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#### Abstract

Consider the real numbers $$
\ell_{n, k}=\ln \left(\frac{3}{2} k+\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2} k\right)^{2}+3 n}\right)
$$


and the intervals $\left.\left.\mathcal{L}_{n, k}=\right] \ell_{n, k}-\ln 3, \ell_{n, k}\right]$. For all $n \geq 1$, define

$$
\frac{L_{n}(q)}{q^{n-1}}=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d) q^{k}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{A}(x)$ is the characteristic function of the set $A$. Let $\sigma(n)$ be sum of divisors of $n$. We will prove that $\underline{A 002324}(n)=4 \sigma(n)-3 L_{n}(1)$ and $\underline{A 096936}(n)=L_{n}(-1)$, which are well-known multiplicative functions related to the number of representations of $n$ by a given quadratic form.

## 1 Introduction

For a given integer $n \geq 1$, consider the two-sided sequence

$$
p_{n, k}=\ln \left(k+\sqrt{k^{2}+2 n}\right)
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define the intervals

$$
\left.\left.\mathcal{P}_{n, k}=\right] p_{n, k}-\ln 2, p_{n, k}\right] .
$$

Kassel and Reutenauer [1] introduced the polynomials ${ }^{1}$,

$$
\frac{P_{n}(q)}{q^{n-1}}=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{P}_{n, k}}(\ln d) q^{k}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{A}(x)$ is the characteristic function of the set $A$, i.e. $\mathbf{1}_{A}(x)=1$ if $x \in A$, otherwise $\mathbf{1}_{A}(x)=0$. Each polynomial $P_{n}(q)$ is monic of degree $2 n-2$, its coefficients are non-negative integers and it is self-reciprocal (see [2]). The evaluations of $P_{n}(q)$ at some complex roots of 1 have number-theoretical interpretations (see [2]), e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(n) & =P_{n}(1) \\
\frac{r_{1,0,1}(n)}{4} & =P_{n}(-1) \\
\frac{r_{1,0,2}(n)}{2} & =\left|P_{n}(\sqrt{-1})\right| \\
\frac{r_{1,1,1}(n)}{6} & =\operatorname{Re} P_{n}\left(\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma(n), \frac{r_{1,0,1}(n)}{4}, \frac{r_{1,0,2}(n)}{2}$ and $\frac{r_{1,1,1}(n)}{6}$ are multiplicative functions ${ }^{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(n) & =\sum_{d \mid n} d, \\
r_{a, b, c}(n) & =\#\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: \quad a x^{2}+b x y+c y^{2}=n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, for $q=\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$, the same sequence $n \mapsto P_{n}(q)$ is related to $r_{1,0,1}(n)$ in three ways (see [3]), depending on the congruence class of $n$ in $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\left|P_{n}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)\right|=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
r_{1,0,1}(n) & \text { if } n \equiv 0 & (\bmod 3) \\
\frac{1}{4} r_{1,0,1}(n) & \text { if } n \equiv 1 & (\bmod 3) \\
\frac{1}{2} r_{1,0,1}(n) & \text { if } n \equiv 2 & (\bmod 3)
\end{array}\right.
$$

For any integer $n \geq 1$, consider the two-sided sequence

$$
\ell_{n, k}=\ln \left(\frac{3}{2} k+\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2} k\right)^{2}+3 n}\right)
$$

and the intervals

$$
\left.\left.\mathcal{L}_{n, k}=\right] \ell_{n, k}-\ln 3, \ell_{n, k}\right],
$$

where $k$ runs over the integers. Define the following variation of the polynomials $P_{n}(q)$,
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Figure 1: Representation of $L_{6}(q)$.

$$
\frac{L_{n}(q)}{q^{n-1}}=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d) q^{k}
$$

For example, in order to compute $L_{6}(q)$ from the definition, we need to consider the intervals $\left.] \ell_{6, k}-\ln 3, \ell_{6, k}\right]$ on the real line and to count the number of values of $\ln d$ inside each interval, where $d$ runs over the divisors of $n$. This data is shown in Fig 1 , where the numbers $\ell_{6, k}$ are plotted on the line below (the corresponding values of $k$ are labelled) whereas the numbers $\ln d$ are plotted on the line above (the corresponding values of $d$ are labelled). Counting the number of intersections between the horizontal and the vertical lines, we obtain that the coefficients of $\frac{L_{6}(q)}{q^{6-1}}$ are as follows,

$$
\frac{L_{6}(q)}{q^{6-1}}=q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+2 q^{2}+2 q+2 q^{0}+2 q^{-1}+2 q^{-2}+q^{-3}+q^{-4}+q^{-5}
$$

