y^{2}+5 z^{2} \equiv 11-3 x^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $3 y^{2}+5 z^{2} \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2(iii), $3 y^{2}+5 z^{2}=3 y_{0}^{2}+5 z_{0}^{2}$ for some odd integers $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$. Write $x=2 u+1$ and $y_{0}=2 v+1$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $2 \nmid z_{0}$ and $3 \nmid z_{0}, z_{0}$ or $-z_{0}$ has the form $6 w+1$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $24 n+11=$ $3(2 u+1)^{2}+3(2 v+1)^{2}+5(6 w+1)^{2}$ and hence $n=T_{u}+T_{v}+5 w(3 w+1) / 2$. This proves the universality of $(15,5,1,1,1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in\{1,3\}$. Obviously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+\frac{y(3 y+1)}{2}+3 \frac{z(5 z+r)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 120 n+9 r^{2}+20=15(2 x+1)^{2}+5(6 y+1)^{2}+9(10 z+r)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+15 y^{2}+5 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $x^{2}+15 y^{2}+5 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+5 z^{2}+2 x y & =\left(\frac{x}{2}+2 y\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}+5 z^{2} \\
& =\left(2 x+\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2}+5 z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $120 n+9 r^{2}+20=4 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+5 z^{2}+2 x y$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $2 x y \equiv$ $9 r^{2}-5 z^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and hence $x$ or $y$ is even. Thus, with the help of Lemma 3.1, we can always write $120 n+9 r^{2}+20=x^{2}+15 y^{2}+5 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $x^{2}+5 z^{2} \equiv 20 \equiv 2(\bmod 3), x=3 x_{0}$ for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $15 y^{2} \not \equiv 9 r^{2}(\bmod 4), x$ and $z$ cannot be both even. If $2 \nmid x$, then $5\left(3 y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \equiv 9 r^{2}+20-x^{2} \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ and hence by Lemma 3.2(i) we can write $3 y^{2}+z^{2}$ as $3 y_{0}^{2}+z_{0}^{2}$ with $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ both odd. If $2 \nmid z$, then $x^{2}+15 y^{2} \neq 0$ and $x^{2}+15 y^{2}=3\left(3 x_{0}^{2}+5 y^{2}\right) \equiv 9 r^{2}+20-5 z^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, hence by Lemma 3.2 (iii) we can write $3 x_{0}^{2}+5 y^{2}$ as $3 x_{1}^{2}+5 y_{1}^{2}$ with $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ both odd.

By the above, there are odd integers $x, y, z$ such that $120 n+9 r^{2}+20=$ $9 x^{2}+15 y^{2}+5 z^{2}$. Write $y=2 u+1$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $3 \nmid z$, we can write $z$ or $-z$ as $6 v+1$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $x^{2} \equiv r^{2}(\bmod 5)$, we can write $x$ or $-x$ as $10 w+r$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$
120 n+9 r^{2}+20=15(2 u+1)^{2}+5(6 v+1)^{2}+9(10 z+r)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{x}+y(3 y+1) / 2+3 z(5 z+r) / 2$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. This proves the universality of $(15,3 r, 3,1,1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(iii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in\{1,3\}$. Apparently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=3 x^{2}+\frac{y(3 y+1)}{2}+\frac{z(5 z+r)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 120 n+3 r^{2}+5=360 x^{2}+5(6 y+s)^{2}+3(10 z+r)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $60 n+\left(3 r^{2}+5\right) / 2=4 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+5 z^{2}+2 x y$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $x$ or $y$ must be even. Thus, as in part (ii), $60 n+\left(3 r^{2}+5\right) / 2=x^{2}+5 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $x^{2}+y^{2} \equiv z^{2}(\bmod 4)$. If $y$ is odd, then $2 \mid x$, $2 \nmid z$ and we may assume $y \not \equiv z(\bmod 4)$ (otherwise it suffices to change the
sign of $z$ ), hence

$$
y^{2}+3 z^{2}=\left(\frac{y-3 z}{2}\right)^{2}+3\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

with $y_{1}=(y-3 z) / 2$ and $z_{1}=(y+z) / 2$ both even. So, without loss of generality, we may simply assume that $2 \mid y$ and $x \equiv z(\bmod 2)$. Observe that

$$
120 n+3 r^{2}+5=2\left(x^{2}+5 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)=3 a^{2}+5 b^{2}+10 y^{2}
$$

with $a=(x+5 z) / 2$ and $b=(x-3 z) / 2$ both integral. Since $3 a^{2}+5 b^{2} \equiv$ $5 s^{2}+3 t^{2}-10 y^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $3 a^{2}+5 b^{2}>0$, by Lemma 3.2(iii) we can write $3 a^{2}+5 b^{2}=3 c^{2}+5 d^{2}$ with $c$ and $d$ both odd. Thus

$$
120 n+3 r^{2}+5=3 c^{2}+5 d^{2}+40\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

