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#### Abstract

Super-strong Wilf equivalence classes in the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ on $n$ letters were shown in [2] to be in bijection with pyramidal sequences of consecutive differences. In this article we enumerate the latter giving recursive formulae in terms of a two-dimensional analogue of the sequence of non-interval permutations. As a by-product, we give a recursively defined set of representatives of super-strong Wilf equivalence classes in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$.


## 1 Introduction

In this work we continue the study of super-strong Wilf equivalence on permutations in $n$ letters that commenced in [2]. This notion was originally referred to as strong Wilf equivalence by S. Kitaev et al. in [3]. J. Pantone and V. Vatter in [4] used the term "super-strong Wilf" to distinguish this from a more general notion they defined and called strong Wilf equivalence.

First we recall some notation and definitions from [3]. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the set of positive integers. For each $n, m \in \mathbb{P}$ with $m<n$ we let $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $[m, n]=$ $\{m, m+1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\mathbb{P}^{*}$ be the set of words on the alphabet $\mathbb{P}$. Its elements are of the form $w=w_{1} \cdots w_{i} \cdots w_{n}$, with $n \geq 0$ and $w_{i} \in \mathbb{P}$. If $n=0$ then $w=\epsilon$, the empty word, whereas if $n \in \mathbb{P}$ and each letter $w_{i}$ appears exactly once, $w$ is a permutation in $n$ letters. We let $|w|$ be the length $n$ of the word $w,\|w\|$ be the height or norm of $w$ defined as $\|w\|=w_{1}+\cdots+w_{i}+\cdots+w_{n}$ and $\operatorname{alph}(w)$ be the set of distinct letters of $\mathbb{P}$ that occur in $w$. If $\operatorname{alph}(w)=[n]$, the set of all permutations on $n$ letters is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{n}$.

Given $w, u \in \mathbb{P}^{*}$, we say that $u$ is a factor of $w$ if there exist words $s, v \in \mathbb{P}^{*}$ such that $w=s u v$. If $s=\epsilon$ (resp. $v=\epsilon$ ) $u$ is called a prefix (resp. suffix) of $w$. Consider the poset $(\mathbb{P}, \leq)$ with the usual order in $\mathbb{P}$. The generalized factor order on $\mathbb{P}^{*}$ is the partial order - also denoted by $\leq-$ obtained by letting $u \leq w$ if and only if there
is a factor $v$ of $w$ such that $|u|=|v|$ and $u_{i} \leq v_{i}$, for each $i \in[|u|]$. The factor $v$ is called an embedding of $u$ in $w$. If the first element of $v$ is the $j$-th element of $w$ then the index $j$ is called an embedding index of $u$ into $w$. The embedding index set of $u$ into $w$, or embedding set for brevity, is defined as the set of all embedding indices of $u$ into $w$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{Em}(u, w)$.

Let now $t, x$ be two commuting indeterminates. The weight of a word $w \in \mathbb{P}^{*}$ is defined as the monomial $w t(w)=t^{|w|} x^{|w| \mid}$. A bijection $f: \mathbb{P}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{*}$ is called weight-preserving if the weight of $w$ is preserved under $f$, i.e., $|f(w)|=|w|$ and $\|f(w)\|=\|w\|$, for every $w \in \mathbb{P}^{*}$.

Definition 1. ([3, Section 5]) Two words $u, v \in \mathbb{P}^{*}$ are called super-strongly Wilf equivalent, denoted $u \sim_{s s} v$, if there exists a weight-preserving bijection $f: \mathbb{P}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{*}$ such that $\operatorname{Em}(u, w)=\operatorname{Em}(v, f(w))$ for all $w \in \mathbb{P}^{*}$.

In [2] super-strongly Wilf equivalence classes in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ were characterized using sequences of consecutive differences of permutations. The latter are defined as follows.

Definition 2. ([2, Definition 3]) Let $u \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ and $s=s_{1} \cdots s_{i} \cdots s_{n}=u^{-1}$. For $i=n-1$ down to 1 consider the proper suffix $s_{i} \cdots s_{n}$ of $s$ and its alphabet set $\Sigma_{i}(s)=\operatorname{alph}\left(s_{i} \cdots s_{n}\right)=\left\{s_{i}^{(i)}, \ldots, s_{n}^{(i)}\right\}$, where $s_{i}^{(i)}<\cdots<s_{n}^{(i)}$. We define $\Delta_{i}(s)$ to be the vector of consecutive differences in $\Sigma_{i}(s)$, i.e.,

$$
\Delta_{i}(s)=\left(s_{i+1}^{(i)}-s_{i}^{(i)}, \ldots, s_{n}^{(i)}-s_{n-1}^{(i)}\right) .
$$

The sequence

$$
p(s)=\left(\Delta_{1}(s), \Delta_{2}(s), \ldots, \Delta_{n-2}(s), \Delta_{n-1}(s)\right)
$$

has a pyramidal form and is called the pyramid or sequence of consecutive differences of $s \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$.

