On some estimates for Erdös-Rényi random graph

Nikolay Kazimirow

8/31/2015

Abstract

We consider a number ν_n of components in a random graph G(n,p) with *n* vertices, where the probability of an edge is equal to *p*. By operating with special generating functions we shows the next asymptotic relation for factorial moments of ν_n :

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{k-2}}{k!} (npq^n)^k\right)^s + o(1)$$

as n tends to ∞ and q = 1 - p. And the following inequations hold:

$$1 - 2nq^{n-1} \leqslant p_n \leqslant \frac{1}{nq^n},$$
$$1 - \frac{1}{nq^n} \leqslant pi_n \leqslant nq^{n-1},$$

where p_n is the probability that G(n, p) is connected and pi_n is the probability that G(n, p) has an isolated vertex.

1 Notations

Let G_n be a set of undirected graphs with n labeled vertices. For any graph $g \in G_n$ let C(g) be a number of connected components in the graph g and E(g) be a number of edges in the graph g. Besides we denote by $F_{s,n}$ the number of all forests in G_n , that contains exactly s trees. We also suppose that components in G_n are not ordered.

Further, let $A_{n,k,s}$ be a number of graphs in G_n , which contains n vertices, k edges and s components, $A_{n,k}$ be a number of graphs, which contains n vertices and k edges, and $B_{n,k}$ — a number of connected graphs with n vertices and k edges. For definiteness we suppose that $A_{0,k} = A_{0,k,s} = A_{n,k,0} = 0$ in all cases, except n = k = s = 0, where we set by definition $A_{0,0} = A_{0,0,0} = 1$. Besides, let $B_{0,k} = 0$ for all k. It's clear that $A_{n,k} = \sum_{s} A_{n,k,s}$, where index s runs on all integer non-nagative numbers.

Let us consider the random graph G(n, p), which contains n labeled vertices, where each of $\binom{n}{2}$ edges is present with the probability p independently of other edges. Each concrete realization of random graph G(n, p) is a graph from G_n . This model of random graphs was firstly described by Erdös and Rényi in [1,2] and then has been well studied by Béla Bollobás [3], Valentin Kolchin [4] and other authors.

It is easy to see that the parobability distribution of such random graph is defined as follows:

$$\mathsf{P}\{G(n,p) = g\} = (p/q)^{E(g)}q^{n(n-1)/2}$$

where $g \in G_n$ and q = 1 - p.

Let denote by ν_n the number of connected components of G(n, p), i.e. $\nu_n = C(G(n, p))$, and let p_n be the probability that random graph G(n, p) is connected, thus $p_n = \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = 1\}$. It's clear that

$$\mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{n,k,s} (p/q)^k q^{n(n-1)/2}$$
(1)

and

$$p_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{n,k} (p/q)^k q^{n(n-1)/2}.$$

From the above agreements it follows that $p_0 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$.

Below we'll need the special generated function, which we define as follows: for a sequence of functions $\{r_n(q)\}$ we put

$$R = R(x,q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} r_n(q),$$

where we often will skip arguments x and q, except such cases when we will use special values of them. Below in this text we will call such functions as SG-functions (SG = special generated).

It is easy to see that SG-functions are formal power series which are not converges at all. But most of all usual operations with SG-functions (such as adding, production, differentiation and integration on both arguments) does not lead to conflicts when counting coefficients before x^n .

Let denote

$$\widehat{R} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}} \frac{dr_n(q)}{dq},$$

i.e. the operator denotes SG-function for the sequence of derivatives of $r_n(q)$.

Let also:

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}}$$

$$B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} p_n$$

$$E = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} \mathsf{E}\nu_n$$

$$M_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} \mathsf{E}(\nu_n)^{\underline{k}}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} \mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{k}},$$

where $z^{\underline{k}} = z(z-1) \dots (z-k+1)$ denotes the factorial power $k \ge 0$. Therefore, A is a SG-function of $\{1\}$, B is a SG-function of probabilies that graph is connected, E is a SG-function of expectations of components quantity, M_k is a SG-function of k-th factorial moments of ν_n , and \mathcal{M}_k is a SG-function of k-th factorial moments of $(\nu_n - 1)$. It's easy to see that A converges only if q = 1. Below we'll see that all of theese series are converges in the same conditions.

2 Basical Relations

Lemma 1. If the relation n = k = s = 0 does not holds, then

$$A_{n,k,s} = \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_s = n \\ k_1 + \dots + k_s = k}} \frac{n!}{s!} \frac{B_{n_1,k_1} \cdots B_{n_s,k_s}}{n_1! \cdots n_s!},$$
(2)

where the summation is over all integer non-negative n_i , k_i .

Proof. Let consider the set of graphs \overline{G}_n with n vertices, where the components are ordered. It is clear that the number $\overline{A}_{n,k,s}$ of such graphs with n vertices, k edges and s components is equal to $s!A_{n,k,s}$.

