# An Identity for the Partition Function Involving Parts of k Different Magnitudes $\stackrel{\bigstar}{\Rightarrow}$

Saud Hussein

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 6F, Astronomy-Mathematics Building, No.1, Sec.4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

## Abstract

Using previous work by Merca, we show the partition function involving parts of k different magnitudes, shifted by the triangular numbers  $\binom{k+1}{2}$ , equals the self convolution of the unrestricted partition function. We also provide a combinatorial proof of this result.

Keywords: Integer partition, Partition identity

## 1. Introduction

Recall that a *partition* of a positive integer n is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers whose sum is n. The partition function p(n) counts the number of such partitions of n. For example, since the partitions of 4 are

4, 
$$3+1$$
,  $2+2$ ,  $2+1+1$ , and  $1+1+1+1$ ,

p(4) = 5. We also take p(0) = 1.

Euler [1] began the mathematical theory of partitions in 1748 and MacMahon [2] in 1921 was the first to study the number of partitions of n that have exactly k different values for the parts. Let p(k, n) denote this function. For example, p(3, 8) = 5 since the five partitions in question are

5+2+1, 4+3+1, 4+2+1+1, 3+2+2+1, 3+2+1+1+1.

Let q(k, n) denote the number of partitions of n into exactly k distinct parts. So q(3, 8) = 2 since we have the two partitions

$$5+2+1, \quad 4+3+1$$

Clearly  $q(k,n) \le p(k,n)$  and p(k,n) = 0 when  $n < \binom{k+1}{2}$ . We also take q(0,0) = p(0,0) = 1 and p(0,n) = p(k,0) = 0 for k, n > 0.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>diamond}$ This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

*Email address:* saudhussein@gate.sinica.edu.tw(Saud Hussein)

Preprint submitted to arXiv

Due to Merca [4, Corollary 1.2], we have the following: Let  $k, n \ge 0$  be integers. Then

$$p(k,n) = \sum_{m=\binom{k+1}{2}}^{n} a(k,m) \, p(n-m) \tag{1}$$

where

$$a(k,m) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-k} {j \choose k} q(j,m).$$
(2)

In this paper, we use this result to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $k, n \ge 0$  be integers with  $k \ge n$ . Then

$$p\left(k, n + \binom{k+1}{2}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} p(m) p(n-m).$$

**Remark 2.** The sequence of integers,  $(A_n)_{n\geq 0}$ , given by the self convolution

$$A_n = \sum_{m=0}^n p(m) p(n-m),$$

is listed in [6, sequence number A000712] and may be thought of as the number of partitions of n into parts of two kinds. For example,  $A_3 = 10$  since we have

3,  $\overline{3}$ , 2+1,  $\overline{2}+\overline{1}$ ,  $\overline{2}+1$ ,  $2+\overline{1}$ , 1+1+1,  $\overline{1}+\overline{1}+\overline{1}$ ,  $\overline{1}+1+1$ ,  $1+\overline{1}+\overline{1}$ .

Let q(n) denote the number of partitions of n into distinct parts. Then by Merca [5, Theorem 5.2],

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} p\left(n - \binom{k+1}{2}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} q(m) q(n-m),$$

a result much like Theorem 1. Also, from Merca [3, equation 12], we have

$$q(k,n) = p_k \left( n - \binom{k+1}{2} \right), \tag{3}$$

where  $p_k(n)$  denotes the number of partitions of n with no part greater than k. Clearly

$$q\left(k, n + \binom{k+1}{2}\right) = p_k(n) = p(n)$$

for  $k \geq n$ . Again, this identity is similar to Theorem 1.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $k, n \ge 0$  be integers. Applying a change of variables to (1),

$$p\left(k, n + \binom{k+1}{2}\right) = \sum_{m = \binom{k+1}{2}}^{n + \binom{k+1}{2}} a(k, m) p\left(n + \binom{k+1}{2} - m\right).$$
(4)

Since q(k+1,m) = 0 for  $m < \binom{k+2}{2} = \binom{k+1}{2} + k + 1$ , then by (2) and (3),

(1 + 1)

$$a(k,m) = q(k,m) = p_k \left(m - \binom{k+1}{2}\right) = p \left(m - \binom{k+1}{2}\right)$$

for  $m \leq \binom{k+1}{2} + k$ . Thus by (4),

$$p\left(k,n+\binom{k+1}{2}\right) = \sum_{m=\binom{k+1}{2}}^{n+\binom{k+1}{2}} p\left(m-\binom{k+1}{2}\right) p\left(n+\binom{k+1}{2}-m\right)$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n} p(m) p(n-m)$$

for  $k \ge n$  and the proof is complete.