Like $P_{n}(q)$, the polynomial $L_{n}(q)$ is monic of degree $2 n-2$, self-reciprocal and its coefficients are non-negative integers. The aim of this paper is to express the multiplicative functions ${ }^{3} \frac{r_{1,1,1}(n)}{6}$ and $\frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}$ in terms of the evaluations of $L_{n}(q)$ at roots of the unity. More precisely, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1. For each $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{A 002324}(n) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{r_{1,1,1}(n)}{6}=4 \sigma(n)-3 L_{n}(1)  \tag{1}\\
& \underline{A 096936}(n) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}=L_{n}(-1) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2 Auxiliary results for the identity (1)

For any $n \geq 1$, we will use the following notation,

$$
d_{a, m}(n):=\#\{d \mid n: \quad d \equiv a \quad(\bmod m)\}
$$

[^1]Lemma 2. For all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$
\frac{r_{1,1,1}(n)}{6}=d_{1,3}(n)-d_{2,3}(n)
$$

Proof. This result can be found as equation (3) in [5].
Lemma 3. For any integer $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3\left\lceil 3^{-1} n\right\rceil-n=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0 & (\bmod 3), \\
2 & \text { if } n \equiv 1 & (\bmod 3), \\
1 & \text { if } n \equiv 2 & (\bmod 3)
\end{array}\right. \\
& n-3\left\lfloor 3^{-1} n\right\rfloor=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } n \equiv 0 & (\bmod 3), \\
1 & \text { if } n \equiv 1 & (\bmod 3), \\
2 & \text { if } n \equiv 2 & (\bmod 3)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is enough to evaluate $3\left\lceil 3^{-1} n\right\rceil-n$ and $3\left\lceil 3^{-1} n\right\rceil-n$ at $n=3 k+r$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in\{0,1,2\}$.

Lemma 4. For any pair of integers $n \geq 1$ and $k$, the inequalities

$$
\ell_{n, k}-\ln 3<\ln d \leq \ell_{n, k}
$$

hold if and only if the inequalities

$$
3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d} \leq k<d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}
$$

hold.
Proof. The inequalities

$$
\ell_{n, k}-\ln 3<\ln d \leq \ell_{n, k}
$$

are equivalent to

$$
\ln d \leq \ell_{n, k}<\ln d+\ln 3
$$

Applying the strictly increasing function $x \mapsto \frac{e^{x}}{3}-n e^{-x}$ to the last inequalities we obtain the following equivalent inequalities

$$
3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d} \leq k<d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}
$$

Indeed, $\frac{e^{\ln d}}{3}-n e^{-\ln d}=3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}, \frac{e^{\ln d+\ln 3}}{3}-n e^{-(\ln d+\ln 3)}=d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{\ell_{n, k}}}{3}-n e^{-\ell_{n, k}} & =\frac{\frac{3}{2} k+\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2} k\right)^{2}+3 n}}{3}-\frac{n}{\frac{3}{2} k+\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2} k\right)^{2}+3 n}} \\
& =\frac{\frac{3}{2} k+\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2} k\right)^{2}+3 n}}{3}+\frac{\frac{3}{2} k-\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2} k\right)^{2}+3 n}}{3} \\
& =k .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the lemma is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. For all $d \mid n$,

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d)=\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil-\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil .
$$

Proof. For all integers $n \geq 1$ and $k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d) \\
= & \#\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad \ell_{n, k}-\ln 3<\ln d \leq \ell_{n, k}\right\} \\
= & \#\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d} \leq k<d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\} \quad \text { (Lemma 4) } \\
= & \#\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil \leq k<\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil\right\}, \\
= & \left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil-\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the lemma is proved.

## 3 Auxiliary results for the identity (2)

Lemma 6. The function $\frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}$ is multiplicative.
Proof. See page 421 in [4].
Lemma 7. For all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$
\frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}=d_{1,3}(n)-d_{2,3}(n)+2\left(d_{4,12}(n)-d_{8,12}(n)\right)
$$

Proof. This result can be found as equation (1) in [5].
Recall that the nonprincipal Dirichlet character mod 3 is the 3-periodic arithmetic function $\chi_{3}(n)$ given by $\chi_{3}(0)=0, \chi_{3}(1)=1$ and $\chi_{3}(2)=-1$.

Lemma 8. For all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\frac{(-1)^{\left\lfloor 3^{-1} n\right\rfloor}-(-1)^{\left\lceil 3^{-1} n\right\rceil}}{2}=(-1)^{n-1} \chi_{3}(n)
$$

Proof. It is enough to substitute $n=3 k+r$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in\{0,1,2\}$, in both sides in order to check that they are equal.