As $(y / 2)^{2} \equiv 5\left(1-d^{2}\right) \equiv d^{2}-1(\bmod 3)$, we must have $3 \nmid d$ and $3 \mid y$. Write $y=6 u$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $d$ or $-d$ has the form $6 v+1$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $c^{2} \equiv r^{2}(\bmod 5)$, we may write $c$ or $-c$ as $10 w+r$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$
120 n+3 r^{2}+5=3(10 w+r)^{2}+5(6 v+1)^{2}+40(3 u)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=3 u^{2}+v(3 v+1) / 2+w(5 w+r) / 2$. This proves the universality of $(6,0,5, r, 3,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(iv) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta \in\{0,1\}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=x(x+\delta)+\frac{y(3 y+1)}{2}+5 \frac{z(3 z+1)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 24 n+6(\delta+1)=6(2 x+\delta)^{2}+(6 y+1)^{2}+5(6 z+1)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+5 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $x^{2}+5 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$ has the representative $3 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z+2 z x$. If $24 n+6(\delta+1)=3 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z+2 z x$, then $u=(x+y) / 2$ and $v=(x-y) / 2$ are integers, and

$$
24 n+6(\delta+1)=6 u^{2}+6 v^{2}+4 z^{2}+4 v z=6 u^{2}+5 v^{2}+(v+2 z)^{2} .
$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1, $24 n+6(\delta+1)=x^{2}+5 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $x^{2} \equiv-5 y^{2} \equiv y^{2}(\bmod 3)$, we may assume that $x \equiv y(\bmod 3)$ without loss of generality. If $z \not \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$, then $x^{2}+5 y^{2} \equiv 6(\delta+1)-$ $6 z^{2} \equiv 6(\delta+1)-6(1-\delta) \equiv 4 \delta(\bmod 8)$, hence both $x$ and $y$ are even and $(x-y) / 2 \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$, and thus

$$
x^{2}+5 y^{2}+6 z^{2}=\left(z-\frac{5(x-y)}{6}\right)^{2}+5\left(\frac{x-y}{6}+z\right)^{2}+6\left(\frac{x-y}{6}+y\right)^{2}
$$

with $(x-y) / 6+y \equiv(x-y) / 2 \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$.

By the above, $24 n+6(\delta+1)=x^{2}+5 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $z \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$. Since $x^{2}+5 y^{2}$ is a positive multiple of 3 , by [24, Lemma 2.1] we can write $x^{2}+5 y^{2}=x_{0}^{2}+5 y_{0}^{2}$ with $x_{0} y_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $3 \nmid x_{0} y_{0}$. So, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \equiv y \not \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ and $z \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$ such that $24 n+6(\delta+1)=x^{2}+5 y^{2}+6 z^{2}$. Write $z=2 w+\delta$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $x^{2}+5 y^{2} \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$, both $x$ and $y$ are odd. Thus $x$ or $-x$ has the form $6 u+1$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $y$ or $-y$ has the form $6 v+1$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$
24 n+6(\delta+1)=(6 u+1)^{2}+5(6 v+1)^{2}+6(2 w+\delta)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=w(w+\delta)+u(3 u+1) / 2+5 v(3 v+1) / 2$. This proves the universality of $(15,5,3,1,2,2 \delta)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(v) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Apparently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=x(x+1)+\frac{y(3 y+1)}{2}+7 \frac{z(3 z+1)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 24 n+14=6(2 x+1)^{2}+(6 y+1)^{2}+7(6 z+1)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+6 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $x^{2}+6 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$ has the representative
$2 x^{2}+5 y^{2}+5 z^{2}-4 y z=2 x^{2}+10 u^{2}+10 v^{2}-4(u+v)(u-v)=2 x^{2}+6 u^{2}+14 v^{2}$
with $u=(y+z) / 2$ and $v=(y-z) / 2$. If $24 n+14=2 x^{2}+6 u^{2}+14 v^{2}$ for some $x, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \not \equiv v(\bmod 2)$, then $14 \equiv 2+6 u^{2}(\bmod 8)$ which is impossible. If $24 n+14=2 x^{2}+6 u^{2}+14 v^{2}$ with $x, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \equiv v(\bmod 2)$, then

$$
24 n+14=6 u^{2}+\left(\frac{x-7 v}{2}\right)^{2}+7\left(\frac{x+v}{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

