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#### Abstract

A real toric space is a topological space which admits a well-behaved $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k}$-action. Real moment-angle complexes and real toric varieties are typical examples of real toric spaces. A real toric space is determined by a pair of a simplicial complex $K$ and a characteristic matrix $\Lambda$. In this paper, we provide an explicit $R$-cohomology ring formula of a real toric space in terms of $K$ and $\Lambda$, where $R$ is a commutative ring with unity in which 2 is a unit. Interestingly, it has a natural ( $\mathbb{Z} \oplus$ row $\Lambda$ )-grading. As corollaries, we compute the cohomology rings of (generalized) real Bott manifolds in terms of binary matroids, and we also provide a criterion for real toric spaces to be cohomology symplectic.
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## 1. Introduction

During the last half century, the topology of topological spaces admitting nice torus symmetries has been one of the most important problems in toric geometry and toric topology. In 1970s, the cohomology of smooth complete toric varieties is computed by Jurkiewicz [16] (for projective case) and Danilov [11] (for general case). Later, their topological generalization, recently known as quasitoric manifolds, is also studied in [12]. Interestingly, the cohomology ring of such manifolds can be beautifully represented as the quotient of a polynomial ring. It should be mentioned that those toric spaces are all obtainable as quotients of the moment-angle complexes,

[^0]which also play an important role in toric topology. The cohomology of the moment-angle complex is also well known due to [3].

On the other hand, it has also been studied the real analogue of toric spaces in toric geometry. One notes that a toric variety admits a complex structure. Hence, there is the natural involution on the toric variety associated to the conjugation, and the fixed part of this involution is known as a real toric variety. Similarly, each toric space has its real analogue; for instant, a small cover and a real moment-angle complex are counterparts of a quasitoric manifold and a moment-angle complex, respectively. They admit well-behaved $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{k}$-actions induced from the torus action on the associated toric spaces. It is known that real toric varieties and small covers are quotients of the real moment-angle complex. Motivated by this, the spaces which can be obtained from a real moment-angle complex admitting $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-action by quotient of the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ are, recently, called real toric spaces. More precisely, for a simplicial complex $K$ on $m$ vertices, the real moment-angle complex $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ (or the polyhedral product $\left(\underline{D^{1}}, \underline{S^{0}}\right)^{K}$ ) of $K$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} & =\left(\underline{D^{1}}, \underline{S^{0}}\right)^{K} \\
& :=\bigcup_{\sigma \in K}\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in\left(D^{1}\right)^{m} \mid x_{i} \in S^{0} \text { when } i \notin \sigma\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D^{1}=[0,1]$ is the unit interval and $S^{0}=\{0,1\}$ is its boundary. There is a canonical $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-action on $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ which comes from the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-action on the pair $\left(D^{1}, S^{0}\right)$. Let $n \leq m$. A linear map $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ represented by an $(n \times m)$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-matrix $\Lambda=(\lambda(1) \cdots \lambda(m))$ determines a subgroup ker $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ acting on $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$. We denote by $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ the associated real toric space, defined to be $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} / \operatorname{ker} \Lambda$. See [5] for details.

Like toric spaces, a real toric space lies in a central position in the realm of toric topology, so the (co)homology of real toric spaces has been a particular interest in toric topology. Jurkiwiecz [17] and Davis-Januszkiewicz [12] computed $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology rings of real toric varieties and small covers, respectively. It is of the form of the quotient of polynomial ring with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-coefficient. It is not until 2012, in their unpublished paper [23], Suciu and Trevisan established the formula for the rational cohomology group of a small cover as announced in [22]. It has been confirmed by the authors in [10], in which one can see that the formula can be generalized to real toric spaces and the similar formula holds for even much generalized coefficient than the rational coefficient. In order to describe the formula, let us prepare some notations. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex on $[m]:=\{1, \ldots, m\}$. We note that there is the natural identification between $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ and $2^{[m]}$, as we will see details in (3.2). For each element $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$, we denote by $K_{\omega}$ the induced subcomplex of $K$ induced by the subset of $[m]$ corresponding to $\omega$.

Theorem 1.1. [10, Theorem 4.6] Let $M=M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ be a real toric space and $R$ a commutative ring in which 2 is a unit. Then there is an $R$-linear isomorphism

$$
H^{p}(M ; R) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text { row } \Lambda} \widetilde{H}^{p-1}\left(K_{\omega} ; R\right)
$$

Throughout the paper, we assume that $R$ is a commutative ring in which 2 is a unit as above and the coefficient ring of the cohomology is $R$. A typical example of $R$ is the ring of rationals $\mathbb{Q}$.

As the next step, it is natural to ask how we can compute its "cohomology ring". However, the multiplication structure of the cohomology of a real toric space, even a real moment-angle complex, is rather intricate and difficult to understand as mentioned in [3, p.157]. In the paper [10] of the authors, they provided one theoretical formulation of the cohomology ring as in [10, Theorem 4.5]. Although the formula is correct, it may be of little use in practice, unfortunately. There are two main reasons. One reason is that it contains a Raynold operation $N$ which leads to huge computation. The other reason is that we do not know whether the isomorphism in Theorem 1.1 satisfies one expected property below or not. We note that if two elements $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are in row $\Lambda$, so is $\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}$. It, thus, is reasonable to expect that for $\alpha \in \widetilde{H}^{p-1}\left(K_{\omega_{1}}\right)$ and $\beta \in \widetilde{H}^{q-1}\left(K_{\omega_{2}}\right)$, the cup product $\alpha \smile \beta$ is in $\widetilde{H}^{p+q-1}\left(K_{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}\right)$. However, the formula given in [10, Theorem 4.5] does not guarantee in transparent way that the natural group isomorphism such as in Theorem 1.1 satisfies the property.

In the present paper, we will provide fancier cohomology ring formula of real toric space which can resolve both problems what the original form has. let $R\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle$ be the differential free $R$-algebra with $2 m$ generators such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} u_{i}=1, \quad \operatorname{deg} t_{i}=0, \quad d u_{i}=0, \quad d t_{i}=u_{i}
$$

and the differential $d$ satisfies the Leibniz rule $d(a b)=d a \cdot b+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} a} \cdot d b$ for any homogeneous elements $a$ and $b$. We denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the quotient of $R\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle$ under the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{i} u_{i}=0, \quad u_{i} t_{i}=u_{i}, \quad t_{i} u_{i}=-u_{i}, \quad t_{i} t_{i}=1,  \tag{1.1}\\
& \\
& u_{i} u_{j}=-u_{j} u_{i}, \quad u_{i} t_{j}=t_{j} u_{i}, \quad t_{i} t_{j}=t_{j} t_{i},
\end{align*}
$$

for $i, j=1, \ldots, m$ and $i \neq j$. Let us use the notation $u_{\sigma}$ (respectively, $t_{\sigma}$ ) for the monomial $u_{i_{1}} \cdots u_{i_{k}}$ (respectively, $t_{i_{1}} \cdots t_{i_{k}}$ ) where $\sigma=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$, $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$, is a subset of $[m]$. The Stanley-Reisner ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal generated by all square-free monomials $u_{\sigma}$ such that $\sigma$ is not a simplex of $K$. We write the quotient algebra $\mathcal{R}^{K}:=\mathcal{R} / \mathcal{I}$. For $\omega \subseteq[m]$, let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{K}$ the $R$-submodule of $\mathcal{R}^{K}$ generated by $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$ for $\sigma \subseteq \omega \subseteq[m]$ and $\sigma \in K$.