By the other side, any graph from \overline{G}_n with n vertices and s components we can make by getting some ordered partition of the set of n vertices with nonempty parts, which has the volumes n_1, \ldots, n_s . The number of such partitions is equal to $n!/(n_1!\cdots n_s!)$. For every set of vertices, included in connected components, we can find the number of connected graphs with n_i vertices and k_i edges. It is equal to B_{n_i,k_i} . By choosing k_i in such a way that $k_1+\cdots+k_s=k$, and summing over all partitions of n vertices, we get the equation:

$$\bar{A}_{n,k,s} = \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \dots + n_s = n \\ k_1 + \dots + k_s = k}} \frac{n! B_{n_1,k_1} \cdots B_{n_s,k_s}}{n_1! \cdots n_s!}$$

From this we get (2) for positive n, n_i, s and non-negative k. Extention of this relation for zero values of n, n_i and s follows from the previous agreements. \Box

Now we consider the next generated functions, which are exponential by parameter x:

$$A(x,y) = \sum_{n,k} \frac{A_{n,k}}{n!} x^n y^k, \qquad B(x,y) = \sum_{n,k} \frac{B_{n,k}}{n!} x^n y^k.$$

The summation is over integer non-negative n, k.

Lemma 2.

$$A(x,y) = e^{B(x,y)} \tag{3}$$

Proof. By multyplying the relation (2) by $x^n y^k / n!$ we get:

$$\frac{A_{n,k,s}}{n!}x^ny^k = \frac{1}{s!}\sum_{\substack{n_1+\dots+n_s=n\\k_1+\dots+k_s=k}}\frac{B_{n_1,k_1}x^{n_1}y^{k_1}\cdots B_{n_s,k_s}x^{n_s}y^{k_s}}{n_1!\cdots n_s!} = [x^ny^k]B(x,y)^s.$$

The last notation denotes a coefficient before $x^n y^k$ in the series $B(x, y)^s$. Now, by summing over integer non-negative n, k for s > 0 we get the following:

$$\sum_{n,k} \frac{A_{n,k,s}}{n!} x^n y^k = \frac{1}{s!} B(x,y)^s$$
(4)

Note, that by virtue of the agreements this equation stays also true for s = 0. Finally, by summing over integer non-negative s we get:

$$A(x,y) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{B(x,y)^s}{s!} = e^{B(x,y)}.$$

From the relation (3) we can obtain any exact expressions for probabilities of random graph G(n, p). First of all, it is clear that:

$$A_{n,k} = \binom{n(n-1)/2}{k},$$

where we suppose that $\binom{m}{k} = 0$ for k > m. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n(n-1)/2}{k} y^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n(n-1)/2} \binom{n(n-1)/2}{k} y^k = (1+y)^{n(n-1)/2},$$

hence,

$$A(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+y)^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

From this and from (3) it follows that

$$B(x,y) = \ln \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+y)^{n(n-1)/2} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$
(5)

One can see that B(x, y) is the generated function for a sequence [5] where the nulled element is equal to zero.

By putting y = p/q and from the obvious equations

$$\sum_{k} A_{n,k} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{k} q^{n(n-1)/2} = 1, \quad \sum_{k} B_{n,k} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{k} q^{n(n-1)/2} = p_{n},$$

we get that for previously defined series A and B the next relations are true:

$$A\left(x,\frac{p}{q}\right) = \sum_{n,k} \frac{A_{n,k}}{n!} x^n (p/q)^k = \sum_n \frac{x^n}{q^{n(n-1)/2} n!} = A,$$

$$B\left(x,\frac{p}{q}\right) = \sum_{n,k} \frac{B_{n,k}}{n!} x^n (p/q)^k = \sum_n \frac{p_n x^n}{q^{n(n-1)/2} n!} = B.$$
(6)

Thus, we have

Lemma 3.

$$A = e^B$$
.

This proved equation is the base fact, which we will use anythere below without a special link.

From (1) it follows that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\}}{q^{n(n-1)/2}} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sum_{n,k} \frac{A_{n,k,s}}{n!} x^n (p/q)^k,$$

and by (4), where we put y = p/q, we get following:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{q^{n(n-1)/2} n!} \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\} = \frac{1}{s!} B(x, p/q)^s = \frac{1}{s!} B^s,\tag{7}$$

i.e. the formal series $B^s/s!$ is SG-function of probabilities $\mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\}$ for a fixed number s of connected components.

Let us consider two SG-functions and their product:

$$R = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_n x^n}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}}, \quad T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_n x^n}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}}, \quad RT = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_n x^n}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}}.$$

One can easily proof the following

Lemma 4 (Convolution Formula). For $n \ge 0$:

$$z_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{k(n-k)} r_k t_{n-k}.$$

Further we will use this formula without a special link to it. The next recursion formula for probabilities p_n is an anlogue of a recursion formula for a number of connected graphs, that was obtained in [6].

