### Preprint

# ON PRACTICAL NUMBERS OF SOME SPECIAL FORMS

LI-YUAN WANG AND ZHI-WEI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study practical numbers of some special forms. For any integers  $b \ge 0$  and c > 0, we show that if  $n^2 + bn + c$  is practical for some integer n > 1, then there are infinitely many nonnegative integers n with  $n^2 + bn + c$  practical. We also prove that there are infinitely many practical numbers of the form  $q^4 + 2$  with q practical, and that there are infinitely many practical Pythagorean triples (a, b, c) with gcd(a, b, c) = 6 (or gcd(a, b, c) = 4).

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A positive integer m is called a *practical number* if each n = 1, ..., m can be written as the sum of some distinct divisors of n. This concept was introduced by Srinivasan [3] who noted that any practical number greater than 2 must be divisible by 4 or 6. In 1954, B. M. Stewart [4] obtained the following structure theorem for practical numbers.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $p_1 < \ldots < p_k$  be distinct primes and let  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ . Then  $m = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$  is practical if and only if  $p_1 = 2$  and

$$p_j - 1 \leqslant \sigma(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_{j-1}^{a_{j-1}}) \text{ for all } 1 < j \leqslant k,$$

where  $\sigma(n)$  denotes the sum of the positive divisors of n.

It is interesting to compare practical numbers with primes. All practical numbers are even except 1 while all primes are odd except 2. Moreover, if P(x) denotes the number of practical numbers not exceeding x, then there is a positive constant c such that

$$P(x) \sim \frac{cx}{\log x} \quad \text{as } x \to \infty,$$
 (1.1)

which was established by Weingartner [7]. This is quite similar to the Prime Number Theorem.

Inspired by the famous Goldbach's conjecture and twin prime conjecture, Margenstern [1] conjectured that every positive even integer is the sum of two practical numbers and that there are infinitely many practical numbers m with m - 2 and m + 2 also practical. Both conjectures were confirmed by Melfi [2] in 1996.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11B83; Secondary 11D09.

Keywords. Practical numbers, cyclotomic polynomials, Pythagorean triples.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11571162).

# LI-YUAN WANG AND ZHI-WEI SUN

Whether there are infinitely many primes of the form  $x^2 + 1$  with  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a famous unsolved problem in number theory. Motivated by this, in 2017 the second author [6, A294225] conjectured that there are infinitely many positive integers q such that q, q+2 and  $q^2+2$  are all practical, which looks quite challenging. Thus, it is natural to study for what  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  there are infinitely many practical numbers of the form  $an^2 + bn + c$ . Note that if  $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$  and  $2 \nmid c$  then  $an^2 + bn + c$  is odd for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $an^2 + bn + c$  cannot take practical values for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Based on our computation we formulate the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.1.** Let a, b, c be positive integers with  $2 \nmid ab$  and  $2 \mid c$ . Then there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $an^2 + bn + c$  practical. Moreover, in the case a = 1, there is an integer n with  $1 < n \leq \max\{b, c\}$  such that  $n^2 + bn + c$  is practical.

Though we are unable to prove this conjecture fully, we make the following progress.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $n^2 + bn + c$  is practical for some integer n > 1, then there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^2 + bn + c$  practical.

If  $1 \leq b \leq 100$  and  $1 \leq c \leq 100$  with  $2 \nmid b$  and  $2 \mid c$ , then we can easily find  $1 < n \leq \max\{b, c\}$  with  $n^2 + bn + c$  practical. For example,  $n^2 + n + 2$  with n = 2 is practical. For each positive even number  $b \leq 20$  we make the set

 $S_b := \{1 \le c \le 100 : n^2 + bn + c \text{ is practical for some } n = 2, \dots, 20000\}$ explicit:

$$\begin{split} S_0 = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 1, 10 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 43, 67, 93\}, \\ S_2 = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 11 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 44, 68, 94\}, \\ S_4 = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 5 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 47, 71, 97\}, \\ S_6 = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 7, 10 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 52, 76\}, \\ S_8 = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 5 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 59, 83\}, \\ S_{10} = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 11 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 68, 92\}, \\ S_{12} = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 11 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 79\}, \\ S_{14} = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 11 \pmod{12} \text{ and } c \not= 92\}, \\ S_{16} = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 5 \pmod{12}\}, \\ S_{18} = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 5 \pmod{12}\}, \\ S_{20} = &\{1 \leqslant c \leqslant 100 : \ c \not\equiv 2, 5 \pmod{12}\}. \end{split}$$

For example, applying Theorem 1.2 with b = 20, we see that for any  $c = 1, \ldots, 100$  with  $c \not\equiv 2, 5 \pmod{12}$  there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^2 + 20n + c$  practical. It is easy to see that if c is congruent to 2 or 5 modulo 12 then  $n^2 + 20n + c$  is not practical for any integer  $n \ge 2$ .