By the above and Lemma 3.1, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24 n+14=$ $6 x^{2}+y^{2}+7 z^{2}$. If $2 \mid x$, then $y^{2}+7 z^{2} \equiv 6-6 x^{2} \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$ which is impossible. So $x=2 u+1$ for some $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $y^{2}+7 z^{2} \equiv 6-6 x^{2} \equiv$ $0(\bmod 8)$ and $y^{2}+7 z^{2} \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 3.2(ii) we can write $y^{2}+7 z^{2}$ as $y_{0}^{2}+7 z_{0}^{2}$ with $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ both odd. Note that $y_{0}^{2}+z_{0}^{2} \equiv y_{0}^{2}+7 z_{0}^{2} \equiv 14 \equiv$ $2(\bmod 3)$. So $y_{0}$ or $-y_{0}$ can be written as $6 v+1$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $z_{0}$ or $-z_{0}$ has the form $6 w+1$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$
24 n+14=6 x^{2}+y_{0}^{2}+7 z_{0}^{2}=6(2 u+1)^{2}+(6 v+1)^{2}+7(6 w+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=u(u+1)+v(3 v+1) / 2+7 z(3 z+1) / 2$. This proves the universality of ( $21,7,3,1,2,2$ ).
(vi) Let $r \in\{1,3,5\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly,
$n=T_{x}+T_{y}+\frac{z(7 z+r)}{2} \Longleftrightarrow 56 n+14+r^{2}=7(2 x+1)^{2}+7(2 y+1)^{2}+(14 z+r)^{2}$.
There are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+7 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $x^{2}+7 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+7 z^{2}+2 x y & =\left(\frac{x}{2}+2 y\right)^{2}+7\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}+7 z^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{x-3 y}{2}\right)^{2}+7\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}+7 z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $56 n+14+r^{2}=2 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+7 z^{2}+2 x y$ with $x$ odd and $y$ even, then $15 \equiv 14+r^{2} \equiv 2 x^{2}+7 z^{2} \equiv 9(\bmod 4)$ which is impossible. Thus, if $56 n+14+r^{2} \in\left\{2 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+7 z^{2}+2 x y: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ then $56 n+14+r^{2} \in\left\{x^{2}+\right.$ $\left.7 y^{2}+7 z^{2}: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. With the help of Lemma 3.1, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $56 n+14+r^{2}=x^{2}+7 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$. As $x^{2} \not \equiv 14+r^{2} \equiv 15(\bmod 4)$, $y$ and $z$ cannot be both even. Without loss of generality, we assume that $2 \nmid z$. Then $x^{2}+7 y^{2} \equiv 14+r^{2}-7 z^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $x^{2}+7 y^{2} \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2(ii), $x^{2}+7 y^{2}=x_{0}^{2}+7 y_{0}^{2}$ for some odd integers $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$. Now $56 n+14+r^{2}=x_{0}^{2}+7 y_{0}^{2}+7 z^{2}$. Clearly, $x_{0}$ or $-x_{0}$ has the form $14 w+r$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $y_{0}=2 u+1$ and $z=2 v+1$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
56 n+14+r^{2}=(14 w+r)^{2}+7(2 u+1)^{2}+7(2 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{u}+T_{v}+w(7 w+r) / 2$. This proves the universality of ( $7, r, 1,1,1,1$ ) over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(vii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in\{1,3\}$ and $t \in\{1,3,5\}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+\frac{y(3 y+s)}{2}+\frac{z(7 z+t)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 168 n+21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2}=21(2 x+1)^{2}+7(6 y+s)^{2}+3(14 z+t)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $3 x^{2}+21 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $3 x^{2}+21 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 x^{2}+12 y^{2}+7 z^{2}+6 x y & =3\left(\frac{x}{2}+2 y\right)^{2}+21\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}+7 z^{2} \\
& =3\left(\frac{x-3 y}{2}\right)^{2}+21\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}+7 z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $168 n+21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2}=6 x^{2}+12 y^{2}+7 z^{2}+6 x y$ with $x$ odd and $y$ even, then $31 \equiv 21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2} \equiv 6 x^{2}+7 z^{2} \equiv 13(\bmod 4)$ which is impossible. Thus, if $168 n+21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2} \in\left\{6 x^{2}+12 y^{2}+7 z^{2}+6 x y: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ then $168 n+21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2} \in\left\{3 x^{2}+21 y^{2}+7 z^{2}: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. With the
help of Lemma 3.1, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $168 n+21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2}=$ $3 x^{2}+21 y^{2}+7 z^{2}$. As $21 y^{2} \not \equiv 21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2} \equiv 31(\bmod 4), x$ and $z$ cannot be both even. If $2 \nmid x$, then $21 y^{2}+7 z^{2} \equiv 21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2}-3 x^{2} \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ and hence by Lemma 3.2(i) we can write $3 y^{2}+z^{2}$ as $3 y_{0}^{2}+z_{0}^{2}$ with $y_{0}, z_{0}$ odd integers. Note that $x^{2}+7 y^{2} \neq 0$ since $7 \nmid t$. If $2 \nmid z$, then $3\left(x^{2}+7 y^{2}\right) \equiv 21+$ $7 s^{2}+3 t^{2}-7 z^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and hence by Lemma 3.2(ii) $x^{2}+7 y^{2}=x_{0}^{2}+7 y_{0}^{2}$ for some odd integers $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$.

By the above, there are odd integers $x, y, z$ such that $168 n+21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2}=$ $3 x^{2}+7 y^{2}+21 z^{2}$. Write $z=2 u+1$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $y^{2} \equiv s^{2}(\bmod 3), y$ or $-y$ has the form $6 v+s$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $x^{2} \equiv t^{2}(\bmod 7), x$ or $-x$ has the form $14 w+t$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$
168 n+21+7 s^{2}+3 t^{2}=3(14 w+t)^{2}+7(6 v+s)^{2}+21(2 u+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{u}+v(3 v+s) / 2+w(7 w+t) / 2$. This proves the universality of $(7, t, 3, s, 1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(viii) Let $\delta \in\{0,1\}$ and $r \in\{1,3,5\}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+y(y+\delta)+\frac{z(7 z+r)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 56 n+14 \delta+r^{2}+7=7(2 x+1)^{2}+14(2 y+\delta)^{2}+(14 z+r)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+7 y^{2}+14 z^{2}$, the one not containing $x^{2}+7 y^{2}+14 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
2 x^{2}+7 y^{2}+7 z^{2}=2 x^{2}+14\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right)^{2}+14\left(\frac{y-z}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

If $56 n+14 \delta+r^{2}+7=2 x^{2}+14 y^{2}+14 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \equiv z(\bmod 2)$, then $2 x^{2} \equiv 14 \delta+r^{2}+7 \equiv 2 \delta(\bmod 4)$, hence $x^{2} \equiv \delta(\bmod 4)$ and $y \equiv z \equiv$ $\delta(\bmod 2)$ since

$$
-2\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \equiv 14\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \equiv 14 \delta+r^{2}+7-2 \delta \equiv-4 \delta(\bmod 8)
$$

If $56 n+14 \delta+r^{2}+7=2 x^{2}+14 y^{2}+14 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \equiv y(\bmod 2)$, then

$$
56 n+14 \delta+r^{2}+7=\left(\frac{x-7 y}{2}\right)^{2}+7\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2}+14 z^{2}
$$