Lemma 5. For any $n \ge 1$

$$p_n = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} q^{k(n-k)} p_{n-k}.$$
(8)

Proof. By differentiating the relation $A = e^B$ by the parameter x we get:

$$xA' = xAB',$$

hence, from the convolution formula it follows that

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} q^{k(n-k)} k p_k \tag{9}$$

Since $p_0 = 0$ and $\frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ follows

$$1 - p_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k-1} q^{k(n-k)} p_k,$$

and by replacing k by n - k we get the statement of Lemma.

By analogue we can get a recursive formula for probabilities
$$\mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\}$$
.

Lemma 6.

$$\mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\} = \sum_{k=s-1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \mathsf{P}\{\nu_k = s-1\} p_{n-k} q^{k(n-k)}$$
(10)

for $n \ge s > 1$.

Proof. Let us denote

$$B_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{q^{n(n-1)/2} n!} \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\},$$

then by (7) we get:

$$s!B_s(x) = B(x)^s,$$

then by differentiating by x it follows that:

$$s!B'_s = sB^{s-1}B' = s(s-1)!B_{s-1}B',$$

hence,

$$xB'_s = xB_{s-1}B'.$$

From this and according to $\mathsf{P}\{\nu_k = s - 1\} = 0$ as k < s - 1 we get Lemma statement.

Lemma 7. The following relations hold:

$$p_{n+1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_s = n} \frac{n! (1 - q^{k_1}) \dots (1 - q^{k_s})}{s! k_1! \dots k_s!} \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\},$$
(11)

$$p_{n+1} \ge (1-q^n)p_n. \tag{12}$$

Proof. If we put x/q instead of x in the definition of series A, we get that $A' = A(x/q) = e^{B(x/q)}$. On the other side, $A' = B'e^B$. Therefore,

$$B'e^B=e^{B(x/q)},\qquad B'=e^{B(x/q)-B(x)},$$

hence,

$$B' = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n x^n (1-q^n)}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}} \right)^s$$

Now we take the corresponding coefficients before x^n in these series and get the relation (11). The inequation (12) follows from (11) if we left in this summa only the summand with s = 1.

3 Several Equations

Lemma 8. For $s \ge 0$

$$M_s = AB^s$$
,

and in particulary, E = AB.

Proof. By definition,

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n)^{\underline{s}} = \sum_{k=0}^n k^{\underline{s}} \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = k\},$$

hence by (7) we get:

$$M_{s} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n})^{\underline{s}} x^{n}}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{\underline{s}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{P}\{\nu_{n} = k\} x^{n}}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{\underline{s}} B^{s} / s! = B^{s} \sum_{k=s}^{\infty} \frac{B^{k-s}}{(k-s)!} = AB^{s}.$$

Now we consider the connection between moments of ν_n and $\nu_n - 1$.

Lemma 9. For $s \ge 1$

$$M_s = \mathfrak{M}_s + s\mathfrak{M}_{s-1}$$
$$\frac{(-1)^s}{s!}\mathfrak{M}_s = \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}M_k$$

Proof. The first equation is follows from

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = \mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1) \dots (\nu_n - s) = \mathsf{E}(\nu_n)^{\underline{s}} - s\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s-1}},$$

and the second one not hard to proof by induction with the obvious start equation $\mathcal{M}_0 = A = M_0$.

Lemma 10. For $s \ge 1$

$$\frac{M'_s}{s!} = B'\left(\frac{M_s}{s!} + \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!}\right)$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{M}'_s}{s!} = B'\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_s}{s!} + \frac{\mathcal{M}_{s-1}}{(s-1)!}\right) = B'\frac{M_s}{s!}$$

Now we ready to use the operator ^for SG-functions of moments. First of all, we get:

Lemma 11 (Derivative Relashionship Formula). If R is a SG-function, then:

$$\widehat{R} = R'_q + \frac{x^2}{2q}R''$$

Here and below the single quote without a parameter notation denotes the derivative by x, and the derivative by q is marked by index q.

The following equations hold.

Lemma 12.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\frac{M_s}{s!}} &= \frac{x^2}{2q} (B')^2 \left(\frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} + \frac{M_{s-2}}{(s-2)!} \right) = \frac{x^2}{2q} B' \frac{M'_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} \\ \widehat{\frac{M_s}{s!}} &= \frac{x^2}{2q} (B')^2 \left(\frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} + \frac{M_{s-2}}{(s-2)!} \right) = \frac{x^2}{2q} (B')^2 \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} = \frac{x^2}{2q} B' \frac{M'_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the convolution formula and from $\widehat{A} = 0$ we get:

$$A'_q = -\frac{x^2}{2q}A''.$$

From here it follows that:

$$(M_s)'_q = (AB^s)'_q = A'_q B^s + sAB^{s-1}B'_q = A'_q (B^s + sB^{s-1}) = -\frac{x^2}{2q}A''(B^s + sB^{s-1})$$
$$M''_s = (AB^s)'' = (A'B^s + sB^{s-1}A')' = A''(B^s + sB^{s-1}) + A'B'(sB^{s-1} + s(s-1)B^{s-2})$$

Hence by Derivative Relashionship Formula we get that:

$$\widehat{M}_{s} = (M_{s})'_{q} + \frac{x^{2}}{2q}M_{s}'' = \frac{x^{2}}{2q}A'B'(sB^{s-1} + s(s-1)B^{s-2}) = \frac{x^{2}}{2q}(B')^{2}(sM_{s-1} + s(s-1)M_{s-2}),$$

so we have the first equation of statement.