Example 1. ([2, Example 4]) Let $u=21365874$. Then $s=u^{-1}=21385476$. The sequence of differences for $s$ is the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{7}(s)=(1) \\
\Delta_{6}(s)=(2,1) \\
\Delta_{5}(s)=(1,1,1) \\
\Delta_{4}(s)=(1,1,1,1) \\
\Delta_{3}(s)=(1,1,1,1,1) \\
\Delta_{2}(s)=(2,1,1,1,1,1) \\
\Delta_{1}(s)=(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)
\end{gathered}
$$

The main result of [2] is the following.

Theorem 1. ([2, Theorem 3]) Let $u, v \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ and $s=u^{-1}, t=v^{-1}$. Then $u \sim_{s s} v$ if and only if $\Delta_{i}(s)=\Delta_{i}(t)$, for each $i \in[2, n-1]$, i.e., if and only if $p(s)=p(t)$.

Observing the way pyramidal sequences of consecutive differences of a permutation are constructed, we can see that the transition between two consecutive vectors follows one of three simple steps (see [2, Lemma 3]), namely: we either decompose a number into two summands or we add an extra number on the left or on the right of the vector.

To enumerate such structures it is more convenient to leave aside their connections to permutations and focus on these simple rules that can indeed construct all possible pyramidal sequences of this type.

Definition 3. A pyramidal sequence of vectors is a sequence of the form

$$
p=\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i+1}, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1}\right),
$$

where each $\Delta_{i}$ is a sequence of $n-i$ positive integers such that $\Delta_{1}=(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n-1})$ and if $\Delta_{i}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n-i-1}, d_{n-i}\right)$ we have the following three options for $\Delta_{i+1}$ :

$$
\Delta_{i+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}, d_{k}+d_{k+1}, d_{k+2}, \ldots, d_{n-i}\right), \text { for some } k \in[n-i-1], \text { or } \\
\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n-i-1}, d_{n-i}\right), \quad \text { or } \\
\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n-i-1}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is important to note that if $\Delta_{i}=(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-i})$ for some $d \in \mathbb{P}$, the second and third options coincide.

Let $\Pi_{n}$ denote the set of all pyramidal sequences of the above form. It is evident that given a permutation $s \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$, its pyramidal sequence $p(s)$ is of the above form. Conversely, given an element $p \in \Pi_{n}$ we can construct a permutation $s \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$ such that $p=p(s)$. This construction is similar to the one in [2, Example 5].

It is helpful to view the above definition in the following way. Suppose that we originally have $n$ walls which define $n-1$ chambers with one ball in each one of them. This is precisely the situation in $\Delta_{1}$. Then at each step the transition from $\Delta_{i}$ to $\Delta_{i+1}$ can be visualized by a removal of one wall. If this wall is internal, the balls at its left and right chamber will all be concentrated at one unified chamber. On the other hand, if this wall is external, all corresponding balls to its left (if it is a right wall) or to its right (resp. if it is a left one) will be removed. This combinatorial game ends when all the original $n-1$ balls will be removed. We want to enumerate the number of ways that this can be done, considering that two moves are different if they result to a different set-up of chambers and balls.

For a subset $X=\left\{x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{k-1}<x_{k}\right\}$ of [ $n$ ], let

$$
\Delta(X)=\left(x_{2}-x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right)
$$

be the vector of consecutive differences in $X$. For a given vector of differences $\Delta=$ $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k-1}\right)$ we set

$$
\overline{x_{1}}(\Delta)=\left\{x_{1}, x_{1}+d_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}+d_{1}+\cdots+d_{k-1}\right\} .
$$

Note that when $\Delta=\Delta(X)$ then $\overline{x_{1}}(\Delta)=X$.

## 2 Prefixes of generalized non-interval permutations

A word of length $l \geq 2$ is called periodic when its vector of consecutive differences is equal to $(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{l-1})$, for some $d \in \mathbb{P}$.

Definition 4. For $i \in[n-2]$, we define the set $\mathcal{D}_{i, n}$ as the set of words $u$ of length $i$ which appear as non-empty prefixes of permutations in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ whose remaining $(n-i)$ lettered suffix is periodic and furthermore this index $i$ is the smallest one attaining this form of periodicity.