Main Theorem. There are $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus$ row $\Lambda)$-graded $R$-algebra isomorphisms

$$
H^{*}(M) \cong H\left(\left.\mathcal{R}^{K}\right|_{\text {row } \Lambda}, d\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \in \operatorname{row} \Lambda} \widetilde{H}^{*-1}\left(K_{\omega}\right),
$$

where $\left.\mathcal{R}^{K}\right|_{\text {row } \Lambda}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{R}^{K}$ generated by $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$ such that $\omega \in$ row $\Lambda$, and the product structure on $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \operatorname{row} \Lambda} \widetilde{H}^{*-1}\left(K_{\omega}\right)$ is given by the canonical maps

$$
\widetilde{H}^{k-1}\left(K_{\omega_{1}}\right) \otimes \widetilde{H}^{\ell-1}\left(K_{\omega_{2}}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{H}^{k+\ell-1}\left(K_{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}\right)
$$

which are induced by simplicial maps $K_{\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}} \rightarrow K_{\omega_{1}} \star K_{\omega_{2}}$ when $\star$ denotes the simplicial join.

The main theorem can be viewed as the analogue for real moment-angle complexes and real toric spaces of Theorem 4.5.8 of [3]. Note that the product need not be zero when $\omega_{1} \cap \omega_{2} \neq \varnothing$ unlike that of moment-angle complexes $\mathcal{Z}_{K}$. We also remark that this result enables to calculate the cohomology of the polyhedral product of the form $(\underline{C X}, \underline{X})^{K}$ in the sense of Theorem 1.9 of [1].

The proof and details of the main theorem will be given in Theorems 3.4 and 3.5. In Section 4 we will provide a few examples about cohomology previously unknown and some related questions.

In Sections 4.1 and 4.2, we compute the rational cohomology ring of (generalized) real Bott manifolds. A real Bott manifold is one of the most important examples of real toric varieties, and each real toric manifold is determined by an upper triangular $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-matrix $A$ whose diagonal entries are zero. Interestingly, we can show in Proposition 4.3 that the rational cohomology of the real Bott manifold corresponding to $A$ is completely determined by the binary matroid related to $A$.

In Section 4.3, we discuss the criterion as in Lemma 4.12 for real toric spaces to be cohomology symplectic. In addition, we give some necessary conditions for real moment-angle complex to be cohomology symplectic.

## 2. Cohomology ring of a real moment-angle complex

In this section, we study the cohomology ring of a real moment-angle complex using a natural CW structure of the cube $\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}$. We basically follow the arguments of 4 ] and [10], but with the basis (2.2) which causes huge difference as we can see in Section 3 ,

We will use the notation $C_{*}(X)$ and $C^{*}(X)$ for the simplicial or cellular (co)chain complex of $X$ when $X$ is a simplicial complex or a CW complex respectively. Let us fix a simplicial complex $K$ on the vertex set $[m]=$ $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Regarding the interval $D^{1}=[0,1]$ as the simplicial complex consisting of two 0-cells 0,1 and one 1 -cell $\underline{01}$, the $m$-cube $\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}$ has a natural CW structure coming from the Cartesian product operation. More precisely, let $D_{i}^{1} \cong[0,1]$ be the $i$ th factor of $\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}=D_{1}^{1} \times \cdots \times D_{m}^{1}$ which is a CW complex with two 0 -cells $0_{i}, 1_{i}$ and one 1-cells $\underline{01}_{i}$. Then every cell of $\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}$ is given as

$$
e_{1} \times \cdots \times e_{m}, \quad e_{i}=0_{i}, 1_{i} \text { or } \underline{01}_{i} .
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq m$, the cochain complex $C^{*}\left(D_{i}^{1}\right)$ is the dual graded $R$-module $\operatorname{Hom}\left(C_{*}\left(D_{i}^{1}\right), R\right)=\left\langle 0_{i}^{*}, 1_{i}^{*}, \underline{01}{ }_{i}^{*}\right\rangle$, such that $\operatorname{deg} 0_{i}^{*}=\operatorname{deg} 1_{i}^{*}=0$ and $\operatorname{deg} \underline{01}{ }_{i}^{*}=$ 1 where $e_{i}^{*}$ is the cochain dual to the cell $e_{i}$. Furthermore, there is the (simplicial) cup product $\smile$ making $C^{*}\left(D_{i}^{1}\right)$ a graded $R$-algebra. Among the nine possible combinations $a \smile b$ when $a, b \in\left\{0^{*}, 1^{*}, \underline{01}\right\}$, observe that only the following survive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0^{*} \smile 0^{*}=0^{*}, \quad 1^{*} \smile 1^{*}=1^{*}, \quad 0^{*} \smile \underline{01^{*}}=\underline{01^{*}} \smile 1^{*}=\underline{01^{*}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the differential $R$-module $B^{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right)$, which is $C^{*}\left(D_{1}^{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes$ $C^{*}\left(D_{m}^{1}\right)$ with a differential $d$ such that
$d\left(e_{1}^{*} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{m}^{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}(-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \operatorname{deg} e_{j}^{*}} e_{1}^{*} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i-1}^{*} \otimes d e_{i}^{*} \otimes e_{i+1}^{*} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{m}^{*}$.

Note that $d 0_{i}^{*}=-\underline{01}_{i}^{*}, d 1_{i}^{*}=\underline{01}_{i}^{*}$, and $d \underline{01}_{i}^{*}=0$. Actually, the cup products on the factors of $B^{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right)$ extend to the whole $R$-module so that

$$
\left(e_{1}^{*} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{m}^{*}\right) \smile\left(f_{1}^{*} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{m}^{*}\right)=(-1)^{c} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{m} e_{i}^{*} \smile f_{i}^{*}
$$

where

$$
c=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{deg} f_{i}^{*} \sum_{j>i} \operatorname{deg} e_{j}^{*} .
$$

Refer to (11) of [4]. Then the extended cup product turns $B^{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right)$ into a graded $R$-algebra.

The real moment-angle complex of $K$, denoted by $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$, is defined by

$$
\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}=\bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \prod_{j=1}^{m} Y_{\sigma}^{j}, \quad \text { where } \quad Y_{\sigma}^{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
D_{j}^{1}, & \text { if } j \in \sigma ; \\
\partial D_{j}^{1}=\left\{0_{j}, 1_{j}\right\}, & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is obvious that $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ is a subcomplex of $\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}$ as a CW complex. The differential $R$-algebra $B^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ is defined as follows. Since $B^{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right)$ can be thought as $\operatorname{Hom}\left(C_{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right), R\right)$ where $C_{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right)$ is the cellular chain complex of $\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}$, we put $B^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(C_{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right), R\right)$, where $C_{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ is the restriction of $C_{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right)$ to $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$, and inherits the cup product from $B^{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right)$ as an $R$-subalgebra. A direct application of Theorem 3.1 of (4) implies that $B^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ is indeed a well-defined differential $R$-algebra and the following holds.