### 3. Combinatorial proof of Theorem 1

Let  $k, n \ge 0$  be integers with  $n \le k$ . For  $m \in [0, n]$ , take a partition  $a_1 + \cdots + a_r$  of m with  $1 \le a_1 \le \cdots \le a_r$  and a partition  $b_1 + \cdots + b_s$  of n - m with  $1 \le b_1 \le \cdots \le b_s$ . Then

$$r+s \le m+s \le m+(n-m) = n \le k,$$

so  $m \leq k - s$  and

$$n + \binom{k+1}{2} = m + (n-m) + 1 + 2 + \dots + k$$
$$= a_1 + \dots + a_r + b_1 + \dots + b_s + 1 + 2 + \dots + k$$
$$= a_1 + \dots + a_r + c_1 + \dots + c_k$$

where

$$c_j = \begin{cases} j, & 1 \le j \le k - s \\ j + b_{j-(k-s)}, & k - s + 1 \le j \le k \end{cases}.$$

Clearly, the parts  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  are contained in  $\{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{k-s}\}$  and  $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < c_k$ , so each pair of partitions of m and n-m uniquely defines a partition

$$a_1 + \dots + a_r + c_1 + \dots + c_k$$

of  $n + \binom{k+1}{2}$  having exactly k different values for the parts. The product p(m)p(n-m) then counts the number of partitions that may be constructed in this fashion for each  $m \in [0, n]$ , thus completing the proof.

The idea of this proof was suggested by a referee reading a previous version of this paper. We also see that setting  $c_j = \overline{b_j}$  for  $1 \le j \le s$  would instead give us the partition

$$a_1 + \dots + a_r + \overline{b_1} + \dots + \overline{b_s}$$

of n into parts of two kinds. This justifies the earlier remark on sequence  $(A_n)_{n\geq 0}$  and provides some motivation for the given combinatorial proof.

The combinatorial proof gives us a simple way of writing down the partitions of  $n + \binom{k+1}{2}$  having exactly k different values for the parts for any  $k \ge n$ . All we require are the partitions of m for each  $m \in [0, n]$ . For example, Theorem 1 implies there are ten partitions of  $3 + \binom{k+1}{2}$  having exactly k different values for the parts for any  $k \ge 3$ . The case k = 3 is shown below.

m	r	s	$a_1 + \cdots + a_r$	$b_1 + \cdots + b_s$	$c_1 + c_2 + c_3$	partitions
0	0	1	0	3	1 + 2 + 6	1 + 2 + 6
	0	2	0	1 + 2	1 + 3 + 5	1 + 3 + 5
	0	3	0	1 + 1 + 1	2 + 3 + 4	2 + 3 + 4
1	1	1	1	2	1 + 2 + 5	1 + 1 + 2 + 5
	1	2	1	1 + 1	1 + 3 + 4	1 + 1 + 3 + 4
2	1	1	2	1	1 + 2 + 4	1 + 2 + 2 + 4
	2	1	1 + 1	1	1 + 2 + 4	1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 4
3	1	0	3	0	1 + 2 + 3	1 + 2 + 3 + 3
	2	0	1 + 2	0	1 + 2 + 3	1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 3
	3	0	1 + 1 + 1	0	1 + 2 + 3	1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 3

## Acknowledgment

The identity in this paper was discovered using Mathematica 11 and the author thanks the Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica for providing this tool.

#### References

- [1] Euler, L., 1988. Introduction to Analysis of the Infinite (translation by J.D.Blanton). Springer-Verlag.
- [2] MacMahon, P. A., 1921. Divisors of numbers and their continuations in the theory of partitions. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. s2-19 1, 75–113.
- [3] Merca, M., 2015. A new look on the generating function for the number of divisors. J. Number Theory 149, 57–69.
- [4] Merca, M., 2016. A note on the partitions involving parts of k different magnitudes. J. Number Theory 162, 23–34.
- [5] Merca, M., 2017. New relations for the number of partitions with distinct even parts. J. Number Theory 176, 1–12.
- [6] OEIS, 2018. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http://oeis.org.