For $n=3$ we clearly have $\mathcal{D}_{1,3}=\{1,2,3\}$. For $n \geq 4$ we may construct $\mathcal{D}_{i, n}$ recursively as follows:

1. For $i=1$ we have $\mathcal{D}_{1, n}=\{1, n\}$.
2. For $i \geq 2, \mathcal{D}_{i, n}$ is the set of prefixes $u$ of length $i$ of permutations in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ such that

$$
\Delta([n] \backslash \operatorname{alph}(u))=(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-i-1}), \text { for some } d \in \mathbb{P},
$$

and no proper prefix $u^{\prime}$ of $u$ of length $1 \leq j<i$ lies in $D_{j, n}$.
Set $d_{i, n}=\left|\mathcal{D}_{i, n}\right|$.
Example 2. For $n=5$, by definition $\mathcal{D}_{1,5}=\{1,5\}$. To calculate the set of prefixes $\mathcal{D}_{2,5}$, observe that the only possible periodic vectors of differences in this case are $(1,1)$ and $(2,2)$. These correspond to the sets $\{1,2,3\},\{2,3,4\},\{3,4,5\}$ and $\{1,3,5\}$, respectively. All possible prefixes with letters in the complements of the above sets are 45,$54 ; 15,51 ; 12,21$ and 24,42 , respectively. The prefixes $54,15,51$, and 12 are rejected since they have a proper prefix in $\mathcal{D}_{1,5}$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_{2,5}=\{21,24,42,45\}$ and $d_{2,5}=4$.

Definition 5. For $i \in[n-2]$, a trapezoidal sequence of vectors is a sequence of the initial parts $\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3}, \ldots, \Delta_{i+1}\right)$ of an element in $\Pi_{n}$ such that $\Delta_{i+1}=(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-i-1})$, for some $d \in \mathbb{P}$ and there is no $j \in[2, i]$ such that $\Delta_{j}=(\underbrace{e, e, \ldots, e}_{n-j})$, for some $e \in \mathbb{P}$. Let $\Delta_{i, n}$ denote the set of all such trapezoidal sequences.

The following result allows us to enumerate the prefixes $\mathcal{D}_{i, n}$ instead of $\Delta_{i, n}$.
Proposition 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in[2, n-2]$. There is a bijection between the set of prefixes $\mathcal{D}_{i, n}$ and the set $\Delta_{i, n}$.

Proof. Suppose that $u=u_{1} \ldots u_{i} \in D_{i, n}$. We construct a unique element in $\Delta_{i, n}$ in the following way: First, we define sets $X_{j}, j \in[i+1]$, inductively as:

$$
X_{1}=[n] \text { and } X_{j+1}=X_{j} \backslash\left\{u_{j}\right\}, \text { for } j \in[i]
$$

The image of $u$ is then defined to be

$$
\phi(u)=\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{j}, \ldots, \Delta_{i+1}\right), \text { where } \Delta_{j}=\Delta\left(X_{j}\right)
$$

By construction and in view of Definitions 4 and 5, $\phi(u) \in \Delta_{i, n}$.
For the reverse direction, consider an element $L=\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{j}, \Delta_{j+1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i+1}\right)$ in $\Delta_{i, n}$. Suppose that $\Delta_{j+1}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-j-1}\right)$ and $\Delta_{j}=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-j-1}\right)$. We define sets $Y_{j}$ inductively as follows. Set $Y_{1}=[n]$. For $j \in[2, i]$, let $y_{j}=\min \left(Y_{j}\right)$ and set

$$
Y_{j+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\overline{y_{j}}\left(\Delta_{j+1}\right), \text { if } \Delta_{j+1} \neq\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-j-1}\right) \\
\overline{y_{j}+e_{0}}\left(\Delta_{j+1}\right), \text { if } \Delta_{j+1}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-j-1}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We then define $\psi(L)=u_{1} \cdots u_{j} \cdots u_{i}$, where $\left\{u_{j}\right\}=Y_{j+1} \backslash Y_{j}$.
To show that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are inverses of each other it suffices to demonstrate inductively on $j$ that $Y_{j}=X_{j}$.

For the one direction, given the set $X_{j}$, for $j \in[k]$, we argue as follows. For $j=1$ we immediately get $Y_{1}=X_{1}=[n]$. Assuming that $Y_{k}=X_{k}$, and thus $y_{k}=\min \left(Y_{k}\right)=$ $\min \left(X_{k}\right)=x_{k}$, we have to show that $Y_{k+1}=X_{k+1}$. If $\Delta_{k+1} \neq\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-k-1}\right)$, then $Y_{k+1}=\overline{y_{k}}\left(\Delta_{k+1}\right)=\overline{x_{k}}\left(\Delta_{k+1}\right)$. Now since $\Delta_{k+1}=\Delta\left(X_{k+1}\right)$ we get $\overline{x_{k}}\left(\Delta_{k+1}\right)=X_{k+1}$ and the result follows.