In view of Lemma 3.1 and the above, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $56 n+14 \delta+r^{2}+7=x^{2}+7 y^{2}+14 z^{2}$. If $z \not \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$, then

$$
x^{2}+7 y^{2} \equiv 14 \delta+r^{2}+7-14 z^{2} \equiv 14 \delta-14(1-\delta) \equiv 2(\bmod 4)
$$

which is impossible. Thus $z \equiv \delta(\bmod 2)$ and $x^{2}+7 y^{2} \equiv r^{2}+7 \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$. Note that $x^{2}+7 y^{2} \neq 0$ since $7 \nmid r$. Applying Lemma 3.2(ii) we can write
$x^{2}+7 y^{2}$ as $x_{0}^{2}+7 y_{0}^{2}$ with $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ both odd. Since $x_{0}^{2} \equiv r^{2}(\bmod 7)$, either $x_{0}$ or $-x_{0}$ has the form $14 w+r$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $y_{0}=2 u+1$ and $z=2 v+\delta$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
56 n+14 \delta+r^{2}+7 \equiv(14 w+r)^{2}+7(2 u+1)^{2}+14(2 v+\delta)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{u}+v(v+\delta)+w(7 w+r) / 2$. This proves the universality of $(7, r, 2,2 \delta, 1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

For a positive definite integral ternary quadratic form $f(x, y, z)$ and an integer $n$, as usual we define

$$
r(n, f):=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: f(x, y, z)=n\right\} \mid
$$

and adopt the standard notation $r(n, \operatorname{gen}(f))$ introduced in [17, pp. 173174].

Lemma 4.1. Let $f$ be a positive ternary quadratic form with determinant $d(f)$. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is represented by the genus of $f$. Then, for each prime $p \nmid 2 m d(f)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r\left(m p^{2}, \operatorname{gen}(f)\right)}{r(m, \operatorname{gen}(f))}=p+1-\left(\frac{-m d(f)}{p}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the Minkowski-Siegel formula [17, pp. 173-174],

$$
r\left(m p^{2}, \operatorname{gen}(f)\right)=2 \pi \sqrt{\frac{m p^{2}}{d(f)}} \prod_{q} \alpha_{q}\left(m p^{2}, f\right),
$$

where $q$ runs over all primes and $\alpha_{q}$ is the local density. As $p \nmid 2 m d(f)$, by [29] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{p}\left(m p^{2}, f\right) & =1+\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{2}}+\left(\frac{-m d(f)}{p}\right) \frac{1}{p^{2}} \\
\alpha_{p}(m, f) & =1+\left(\frac{-m d(f)}{p}\right) \frac{1}{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{r\left(m p^{2}, \operatorname{gen}(f)\right)}{r(m, \operatorname{gen}(f))}=p \frac{\alpha_{p}\left(m p^{2}, f\right)}{\alpha_{p}(m, f)}=p+1-\left(\frac{-m d(f)}{p}\right) .
$$

This concludes the proof.
Lemma 4.2. Let $w=u^{2}+15 v^{2}>0$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $8 \mid w$. Then $w=x^{2}+15 y^{2}$ for some odd integers $x$ and $y$.

Proof. Let $k$ be the 2 -adic order of $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v)$, and write $u=2^{k} u_{0}$ and $v=2^{k} v_{0}$ with $u_{0}, v_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all even. If $k=0$, then both $u_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ are odd since $w$ is even. Below we assume that $k>0$.

We observe the identity

$$
4^{2}\left(x^{2}+15 y^{2}\right)=(x-15 y)^{2}+15(x+y)^{2}
$$

If $u_{0} \not \equiv v_{0}(\bmod 2)$, then $k \geqslant 2($ since $8 \mid w)$ and $4^{2}\left(u_{0}^{2}+15 v_{0}^{2}\right)=s^{2}+15 t^{2}$ with $s=u_{0}-15 v_{0}$ and $t=u_{0}+v_{0}$ both odd. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, if $4^{j}\left(u_{0}^{2}+15 v_{0}^{2}\right)=u_{j}^{2}+15 v_{j}^{2}$ for some odd integers $u_{j}$ and $v_{j}$, then we may assume $u_{j} \equiv v_{j}(\bmod 4)$ without loss of generality (otherwise we may replace $v_{j}$ by $-v_{j}$ ), and hence

$$
4^{j+1}\left(u_{0}^{2}+15 v_{0}^{2}\right)=4\left(u_{j}^{2}+15 v_{j}^{2}\right)=u_{j+1}^{2}+15 v_{j+1}^{2}
$$

with $u_{j+1}=\left(u_{j}-15 v_{j}\right) / 2$ and $v_{j+1}=\left(u_{j}+v_{j}\right) / 2$ both odd. Thus, for some odd integers $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$, we have

$$
w=4^{k}\left(u_{0}^{2}+15 v_{0}^{2}\right)=u_{k}^{2}+15 v_{k}^{2}
$$

This concludes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 1.3(i). (a) We first prove that (7, 7, 3, 1, 1, 1) is universal over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+7 T_{y}+\frac{z(3 z+1)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 24 n+25=3(2 x+1)^{2}+21(2 y+1)^{2}+(2 z+1)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}$ and the one not containing $x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{2}+6 y^{2}+12 z^{2}-6 y z & =x^{2}+3\left(\frac{y}{2}-2 z\right)^{2}+21\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =x^{2}+3\left(\frac{y+3 z}{2}\right)^{2}+21\left(\frac{y-z}{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $24 n+25=x^{2}+6 y^{2}+12 z^{2}-6 y z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the equality modulo 4 yields $y(y-z) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Thus, by (4.2) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
24 n+25 \in\left\{x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we claim that $24 n+25=x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $y^{2}+7 z^{2}>0$. This holds by (4.3) if $24 n+25$ is not a square. Suppose that $24 n+25=m^{2}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Let $p$ be any prime divisor of $m$. Clearly, $p \geqslant 5$. Note that $r\left(7^{2}, x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right)>2$ since $7^{2}=( \pm 5)^{2}+3 \times( \pm 1)^{2}+21 \times( \pm 1)^{2}$. If $p \neq 7$ and $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+6 y^{2}+12 z^{2}-6 y z\right)>2$, then $p^{2}=x^{2}+6 y^{2}+12 z^{2}-6 y z$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \mid y(y-z)$ and $y^{2}+z^{2}>0$, hence by (4.2) we
have $p^{2}=x^{2}+3 u^{2}+21 v^{2}$ for some $x, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $u^{2}+7 v^{2}>0$, and thus $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right)>2$. By Lemma 4.1, if $p \neq 7$ then