Lemma 9. For all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\sum_{d \mid n}(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}-1}(-1)^{d-1} \chi_{3}(d)=(-1)^{n-1} \frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 6, the function $\frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}$ is multiplicative. Also, it is easy to check that the functions $(-1)^{n-1}$ and $\chi_{3}(n)$ are multiplicative. So, the functions $f(n)=(-1)^{n-1} \frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}$ and $(-1)^{n-1} \chi_{3}(n)$ are multiplicative, because the multiplicative property is preserved by ordinary product. The function $g(n)=\sum_{d \mid n}(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}-1}(-1)^{d-1} \chi_{3}(d)$ is multiplicative, because Dirichlet convolution preserves the multiplicative property. So, it is enough to prove that $f\left(p^{k}\right)=g\left(p^{k}\right)$ for each prime power $p^{k}$.

Consider the case $p=2$. The following elementary equivalences hold for any integer $m \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{m} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 3) & \Longleftrightarrow m \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2) \\
2^{m} \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 3) & \Longleftrightarrow m \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2) \\
2^{m} \equiv 4 \quad(\bmod 12) & \Longleftrightarrow m \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2) \text { and } m \neq 0 \\
2^{m} \equiv 8 \quad(\bmod 12) & \Longleftrightarrow m \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 2) \text { and } m \neq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

So, for each integer $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1,3}\left(2^{k}\right) & =\#[0, k] \cap 2 \mathbb{Z}=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1, \\
d_{2,3}\left(2^{k}\right) & =\#[1, k] \cap(2 \mathbb{Z}+1)=\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil, \\
d_{4,12}\left(2^{k}\right) & =\#[2, k] \cap 2 \mathbb{Z}=\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor, \\
d_{8,12}\left(2^{k}\right) & =\#[3, k] \cap(2 \mathbb{Z}+1)=\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $k \geq 1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(2^{k}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{2^{k-j}-1}(-1)^{2^{j}-1} \chi_{3}\left(2^{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{2^{k-j}-1}(-1)^{2^{j}-1}(-1)^{j} \\
& =-1-(-1)^{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{j} \\
& =-1-(-1)^{k}+\frac{-1-(-1)^{k}}{2} \\
& =-3 \frac{1+(-1)^{k}}{2} \\
& =-3\left(1+\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor-\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil\right) \\
& =-\left(\left(\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)-\left\lceil\frac{k}{2} \left\lvert\,+2\left(\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor-\left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil-1\right)\right)\right.\right)\right. \\
& =(-1)^{2^{k}-1}\left(d_{1,3}\left(2^{k}\right)-d_{2,3}\left(2^{k}\right)+2\left(d_{4,12}\left(2^{k}\right)-d_{8,12}\left(2^{k}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =f\left(2^{k}\right) \quad(\text { Lemma } 7) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p$ and $k \geq 1$ be an odd prime and an integer respectively. Notice that $(-1)^{p^{j}-1}=1$ for all $0 \leq j \leq k$. Also, $d_{4,12}\left(p^{k}\right)=d_{8,12}\left(p^{k}\right)=0$, because $p^{k}$ has no even divisor. So, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(p^{k}\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{p^{k-j}-1}(-1)^{p^{j}-1} \chi_{3}\left(p^{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{k} \chi_{3}\left(p^{j}\right) \\
& =d_{1,3}\left(p^{k}\right)-d_{2,3}\left(p^{k}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{p^{k}-1}\left(d_{1,3}\left(p^{k}\right)-d_{2,3}\left(p^{k}\right)+2\left(d_{4,12}\left(p^{k}\right)-d_{8,12}\left(p^{k}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =f\left(p^{k}\right) \quad(\text { Lemma } 7) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $f(n)=g(n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.
Lemma 10. For each $d \mid n$,

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{n, k}}(\ln d)(-1)^{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left((-1)^{\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}-(-1)^{\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}\right) .
$$

Proof. For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any $d \mid n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d)(-1)^{k} & =\sum_{3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d} \leq k<d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}}(-1)^{k} \quad(\text { Lemma } 4) \\
& =\sum_{\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil \leq k<\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}(-1)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $a=\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil, b=\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil$ and $q=-1$ in the geometric sum

$$
\sum_{a \leq k<b} q^{k}=\frac{q^{a}-q^{b}}{1-q}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d)(-1)^{k} & =\frac{(-1)^{\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}-(-1)^{\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}}{1-(-1)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left((-1)^{\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}-(-1)^{\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the lemma is proved.