If, on the other hand, $\Delta_{k+1}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-k-1}\right)$, then $Y_{k+1}=\overline{y_{k}+e_{0}}\left(\Delta_{k+1}\right)=$ $\overline{x_{k}+e_{0}}\left(\Delta_{k+1}\right)$. Clearly $y_{k} \notin Y_{k+1}$ and in fact we have $\left\{y_{k}\right\}=Y_{k} \backslash Y_{k+1}$ and furthermore $y_{k}=u_{k}$. Since $X_{k+1}=X_{k} \backslash\left\{u_{k}\right\}$, we obtain $X_{k+1}=Y_{k+1}$.

For the other direction our argument is reversed starting with the given set $Y_{j}$.

The enumeration of super-strong Wilf equivalence classes is achieved using the numbers $d_{i, n}$ as follows.

Theorem 2. The number $s_{n}$ of distinct super-strong Wilf equivalence classes of $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ is given by the recursive formula

$$
s_{n}=s_{n-1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} d_{i, n} \cdot s_{n-i} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}=\left\{\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i+1}, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1}\right) \in \Pi_{n}:\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i+1}\right) \in \Delta_{i, n}\right\}$, for $i \in[n-2]$. We clearly have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{n}=\mathcal{T}_{1, n} \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{2, n} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{i, n} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{T}_{n-2, n} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\Delta_{1, n}$ consists of just one element, namely $\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}\right)$, where $\Delta_{1}=(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n-1})$ and $\Delta_{2}=(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n-2})$. Then there is an immediate bijective correspondence between $\Pi_{n-1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{1, n}$, therefore $\left|\mathcal{T}_{1, n}\right|=s_{n-1}$. Now let $i \in[2, n-2]$. Consider a pyramidal sequence in $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$. Then there exists a $d \in[n-1]$ such that $\Delta_{i+1}=(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-i-1})$ and for all $j \in[2, i]$ there exists no $e$ such that $\Delta_{j}=(\underbrace{e, e, \ldots, e}_{n-j})$.

Our first claim is that all entries in $\Delta_{k}$ for $k \in[i+1, n-1]$ will be multiples of $d$. Indeed, suppose that $\Delta_{k}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-k}\right)$, where by induction it is assumed that $d \mid d_{i}$, for $l \in[n-k]$. If $\Delta_{k+1}$ is equal either to $\left(d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n-k}\right)$ or $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n-k-1}\right)$, then the result follows immediately. On the other hand, if $\Delta_{k+1}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m-1}, d_{m}+\right.$ $\left.d_{m+1}, d_{m+2}, \ldots, d_{n-k}\right)$ it is enough to show that $d \mid\left(d_{m}+d_{m+1}\right)$ which follows inductively from $d \mid d_{m}$ and $d \mid d_{m+1}$.

We define a map $\tau_{i, n}: \mathcal{T}_{i, n} \rightarrow \Delta_{i, n} \times \Pi_{n-i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i, n}\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i+1}, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1}\right)=\left(\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i+1}\right), \frac{1}{d} \cdot\left(\Delta_{i+1}, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1}\right)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{i+1}=(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-i-1})$. Our previous claim ensures that $\tau_{i, n}$ is well defined. Furthermore, it is a bijection whose inverse is the map $\rho_{i, n}: \Delta_{i, n} \times \Pi_{n-i} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{i, n}$ defined as

$$
\rho_{i, n}\left(\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i+1}\right),\left(\Delta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots \Delta_{n-i-1}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i+1}, d \cdot \Delta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots d \cdot \Delta_{n-i-1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\Delta_{i+1}=(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-i-1})$. It follows that $\left|\mathcal{T}_{i, n}\right|=d_{i, n} \cdot s_{n-i}$, for $i \in[2, n-2]$.

Let $\tau$ be a permutation of a set of distinct numbers. Then $\operatorname{red}(\tau)$ is the $\operatorname{reduced}$ form of $\tau$, i.e., the permutation obtained by replacing the smallest entry of $\tau$ by 1 , the second smallest by 2 and so on.

We define $\mathcal{E}_{i, n}$ to be

$$
\mathcal{E}_{i, n}= \begin{cases}\{1\}, & i=1 \\ \mathcal{D}_{i, n}, & i \in[2, n-2] .\end{cases}
$$

Corollary 1. A set of super-strong Wilf equivalence classes representatives in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ is described recursively by the set of the inverses of

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n}=\left\{u \cdot v \quad: \quad u \in \mathcal{E}_{i, n} ; \quad \operatorname{red}(v) \in \mathcal{R}_{n-i} ; \quad i \in[n-2]\right\},
$$

where $\operatorname{red}(v)$ is the reduced form of $v$.
Proof. In view of Theorem 2, the cardinality of $\mathcal{R}_{n}$ is the correct one. Also note that for a fixed $u \in \mathcal{E}_{i, n}$, the set $\operatorname{alph}(v)$ is immediately determined. For a such a given set $\operatorname{alph}(v)$ and a particular permutation $\tau \in \mathcal{R}_{n-i}$, there exists a unique suffix $v$ such that $\tau=\operatorname{red}(v)$.