By Theorem 1.2 and the fact  $2 \in S_0$ , there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n^2 + 2$  practical. Moreover, we have the following stronger result.

**Theorem 1.3.**  $2^{35 \times 3^k+1} + 2$  is practical for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Hence there are infinitely many practical numbers q with  $q^4 + 2$  also practical.

We prove Theorem 1.3 by modifying Melfi's cyclotomic method in [2].

We now turn to Pythagorean triples involving practical numbers, and call a Pythagorean triple (a, b, c) with a, b, c all practical a practical Pythagorean triple. Obviously, there are infinitely many practical Pythagorean triples. In fact, if  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$  with a, b, c positive integers then  $(2^k a)^2 + (2^k b)^2 = (2^k c)^2$ for all k = 0, 1, 2, ... By Theorem 1.1,  $2^k a, 2^k b$  and  $2^k c$  are all practical if k is large enough.

Our following theorem was originally conjectured by the second author [5].

**Theorem 1.4.** Let d be 4 or 6. Then there are infinitely many practical Pythagorean triples (a, b, c) with gcd(a, b, c) = d.

We are going to show Theorems 1.2-1.4 in the next section.

## 2. Proofs of our theorems

**Lemma 2.1.** Let m be any practical number. Then mn is practical for every  $n = 1, ..., \sigma(m) + 1$ . In particular, mn is practical for every  $1 \le n \le 2m$ .

This lemma follows easily from Theorem 1.1; see [2] for details. Note that if m > 1 is practical then m - 1 can be written as the sum of some divisors of m and hence  $(m - 1) + m \leq \sigma(m)$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set  $f(n) = n^2 + bn + c$ . It is easy to verify that

$$f(n + f(n)) = f(n)(f(n) + 2n + b + 1).$$

Note that

$$f(n) - (2n + b + 1) = n(n - 2) + b(n - 1) + c - 1 \ge 0.$$

If  $n \ge 2$  is an integer with f(n) practical, then f(n + f(n)) = f(n)(f(n) + 2n + b + 1) is also practical by Lemma 2.1 and the inequality

$$f(n) + 2n + b + 1 \leq 2f(n).$$

So the desired result follows.

For a positive integer m, the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_m(x)$  is defined by

$$\Phi_m(x) := \prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ \gcd(a,m)=1}}^m \left( x - e^{2\pi i a/m} \right).$$

Clearly,

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$$
 for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  (2.2)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Write  $m_k = 2^{35 \times 3^k + 1} + 2$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Note that  $m_{2k} = q_k^4 + 2$  with  $q_k = 2^{(35 \times 9^k + 1)/4}$  practical. So it suffices to prove that  $m_k$  is practical for every  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ 

Via a computer we find that

$$m_0 = 2^{36} + 2, \ m_1 = 2^{106} + 2, \ m_2 = 2^{316} + 2$$

are all practical.

Now assume that  $m_k$  is practical for a fixed integer  $k \ge 2$ . For convenience, we write x for  $2^{3^k}$ . Then

$$x \ge 2^9 = 512, \ m_k = 2(x^{35} + 1) \text{ and } m_{k+1} = 2(x^{105} + 1).$$

In view of (2.2),

$$\frac{x^{210} - 1}{x^{105} - 1} = \frac{x^{70} - 1}{x^{35} - 1} \Phi_6(x) \Phi_{30}(x) \Phi_{42}(x) \Phi_{210}(x).$$
(2.3)

Since  $x \ge 512$ , we have

$$\frac{x^2}{2} < \Phi_6(x) = x^2 - x + 1 < x^2.$$
(2.4)

Clearly,

$$x^7 > x^3 \frac{x^3 - 1}{x - 1} = x^5 + x^4 + x^3$$

and

$$x^8 > 2x^7 \ge x^7 + x + 1.$$

Thus

$$x^{8} < \Phi_{30}(x) = x^{8} + x^{7} - x^{5} - x^{4} - x^{3} + x + 1 < 2x^{8}$$
(2.5)

Similarly, for

$$\Phi_{42}(x) = x^{12} + x^{11} - x^9 - x^8 + x^6 - x^4 - x^3 + x + 1$$

and

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{210}(x) =& x^{48} - x^{47} + x^{46} + x^{43} - x^{42} + 2x^{41} - x^{40} + x^{39} + x^{36} \\ &- x^{35} + x^{34} - x^{33} + x^{32} - x^{31} - x^{28} - x^{26} - x^{24} - x^{22} \\ &- x^{20} - x^{17} + x^{16} - x^{15} + x^{14} - x^{13} + x^{12} + x^9 - x^8 \\ &+ 2x^7 - x^6 + x^5 + x^2 - x + 1, \end{split}$$

we can prove that

$$x^{12} < \Phi_{42}(x) < 2x^{12} \text{ and } \Phi_{210}(x) < x^{48}.$$
 (2.6)

Combining (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$\frac{x^{22}}{2} < \Phi_6(x)\Phi_{30}(x)\Phi_{42}(x) < 4x^{22}$$
(2.7)

and hence  $\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{30}(x)\Phi_{42}(x) < 4(x^{35}+1)$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1 and the induction hypothesis we obtain that

$$2(x^{35}+1)\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{30}(x)\Phi_{42}(x)$$

is practical.