Theorem 2.1. [4, Theorem 5.1] There is a graded $R$-algebra isomorphism

$$
H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \cong H\left(B^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right), d\right) .
$$

Now we perform a "basis change" of $C^{*}\left(D^{1}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}=1^{*}+0^{*}, \quad t=1^{*}-0^{*}, \quad u=2 \cdot \underline{01} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a genuine basis change, because 2 is a unit in the coefficient ring $R$. Indeed, one has

$$
1^{*}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}+t), \quad 0^{*}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}-t), \quad \text { and } \quad \underline{01}^{*}=\frac{1}{2} u .
$$

From (2.1), the following identities are easily checked.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \smile u=0, \quad u \smile t=u, \quad t \smile u=-u, \quad t \smile t=\mathbf{1}, \\
& \quad \mathbf{1} \smile u=u \smile \mathbf{1}=u, \quad \mathbf{1} \smile t=t \smile \mathbf{1}=t, \quad \mathbf{1} \smile \mathbf{1}=\mathbf{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be emphasized that the above basis change (2.2) is modified from (17) of [4], or (3.1) of [10]. The original basis change in [4] and [10] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}=1^{*}+0^{*}, t=1^{*}, u=\underline{01^{*}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This modification leads great improvements of computability which will be explained later. It is convenient to regard $B^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ as a differential $R$ algebra with $2 m$ generators $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}$ such that $\mathbf{1}_{1} \smile \ldots \smile \mathbf{1}_{m}$ is the unique identity. More precisely, let $R\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle$ be the differential free $R$-algebra with $2 m$ generators such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} u_{i}=1, \quad \operatorname{deg} t_{i}=0, \quad d u_{i}=0, \quad d t_{i}=u_{i}
$$

and the differential $d$ satisfies the Leibniz rule $d(a b)=d a \cdot b+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} a} \cdot d b$ for any homogeneous elements $a$ and $b$. We denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the quotient of $R\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle$ under the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i} u_{i}=0, \quad u_{i} t_{i}=u_{i}, \quad t_{i} u_{i}=-u_{i}, \quad t_{i} t_{i}=1  \tag{2.4}\\
u_{i} u_{j}=-u_{j} u_{i}, \quad u_{i} t_{j}=t_{j} u_{i}, \quad t_{i} t_{j}=t_{j} t_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i, j=1, \ldots, m$ and $i \neq j$. Let us use the notation $u_{\sigma}$ (respectively, $t_{\sigma}$ ) for the monomial $u_{i_{1}} \cdots u_{i_{k}}$ (respectively, $t_{i_{1}} \cdots t_{i_{k}}$ ) where $\sigma=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$, $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k}$, is a subset of [m]. The Stanley-Reisner ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal generated by all square-free monomials $u_{\sigma}$ such that $\sigma$ is not a simplex of $K$. We write the quotient algebra $\mathcal{R}^{K}:=\mathcal{R} / \mathcal{I}$. Note that, as an $R$-module, $\mathcal{R}^{K}$ is freely generated by the square-free monomials $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$, where $\sigma \subseteq \omega \subseteq[m]$ and $\sigma \in K$. Now observe that we can identify the differential $R$-algebras

$$
\mathcal{R} \cong B^{*}\left(\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{R}^{K} \cong B^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

Then Theorem 2.1 can be restated as follows.
Theorem 2.2. [4, Theorem 5.1] There is a graded $R$-algebra isomorphism

$$
H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \cong H\left(\mathcal{R}^{K}, d\right)
$$

For $\omega \subseteq[m]$, let us denote by $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{K}$ the $R$-submodule of $\mathcal{R}^{K}$ generated by $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$ for $\sigma \subseteq \omega \subseteq[m]$ and $\sigma \in K$. The differential $d$ is preserved in $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{K}$ for each $\omega \subseteq[m]$, and thus there is a $\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2^{[m]}\right)$-grading on the $R$-module $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \cong H^{i}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{K}, d\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2^{[m]}$ denotes the power set of $[m]$. However, as an $R$-algebra, $\mathcal{R}^{K}$ is generally $\operatorname{not}\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2^{[m]}\right)$-graded due to the relations $u_{i} t_{i}=u_{i}$ and $t_{i} u_{i}=-u_{i}$. In Cai's original settings, one has the union $\cup$

$$
\smile: H^{p, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \otimes H^{p^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \rightarrow H^{p+p^{\prime}, \omega \cup \omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

and the union does not give a group structure on $2^{[m]}$. Nevertheless, as we will see in the next section, it turns out by Theorem 3.5 that at cohomology level, $H\left(\mathcal{R}^{K}, d\right)$ is indeed a $\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2^{[m]}\right)$-graded $R$-algebra.

Denote by $K_{\omega}=\{\sigma \in K \mid \sigma \subseteq \omega\}$ the induced subcomplex of $K$ with respect to $\omega$. For each $\omega \subseteq[m]$, observe that there is a bijective cochain map of cochain complexes

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\omega}: \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{K} \xlongequal{\cong} C^{*}\left(K_{\omega}\right) \\
u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma} \longrightarrow \sigma^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C^{*}\left(K_{\omega}\right)$ means the simplicial cochain complex of $K_{\omega}$. This induces an $R$-linear isomorphism of cohomology

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{K}, d\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} \widetilde{H}^{p-1}\left(K_{\omega}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus one concludes that there is an $R$-linear isomorphism, well-known as the Hochster formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p, *}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)=\bigoplus_{\omega \subseteq[m]} H^{p, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \subseteq[m]} \widetilde{H}^{p-1}\left(K_{\omega}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [4, Proposition 3.3] for details
The cohomology of the moment-angle complex $\mathcal{Z}_{K}$ is beautifully described in Section 4.5 of [3]. In order to compare the cohomology of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{Z}}{ }_{K}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{K}$, we include a brief explanation of $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$. Let $R\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the differential free $R$-algebra with $2 m$ generators such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} u_{i}=2, \quad \operatorname{deg} t_{i}=1, \quad d u_{i}=0, \quad d t_{i}=u_{i}
$$

and the differential $d$ satisfies the Leibniz rule $d(a b)=d a \cdot b+(-1)^{\operatorname{deg} a} \cdot d b$ for any homogeneous elements $a$ and $b$. We denote by $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbb{C}}$ the quotient of $R\left\langle u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\rangle^{\mathbb{C}}$ under the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i} u_{i}=0, \quad u_{i} t_{i}=0, \quad t_{i} u_{i}=0, \quad t_{i} t_{i}=0  \tag{2.8}\\
u_{i} u_{j}=u_{j} u_{i}, \quad u_{i} t_{j}=t_{j} u_{i}, \quad t_{i} t_{j}=-t_{j} t_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i, j=1, \ldots, m$ and $i \neq j$. Let the Stanley-Reisner ideal $\mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be defined analogously to the $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ case. Then one has a graded $R$-algebra isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \cong H\left(\mathcal{R}^{\mathbb{C}} / \mathcal{I}^{\mathbb{C}}, d\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. Besides the similarity of the two $R$-algebras, there are two main concerns.
(1) For $\mathcal{Z}_{K}$, (2.9) holds for an arbitrary coefficient ring $R$. Indeed, we could choose the basis (2.3) to obtain the result of [4] for $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; R\right)$ for arbitrary coefficient.
(2) The difference of (2.4) and (2.8) yields significant contrast of the rings $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; R\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{K} ; R\right)$. The analogue of Theorem 3.5 still holds for $\mathcal{Z}_{K}$, but in that case, the cup product is zero if $\omega \cap \omega^{\prime} \neq$ $\varnothing$, which is not generally true for $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$.