Consider two elements in $\mathcal{R}_{n}$, namely $w=u \cdot v$ and $w^{\prime}=u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime}$. We will prove inductively on $n$ that if $w \neq w^{\prime}$ then $w^{-1} \sim_{s s} w^{\prime-1}$. To do that it suffices to show that the corresponding pyramidal sequences of differences are not the same.

If $u \neq u^{\prime}$ then the corresponding pyramidal sequences for $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ will differ in the part corresponding to $u$ and $u^{\prime}$, respectively. On the other hand, if $u=u^{\prime}$ then $v \neq v^{\prime}$ and by induction the corresponding pyramidal sequences of that part are not the same.

Let $s_{j, n}$ be the number of super-strong Wilf equivalence classes of order $2^{j}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$, where $j \in[n-1]$. Note that $s_{0, n}=0$.

Theorem 3.

$$
s_{j, n}=s_{j-1, n-1}+\sum_{k=2}^{n-j-1} d_{k, n} \cdot s_{j, n-k} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_{j, n}$ be the set of all pyramidal sequences in $\Pi_{n}$ with corresponding superstrong Wilf equivalence class of order $2^{j}$. From (2.1) it clearly follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{j, n}=\left(\mathcal{C}_{j, n} \cap \mathcal{T}_{1, n}\right) \sqcup\left(\mathcal{C}_{j, n} \cap \mathcal{T}_{2, n}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup\left(\mathcal{C}_{j, n} \cap \mathcal{T}_{i, n}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup\left(\mathcal{C}_{j, n} \cap \mathcal{T}_{n-2, n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First observe that the exponent $j$ is equal to the number of transitions from $\Delta_{k}=$ $(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-k})$ to $\Delta_{k+1}=(\underbrace{d, d, \ldots, d}_{n-k-1})$, for $k \in[n-1]$. Note that for $k=n-1$ by convention $\Delta_{k+1}$ is the empty vector.

Let $i \in[2, n-1]$. Consider a pyramidal sequence $\left(\Delta_{1}, \ldots \Delta_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{T}_{i, n}$. Observe that for $k \in[i]$ there are no transitions of the aforementioned form, therefore restricting the bijection $\tau_{i, n}$ in (2.2) to $\mathcal{C}_{j, n}$ the only contribution to the exponent $j$ comes from the part $\left(\Delta_{i+1}, \ldots, \Delta_{n-1}\right)$. But the number of transitions there is defined to be equal to $s_{j, n-i}$.

Now let $i=1$. Observe that there is a transition from $\Delta_{1}$ to $\Delta_{2}=(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n-2})$ that raises the exponent of the order of the equivalence class by one, hence to get the desired exponent $j$ we need $j-1$ additional transitions on the upper part of the pyramid; these are precisely $s_{j-1, n-1}$ and the result follows.

In view of the above results, to calculate $s_{n}$ and $s_{j, n}$ we need a formula for the coefficients $d_{i, n}$.

Theorem 4. Let $n \geq 4$. For a given $i \in[n-2]$ set $m=n-i-1$ and let $q_{l, m}$ and $r_{l, m}$ be the unique quotient and remainder, respectively, of the Euclidean division of of an arbitrary integer $l$ with $m$. Then we have the following recursive formula for the $d_{i, n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{q_{n-k, m}}{2} \cdot\left(r_{n-k, m}+i-k+1\right) \cdot d_{k, n} \cdot(i-k)!=\frac{q_{n, m}}{2} \cdot\left(r_{n, m}+i+1\right) \cdot i! \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $p_{i, n}$ be the number of all prefixes of length $i$ of permutations in $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ with corresponding suffix an $m$-periodic word, i.e., a word of the form $a \ldots(a+j d) \ldots(a+$ $m d$ ), for a suitable $a \in[n]$.

One way to calculate $p_{i, n}$ is to count first all $m$-periodic words in $[n]$ and multiply each one of them with the $i$ ! choices of the remaining prefix letters. For this purpose let $q_{n, m}$ and $r_{n, m}$ be the unique quotient and remainder respectively of the Euclidean division of $n$ with $m$. It is straightforward to see that $d \in\left[q_{n, m}\right]$ and $p_{i, n}=\sum_{d=1}^{q_{n, m}}(n-j m)$. It follows that the number of all $m$-periodic words is equal to $\frac{q_{n, m}}{2}\left(n+r_{m, n}-m\right)=$ $\frac{q_{n, m}}{2}\left(r_{n, m}+i+1\right)$. In this way $p_{i, n}$ is shown to be equal to the number on the right hand side of the formula of our theorem.