$$
\frac{r\left(p^{2}, \operatorname{gen}\left(x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right)\right)}{r\left(1, \operatorname{gen}\left(x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right)\right)}=p+1-\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right)
$$

and hence
$r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right)+r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+6 y^{2}+12 z^{2}-6 y z\right)=4\left(p+1-\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right)\right)>4$.
So we still have $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right)>2$ if $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+6 y^{2}+12 z^{2}-6 y z\right) \leqslant 2$. As $r\left(m^{2}, x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right) \geqslant r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}\right)>2$, we can write $24 n+25=m^{2}$ as $x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y^{2}+7 z^{2}>0$. This proves the claim.

By the claim, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24 n+25=x^{2}+3 y^{2}+21 z^{2}$ and $y^{2}+7 z^{2}>0$. As $3 y^{2} \not \equiv 25 \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, either $x$ or $z$ is odd. If $2 \nmid x$, then $3\left(y^{2}+7 z^{2}\right) \equiv 25-x^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and hence by Lemma 3.2(ii) we can write $y^{2}+7 z^{2}$ as $y_{0}^{2}+7 z_{0}^{2}$ with $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ both odd. If $2 \nmid z$, then $x^{2}+3 y^{2} \equiv 25-21 z^{2} \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ and hence by Lemma 3.2(i) we can write $x^{2}+3 y^{2}$ as $x_{1}^{2}+3 y_{1}^{2}$ with $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ both odd. Thus $24 n+25=a^{2}+3 b^{2}+21 c^{2}$ for some odd integers $a, b, c$. As $3 \nmid a$, either $a$ or $-a$ has the form $6 w+1$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $b=2 u+1$ and $c=2 v+1$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
24 n+25=(6 w+1)^{2}+3(2 u+1)^{2}+21(2 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{u}+7 T_{v}+w(3 w+1) / 2$. This proves the universality of $(7,7,3,1,1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(b) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in\{1,3\}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=5 T_{x}+\frac{y(3 y+1)}{2}+\frac{z(3 z+r)}{2} \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 24 n+r^{2}+16=15(2 x+1)^{2}+(6 y+1)^{2}+(6 z+r)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z & =x^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{2}-2 z\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =x^{2}+\left(2 y-\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If $24 n+r^{2}+16=x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $2 \nmid x$ and $2 \mid y z$. Thus, in view of (4.4) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
24 n+r^{2}+16 \in\left\{x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $24 n+r^{2}+16=x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\left(x^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)\left(y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>0$. This holds by (4.5) if $24 n+r^{2}+16$ is not a square. Now suppose that $24 n+r^{2}+16=m^{2}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Let $p$ be any prime divisor of $m$. Clearly, $p \geqslant 5$. Note that $r\left(5^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>4$ since $5^{2}=( \pm 5)^{2}+0^{2}+15 \times 0^{2}=0^{2}+( \pm 5)^{2}+15 \times 0^{2}=( \pm 3)^{2}+( \pm 4)^{2}+15 \times 0^{2}$. If $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z\right)>2$, then $p^{2}=x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \mid y z$ and $y^{2}+z^{2}>0$, hence by (4.4) $p^{2}=x^{2}+u^{2}+15 v^{2}$ for some $x, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\left(x^{2}+15 v^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}+15 v^{2}\right)>0$, and thus $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+\right.$ $\left.y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>4$. When $p>5$, by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\frac{r\left(p^{2}, \operatorname{gen}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)\right)}{r\left(1, \operatorname{gen}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)\right)}=p+1-\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right)
$$

and hence
$r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)+2 r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z\right)=8\left(p+1-\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right)\right)>50$.
Thus we still have $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>4$ if $r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z\right) \leqslant 2$. As $r\left(m^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right) \geqslant r\left(p^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>4$, we can write $24 n+r^{2}+16$ as $x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ with $\left(x^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)\left(y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>0$. This proves the claim.

By the claim, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24 n+r^{2}+16=x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ and $\left(x^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)\left(y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>0$. Since $15 z^{2} \not \equiv r^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, either $x$ or $y$ is odd. Without any loss of generality, we assume that $2 \nmid x$. Since $y^{2}+15 z^{2}>0$ and $y^{2}+15 z^{2} \equiv r^{2}-x^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, by Lemma 4.2 we can write $y^{2}+15 z^{2}=y_{0}^{2}+15 z_{0}^{2}$ with $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ both odd. Now, $24 n+r^{2}+16=$ $x^{2}+y_{0}^{2}+15 z_{0}^{2}$. Since $x^{2}+y_{0}^{2} \equiv r^{2}+1(\bmod 3)$, one of $x^{2}$ and $y_{0}^{2}$ is congruent to $r^{2}$ modulo 3 and the other one is congruent to 1 modulo 3 . Thus $x^{2}+y_{0}^{2}=(6 u+r)^{2}+(6 v+1)^{2}$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $z_{0}=2 w+1$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
24 n+r^{2}+16=(6 u+r)^{2}+(6 v+1)^{2}+15(2 w+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=u(3 u+r) / 2+v(3 v+1) / 2+5 T_{w}$. This proves the universality of $(5,5,3, r, 3,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(c) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Apparently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+5 T_{y}+z(3 z+2) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 24 n+26=3(2 x+1)^{2}+15(2 y+1)^{2}+2(6 z+2)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ has the representative
$g(x, y, z)=2 x^{2}+5 y^{2}+11 z^{2}+2 y z+2 x(y-z)=2(x+v)^{2}+3(u-2 v)^{2}+15 u^{2}$
with $u=(y+z) / 2$ and $v=(y-z) / 2$. If $24 n+26=g(x, y, z)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $y \equiv z(\bmod 2)$, and hence by (4.6) we have $24 n+26=2 a^{2}+3 b^{2}+15 c^{2}$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, in view of Lemma 3.1, we always have