## 4 Proof of the main result

We proceed now with the proof of the main result of this paper.
Proof of Theorem 1. Identity (1) follows from the following transformations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{n}(1) & =\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d) \\
& =\sum_{d \mid n}\left(\left\lceil d-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil-\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil\right) \quad(\text { Lemma 5) } \\
& =\sum_{d \mid n}\left(d+\frac{n}{d}\right)+\sum_{d \mid n}\left\lceil-3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rceil-\sum_{d \mid n}\left\lceil 3^{-1} d\right\rceil \\
& \left.\left.=\sum_{d \mid n}\left(d+\frac{n}{d}\right)-\sum_{d \mid n} \right\rvert\, 3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right\rfloor-\sum_{d \mid n}\left\lceil 3^{-1} d\right\rceil \\
& \left.=\frac{2}{3} \sum_{d \mid n}\left(d+\frac{n}{d}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \sum_{d \mid n}\left(\frac{n}{d}-3 \left\lvert\, 3^{-1} \frac{n}{d}\right.\right\rfloor\right)-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{d \mid n}\left(3\left\lceil 3^{-1} d\right\rceil-d\right) \\
& =\frac{4 \sigma(n)}{3}+\frac{d_{1,3}(n)+2 d_{2,3}(n)}{3}-\frac{2 d_{1,3}(n)+d_{2,3}(n)}{3}(\text { Lemma 3) } \\
& =\frac{4 \sigma(n)}{3}-\frac{d_{1,3}(n)-d_{2,3}(n)}{3} \\
& =\frac{4}{3} \sigma(n)-\frac{1}{3} \frac{r_{1,1,1}(n)}{6} \quad(\text { Lemma 2). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Identity (2) follows from the following transformations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{L_{n}(-1)}{(-1)^{n-1}} & =\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{L}_{n, k}}(\ln d)(-1)^{k} \\
& =\sum_{d \mid n} \frac{1}{2}\left((-1)^{\left\lceil 3^{-1} d-\frac{n}{d}\right\rceil}-(-1)^{\left\lceil\frac{n}{d}-3^{-1} d\right\rceil}\right)  \tag{Lemma10}\\
& =\sum_{d \mid n} \frac{1}{2}\left((-1)^{\left\lceil 3^{-1} d\right\rceil-\frac{n}{d}}-(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}-\left\lfloor 3^{-1} d\right\rfloor}\right) \\
& =\sum_{d \mid n}(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \frac{(-1)^{\left\lfloor 3^{-1} d\right\rfloor}-(-1)^{\left\lceil 3^{-1} d\right\rceil}}{2} \\
& =\sum_{d \mid n}(-1)^{\frac{n}{d}-1}(-1)^{d-1} \chi_{3}(d) \quad(\text { Lemma } 8) \\
& =(-1)^{n-1} \frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2} \quad(\text { Lemma } 9) .
\end{align*}
$$

So, the theorem is proved.

## 5 Final remarks

1. Let $k$ be a field and $\mathcal{R}$ be a $k$-algebra. The codimension of an ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{R}$ is the dimension of the quotient $\mathcal{R} / I$ as a vector space over $k$.

Consider the free abelian group of rank 2 , denoted $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Let $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field with $q$ elements and $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{F}_{q}[\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}]$ its group algebra. Kassel and Reutenauer [1] proved that, for any prime power $q$, the number of ideals of codimension $n \geq 1$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}]$ is $(q-1)^{2} P_{n}(q)$. So, it is natural to look for connections between the values of $L_{n}(q)$, when $q$ is a prime power, and the algebraic structures related to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
2. The polynomials $P_{n}(q)$ are generated by the product (see [2])

$$
\prod_{m \geq 1} \frac{\left(1-t^{m}\right)^{2}}{\left(1-q t^{m}\right)\left(1-q^{-1} t^{m}\right)}=1+\left(q+q^{-1}-2\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_{n}(q)}{q^{n-1}} t^{n}
$$

It would be interesting to find a similar generating function for $L_{n}(q)$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The original definition of $P_{n}(q)$, which we refer to as Kassel-Reutenauer polynomials, given in [1] is rather different, but equivalent, to the one presented here. We preferred to take the logarithm of the divisors in place of the divisors themselves in order to work with intervals $\mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ of constant length.
    ${ }^{2}$ The proofs that the functions $\frac{r_{1,0,1}(n)}{4}, \frac{r_{1,0,2}(n)}{2}$ and $\frac{r_{1,1,1}(n)}{6}$ are multiplicative can be found in [4], pages 413,417 and 431 respectively.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The proof that the function $\frac{r_{1,0,3}(n)}{2}$ is multiplicative can be found in [4], page 421.