An alternative counting method is to start from the prefixes themselves. Consider a prefix $u$ of length $i$ such that the remaining $n-i$ lettered suffix is a periodic word. Then there exists a unique $k \in[i]$ such that the prefix $u^{\prime}$ of $u$ lies in $\mathcal{D}_{k, n}$. For this particular $k$-lettered prefix $u^{\prime}$ let us count the number of all $m$-periodic words in the remaining $n-k$ letters. As before, it is straightforward to see that this is precisely equal to $\frac{q_{n-k, m}}{2}\left(n-k+r_{n-k, m}-m\right)=\frac{q_{n-k, m}}{2}\left(r_{n-k, m}+i-k+1\right)$. Now as the choices for the suffix of $u^{\prime}$ in $u$ are $(i-k)$ ! due to the remaining $i-k$ letters in $u$, and as the
number of prefixes $u^{\prime}$ is equal to $d_{k, n}, p_{i, n}$ is also equal to the sum appearing on the left hand side of our formula.

Remark 1. The aforementioned numbers $d_{i, n}$ are related to the number $a_{n}$ of nonsecable or non-interval permutations. These are all permutations $s=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{n}$ of size $n \geq 2$ such that any prefix $s_{1} \cdots s_{l}$ of length $2 \leq l<n$ is not, up to order, equal to the interval $[k, l+k-1]$ [1, 4.4]. This is the sequence $2,2,8,44,296,2312,20384, \ldots$ (also known as $\left|b_{n}\right|$, where $b_{n}$ is Sequence $\underline{\text { A077607 of [5]) with recurrence formula }}$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{i} a_{k+1} \cdot(i-k+1)!=(i+1)!.
$$

Let $i<\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. Recall that $m=n-i-1$. Then it follows that $m \geq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil-1$, so that $q_{n, m}=q_{n-k, m}=1$ and $r_{n, m}=n-m, r_{n-k, m}=(n-k)-m$, for $k \in[i]$. Substituting in (2.4) we obtain

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{i} d_{k, n} \cdot(i-k+1)!=(i+1)!
$$

It follows that $d_{k, n}=a_{k+1}$, for all $k<\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, since $d_{1, n}=a_{2}=2$.
This equality of cardinalities is not a mere coincidence. There is actually a deeper connection between $\mathcal{D}_{i, n}$ and the corresponding non-interval permutations.

Proposition 2. There is a bijection between the set of prefixes $\mathcal{D}_{k, n}$, for $k<\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and the set $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ of all non-interval permutations of length $k+1$.

Proof. Let $u=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{k}$ be a prefix in $\mathcal{D}_{k, n}$. Let $a=\min ([n] \backslash \operatorname{alph}(u))$. It is more convenient to find a bijection from $\mathcal{D}_{k, n}$ to the set $\mathcal{B}_{k+1}$ of all permutations $b=b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{k} b_{k+1}$ of size $k+1 \geq 2$ such that any suffix $b_{k-l+2} \cdots b_{k+1}$ of length $2 \leq l<k+1$ is not, up to order, equal to the interval $[k, l+k-1]$. This is due to the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{k+1}$ is clearly equipotent to $\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$, via the bijection $w \mapsto \tilde{w}$.

Then we define a map $\phi: \mathcal{D}_{k, n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{k+1}$ as $\phi(u)=\operatorname{red}(u a)$, where $\operatorname{red}(\tau)$ is the reduced form of $\tau$, i.e., the permutation obtained by replacing the smallest entry of $\tau$ by 1 , the second smallest by 2 and so on.

For the reverse direction we define a map $\psi: \mathcal{B}_{k+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{k, n}$ as $\psi\left(b_{1} \cdots b_{k} b_{k+1}\right)=$ $v_{1} \cdots v_{k}$, where

$$
v_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{i}, \quad b_{i}<b_{k+1} \\
b_{i}+(n-k-1), \quad b_{i}>b_{k+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $u=u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \in \mathcal{D}_{k, n}$. Observe that since $k<\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$ the vector of consecutive differences of $[n] \backslash \operatorname{alph}(u)$ is necessarily equal to $(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{n-k-1})$, hence the word $\pi=$
$u_{1} \cdots u_{k} a(a+1) \cdots(a+n-k-1) \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$. This implies that if $\operatorname{red}(u a)=b_{1} \cdots b_{k} b_{k+1}$, then we must have

$$
b_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{i}, \quad u_{i}<a  \tag{2.5}\\
u_{i}-(n-k-1), \quad u_{i}>a,
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $i \in[k]$ and $b_{k+1}=a$. We will first show that $\operatorname{red}(u a) \in \mathcal{B}_{k+1}$. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that this is not the case. Then there exists an index $j \in[k]$ such that $\left\{b_{j}, \ldots b_{k+1}\right\}=\{a-l, \ldots, a-1, a, a+1, \ldots, a+r\}$, for some $l, r \in[k-j]$. Observe that we have $l+r=k-j$. In view of (2.5) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{u_{j}, \ldots, u_{k+1}\right\}=\{a-l \ldots, a-1, a, a+(n-k), \ldots, a+r+(n-k-1)\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the form of the permutation $\pi$ and (2.6) we obtain that $u_{1} \cdots u_{j-1} \in$ $\mathcal{D}_{j-1, n}$, which contradicts the definition of $\mathcal{D}_{k, n}$.