$$
\begin{equation*}
24 n+26 \in\left\{2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}: x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $24 n+26=2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $y^{2}+5 z^{2}>0$. This holds by (4.7) if $12 n+13$ is not a square. Now suppose that $12 n+13=m^{2}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Let $p$ be any prime divisor of $m$. Clearly, $p \geqslant 5$. Note that $r\left(2 \times 5^{2}, 2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>2$ since

$$
2 \times 5^{2}=2 \times( \pm 5)^{2}+3 \times 0^{2}+15 \times 0^{2}=2( \pm 1)^{2}+3( \pm 4)^{2}+30 \times 0^{2}
$$

If $r\left(2 p^{2}, g(x, y, z)\right)>2$, then $2 p^{2}=g(x, y, z)$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $y^{2}+z^{2}>0$, hence by (4.6) $2 p^{2}=2 x^{2}+3 b^{2}+15 c^{2}$ for some $x, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $b^{2}+c^{2}>0$, and thus $r\left(2 p^{2}, 2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>2$. When $p>5$, by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\frac{r\left(2 p^{2}, \operatorname{gen}\left(2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)\right)}{r\left(2, \operatorname{gen}\left(2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)\right)}=p+1-\left(\frac{-5}{p}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
r\left(2 p^{2}, 2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)+2 r\left(2 p^{2}, g(x, y, z)\right)=6\left(p+1-\left(\frac{-5}{p}\right)\right)>40
$$

Thus we still have $r\left(2 p^{2}, 2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>2$ if $r\left(2 p^{2}, g(x, y, z)\right) \leqslant 2$. As $r\left(2 m^{2}, 2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right) \geqslant r\left(2 p^{2}, 2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)>2$, we can write $24 n+26$ as $2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ with $y^{2}+5 z^{2}>0$. This proves the claim.

By the claim, there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $24 n+26=2 x^{2}+3\left(y^{2}+5 z^{2}\right)$ and $y^{2}+5 z^{2}>0$. By [24, Lemma 2.1], $y^{2}+5 z^{2}=y_{0}^{2}+5 z_{0}^{2}$ for some integers $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ not all divisible by 3 . Without any loss of generality, we simply assume that $3 \nmid y$ or $3 \nmid z$. Note that $3 \nmid x$ and $y \equiv z(\bmod 2)$. If $3 \nmid y z$, then $\varepsilon_{1} y \equiv \varepsilon_{2} z \equiv x(\bmod 3)$ for some $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. If $3 \mid y$ and $3 \nmid z$ then $x+y+\varepsilon z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ for some $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$; similarly, if $3 \nmid y$ and $3 \mid z$ then $x+\varepsilon y+z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. So, without loss of generality we may suppose that $x+y+z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ (otherwise we adjust signs of $x, y, z$ suitably to meet our purpose). If $y \equiv z \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, then $2 x^{2} \equiv 26(\bmod 4)$, hence $2 \nmid x$
and $y \equiv z(\bmod 4)$ since $y^{2}+5 z^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, therefore
$2 x^{2}+3 y^{2}+15 z^{2}=2\left(\frac{y-5 z}{2}\right)^{2}+3\left(\frac{2 x+5 y+5 z}{6}\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{2 x-y-z}{6}\right)^{2}$
with $(2 x+5 y+5 z) / 6$ and $(2 x-y-z) / 6$ both odd.
By the above, $24 n+26=2 a^{2}+3 b^{2}+15 c^{2}$ for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \nmid b c$. As $3 \nmid a$ and $2 a^{2} \equiv 26-3-15 \equiv 0(\bmod 8), a$ or $-a$ has the form $2(3 w+1)$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $b=2 u+1$ and $c=2 v+1$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
24 n+26=2(2(3 w+1))^{2}+3(2 u+1)^{2}+15(2 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{u}+5 T_{v}+w(3 w+2)$. This proves the universality of $(6,4,5,5,1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3(ii). (a) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in\{1,2\}$. Apparently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+5 \frac{y(3 y+1)}{2}+z(3 z+r) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 24 n+2 r^{2}+8=3(2 x+1)^{2}+5(6 y+1)^{2}+2(6 z+r)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As mentioned Part (b) in the proof of Theorem 1.3(i), there are two classes in the genus of $x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ has the representative $x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z$. If $12 n+r^{2}+4=x^{2}+4 y^{2}+4 z^{2}-2 y z$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $2 \mid y z$ since $r^{2} \not \equiv x^{2}-2(\bmod 4)$. Thus, in view of (4.4) and Lemma 3.1, $12 n+r^{2}+4=x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $x \equiv y(\bmod 2)$, then $z \equiv r(\bmod 2), x^{2}+y^{2} \equiv r^{2}-15 z^{2} \equiv 2 r^{2}(\bmod 4)$ and hence $x \equiv y \equiv r \equiv z(\bmod 2)$. So, $x$ or $y$ has the same parity with $z$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $y \equiv z(\bmod 2)$. Since $y^{2}+15 z^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, we have $x \equiv r(\bmod 2)$. If $r=2$ and $y^{2}+15 z^{2}=0$, then $12 n+r^{2}+4=0^{2}+x^{2}+15 \times 0^{2}$ with $x \equiv 0 \equiv r(\bmod 2)$ and $x^{2}+15 \times 0^{2}>0$. If $r=1$, then $12 n^{2}+r^{2}+4=12 n+5$ is congruent to 2 modulo 3 and hence not a square. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that $y^{2}+15 z^{2}>0$.