## 3. Cohomology Ring of a real toric space

We recall that there is a natural action of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ on $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} \subseteq\left(D^{1}\right)^{m}$ by

$$
\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right) \cdot\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left(g_{1} \cdot x_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \cdot x_{m}\right)
$$

where

$$
g_{i} \cdot x_{i}= \begin{cases}x_{i}, & \text { if } g_{i}=0  \tag{3.1}\\ 1-x_{i}, & \text { if } g_{i}=1\end{cases}
$$

Any subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ can be specified as the kernel of a surjective linear $\operatorname{map} \Lambda: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{q}$ for some $q \leq m$. When $q=0$, we put $\Lambda$ be the empty matrix by convention. We denote the $i$ th column by $\Lambda(i)$. If $\Lambda$ satisfies the following condition called the non-singularity condition

$$
\Lambda\left(i_{1}\right), \ldots, \Lambda\left(i_{\ell}\right) \text { are linearly independent in } \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{q} \text { if }\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\ell}\right\} \in K
$$

then $\Lambda$ is called a $(\bmod 2)$ characteristic function over $K$. One can check (or see [5, Lemma 3.1]) that the action of $\operatorname{ker} \Lambda$ is free on $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ if and only if $\Lambda$ is a characteristic function over $K$. In this case, $\operatorname{ker} \Lambda$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m-q}$.

For a surjective linear map $\Lambda: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{q}$, the quotient space $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} / \operatorname{ker} \Lambda$ is denoted by $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ and is called the real toric space associated to $(K, \Lambda)$. If $K$ is the boundary of a simplicial $n$-polytope and $\Lambda$ is non-singular, then
$M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ is a smooth $n$-manifold. If we add the condition $q=n$, then $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ is the well-known small cover.

Our main tool here is the transfer homomorphism for the finite group action. For the transfer homomorphism, refer for example [2, III.2] or [14, Section 3.G] when the action is free. When a group $\Gamma$ acts on the $R$-algebra $A$, let us recall that $A^{\Gamma}$ means the subalgebra consisting of elements fixed by the $\Gamma$-action.

Theorem 3.1. (See [10, Theorem 3.2]) Let $X$ be a $C W$ complex and $\Gamma$ a finite group acting on $X$. Then there is a graded $R$-algebra isomorphism

$$
H^{*}(X / \Gamma ; R) \cong H^{*}(X ; R)^{\Gamma},
$$

when $R$ is a commutative ring in which the order $|\Gamma|$ is a unit.
We are going to compute the induced action of $\operatorname{ker} \Lambda$ on $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \cong$ $H\left(\mathcal{R}^{K}, d\right)$. Consider the reflection map $f: D^{1} \rightarrow D^{1}$ given by $f(x)=1-x$. Then $f$ induces a map on $C^{*}\left(D^{1}\right)$, again denoted by $f$, such that

$$
f\left(\underline{01}^{*}\right)=-\underline{01^{*}}, \quad f\left(0^{*}\right)=1^{*}, \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(1^{*}\right)=0^{*}
$$

and after change of basis,

$$
f(u)=-u, \quad f(t)=-t, \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1} .
$$

It should be noted that $f$ preserves $H^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ for each $\omega \in 2^{[m]}$. This is one of the key properties of the basis change (2.2). It leads the simpler and improved cohomology ring formula of $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ as in Theorem 3.4 below rather than one given in [10.

The following lemmas are useful later in this section. In this paper we sometimes use the identification $2^{[m]} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ by the bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{\ell}\right\} \mapsto \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}}+\ldots+\mathbf{e}_{i_{\ell}}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ is the $i$ th coordinate vector of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}, 1 \leq i \leq m$. Moreover, this identification is a group isomorphism $\left(2^{[m]}, \triangle\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m},+\right)$.
Lemma 3.2. [10, Theorem 4.2] Let us assume that we have the identification $2^{[m]} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$. Let row $\Lambda$ be the row space of $\Lambda$ and $\omega$ a vector in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$. Then $\omega \in$ row $\Lambda$ if and only if $|\omega \cap g|$ is even for all $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Lambda$.

Proof. The lemma is proved by the following observation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega \in \operatorname{row} \Lambda & \Longleftrightarrow \omega \perp \operatorname{ker} \Lambda \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \omega \cdot g=0 \text { for all } g \in \operatorname{ker} \Lambda \\
& \Longleftrightarrow|\omega \cap g| \text { is even for all } g \in \operatorname{ker} \Lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3. The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-action on $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-action on the $R$-module $\mathcal{R}^{K}$ by the following. For a monomial $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma} \in \mathcal{R}^{K}$ for $\sigma \subseteq \omega$ and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$,

$$
g \cdot u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}=(-1)^{|\omega \cap g|} u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma} .
$$

Proof. The proof is obvious since some $u_{i}$ or $t_{i}$ in $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$ changes its sign whenever $i \in g \cap \omega$.

One should be cautious that the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-action on the $R$-module $\mathcal{R}^{K}$ does not preserve the product structure. For instance, $f(u t)=f(u)=-u$, while $f(u) f(t)=(-u) \cdot(-t)=u t=u$. But its induced action on the cohomology $H\left(\mathcal{R}^{K}, d\right) \cong H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ does preserve the product by the functorial property of the cup product.

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$, a set of vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$, we denote by $\left.\mathcal{R}^{K}\right|_{A}$ the direct sum

$$
\left.\mathcal{R}^{K}\right|_{A}=\bigoplus_{\omega \in A} \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{K} .
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $M=M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ be a real toric space. Then there is a graded $R$-algebra isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(M)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in \operatorname{row} \Lambda} H^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \cong H\left(\left.\mathcal{R}^{K}\right|_{\text {row } \Lambda}, d\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Theorem 3.1 implies that $H^{*}(M) \cong H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)^{\text {ker } \Lambda}$ since $\mid$ ker $\Lambda \mid=$ $2^{m-q}$ is a unit in $R$. By Theorem [2.2, it is enough to show that

$$
H\left(\mathcal{R}^{K}, d\right)^{\operatorname{ker} \Lambda} \cong H\left(\left.\mathcal{R}^{K}\right|_{\text {row } \Lambda}, d\right)
$$

For $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ and a nonzero cohomology class $\alpha \in H^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$, by Lemma3.3, one observes that, for $g \in \operatorname{ker} \Lambda$,

$$
g \cdot \alpha= \begin{cases}\alpha, & \text { if } g \cap \omega \text { has even cardinality, or } \\ -\alpha, & \text { if } g \cap \omega \text { has odd cardinality. }\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\alpha \neq-\alpha$; if $\alpha=-\alpha$, then $\alpha+\alpha=2 \alpha=0$ and we conclude that $\alpha=0$ since 2 is a unit in $R$. Thus, by Lemma [3.2, $\alpha$ is fixed by $\operatorname{ker} \Lambda$ if and only if $\omega \in$ row $\Lambda$. In general case $\alpha \in H\left(\mathcal{R}^{K}, d\right)$, write $\alpha$ as the sum of nonzero summands which are homogeneous with respect to the second grading

$$
\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{\ell}, \quad \alpha_{i} \in H^{*, \omega_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and $\omega_{i} \neq \omega_{j}$ if $i \neq j$. By applying the above argument to each summand $\alpha_{i}$, one can see that $\alpha$ is fixed by ker $\Lambda$ if and only if each $\omega_{i}$ is in row $\Lambda$, that is, $\alpha \in H\left(\left.\mathcal{R}^{K}\right|_{\text {row } \Lambda}, d\right)$. This proves the theorem.