To get the equations for \mathcal{M}_s it is sufficient to use Lemmas 9, 10 and previous relation.

4 Several Inequations

Let denote by \gg that the inequation \geq holds for all coefficient before x^n in the considering series. For example, the notation $\sum a_n x^x \gg \sum b_n x^n$ means that for all *n* the inequation $a_n \geq b_n$ holds. It is easy to verify that:

if $X \gg Y$ and $Z \gg 0$, then $XZ \gg YZ$;

if $X \gg Y$ and $V \gg W$, then $X + V \gg Y + W$.

Lemma 13. For n > 0

$$q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}} \leqslant \mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}} \leqslant \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}$$

Proof. Left inequation follows from:

$$\mathcal{M}'_s = B' M_s \gg M_s$$

with help of convolution formula and because of $B' \gg 1$. Right inequation follows from:

$$\mathcal{M}'_{s} = B'M_{s} = B'AB^{s} = A'B^{s} = A(x/q)B^{s} \ll A(x/q)B(x/q)^{s} = M_{s}(x/q).$$

Lemma 14. For all $n \ge 1$ and $s \ge 1$ the following inequations hold:

$$(n-1)^{\underline{s}} \cdot q^{(n-1)s} \leq \mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \leq 2(n-1)^{\underline{s}}q^{(n-1)(s+1)/2}$$

Proof. Left inequation.

$$\frac{x\mathcal{M}'_s}{s!} = \frac{xB'M_s}{s!} = xA'\frac{B^s}{s!} = xA'B_s,$$

hence, by the convolution formula we get:

$$\frac{n\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^s}{s!} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{k(n-k)} k\mathsf{P}\{\nu_{n-k}=s\},$$

where the last summation we can estimate by the summand as k = n - s, and therefore we have:

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \ge \binom{n}{n-s} s! q^{s(n-s)} q^{s(s-1)/2} \cdot \frac{n-s}{n} = (n-1)^{\underline{s}} \cdot q^{(n-1)s} q^{-s(s-1)/2},$$

here we get the left equation of Lemma statement.

Right inequation. Following relations one can get from the results that were proved above.

$$\frac{x(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{s})'}{s!} = x \left(\frac{x^{2}}{2q}(B')^{2} \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!}\right)' = \frac{x^{2}(B')^{2} + x^{3}B'B''}{q} \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} + \frac{x^{3}(B')^{2}}{2q} \frac{M'_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} = \\ = \frac{x^{2}}{q} \left((B')^{2} \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} + xB'B'' \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} + \frac{x}{2}(B')^{3} \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} + \frac{x}{2}(B')^{3} \frac{M_{s-2}}{(s-2)!}\right) \\ \frac{x^{2}}{qs!} \mathcal{M}_{s}'' = \frac{x^{2}}{qs!} (B'M_{s})' = \frac{x^{2}}{q} \left(B'' \frac{M_{s}}{s!} + (B')^{2} \frac{M_{s}}{s!} + (B')^{2} \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!}\right)$$

$$\frac{x(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_s)'}{s!} - s\frac{x^2}{qs!}\mathcal{M}_s'' = \frac{x^2}{q}(B')^2 \frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!}(1-s) + \frac{x^2}{q}B''M_{s-1}\left(\frac{xB'}{(s-1)!} - \frac{sB}{s!}\right) \\ + \frac{x^2}{q}(B')^2M_{s-1}\left(\frac{xB'}{2(s-1)!} - \frac{sB}{s!}\right) + \frac{x^3}{2q}(B')^3\frac{M_{s-2}}{(s-2)!}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{s})' &- \frac{sx^{2}}{q} \mathcal{M}_{s}'' = s\frac{x^{2}}{q} B'' M_{s-1}(xB'-B) + \frac{sx^{2}}{q} (B')^{2} M_{s-1}(xB'/2-B) \\ &+ s(s-1)\frac{x^{2}}{q} (B')^{2} M_{s-2}(xB'/2-B) = \\ &= s\frac{x^{2}}{q} B'' M_{s-1}(xB'-B) + \frac{s!x^{2}}{q} (B')^{2} \left(\frac{M_{s-1}}{(s-1)!} + \frac{M_{s-2}}{(s-2)!}\right) (xB'/2-B) = \\ &= s\frac{x^{2}}{q} B'' M_{s-1}(xB'-B) + 2\widehat{M}_{s}(xB'/2-B). \end{aligned}$$
(13)

Since $n-1 \ge 0$, $n/2 - 1 \ge 0$ for $n \ge 2$, $n/2 - 1 \ge -1/2$ for n = 1 it follows that

$$xB' - B \gg 0;$$
 $\frac{xB'}{2} - B \gg -\frac{x}{2},$

and from the equations (13) we get the next inequation:

$$x(\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_s)' + x\widehat{M}_s \gg \frac{sx^2}{q}\mathfrak{M}_s''.$$

