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#### Abstract

We show that the $n$ 'th diagonal sum of Barry's modified Pascal triangle can be described as the continuant of the run lengths of the binary representation of $n$. We also obtain an explicit description for the row sums.


## 1. Introduction

In 2006 in the On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) [8], sequence A119326, Paul Barry introduced a modified Pascal triangle, defined for integers $0 \leq k \leq n$, as follows:

$$
T(n, k)=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-k \\ 2 \mid j}}\binom{k}{j}\binom{n-k}{j}
$$

The first few rows of this triangle are as follows:


[^0]Similarly, one can consider $T(n, k) \bmod 2$, whose terms are given by sequence A114213:


Sequences A114212 and A114214, respectively, are the row sums and diagonal sums of this latter triangle. We denote them by $r(n)$ and $d(n)$, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(T(n, k) \bmod 2) \\
& d(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(T(n-k, k) \bmod 2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In May 2016, the first author observed, empirically, a connection between $d(n)$ and the binary representation of $n$. In this note we prove this connection, and also prove a formula for $r(n)$. The connection involves Stern's "diatomic sequence" $s(n)$, defined by $s(0)=0, s(1)=1$, $s(2 n)=s(n)$, and $s(2 n+1)=s(n)+s(n+1)$; see [9].

## 2. The diagonal sums

Let the binary representation of $n$ be denoted by $\sum_{i=0}^{j} \varepsilon_{i}(n) 2^{i}$. We show that the diagonal sum $d(n)$ can be expressed in terms of this representation. Given a string $s$ of 0 's and 1 's, we consider its run lengths: the lengths of maximal blocks of consecutive identical elements. For example, if $s=111000011111$, then the run lengths of $s$ are $(3,4,5)$.

If $m$ is a sequence of positive integers, we may associate an integer with it via the continued fraction expansion: if $m=\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$, we say that the continuant of $m$ is the numerator of the continued fraction $\left[m_{0} ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right.$ ] (see [3, Ch. 34, §4]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer and let $m$ be the sequence of run lengths of the binary representation of $n$. Then $d(n)$ equals the continuant of $m$.

We will use Lucas' famous congruence for binomial coefficients [7, p. 230]: if $p$ is a prime number and $n=\left(n_{\nu} \cdots n_{0}\right)_{p}$ and $k=\left(k_{\nu} \cdots k_{0}\right)_{p}$, then

$$
\binom{n}{k} \equiv\binom{n_{\nu}}{k_{\nu}} \cdots\binom{n_{0}}{k_{0}} \quad(\bmod p)
$$

This implies that $\binom{n}{k}$ is not divisible by $p$ if and only if $k_{i} \leq n_{i}$ for all $i$. Moreover, it follows that the number of odd binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ equals $2^{s_{2}(n)}$, where $s_{2}$ is the binary sum-of-digits function [4].

We prove the following statement, which reduces the problem to divisibility by 2 of binomial coefficients. We will derive Theorem 2.1 from it in a moment.
Proposition 2.2. Let $n$ and $k$ be nonnegative integers such that $k \leq n$. If $2 \mid n+k$, then $T(n, k) \equiv\binom{n}{k}(\bmod 2)$. Otherwise, $T(n, k) \equiv\binom{n-1}{k}(\bmod 2)$.

Proof. We prove the first statement. By replacing $n$ with $n+k$ we get the equivalent assertion that if $2 \mid n$ or $2 \mid k$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n \\ 2 \mid j}}\binom{n}{j}\binom{k}{j} \equiv\binom{n+k}{k} \quad(\bmod 2) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lucas' congruence the left-hand side is congruent to

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}\binom{k}{j} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n \wedge k}{j} \equiv 2^{s_{2}(n \wedge k)} \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

where $n \wedge k$ is the integer whose binary digits satisfy $\varepsilon_{i}(n \wedge k)=\min \left(\varepsilon_{i}(n), \varepsilon_{i}(k)\right)$. This expression is odd if and only if $s_{2}(n \wedge k)=0$, which is the case if and only if the binary representations of $n$ and $k$ are disjoint. To handle the right-hand side of Eq. (11), we note that $\binom{n+k}{k}$ is odd if $n \wedge k=1$. On the other hand, if the binary representations of $n$ and $k$ are not disjoint, then the condition $\varepsilon_{i}(k) \leq \varepsilon_{i}(n+k)$ is violated for $i=\min \left\{j: \varepsilon_{j}(n)=1, \varepsilon_{j}(k)=1\right\}$; therefore $\binom{n+k}{k}$ is even. This proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, we use Lucas' congruence again: for $2 \mid j$ and $2 \mid m$ we have $\binom{m}{j} \equiv\binom{m+1}{j}(\bmod 2)$. Since $2 \nmid n-k$, we obtain $\binom{n-k}{j} \equiv\binom{n-1-k}{j}(\bmod 2)$. Moreover, by $2 \nmid n-k$ the ranges of summation in $T(n, k)$ and $T(n-1, k)$ are the same.