The following theorem is an application of Theorem 3.4 and an essential part of the main theorem.
Theorem 3.5. Let $M=M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ be a real toric space. Then its cohomology group is equipped with a $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus$ row $\Lambda$ )-grading induced by (2.5) and we have

$$
\smile: H^{p, \omega}(M) \otimes H^{p^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}}(M) \rightarrow H^{p+p^{\prime}, \omega \Delta \omega^{\prime}}(M) .
$$

In other words, $H^{*, *}(M)$ is a $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus$ row $\Lambda)$-graded $R$-algebra.
Proof. It is enough to prove the theorem when $\Lambda=0$ and thus $M=\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$. Once it is shown for $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$, it is instant to generalize the result for general real toric spaces, because row $\Lambda$ is closed under the operation $\triangle$. Consider the two monomials $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$ and $u_{\sigma^{\prime}} t_{\omega^{\prime} \backslash \sigma^{\prime}}$ where $\sigma \subseteq \omega$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \subseteq \omega^{\prime}$, each of which contributes to a nonzero cohomology class $\alpha \in H^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \in H^{*, \omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ respectively. We investigate how the product $h=u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$. $u_{\sigma^{\prime}} t_{\omega^{\prime} \backslash \sigma^{\prime}}= \pm u_{A} t_{B \backslash A}$ is computed, when $A \subseteq B$ and $A \in K$.
Let $i \in \omega \cup \omega^{\prime}$ be a subscript possibly in $h$. We have four cases
(a) $i \in \omega \triangle \omega^{\prime}$,
(b) $i \in \omega \cap \omega^{\prime}$ and $i \notin \sigma \cup \sigma^{\prime}$,
(c) $i \in \omega \cap \omega^{\prime}$ and $i \in \sigma \cap \sigma^{\prime}$, and
(d) $i \in \omega \cap \omega^{\prime}$ and $i \in \sigma \triangle \sigma^{\prime}$.

Recall the relations (2.4). If $i$ is for (a), then it contributes as $u_{i}$ or $t_{i}$ for a factor of $h$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \triangle \omega^{\prime} \subseteq B \subseteq \omega \cup \omega^{\prime} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove the theorem, it is enough to show that $B=\omega \Delta \omega^{\prime}$ if $h$ does not vanish in the cohomology. We additionally observe that

- (b) corresponds to $t_{i} t_{i}=1$ and we obtain $i \notin B$.
- (c) corresponds to $u_{i} u_{i}=0$, and therefore if this happens in $h$ then $h=0$.
- (d) corresponds to $u_{i} t_{i}=u_{i}$ or $t_{i} u_{i}=-u_{i}$ and we obtain $u_{i}$ for a factor of $h$.
Assume that $\omega \neq \omega^{\prime}$. The case $\omega=\omega^{\prime}$ will be dealt with later. Observe that $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ define a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-linear map $L: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ up to change of basis of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$; write $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ as row vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$ and $L$ is given by the ( $m \times 2$ )-matrix whose two rows are $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$. Then $Y=\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} / \operatorname{ker} L$ is a real toric space (the action of ker $L$ need not be free) whose cohomology ring $H^{*}(Y)$ is the subring

$$
H^{*, \varnothing}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \oplus H^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \oplus H^{*, \omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \oplus H^{*, \omega \Delta \omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right) \subseteq H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

and $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in H^{*}(Y)$. Since $H^{*}(Y)$ is closed under the cup product, $B$ should be one of $\omega, \omega^{\prime}$, and $\omega \Delta \omega^{\prime}$. Suppose that $B \neq \omega \triangle \omega^{\prime}$. Note that by (3.4) $B=\omega \Delta \omega^{\prime}$ if either $\omega \nsubseteq \omega^{\prime}$ or $\omega^{\prime} \nsubseteq \omega$. Therefore, one may assume that $\omega \subseteq \omega^{\prime}$ (including the case $\omega=\omega^{\prime}$ ) and $B=\omega^{\prime}$. In this case, every $i$ in $\omega$ should be of Case (d). It means that every term of $h$ corresponding to $\omega$ is $u_{i}$, not $t_{i}$. If $h$ would not vanish in the cohomology, recall that every $u_{i}$ is in a face of $K$ and we observe that $\omega \subseteq A \in K$. Therefore $K_{\omega}$ is contractible and $\left[u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}\right]=0 \in H^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$, which is a contradiction since we have assumed that $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$ contributes to $\alpha$.
Remark 3.6. In the proof of Theorem [3.5, one observes that if either of (c) or (d) appears in $h$, then $h$ vanishes in the cohomology. In fact, the monomials $h$, in which (d) appears at least once, assemble to make a zero cohomology class. Therefore one can calculate cup product of cohomology as if $u_{i} t_{i}=t_{i} u_{i}=0$. This "rule" cannot be directly applied in place of (2.4) since it could be problematic as

$$
0=\left(u_{i} t_{i}\right) t_{i}=u_{i}\left(t_{i} t_{i}\right)=u_{i},
$$

but it can be freely used to compute cup product between the summands $u_{\sigma} t_{\omega \backslash \sigma}$ at the cohomology level.
Proof of Main Theorem. The proof is complete using (2.6) and Theorem 3.5.

Example 3.7. Let us consider the simplicial 2-sphere $K$ with 9 vertices labeled 1 to 9 and 14 triangles

$$
123,129,138,148,149,237,257,259,367,368,456,459,468 \text {, and } 567 \text {. }
$$

The sphere $K$ is the boundary of a triangular prism each of whose quadrangular faces is subdivided to four triangles respectively. We are given two cohomology classes

$$
\alpha=\left[u_{5} t_{167}+u_{6} t_{157}+u_{7} t_{156}\right] \in H^{1,1567}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

and

$$
\beta=\left[u_{2} t_{347}+u_{3} t_{247}+u_{7} t_{234}\right] \in H^{1,2347}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

Then the cup product $\alpha \smile \beta$, computed by the rule (2.4), is written as $\alpha \smile \beta=-x-y$, where

$$
x=\left[u_{25} t_{1346}+u_{36} t_{1245}\right] \in H^{2,123456}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

and

$$
y=\left[u_{57} t_{12346}+u_{67} t_{12345}+u_{27} t_{13456}+u_{37} t_{12456}\right] \in H^{2,1234567}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)
$$

Observe that $d\left(u_{7} t_{123456}\right)=u_{57} t_{12346}+u_{67} t_{12345}+u_{27} t_{13456}+u_{37} t_{12456}$ and therefore $y=0$. Theorem 3.5 or the "rule" $u_{i} t_{i}=t_{i} u_{i}=0$ in the Remark 3.6 implies that the calculation for $y$ is actually not needed to compute $\alpha \smile \beta$.
Remark 3.8. The $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$-grading of $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{deg} u_{i}=\left(1, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{deg} t_{i}=\left(0, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ is the $i$ th coordinate vector of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$. It is the analogue of the $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m}$ grading of $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ in Construction 3.2.8 of [3]. Recall that $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{K}\right)$ is also equipped with the famous bigrading, which is a $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-grading as explained in Section 4.4 of [3]. The grading group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m}$, but not a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m}$. The appearance of 2-torsion elements is essential in our grading due to the relation $t_{i} t_{i}=1$, and therefore the analogue of the bigrading does not behave well with the cup product for $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$.