Now we get coefficients before x^n :

$$n(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}})'_q + nq^{n-1}(\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})'_q \ge \frac{sn(n-1)}{q}\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}.$$

Dividing by n we get:

$$\left(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}\right)'_q + q^{n-1} \left(\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}\right)'_q \ge \frac{s(n-1)}{q} \mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}} \quad \text{as } n > 0.$$
(14)

It is esy to see that

$$q^{n-1}(\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})'_q = (q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})'_q - \frac{(n-1)}{q}q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}},$$

where we use derivative of product. Therefore from this and (14) we get

$$(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})'_q \ge \frac{s(n-1)}{q}\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}+\frac{(n-1)}{q}q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}$$
(15)

Hence, dividing by $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}$ we find the inequation

$$\frac{(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})'_q}{\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}} \ge \frac{n-1}{q} \cdot \frac{s\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}}{\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}}$$
(16)

Note, that the function f(t) = (s+t)/(1+t) not increases as t increases, if $s \ge 1$ and t > 0. From Lemma 13 it follows that:

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \ge q^{n-1} \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}} \quad \text{as } n > 0$$

Therefore from this and (16) we get:

$$\frac{(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})'_q}{\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}} \ge \frac{(s+1)(n-1)}{2q}$$
(17)

Let $q \leq q_1 \leq 1$, and let $\mathsf{E}_1 = \mathsf{E}|_{q=q_1}$. By integrating (17) on the interval $[q;q_1]$ we get follows:

$$\ln\left(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}\right)\Big|_q^{q_1} \ge \frac{(s+1)(n-1)}{2}\ln q\Big|_q^{q_1}$$

Then we put both sides of this inequation into the argument of function e^x , and get:

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}} \leqslant \left(\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q_1^{n-1}\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}\right) \left(\frac{q^{n-1}}{q_1^{n-1}}\right)^{(s+1)/2}$$

or

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \leqslant \left(\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + q_1^{n-1}\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}\right) \left(\frac{q^{n-1}}{q_1^{n-1}}\right)^{(s+1)/2}.$$

Then we set $q_1 = 1$ and finally find that

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \leq 2(n-1)^{\underline{s}}q^{(n-1)(s+1)/2}$$

because as $q_1 = 1$ we have $\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = (n - 1)^{\underline{s}}$.

This proofs the right inequation of Lemma.

Note, that if we put s = n - 1, then we have an equation

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{n-1}} = (n-1)!q^{n(n-1)/2} = (n-1)^{\underline{n-1}}q^{n(n-1)/2},$$

where the right hand side is equal to half of the just proved estimation.

5 Asymptotic behavior of ν_n

In this section we consider an asymptotics of moments $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}}$ as s is fixed and positive. We will study a behavior of moments in the following zones of parameters:

- 1. $p \rightarrow 0, n = \text{const};$
- 2. $q^n \to e^{-\alpha}$, where fixed $\alpha \ge 0$ and $n \to \infty$;
- 3. $q^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$
 - 3.1 $nq^n \to \infty$,
 - $3.2 \ nq^n \to \alpha > 0,$
 - 3.3 $nq^n \to 0$ (in this case p can be a positive constant < 1).

5.1 Asymptotics for n = const

It is easy to prove the following Lemma, because the minimal graph with n vertices and s components is a forest with s trees.

Lemma 15. If $p \to 0$ and n = const, then for any $s \leq n$ the following equation holds: $\mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\} = F_{s,n}p^{n-s} + O(p^{n-s+1})$. In particular, $p_n = n^{n-2}p^{n-1} + O(p^n)$.

Hence we have the following

Theorem 1. If $p \to 0$ and n = const, then for any $s \leq n$:

$$\mathsf{E}\nu_n^s = n^s(1+o(1)), \quad \mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}} \to n^{\underline{s}}.$$

5.2 Asymptotics for $q^n \to e^{-\alpha}$

Let

$$\beta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{k-2}}{k!} x^k.$$

This series converges as $|x| \leq e^{-1}$ and this is a generated function for sequence of numbers of labelled trees [4].

Theorem 2. If $q^n \to e^{-\alpha}$ as $n \to \infty$, where $\alpha \ge 0$, then for $s \ge 0$

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = \left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^{\underline{s}}(1 + o(1)).$$

In particular, for $\alpha = 0$ we have the relation $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \sim n^s$.

Proof. We will use a mathematical induction on the parameter s. It is clear that the statement of Theorem holds for s = 0. Let us suppose that it holds for s - 1 and will show it for $s \ge 1$.