Observe that

$$
24 n+2 r^{2}+8=2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+15 z^{2}\right)=2 x^{2}+3 u^{2}+5 v^{2}
$$

with $u=(y+5 z) / 2$ and $v=(y-3 z) / 2$ both odd. Since $3 u^{2}+5 v^{2} \equiv$ $2 r^{2}-2 x^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $2\left(3 u^{2}+5 v^{2}\right)=y^{2}+15 z^{2}>0$, by Lemma 3.2 (iii) we can write $3 u^{2}+5 v^{2}$ as $3 y_{0}^{2}+5 z_{0}^{2}$ with $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ both odd. As $2\left(x^{2}+z_{0}^{2}\right) \equiv 2 x^{2}+5 z_{0}^{2} \equiv 2 r^{2}+8(\bmod 3)$, we have $x^{2}+z_{0}^{2} \equiv r^{2}+1 \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$
and hence we may write $x$ or $-x$ as $6 u+r, z_{0}$ or $-z_{0}$ as $6 v+1$, and $y_{0}=2 w+1$, where $u, v, w$ are integers. Therefore

$$
24 n+2 r^{2}+8=2 x^{2}+3 y_{0}^{2}+5 z_{0}^{2}=2(6 u+r)^{2}+3(2 w+1)^{2}+5(6 v+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=u(3 u+r) / 2+5 v(3 v+1) / 2+T_{w}$. This proves the universality of $(15,5,6,2 r, 1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.
(b) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, s \in\{1,3,5\}$ and $t \in\{1,2\}$ with $(s, t) \neq(5,2)$. Apparently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=T_{x}+\frac{y(5 y+s)}{2}+z(3 z+t) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & 120 n+3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+15=15(2 x+1)^{2}+3(10 y+s)^{2}+10(6 z+t)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two classes in the genus of $3 x^{2}+10 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$, and the one not containing $3 x^{2}+10 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ has the representative

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x, y, z) & =7 x^{2}+7 y^{2}+12 z^{2}+6(x+y) z+4 x y \\
& =3\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+2 z\right)^{2}+10\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

If $120 n+3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+15=g(x, y, z)$ with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we obviously have $x \equiv y(\bmod 2)$. Thus, in view of (4.9) and Lemma 3.1, $120 n+3 s^{2}+$ $10 t^{2}+15=3 x^{2}+10 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $x=z=0$, then $120 n+3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+15=10 y^{2}$, hence $(s, t)=(5,1)$ and $y^{2}=12 n+10 \equiv$ $2(\bmod 4)$ which is impossible. So $x^{2}+5 z^{2}>0$, and hence by [24, Lemma 2.1] we can rewrite $x^{2}+5 z^{2}$ as $x_{0}^{2}+5 z_{0}^{2}$ with $x_{0}, z_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ not all divisible by 3. Without loss of generality, we simply assume that $3 \nmid x$ or $3 \nmid z$. Note that $3 \nmid y$ since $3 \nmid t$. If $3 \nmid x z$, then $\varepsilon_{1} x \equiv y \equiv \varepsilon_{2} z$ for some $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in\{ \pm 1\}$. If $3 \mid x$ and $3 \nmid z$, then $x+y+\varepsilon z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ for some $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$. If $3 \nmid x$ and $3 \mid z$, then $\varepsilon x+y+z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ for some $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$. Without loss of generality, we just assume that $x+y+z \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ (otherwise we may adjust signs of $x, y, z$ suitably). Note that $x \equiv z(\bmod 2)$ and we have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left(\frac{x+10 y-5 z}{6}\right)^{2}+10\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right)^{2}+15\left(\frac{x-2 y-5 z}{6}\right)^{2}=3 x^{2}+10 y^{2}+15 z^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{1}=(x+10 y-5 z) / 6, y_{1}=(x+z) / 2$ and $z_{1}=(x-2 y-5 z) / 6$ all integral.

If $x \equiv z \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, then $10 y^{2}=120 n+3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+15-3 x^{2}-15 z^{2} \equiv$ $10 t^{2}(\bmod 4)$ and hence $y \equiv t(\bmod 2)$.

Now suppose that $x \equiv z \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then $2 y^{2} \equiv 10 y^{2} \equiv 3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+$ $15 \equiv 2\left(t^{2}+1\right)(\bmod 4)$ and hence $y \not \equiv t(\bmod 2)$. Observe that $2 t^{2}+2 \equiv 120 n+3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+15=3 x^{2}+10 y^{2}+15 z^{2} \equiv x^{2}+z^{2}+2(t+1)^{2}(\bmod 8)$ and hence

$$
y_{1}=\frac{x+z}{2} \equiv\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{x^{2}+z^{2}}{4} \equiv t(\bmod 2) .
$$

Thus

$$
z_{1}=x_{1}-2 y \equiv x_{1} \equiv \frac{x+z}{2}-3 z+5 y \equiv t+y \equiv 1(\bmod 2) .
$$

In view of the above, there are integers $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \equiv z \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $y \equiv t(\bmod 2)$ such that $120 n+3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+15=3 x^{2}+10 y^{2}+15 z^{2}$. Clearly, $y$ or $-y$ has the form $6 v+t$ with $v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Write $z=2 w+1$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $x^{2} \equiv s^{2}(\bmod 5)$, we can write $x$ or $-x$ as $10 u+s$ with $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$
120 n+3 s^{2}+10 t^{2}+15=3(10 u+s)^{2}+10(6 v+t)^{2}+15(2 w+1)^{2}
$$

and hence $n=T_{w}+u(5 u+s) / 2+v(3 v+t)$. This proves the universality of $(6,2 t, 5, s, 1,1)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.4

B.W. Jones and G. Pall [15] proved the following celebrated result.