## 4. Examples

4.1. Real Bott manifolds. Let $K=\partial\left(I^{n}\right)^{*}$ be the boundary complex of the $n$-cube $I^{n}$. The vertex set of $K$ is identified with $[2 n]$ and the minimal non-face of $K$ consists of $\{i, n+i\}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let us assume that we are given a strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrix $A$ over the finite field $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ whose $j$ th column is $A_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, the matrix $\Lambda(A)=\left(I_{n} \mid I_{n}+A^{t}\right)$ represents a non-singular characteristic function over $K$, where $I_{n}$ is the identity $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-matrix of size $n$. The corresponding real toric space $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda(A))$ is well-known as a real Bott manifold, and it is denoted by $M(A)$. Indeed, it is a real toric variety, and plays an important role in toric geometry. See [6] or [18] for details.

The rational Betti number of a real Bott manifold has been computed in [15, Lemma 2.1]. In this subsection, we further discuss about the rational cohomology ring of a real Bott manifold. Now we need the notion of matroids refering to [20], which is an abstraction of linear dependency of vectors.

Definition 4.1. A matroid is the pair $T=(E, \mathcal{C})$, where $E$ is a finite set called the ground set and $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of subsets of $E$ satisfying the following axioms:
(C1) $\varnothing \notin \mathcal{C}$.
(C2) If $C_{1}, C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ and $C_{1} \subseteq C_{2}$, then $C_{1}=C_{2}$.
(C3) If $C_{1}, C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C_{1} \neq C_{2}$ and $e \in C_{1} \cap C_{2}$, then there exists $C_{3} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C_{3} \subseteq\left(C_{1} \cup C_{2}\right) \backslash\{e\}$.
The elements of $\mathcal{C}$ are called the circuits of the matroid.
Definition 4.2. Let $A$ be a matrix over the finite field $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Let $E=\left\{A_{j} \mid\right.$ $1 \leq j \leq m\}$ the set of the columns of $A$ and $\mathcal{C}$ the collection of subsets $C$ of $E$ for which the columns in $C$ are minimally dependent, that is, any proper subset of $C$ is linearly independent while $C$ itself is linearly dependent. Then $T=T(A)=(E, \mathcal{C})$ is called a binary matroid and we say that $A$ represents $T$.

Proposition 4.3. For a strictly upper triangular matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the cohomology ring $H^{*}(M(A) ; R)$ depends only on the matroid $T(A)$ and is generated by the circuits of $T(A)$ as a graded $R$-algebra. More precisely, let $x_{C}$ be the formal symbol for the cohomology class corresponding to a circuit C. Then

$$
H^{*}(M(A) ; R) \cong R\left\langle x_{C} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\right\rangle / \sim,
$$

where we have the relations

$$
x_{C_{1}} x_{C_{2}}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{\left|C_{1}\right| \cdot\left|C_{2}\right|} x_{C_{2}} x_{C_{1}}, & \text { if } C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\varnothing ; \\ 0, & \text { if } C_{1} \cap C_{2} \neq \varnothing .\end{cases}
$$

The grading is given by $\operatorname{deg} x_{C}=|C|$.
Proof. First of all, let us consider the boundary of the $n$-crosspolytope $K=$ $S_{1}^{1} \star \cdots \star S_{n}^{1}$, where $S_{i}^{1}$ is the simplicial complex consisting of two points $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ and $\star$ means the simplicial join. Note that the real Bott manifold is a small cover over $K$. A nonempty induced subcomplex $K_{\omega}$ is homotopy equivalent to $S^{k-1}$ if and only if $\omega=\left\{x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}, y_{i_{1}}, \ldots, y_{i_{k}}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i_{1}<$ $\cdots<i_{k} \leq n$, and is null-homotopic otherwise. Observe that $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ correspond to the $i$ th and $(n+i)$ th column of $\Lambda(A)$ respectively and the proof goes obviously by the main theorem.

The following easy observation characterizes the matroids $T$ which can be a matroid $T(A)$ of a strictly upper triangular matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $T$ be a binary matroid which contains a singleton circuit. Then we have a strictly upper triangular matrix $A$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ representing $T$.

Proof. Let $B$ be the matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ representing $T$ and $B_{j}$ the $j$ th column vector of $B$. By the assumption, there is a zero column, say $B_{1}$, after an appropriate shuffling of columns. After that, we consider the nested sequence of linear subspaces

$$
0=\left\langle B_{1}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle B_{1}, B_{2}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}\right\rangle \subseteq \cdots
$$

and pick a basis $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right\}$ of the column space such that $\left\langle B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k+1}\right\rangle \subseteq$ $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\rangle$ for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$. Then $B$ becomes a strictly upper triangular matrix with respect to this basis.

Remark 4.5. Unfortunately, the graded ring $H^{*}(M(A) ; R)$ does not necessarily determine the matroid $T(A)$. Let us consider the two following

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 54 | 472 | 8512 | 328416 |
| $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 68 | 148 |

TABLE 1. $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is the number of diffeomorphism types of $n$ dimensional real Bott manifolds found in 6, and $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ is the number of non-isomorphic binary matroids on an $n$-set (A076766 of [21]).
matroids $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ determined by the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-linear relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{0}=0 \\
v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{7}+v_{8}+v_{9}+v_{10}=0 \\
v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{7}+v_{8}+v_{11}+v_{12}+v_{13}+v_{14}=0 \\
v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{7}+v_{8}+v_{9}+v_{10}+v_{13}+v_{14}+v_{15}+v_{16}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{0}=0 \\
v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{7}+v_{8}+v_{9}+v_{10}=0 \\
v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{7}+v_{11}+v_{12}+v_{13}+v_{14}=0 \\
v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{7}+v_{8}+v_{9}+v_{10}+v_{13}+v_{14}+v_{15}+v_{16}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively. One easily checks that the two matroids are non-isomorphic. On the other hand, the two matroids make isomorphic cohomology $R$ algebras, each of which is generated by one degree 1 element, three degree 8 elements, and four degree 10 elements and the products which do not involve the degree 1 element are all zero.

Remark 4.6. The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology ring of a real Bott manifold determines its diffeomorphism type [18]. Therefore in Table 1, $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$ cohomology rings (up to isomorphism) of $n$-dimensional real Bott manifolds, and we know that the number of isomorphism types of $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology rings of $n$-dimensional real Bott manifolds does not exceed $\mathcal{M}_{n}$. Since $\mathcal{M}_{n}<\mathcal{D}_{n}$ for some $n$, we conclude that the $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology ring of a real Bott manifold does not determine its diffeomorphism type and thus the $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology is strictly "weaker" than $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology in the case of real Bott manifolds.