From the relation $x\mathcal{M}'_s = xB'M_s = xB'BM_{s-1} = Bx\mathcal{M}'_{s-1}$ (see Lemma 10) and from the convolution formula we get:

$$\begin{split} n\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}} &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{k(n-k)} p_k(n-k) \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-k}-1)^{\underline{s-1}} = \\ &= n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} q^{k(n-k)} p_k \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-k}-1)^{\underline{s-1}} = n(S_1+S_2), \end{split}$$

where

$$S_{1} = \sum_{k=0}^{k_{0}} \binom{n-1}{k} q^{k(n-k)} p_{k} \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-k}-1)^{\underline{s-1}},$$

$$S_{2} = \sum_{k=k_{0}+1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} q^{k(n-k)} p_{k} \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-k}-1)^{\underline{s-1}}$$

As k is fixed, one can get next relations: $\binom{n-1}{k}q^{k(n-k)} \sim (nq^n)^k/k!$, $p_k \sim k^{k-2}p^{k-1}$ (Lemma 15). And from the induction hypothesis we get: $\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-k})^{\underline{s-1}} \sim (\frac{n}{\alpha}\beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})^{s-1})$. Hence:

$$S_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{(npq^n)^k}{pk!} k^{k-2} \left(\frac{n}{\alpha} \beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^{s-1} (1+o(1)) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{n}{\alpha} \frac{k^{k-2}}{k!} (\alpha e^{-\alpha})^k \left(\frac{n}{\alpha} \beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^{s-1} (1+o(1)),$$

where we use the asymptotics $np \to \alpha$ and $npq^n \to \alpha e^{-\alpha}$, which is follows from Theorem conditions.

So, it is easy to see that $S_1 / \left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^s$ as closed to 1 as k_0 is bigger, because of the convergence of the series $\beta(x)$ for $x = \alpha e^{-\alpha}$.

Let we estimate S_2 . It is clear that $\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-k}-1)^{\underline{s-1}} \leq n^{s-1}$. From this and from the equation (9) we get

$$1 \ge \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} q^{k(n-1-k)} p_k \frac{k}{n-1} \ge \frac{k_0}{n-1} \sum_{k=k_0+1}^n \binom{n-1}{k} q^{k(n-k)} p_k \ge \\ \ge \frac{k_0}{n^s} \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} q^{k(n-k)} p_k \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-k}-1)^{\underline{s-1}} = \frac{k_0}{n^s} S_2.$$

Therefore,

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{k_0} O\left(n^s\right) = \frac{1}{k_0} O\left(\frac{n}{\alpha} \beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^s,$$

i.e. the ratio $S_2/\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^s$ tends to 0 as $k_0 \to \infty$.

Thus, $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = \left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^{\underline{s}}(1 + o(1)).$ In the case of $\alpha = 0$ the proof of Theorem is similary, but instead of $\beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})/\alpha$ we should write 1 at all places.

Asymptotics for $q^n \to 0$ 5.3

Theorem 3. Let $q^n \to 0$ and $nq^n \ge C$ as $n \to \infty$, where fixed C > 0, then

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = (nq^n)^s (1 + o(1)).$$

Proof. We will use an induction by s. It is clear that the statement of Theorem holds for s = 0. Let us suppose that it holds for s - 1 and will show it for $s \ge 1$.

The following relations hold:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n)^{\underline{s}} - \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n+1} - 1)^{\underline{s}})x^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} &= M_s - \mathcal{M}'_s(xq) = M_s - B'(xq)M_s(xq) = \\ &= AB^s - A'(xq)B^s(xq) = A(B^s - B^s(xq)) = A(s!B_s - s!B_s(xq)) = \\ &= A\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) \frac{x^n \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\}s!}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} \ll A\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} np \frac{x^n \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = s\}s!}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}} = \\ &= Apx(B^s)' = spxAB'B^{s-1} = spxB'M_{s-1} = spx\mathcal{M}'_{s-1} = sp\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s-1}}nx^n}{n!q^{n(n-1)/2}}, \end{split}$$

where we use the fact, that $(1 - q^n) \leq n(1 - q) = np$. Therefore we get that

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n)^{\underline{s}} - \mathsf{E}(\nu_{n+1} - 1)^{\underline{s}} \leqslant spn\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s-1}}$$

or

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}} \leqslant \mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} + spn\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1} - 1)^{\underline{s-1}}.$$

From the equation (16) it follows that

$$\frac{(\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})_{q}'}{\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}} \geq \frac{n-1}{q} \cdot \frac{s\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}}{\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}(\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}+snp\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s-1}})} = \frac{n-1}{q} \cdot \frac{s+q^{n-1}(\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}+snp\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s-1}})}{1+q^{n-1}(\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}+snp\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s-1}})} = \frac{n-1}{q} \cdot \frac{s+q^{n-1}+snpq^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s-1}}/\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}}{1+q^{n-1}+snpq^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s-1}}/\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}}}.$$
(18)