From this proposition we obtain in particular the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(2 n)=d(2 n+1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Carlitz [2] proved that Stern's diatomic sequence $s(n)$ satisfies $\left.s(n+1)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\binom{n-k}{k} \bmod 2\right)$. By Proposition 2.2 and Eq. (2) we therefore have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(2 n)=d(2 n+1)=s(2 n+1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known [5, 6] that if $m=\left(m_{0}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ is the sequence of run-lengths of the binary representation of $n$ and $n$ is odd, then $s(n)$ is the continuant of $m$. Therefore $d(n)$ is the continuant of $m$. In order to complete the proof of the conjecture, we have to show that the same is true for even $n$. By Eq. (3) it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. If $n$ is even, then the continuant of the sequence of run-lengths of the binary representation of $n$ is equal to the continuant corresponding to $n+1$.

Proof. Let $n=1^{m_{0}} 0^{m_{1}} \cdots 1^{m_{k-1}} 0^{m_{k}}$. We distinguish between two cases. If $m_{k}=1$, then $n+1=$ $\left(1^{m_{0}} 0^{m_{1}} \cdots 0^{m_{k-2}} 1^{m_{k-1}+1}\right)$ and the statement follows from the identity $\left[m_{0} ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-1}, 1\right]=$ $\left[m_{0} ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-1}+1\right]$. If $m_{k} \geq 2$, then $n+1=\left(1^{m_{0}} 0^{m_{1}} \cdots 0^{m_{k-2}} 1^{m_{k-1}} 0^{m_{k}-1} 1\right)$ and the statement follows from $\left[m_{0} ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right]=\left[m_{0} ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-1}, m_{k}-1,1\right]$.

Remark. The sequence $(d(n))_{n \geq 0}$ is a 2-regular sequence [1] as it satisfies the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(2 n+1) & =d(2 n) \\
d(4 n+2) & =3 d(2 n)-d(4 n) \\
d(8 n) & =-d(2 n)+2 d(4 n) \\
d(8 n+4) & =4 d(2 n)-d(4 n)
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The row sums

We will prove
Theorem 3.1.

$$
r(n)= \begin{cases}2^{s_{2}(n)}, & \text { if } n \text { odd } \\ 2^{s_{2}(n)}+2^{s_{2}(n-2)}, & \text { if } n \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

A similar characterization was stated, without proof or attribution, in the notes to A114212 of the OEIS.

Proof. From Proposition 2.2 we get, for integers $n \geq k \geq 0$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(2 n, 2 k) & \equiv T(2 n+1,2 k) \equiv T(2 n+1,2 k+1) \equiv\binom{n}{k}(\bmod 2) \\
T(2 n, 2 k+1) & \equiv\binom{n-1}{k}(\bmod 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(2 m) & =\sum_{k=0}^{2 m}(T(2 m, k) \bmod 2) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}(T(2 m, 2 k) \bmod 2)+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(T(2 m, 2 k+1) \bmod 2) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\binom{m}{k} \bmod 2\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(\binom{m-1}{k} \bmod 2\right) \\
& =2^{s_{2}(m)}+2^{s_{2}(m-1)} \\
& =2^{s_{2}(2 m)}+2^{s_{2}(2 m-2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(2 m+1) & =\sum_{k=0}^{2 m+1}(T(2 m+1, k) \bmod 2) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}(T(2 m+1,2 k) \bmod 2)+\sum_{k=0}^{m}(T(2 m+1,2 k+1) \bmod 2) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\binom{m}{k} \bmod 2\right)+\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left(\binom{m}{k} \bmod 2\right) \\
& =2^{s_{2}(m)}+2^{s_{2}(m)} \\
& =2^{s_{2}(2 m+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$
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