The $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology ring of the real Bott manifold is a fairly weak invariant as the above remark shows, but it is worth emphasizing that $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology ring is still stronger than the $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology group. Consider the two binary matroids $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ determined by the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-linear relations
$v_{0}=0, \quad v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{5}+v_{6}=0, \quad v_{4}+v_{5}+v_{7}=0, \quad v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{8}=0$
and
$v_{0}=0, \quad v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{5}+v_{6}=0, \quad v_{3}+v_{4}+v_{7}=0, \quad v_{5}+v_{6}+v_{8}=0$
respectively. We choose two 9-dimensional real Bott manifolds $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ whose corresponding binary matroids are $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, respectively. Then $M_{1}$
and $M_{2}$ have identical rational Betti numbers

$$
\left(\beta^{0}, \beta^{1}, \ldots, \beta^{9}\right)=(1,1,0,2,3,3,4,2,0,0)
$$

However, in $H^{*}\left(M_{1}\right)$, the all multiplications of elements of degree greater than 1 are trivial, while $H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)$ has a non-trivial multiplication. Hence, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have non-isomorphic $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology rings, although they have isomorphic $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology groups.
4.2. Generalized real Bott manifolds. Let us consider a more generalized notion of real Bott manifolds. Let $K=\partial\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}\right)^{*}$ be the boundary complex of the product of simplices $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$. Then, the vertex set of $K$ is $\left\{1_{1}, \ldots, 1_{n_{1}+1}, 2_{1}, \ldots, 2_{n_{2}+1}, \ldots, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n_{k}+1}\right\}$, and the minimal non-face of $K$ consists of $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n_{i}+1}\right\}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Let us assume that we are given a $k \times k$ block matrix $\mathbb{A}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ which is strictly upper triangular whose $(i, j)$ th block of $A$ is of size $1 \times n_{i}$. We denote by $\mathbb{I}$ the $k \times k$ block matrix whose diagonal elements are all 1 and the others are all 0 , where the size of block is equal to that of $A$. Put $n=n_{1}+\cdots+n_{k}$ and $m=n+k$. Then, the $n \times m$ matrix $\Lambda(\mathbb{A})=\left(I_{n} \mid \mathbb{I}^{t}+\mathbb{A}^{t}\right)$ represents a non-singular characteristic function over $K$, where each column of $\Lambda(\mathbb{A})$ is assigned by the vertex set of $K$ in the order of

$$
\left\{1_{1}, \ldots, 1_{n_{1}}, 2_{1}, \ldots, 2_{n_{2}}, \ldots, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n_{k}} \mid 1_{n_{1}+1}, 2_{n_{2}+1}, \ldots, k_{n_{k}+1}\right\} .
$$

The corresponding real toric space $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda(\mathbb{A}))$ is a ( $k$-stage) generalized real Bott manifold, and it is denoted by $M(\mathbb{A})$. Let $A$ be the $k \times k$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ such that the $(i, j)$-component of $A$ is congruent to the sum of all components of the $(i, j)$ th block of $\mathbb{A}$ if $i \neq j$ or $n_{i}+1$ if $i=j$. We call this $A$ by the underlying matrix of $M(\mathbb{A})$. One remarks that if $n_{1}=\cdots=n_{k}=1$, then $M(\mathbb{A})$ is indeed a real Bott manifold $M(A)$. See [7] for details.

Then, similarly to Proposition 4.3, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 4.7. The cohomology ring $H^{*}(M(\mathbb{A}) ; R)$ depends only on the matroid $T(A)$ and the integers $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$. It is generated by the circuits of $T(A)$ as a graded $R$-algebra. More precisely, let $x_{C}$ be the formal symbol for the cohomology class corresponding to a circuit $C$. Then

$$
H^{*}(M(A) ; R) \cong R\left\langle x_{C} \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\right\rangle / \sim,
$$

where we have the relations

$$
x_{C_{1}} x_{C_{2}}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{\left|C_{1}\right| \cdot\left|C_{2}\right|} x_{C_{2}} x_{C_{1}}, & \text { if } C_{1} \cap C_{2}=\varnothing ; \\ 0, & \text { if } C_{1} \cap C_{2} \neq \varnothing .\end{cases}
$$

The grading is given by $\operatorname{deg} x_{C}=\sum_{i \in C} n_{i}$.
Corollary 4.8. The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology ring of a two-stage generalized real Bott manifold determines its $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology ring.

Proof. Assume that $M(\mathbb{A})$ and $M(\mathbb{B})$ have the same $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology rings. Let $A$ and $B$ be the underlying matrices of $\mathbb{A}$ and $\mathbb{B}$, respectively. Let $p$ (resp., $q$ ) be the number $q$ of non-zero components of the $(1,2)$ th block of $\mathbb{A}$ (resp., $\mathbb{B}$ ). Then, by [19, Theorem 2.3], $p \equiv q$ or $n_{2}+1-q\left(\bmod 2^{h\left(n_{1}+1\right)}\right)$, where $h(a)$ is the minimal integer $r$ such that $2^{r} \geq a$. Since $n_{1} \geq 1, h\left(n_{1}+1\right)$ is positive, so $p$ must have the same parity with $q$ and $n_{2}+1-q$. If $n_{2}$ is odd,
then $A$ and $B$ are the same. Hence, $M(\mathbb{A})$ and $M(\mathbb{B})$ have the isomorphic $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology rings.

If $n_{2}$ is even, then $A$ and $B$ can be different. However, in this case, $A$ and $B$ must be of form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & c \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Note that $A$ and $B$ have the same first column, and the second column never correspond to the generator of the $\mathbb{Q}$-cohomology ring by Proposition 4.7 because there is no dependent column set containing the second column. Therefore, $M(\mathbb{A})$ and $M(\mathbb{B})$ have still isomorphic $\mathbb{Q}$ cohomology rings, as desired.

There was one interesting question in toric topology called the cohomological rigiditiy problem for small covers [9, Section 4]: if two small covers have the isomorphic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology rings, then are they diffeomorphic? As we mentioned in Remark 4.6, it is positive for real Bott manifolds. It, however, is not true in general. Masuda [19] showed that two-stage generalized Bott manifolds provide counterexamples to the problem. Nevertheless, motivated by Corollary 4.8, it is reasonable to ask the following weaker version of the cohomological rigidity problem.

Question 4.9. Does the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology ring of a small cover determine its rational cohomology ring?

Remark 4.10. It is shown in [8, Lemma 8.1] that every $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology ring isomorphism preserves the Stifel-Whitney class of a small cover. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-cohomology ring of a small cover determines its orientability, and, thus, its $n$th rational cohomology group as well. This fact also supports an affirmative evidence of the above question.
4.3. Cohomologically symplectic real toric spaces. In this subsection, we assume that $K=\partial P^{*}$ is the boundary of a simplicial polytope $P^{*}$ and therefore $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ is a smooth manifold.

Definition 4.11. A closed manifold $M$ of dimension $2 n$ is called cohomologically symplectic or c-symplectic if there is a cohomology class $\alpha \in H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\alpha^{n} \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.12. Let $K$ be the boundary of a simplicial $2 n$-polytope with $m$ vertices and $\Lambda$ a characteristic function over $K$. Then the following hold.
(1) The real moment-angle manifold $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ is cohomologically symplectic if and only if there are $n$ homogeneous classes $\alpha_{i} \in H^{2, \omega_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\alpha_{1} \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_{n} \neq 0$.
(2) The real toric space $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ is cohomologically symplectic if and only if there are $n$ homogeneous classes $\alpha_{i} \in H^{2, \omega_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\omega_{i} \in$ row $\Lambda$ for all $i$ and $\alpha_{1} \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_{n} \neq 0$.
In either case, one must have $\omega_{1} \triangle \cdots \Delta \omega_{n}=[m]$.
Proof. First, we give a proof of (1). For "if" part, we put $\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$. Then $\alpha^{n}=n!\cdot \alpha_{1} \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_{n} \neq 0$. For "only if" part, write $\alpha$ as a sum of homogeneous classes in $H^{2, \omega_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$, that is, $\alpha=\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{\ell}$ and take
the power of $n$. Then in the expansion of $\left(\beta_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{\ell}\right)^{n}$, there should exist a nonzero monomial in $H^{2 n}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)=H^{2 n,[m]}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and the proof (1) is done. The proof of (2) is just an analogue of that of (1) together with Theorem 3.4.