By the induction hypothesis and from Lemma 14 we get that

$$\frac{\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s-1}}}{\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}} \leqslant C_1 \frac{(nq^n)^{\underline{s-1}}}{(n-1)^{\underline{s}}q^{(n-1)s}} \leqslant \frac{C_2}{nq^n},$$

where the positive constants C_k , generally speaking, are depends on the parameter s. By putting this inequation into (18) we get:

$$\frac{(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}})'_q}{\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}} \geqslant \frac{n-1}{q} \cdot \frac{s+q^{n-1}+C_4npq^{n-1}/(nq^n)}{1+q^{n-1}+C_4npq^{n-1}/(nq^n)} \geqslant \frac{n-1}{q} (s-sq^{n-1}-C_5npq^{n-1}/(nq^n)) \geqslant \frac{n-1}{q} (s-sq^{n-1}-C_6p) \geqslant g(n-1)sq^{-1}-C_7(n-1)q^{n-2}-C_8np.$$
(19)

Let $q_1 = \varepsilon^{1/(n-1)}$, where ε is an arbitrary small positive number, hence $q_1^{n-1} = \varepsilon$ and $q < q_1$ (it follows from $q^n \to 0$). Besides let denote $\mathsf{E}_1 = \mathsf{E}|_{q=q_1}$ as it was above.

Now, we integrate the inequation (19) on the interval $[q; q_1]$ and get that

$$\ln\left(\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}}+q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}\right)\Big|_q^{q_1} \ge s(n-1)\ln q\Big|_q^{q_1} - (n-1)C_7\frac{q^{n-1}}{n-1}\Big|_q^{q_1} - C_8n(q-q^2/2)\Big|_q^{q_1}$$

 or

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}} + q^{n-1}\mathsf{E}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \left(\mathsf{E}_{1}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}} + q_{1}^{n-1}\mathsf{E}_{1}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}\right) \left(\frac{q}{q_{1}}\right)^{s(n-1)} e^{C_{7}(q_{1}^{n-1}-q^{n-1})} e^{C_{8}n(q_{1}-q+q^{2}/2-q_{1}^{2}/2)} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\mathsf{E}_{1}(\nu_{n}-1)^{\underline{s}} + q_{1}^{n-1}\mathsf{E}_{1}(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}}}{\varepsilon^{s}} q^{s(n-1)} e^{C_{9}\varepsilon}, \quad (20)$$

because $q_1^{n-1} = \varepsilon$ and $n(q_1 - q + q^2/2 - q_1^2/2) = n(q_1 - q)(1 - q/2 - q_1/2) = n(p-p_1)(p/2+p_1/2) \leq np^2 \to 0$. The last expression is follows from $np^2 \cdot nq^n = (np)^2 e^{n \ln q} \to 0$ and from the conditions of Theorem.

By Theorem 2 we get that

$$\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = \left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\beta(\alpha e^{-\alpha})\right)^s (1 + o(1)) = n^s\beta(\alpha\varepsilon)^s / \alpha^s(1 + o(1))$$

where $\alpha = -\ln \varepsilon$.

Besides that,

$$q_1^{n-1}\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_{n-1})^{\underline{s}} = \varepsilon(\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s}} + s\mathsf{E}_1(\nu_{n-1}-1)^{\underline{s-1}}) = \\ = \varepsilon(n^s\beta(\alpha\varepsilon)^s/\alpha^s + sn^{s-1}\beta(\alpha\varepsilon)^{s-1}/\alpha^{s-1})(1+o(1)),$$

because $q_1^{n-1} = \varepsilon$ and again from Theorem 2. From this and from (20) it follows that

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n-1)^{\underline{s}} \leqslant \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\beta(\alpha\varepsilon)^s/\alpha^s + \varepsilon s\beta(\alpha\varepsilon)^{s-1}/\alpha^{s-1}}{\varepsilon^s} n^s q^{sn} e^{C_{10}\varepsilon} (1+o(1)).$$

Therefore, by choosing an arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$ and using the relationship $\beta(x) \sim x$ as $x \to 0$ we get the relation:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^s}{(nq^n)^s} \leqslant \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)\beta(\alpha\varepsilon)^s + s\varepsilon\alpha\beta(\alpha\varepsilon)^{s-1}}{\alpha^s\varepsilon^s} e^{C_{10}\varepsilon} = 1$$

From Lemma 14 we have $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \ge (n - 1)^{\underline{s}}q^{s(n-1)} = (nq^n)^s(1 + o(1))$. Now we see that Theorem follows from theese both equations.

Let $nq^n \to \alpha$, where α is a positive constant. From Theorem 3 we see that $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \to \alpha^s$.

It is known that in this case random variable $(\nu_n - 1)$ tends to Poisson distribution with the parameter α .

Thus we have

Theorem 4. If $nq^n \to \alpha$ as $n \to \infty$ and α is a fixed positive constant, then for any fixed integer $k \ge 1$:

$$\mathsf{P}\{\nu_n = k\} \to \frac{\alpha^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}e^{-\alpha}.$$

From Lemma 14 it follows that if $nq^n \to 0$, then $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1) \simeq nq^{n-1}$. So we can conclude that ν_n tends to 1. Below we'll show an estimation of p_n in this case.