Example 3. Let us calculate the number $s_{10}$ of super-strong Wilf equivalence classes of $\mathcal{S}_{10}$. In view of Theorem [2 we need to calculate the numbers $d_{i, 10}$, for $i \in[8]$. Since $\lfloor 10 / 2\rfloor=5$, Proposition 2 immediately yields $d_{1,10}=a_{2}=2, d_{2,10}=a_{3}=2$, $d_{3,10}=a_{4}=8$ and $d_{4,10}=a_{5}=44$.

To evaluate $d_{5,10}$ set $i=5$ and $m=4$ in (2.4) and since $q_{10,4}=q_{9,4}=q_{8,4}=2$; $q_{7,4}=q_{6,4}=1 ; r_{10,4}=r_{6,4}=2, r_{9,4}=1, r_{8,4}=0$ and $r_{7,4}=3$, we obtain $\frac{2}{2} \cdot(1+5) \cdot 2 \cdot 4!+\frac{2}{2} \cdot(0+4) \cdot 2 \cdot 3!+\frac{1}{2} \cdot(3+3) \cdot 8 \cdot 2!+\frac{1}{2} \cdot(2+2) \cdot 44 \cdot 1!+d_{5,10}=\frac{2}{2} \cdot(2+6) \cdot 5!$.

It follows that $d_{5,10}=488$.
To evaluate $d_{6,10}$ set $i=6$ and $m=3$ in (2.4) and since $q_{10,3}=q_{9,3}=3 ; q_{8,3}=$ $q_{7,3}=q_{6,3}=2 ; q_{5,3}=1, r_{10,3}=r_{7,4}=1 ; r_{9,3}=r_{6,3}=0$ and $r_{8,3}=r_{5,3}=2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{3}{2} \cdot(0+6) \cdot 2 \cdot 5!+\frac{2}{2} \cdot(2+5) \cdot 2 \cdot 4!+\frac{2}{2} \cdot(1+4) \cdot 8 \cdot 3!+\frac{2}{2} \cdot(0+3) \cdot 44 \cdot 2! \\
+\frac{1}{2} \cdot(2+2) \cdot 488 \cdot 1!+d_{6,10}=\frac{3}{2} \cdot(1+7) \cdot 6!.
\end{array}
$$

It follows that $d_{6,10}=4,664$.
To evaluate $d_{7,10}$ set $i=7$ and $m=2$ in (2.4). Calculating all the necessary quotients and remainders of the divisions with 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4}{2} \cdot(1+7) \cdot 2 \cdot 6!+\frac{4}{2} \cdot(0+6) \cdot 2 \cdot 5!+\frac{3}{2} \cdot(1+5) \cdot 8 \cdot 4!+\frac{3}{2} \cdot(0+4) \cdot 44 \cdot 3! \\
& \quad+\frac{2}{2} \cdot(1+3) \cdot 488 \cdot 2!+\frac{2}{2} \cdot(0+2) \cdot 4,664 \cdot 1!+d_{7,10}=\frac{5}{2} \cdot(0+8) \cdot 7!
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $d_{7,10}=58,336$.

Finally, for $d_{8,10}$ set $i=8$ and $m=1$ in (2.4). Then we immediately obtain

$$
2 \cdot 9!+2 \cdot 8!+8 \cdot 7!+44 \cdot 6!+488 \cdot 5!+4,664 \cdot 4!+58,336 \cdot 3!+d_{8,10}=10!
$$

which yields $d_{8,10}=1,114,944$.
Substituting the above values of $d_{i, 10}, i \in[2,8]$ and the values $s_{2}=1, s_{3}=2$, $s_{4}=8, s_{5}=40, s_{6}=256, s_{7}=1,860, s_{8}=15,580$ and $s_{9}=144,812$ for $i<10$ to the recursive formula of Theorem 2 we finally obtain $s_{10}=1,490,564$.