Definition 4.13. Let $K$ be the boundary of a simplicial polytope with $m$ vertices. Suppose that there are $\ell$ homogeneous classes $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell} \in$ $H^{d, \omega_{i}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for $d=1$ or 2 , such that $\alpha_{1} \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_{\ell} \neq 0$ and $\omega_{1} \triangle \cdots \Delta \omega_{\ell}=$ $[m]$. When $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}\right\}$, we say that $K$ is almost cohomologically symplectic with class set $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, or shortly almost c-symplectic.

Note that $\mathcal{Z}_{K}$ is never c-symplectic since it is 2 -connected (Proposition 4.3.5 of [3). In contrast, there are infinitely many examples of csymplectic real moment angle manifolds as seen below. When $K=\partial P^{*}$ is almost c-symplectic, observe that $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ is $c$-symplectic if and only if $P^{*}$ has even dimension by Lemma 4.12, Let us denote by $V(K)$ the set of vertices of $K$. Recall that $K$ is called flag if every non-face $I \subseteq V(K)$ contains a non-face of cardinality two.

Proposition 4.14. Let $K$ be the boundary of a simplicial polytope. If $K$ is flag, then it is almost c-symplectic.

Proof. Let us assume that $K$ is of dimension $n-1$ and pick a facet $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ of $K$. Since $K$ is a pseudomanifold, the link of the codimension two face

$$
\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{v_{i}}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, is a set of two elements one of which is $v_{i}$ and the other is denoted by $w_{i}$. Observe that $w_{i} \neq w_{j}$ if $i \neq j$ thanks to flagness. We take a vertex labeling $\ell: V(K) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\ell\left(w_{i}\right)=i$ for all $i$, and $\ell(v) \neq i$ if $v$ is connected to $v_{i}$ by an edge. The map $\ell$ exists (not necessarily uniquely) because there is no vertex $v$ connected to $v_{i}$ by an edge for all $i$, again by flagness. Now the cohomology classes $\alpha_{i}=\left[u_{v_{i}} \prod_{v: \ell(v)=i} t_{v}\right]$ are well-defined and one checks that $\alpha_{1} \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_{n} \neq 0$, completing the proof.

The converse of the above Proposition does not hold. For example, let us denote by $\partial P_{k}$ the boundary of the $k$-gon. Then the simplicial join $K=\partial P_{k_{1}} \star \cdots \star P_{k_{\ell}}$ is flag if and only if $k_{i} \geq 4$ for all $i$. But $K$ is always almost c-symplectic as one can see below.

Proposition 4.15. Let $K$ and $L$ are the boundaries of two simplicial polytopes. Then the simplicial join $K \star L$ is almost c-symplectic if and only if both of $K$ and $L$ are almost c-symplectic.
Proof. One direction is obvious since $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K \star L}=\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} \times \mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{L}$. We show the other direction. Let $\alpha \in H^{*, \omega \sqcup \tau}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K \star L} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ where $\omega \subseteq V(K)$ and $\tau \subseteq V(L)$. One applies Künneth theorem to $K_{\omega \sqcup \tau}=K_{\omega} \star K_{\tau}$ and

$$
\widetilde{H}^{*, \omega\llcorner\tau}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K \star L} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \cong \widetilde{H}^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right) \otimes \widetilde{H}^{*, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{L} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

and therefore $\alpha$ is a sum of classes of the form $\beta \smile \gamma$, where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are homogeneous classes in $H^{*, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $H^{*, \tau}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{L} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ respectively. In particular, the proposition is proved putting $\omega=V(K)$ and $\tau=V(L)$.

The previous Proposition can again be applied for real toric spaces. Consider the simplicial join $K=K_{1} \star \cdots \star K_{k}$ and suppose that $\Lambda$ is a characteristic function over $K$. Suppose that $M^{\mathbb{R}}(K, \Lambda)$ is cohomologically symplectic. Then one can choose the classes $\alpha_{i}^{j} \in H^{d, \omega_{i}^{j}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K_{j}} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for $d=1$ or $2, \omega_{i}^{j} \subseteq V\left(K_{j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq k$ and $1 \leq i \leq \ell_{j}$ such that

$$
\alpha_{1}^{j} \smile \cdots \smile \alpha_{\ell_{j}}^{j} \neq 0, \quad \omega_{1}^{j} \triangle \cdots \triangle \omega_{\ell_{j}}^{j}=V\left(K_{j}\right)
$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{j}=\left\{\alpha_{1}^{j}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell_{j}}^{j}\right\}$ a set of cohomology classes. Write $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=$ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{d}=\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cap H^{d}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ for $d=1,2$. Suppose that for each $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}, \omega \in \operatorname{row} \Lambda$ if $\alpha \in H^{2, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$, and there is a bijection $\phi: \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}$ called a pairing map such that
(1) $\phi(\phi(\alpha))=\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in A_{1}$,
(2) $\phi(\alpha) \neq \alpha$, and
(3) $\omega \triangle \omega^{\prime} \in$ row $\Lambda$ whenever $\alpha \in H^{1, \omega}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ and $\phi(\alpha) \in H^{1, \omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$.

If this assumption holds then we say that $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is $\Lambda$-compatible. We omit the proof of the below proposition which generalize a result in [15].

Proposition 4.16. For a characteristic function $\Lambda$ over $K_{1} \star \cdots \star K_{k}$, the real toric space $M^{\mathbb{R}}\left(K_{1} \star \cdots \star K_{k}, \Lambda\right)$ is c-symplectic if and only if each $K_{i}$ is c-symplectic with class set $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k}$ are $\Lambda$-compatible.

Remark 4.17. Recall that a closed 2 -form $\omega$ in a smooth $2 n$-manifold is a symplectic form if $\omega^{n}$ is nowhere vanishing. A naturally following question is: "For what $K$ does the real moment-angle manifold $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ admit a symplectic form?" The general answer seems quite non-trivial and the only known examples are the simplicial joins of polygon boundaries whose corresponding real moment-angle manifolds are products of orientable surfaces. It is worthwhile to note that in [13], Gitler and Lopez de Medrano presented many families of $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ diffeomorphic to connected sums of sphere products, but the sphere products almost always contain a sphere factor of dimension $\geq 3$, preventing the manifold from being symplectic.

We present the following two questions related to the above Remark.
Question 4.18. Let $K=\partial P^{*}$ be the boundary of a simplicial $2 n$-polytope.
(1) If $K$ is almost c-symplectic, then does $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ admit a symplectic form?
(2) If $K$ is flag, then does $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ admit a symplectic form?

In Proposition 4.14, a cohomology class of $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K}$ of top degree is generated by degree one classes. The following can be regarded as its strengthening.

Conjecture 4.19. Let $K=\partial P^{*}$ be the boundary of a simplicial $2 n$ polytope. Then $K$ is flag if and only if $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{K} ; \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is generated by degree one elements.
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