6 Several Consequences

Generally, we can conclude that in all zones of parameters p and n

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} \sim (\beta(npq^n)/p)^s$$
 as $nq^n \to \infty$

and

$$\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)^{\underline{s}} = (\beta(npq^n)/p)^s + o(1)$$
 as $nq^n = O(1)$

It is easy to verify, because if $np \to \infty$ or $np \to 0$, then it follows that $npq^n \to 0$ and $\beta(npq^n)/p \sim nq^n$.

Now we can estimate the probability p_n that graph G(n, p) is connected.

$$p_n = \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n < 2 - 1/n\} = 1 - \mathsf{P}\{\nu_n - 1 \ge 1 - 1/n\} \ge 1 - \mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)\frac{n}{n-1},$$
(21)

and from Lemma 14 we get:

$$p_n \geqslant 1 - 2nq^{n-1}.\tag{22}$$

If we put $p = \frac{c \ln n}{n}$ and c > 1, then we have $nq^{n-1} = n \exp\{-c \ln n + O(\ln^2 n)/n\} = n^{1-c}(1 + O(\ln^2 n)/n)$. Therefore we finally get:

$$p_n \ge 1 - \frac{2}{n^{c-1}} (1 + O(\ln^2 n)/n).$$
 (23)

If $nq^n \to \alpha$ (for example, $p = (\ln n + c + o(1))/n$, where $\alpha = e^{-c}$), then from Theorem 4 we get that:

$$p_n \to e^{-\alpha}$$
.

To estimate p_n as $nq^n \to \infty$ we now consider the isolating probability. Let pi_n be a probability that G(n,p) has an isolated vertex. Let A_i be an event that *i*-th vertex is isolated, then from the Inclusion–exclusion principle we get:

$$pi_n = \mathsf{P}\{A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n\} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} \mathsf{P}\{A_{i_1} \dots A_{i_k}\} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \mathsf{P}\{A_1 \dots A_k\}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathsf{P}\{A_1 \dots A_k\} = q^{k(k-1)/2} q^{k(n-k)}$, so

$$pi_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} q^{k(n-k)} q^{k(k-1)/2} + 1.$$

According to convolution formula we can find that SG-function PI of $\{pi_n\}$ is equal to RT + A, where R and T are SG-functions of the corresponding sequences $\{r_n\}$ and $\{t_n\}$, which are defined as follows: $r_n = (-1)^{n-1}q^{n(n-1)/2}$ and $t_n = 1$.

Hence we have

$$R = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r_n x^n}{n! q^{n(n-1)/2}} = -e^{-x}; \qquad T = A$$

Thus $PI = A - e^{-x}A = A(1 - e^{-x}).$

Since $(1-e^{-x}) \leq x$ it follows that $PI \ll Ax$, and from the convolution formula we obtain

$$pi_n \leqslant nq^{n-1}.\tag{24}$$

It is easy to see that $PI' = A'(1 - e^{-x}) + Ae^{-x} = PI \cdot B' + A - PI \gg PI \cdot B'$, because $A - PI \gg 0$, and from the convolution formula we get:

$$npi_n \ge \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} q^{k(n-k)} pi_{n-k} k p_k \ge n(n-1)q^{n-1} p_{n-1}$$

or

$$pi_{n+1} \ge nq^n p_n \tag{25}$$

So, if $nq^n \to \alpha > 0$, then $pi_n \ge \alpha e^{-\alpha} + o(1)$. And also we have

$$p_n \leqslant p_{n+1}/(nq^n) \leqslant 1/(nq^n) \tag{26}$$

Since $PI = A(1 - e^{-x})$ it follows that $PIe^x = Ae^x - A$ and, therefore, $(PI - e^{-x})$ $A)(e^x - 1) = -PI$. From the relation $e^x - 1 > x$ we get that $PI \gg (A - PI)x$, therefore from the convolution formula we find that $pi_n \ge (1 - pi_n)nq^{n-1}$, then $(1-pi_n) \leq 1/(nq^{n-1})$ and we get finally

$$pi_n \geqslant 1 - \frac{1}{nq^{n-1}} \tag{27}$$

Now we can combine all obtained results (22), (26), (25), (24) and (27) in the following

Theorem 5. For all $n \ge 1$

$$1 - 2nq^{n-1} \leqslant p_n \leqslant \frac{1}{nq^n},$$

$$1 - \frac{1}{nq^n} \leqslant pi_n \leqslant nq^{n-1},$$

$$nq^n p_n \leqslant pi_{n+1}$$

And if $nq^n \ge C > 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then we can substitute nq^n by $\mathsf{E}(\nu_n - 1)(1 + o(1))$ in theese relations.

References

- Erdös, P. and Rényi, A. (1959). "On Random Graphs." Publicationes Mathematicae 6: 290-297.
- [2] Erdös, P. and Rényi, A. (1960) "On the Evolution of Random Graphs." Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci. 5, 17-61.
- [3] Bollobás, B. (2001) Random Graphs (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Kolchin, V. F. Random Graphs. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [5] Sloane, N. J. A. Sequence A062734 in "The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences."
- [6] Harary, Frank; Palmer, Edgar M. (1973). Graphical Enumeration.