By (2.7),

$$2(x^{35}+1)\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{30}(x)\Phi_{42}(x) > x^{57} > x^{48}.$$

So, applying (2.6) and Lemma 2.1, we conclude that

$$2(x^{35}+1)\Phi_6(x)\Phi_{30}(x)\Phi_{42}(x)\Phi_{210}(x)$$

is practical. In view of (2.3), this indicates that  $m_{k+1}$  is practical. This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** [2] For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , both  $2(3^{3^{k} \cdot 70} - 1)$  and  $2(3^{3^{k} \cdot 70} + 1)$  are practical numbers.

*Proof of Theorem* 1.4. (i) We first consider the case d = 4. For each  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , define

$$a_k = 2(3^{3^{k} \cdot 70} - 1), \ b_k = 4 \cdot 3^{3^{k} \cdot 35}, \ \text{and} \ c_k = 2(3^{3^{k} \cdot 70} + 1).$$

It is easy to see that  $a_k^2 + b_k^2 = c_k^2$  and  $gcd(a_k, b_k, c_k) = 4$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $a_k$  and  $c_k$  are both practical. Theorem 2.1 implies that  $b_k$  is practical. This proves Theorem 1.4 for d = 4.

(ii) Now we handle the case d = 6. For any k = 0, 1, 2, ..., define

$$x_k = 3(3^{3^{k} \cdot 70} - 1), \ y_k = 6 \cdot 3^{3^k \cdot 35}, \ \text{and} \ z_k = 3(3^{3^{k} \cdot 70} + 1).$$

Then  $x_k^2 + y_k^2 = z_k^2$  and  $gcd(x_k, y_k, z_k) = 6$ . Note that  $y_k$  is practical for any  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  by Theorem 2.1.

Now it remains to show by induction that  $x_k$  and  $z_k$  are practical for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Via a computer, we see that  $x_0 = 3^{71} - 3$  and  $z_0 = 3^{71} + 3$  are practical numbers. Suppose that  $x_k$  and  $z_k$  are practical for some nonnegative integer k. Then

$$x_{k+1} = 3(3^{3^{k+1} \cdot 70} - 1) = x_k(3^{3^k \cdot 70} - 3^{3^k \cdot 35} + 1)(3^{3^k \cdot 70} + 3^{3^k \cdot 35} + 1)$$
(2.8)

and

$$z_{k+1} = 3(3^{3^{k+1} \cdot 70} + 1) = z_k \Phi_{12}(3^{3^k}) \Phi_{60}(3^{3^k}) \Phi_{84}(3^{3^k}) \Phi_{420}(3^{3^k}).$$
(2.9)

In view of (2.8), by applying Lemma 2.1 twice, we see that  $x_{k+1}$  is practical. It is easy to check that

$$\Phi_{12}(3^{3^k}) \leqslant 2z_k, \ \Phi_{60}(3^{3^k}) \leqslant 2z_k \Phi_{12}(3^{3^k}),$$
  
$$\Phi_{84}(3^{3^k}) \leqslant 2z_k \Phi_{12}(3^{3^k}) \Phi_{60}(3^{3^k}), \ \Phi_{420}(3^{3^k}) \leqslant 2z_k \Phi_{12}(3^{3^k}) \Phi_{60}(3^{3^k}) \Phi_{84}(3^{3^k}).$$

In light of these and (2.9), by applying Lemma 2.1 four times, we see that  $z_{k+1}$  is practical. This concludes the induction step.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 is now complete.

## LI-YUAN WANG AND ZHI-WEI SUN

#### References

- M. Margenstern, Les nombres pratiques: théorie, observations et conjectures, J. Number Theory 37 (1991) 1–36.
- [2] G. Melfi, On two conjectures about practical numbers, J. Number Theory 56 (1996) 205-210.
- [3] A. K. Srinivasan, Practical numbers, Curr. Sci. 6 (1948) 179–180.
- [4] B. M. Stewart, Sums of distinct divisors, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954) 779–785.
- [5] Z.-W. Sun, Sequence A294112 in OEIS, http://oeis.org/
- [6] Z.-W. Sun, Conjectures on representations involving primes, in: M. Nathanson (ed.), Combinatorial and Additive Number Theory II, Springer Proc. in Math. & Stat., Vol. 220, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 279–310.
- [7] A. Weingartner, Practical numbers and the distribution of divisors, Q. J. Math. 66 (2015), 743–758.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, 210093, PEO-PLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail address*: dg1721010@smail.nju.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, People's Republic of China

*E-mail address*: zwsun@nju.edu.cn