Lemma 5.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $8 n+1$ not a square. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=8 n+1 \& 4 \mid x\right\}\right| \\
= & \left|\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=8 n+1 \& x \equiv 2(\bmod 4)\right\}\right|>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

A. G. Earnest $[8,9]$ showed the following useful result.

Lemma 5.2. Let $c$ be a primitive spinor exceptional integer for the genus of a positive ternary quadratic form $f(x, y, z)$, and let $S$ be a spinor genus containing $f$. Let s be a fixed positive integer relatively prime to $2 d(f)$ for which $c s^{2}$ can be primitively represented by $S$. If $t \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is relatively prime to $2 d(f)$, then $c t^{2}$ can be primitively represented by $S$ if and only if

$$
\left(\frac{-c d(f)}{s}\right)=\left(\frac{-c d(f)}{t}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly,

$$
n=T_{x}+y^{2}+2 z(4 z+1) \Longleftrightarrow 8 n+2=(2 x+1)^{2}+8 y^{2}+(8 z+1)^{2} .
$$

So, it suffices to show that $8 n+2=x^{2}+y^{2}+8 z^{2}$ for some $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 8)$.

Case 1. $n$ is not twice a triangular number.
In this case, $4 n+1$ is not a square. If $2 \mid n$, then by Lemma 5.1 we can write $4 n+1$ as $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$ with $2 \nmid x, 2 \mid y$ and $z \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. If $2 \nmid n$, then there are $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \nmid x$ and $y \equiv z \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ such that $4 n+1=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$ and hence $y \not \equiv z(\bmod 4)$ since $y^{2}+z^{2} \equiv 5-x^{2} \equiv$ $4(\bmod 8)$. So we can always write $4 n+1=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$ with $2 \nmid x, 2 \mid y$ and $z \equiv 2 n-2(\bmod 4)$, hence

$$
8 n+2=2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)=(x+y)^{2}+(x-y)^{2}+8\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

with $z / 2 \equiv n-1(\bmod 2)$, thus

$$
(x+y)^{2}+(x-y)^{2} \equiv 8 n+2-8(n-1)=10 \not \equiv 3^{2}+3^{2}(\bmod 16)
$$

and hence $x+\varepsilon y \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 8)$ for some $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$.
Case 2. $n=2 T_{m}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $2 m+1$ has no prime factor of the form $4 k+3$.

In this case, $2 m+1$ can be expressed as the sum of two squares. If $4 \mid m$, then

$$
8 n+2=2(2 m+1)^{2}=(2 m+1)^{2}+(2 m+1)^{2}+8 \times 0^{2}
$$

with $2 m+1 \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$. If $4 \nmid m$, then $2 m+1=u^{2}+(2 v)^{2}$ for some odd integers $u$ and $v$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
8 n+2 & =2\left(u^{2}+4 v^{2}\right)^{2}=2\left(\left(u^{2}-4 v^{2}\right)^{2}+(4 u v)^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(u^{2}-4 v^{2}+4 u v\right)^{2}+\left(u^{2}-4 v^{2}-4 u v\right)^{2}+8 \times 0^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $u^{2}-4 v^{2} \pm 4 u v \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$.
Case 3. $n=2 T_{m}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $2 m+1$ has a prime factor $p \equiv$ $3(\bmod 4)$.

By Lagrange's four-square theorem, we can write $p=a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}+d^{2}$, where $a$ is an even number and $b, c, d$ are odd numbers. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{2} & =\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}-d^{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\left(c^{2}+d^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(a^{2}+b^{2}-c^{2}-d^{2}\right)^{2}+(2 a c+2 b d)^{2}+(2 a d-2 b c)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $(2 m+1)^{2}=x^{2}+(2 y)^{2}+(2 z)^{2}$ for some odd integers $x, y, z$. Observe that

$$
8 n+2=2(2 m+1)^{2}=(x+2 y)^{2}+(x-2 y)^{2}+8 z^{2}
$$

and $(x+2 y)^{2}+(x-2 y)^{2} \equiv 2-8 z^{2} \equiv 10 \not \equiv 3^{2}+3^{2}(\bmod 16)$. So one of $x+2 y$ and $x-2 y$ is congruent to 1 or -1 modulo 8 .

Now we give an advanced approach to Case 3. There are three classes in the genus of $x^{2}+y^{2}+32 z^{2}$ with the three representatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x, y, z)=x^{2}+y^{2}+32 z^{2} \\
& f_{2}(x, y, z)=2 x^{2}+2 y^{2}+9 z^{2}+2 y z-2 z x \\
& f_{3}(x, y, z)=x^{2}+4 y^{2}+9 z^{2}-4 y z
\end{aligned}
$$

$f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ constitute a spinor genus while another spinor genus in the genus has the representative $f_{3}$. Since 2 is a a primitive spinor exceptional integer for this genus, by Lemma 5.2 we can write $2 p^{2}$ as

$$
f_{3}(u, v, w)=u^{2}+4 v^{2}+9 w^{2}-4 v w=u^{2}+(2 v-w)^{2}+8 w^{2}
$$

with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $2 \nmid u w$, we see that $8 n+2=2(2 m+1)^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}+8 c^{2}$ for some odd integers $a, b, c$. As $a^{2}+b^{2} \equiv 2-8 c^{2} \equiv 10 \not \equiv 3^{2}+3^{2}(\bmod 16)$, $a$ or $b$ is congruent to 1 or -1 modulo 8 . This concludes our discussion of Case 3.

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.4.
Remark 5.1. $f_{3}(x, y, z)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.4 is one of the very few spinor regular forms that are not regular. For more details, see [1].
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