Using the same reasoning we calculate all the numbers $s_{n}$, for $n \in$ [12]. The calculation is based on the numbers $d_{i, n}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $3 \leq n \leq 12$ (see Table 2 in the Appendix).
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## Appendix

| $i \backslash n$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 |  | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 |  |  | 24 | 16 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 168 | 100 | 80 | 68 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 1,212 | 712 | 500 | 488 | 416 | 296 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 10,824 | 6,376 | 4,664 | 3,704 | 3,512 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 103,992 | 58,336 | 43,592 | 33,152 |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1,114,944$ | 630,544 | 444,992 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $12,907,824$ | $7,167,802$ |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $162,773,970$ |

Table 1: The numbers $d_{i, n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 10$ and $3 \leq n \leq 12$

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s_{n}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 40 | 256 | 1,860 | 15,580 | 144,812 | $1,490,564$ | $16,758,972$ | $205,029,338$ |

Table 2: The numbers $s_{n}$ for $1 \leq n \leq 12$

| $j \backslash n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 28 | 196 | 1,452 | 12,632 | 119,744 | $1,260,432$ | $14,389,600$ | $178,692,748$ |
| 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 10 | 46 | 330 | 2,416 | 21,216 | 197,120 | $2,067,024$ | $23,263,418$ |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 12 | 62 | 442 | 3,204 | 28,276 | 262,080 | $2,707,296$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 14 | 72 | 546 | 3,992 | 34,680 | 318,408 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 16 | 82 | 630 | 4,744 | 41,108 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 18 | 92 | 718 | 5,412 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 20 | 102 | 810 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 22 | 112 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 24 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Table 3: The numbers $s_{j, n}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 11$ and $2 \leq n \leq 12$

| $n$ | $R_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 123, 213 |
| 4 | 1234, 1324 |
|  | 2134, 2314, 2413, 3124, 3214, 3412 |
| 5 | 12345, 12435, 13245, 13425, 13524, 14235, 14325, 14523; |
|  | 21345, 21435; 24135, 24315; 42135, 42315; 45123, 45213; |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 23145,23415,23514,25134,25314,25413,31245,31425,31524,32145,32415,32514, \\ & 34125,34215,34512,35124,35214,35412,41235,41325,41523,43125,43215,43512 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | $123456,123546,124356,124536,124635,125346,125436,125634$, $132456,132546,135246,135426,153246,153426,156234,156324$, $134256,134526,134625,136245,136425,136524,142356,142536,142635,143256$, $143526,143625,145236,145326,145623,146235,146325,146523,152346,152436$, $152634,154236,154326,154623$, |
|  | $213456,213546,214356,214536,214635,215346,215436,215634 ;$ $561234,561324,562134,56214,562413,563124,563214,563412$; |
|  | 231456, 231546; 246135, 246315; 261345, 261435; 264135, 264315; 312456, 312546; 315246, 315426; 321456, 321546; 351246, 351426; 426135, 426315; 456123, 456213; 462135, 462315; 465123, 465213; 513246, 513426; 516234, 516324; 531246, 531426; 546123, 546213; |
|  | 234156, 234516, 234615; 235146, 235416, 235614; 236145, 236415, 236514; |
|  | 241356, 241536, 241635; 243156, 243516, 243615; 245136, 245316, 245613; |
|  | 251346, 251436, 251634; 253146, 253416, 253614; 254136, 254316, 254613; |
|  | 256134, 256314, 263145; 263415, 263514, 265134; 314256, 314526, 314625; |
|  | 316245, 316425, 316524; 324156, 324516, 324615; 325146, 325416, 325614; |
|  | 326145, 326415, 326514; 341256, 341526, 341625; 342156, 342516, 342615; |
|  | 345126, 345216, 345612; 346125, 346215, 346512; 352146, 352416, 352614; |
|  | 354126, 354216, 354612; 356124, 356214, 356412; 361245, 361425, 361524; |
|  | $362145,362415,362514 ; 364125,364215,364512$; 365124, 365214, 365412; |
|  | 412356, 412536, 412635; 413256, 413526, 413625; 415236, 415326, 415623; |
|  | 416235, 416325, 416523; 421356, 421536, 421635; 423156, 423516, 423615; |
|  | 425136, 425316, 425613; 431256, 431526, 431625; 432156, 432516, 432615; |
|  | 435126, 435216, 435612; 436125, 436215, 436512; 451236, 451326, 451623; |
|  | 452136, 452316, 452613; 453126, 453216, 453612; 461235, 461325, 461523; |
|  | 463125, 463215, 463512; 512346, 512436, 512634; 514236, 514326, 514623; |
|  | 526134, 526314, 526413; 524135, 524316, 524613; 523146, 523416, 523614; |
|  | 521346, 521436, 521634; 532146, 532416, 532614; 534126, 534216, 534612; |
|  | 536124, 536214, 536412; 541236, 541326, 541623; 542136, 542316, 542613; |
|  | 543126, 543216, 543612; |

Table 4: The sets $R_{n}$ for $3 \leq n \leq 6$.

