ENUMERATION OF STACK-SORTING PREIMAGES VIA A DECOMPOSITION LEMMA

COLIN DEFANT

Princeton University
Fine Hall, 304 Washington Rd.
Princeton, NJ 08544

ABSTRACT. We give three applications of a recently-proven "Decomposition Lemma," which allows one to count preimages of certain sets of permutations under West's stack-sorting map s. We first enumerate the permutation class $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(231, 321)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 3241, 45231)$, settling a conjecture of the current author and finding a new example of an unbalanced Wilf equivalence. We then prove that the sets $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(231, 312))$, $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42)$, and $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 312)) = \mathrm{Av}(1342, 3142, 3412, 34\underline{21})$ are counted by the so-called "Boolean-Catalan numbers," settling a conjecture of the current author and another conjecture of Hossain. This completes the enumerations of all sets of the form $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau^{(r)}))$ for $\{\tau^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau^{(r)}\} \subseteq S_3$ with the exception of the set $\{321\}$. We also find an explicit formula for $|s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231, 312, 321))|$, where $\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231, 312, 321)$ is the set of permutations in $\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 312, 321)$ with k descents. This allows us to prove a conjectured identity involving Catalan numbers and order ideals in Young's lattice.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Background.** We use the word "permutation" to refer to an ordering of a set of positive integers written in one-line notation. Let S_n denote the set of permutations of the set $[n]$. If π is a permutation of length n, then the *normalization* of π is the permutation in S_n obtained by replacing the ith-smallest entry in π with i for all i. We say a permutation is *normalized* if it is equal to its normalization.

Definition 1.1. Given $\tau \in S_m$, we say a permutation $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$ *contains the pattern* τ if there exist indices $i_1 < \cdots < i_m$ in $[n]$ such that the normalization of $\sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_m}$ is τ. We say σ *avoids* τ if it does not contain τ. Let $\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots)$ denote the set of normalized permutations that avoid the patterns $\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots$ (this list of patterns could be finite or infinite). A set of the form $\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots)$ is called a *permutation class*. Let $\mathrm{Av}_n(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots) = \mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots) \cap S_n$.

The investigation of permutation patterns began with Knuth's analysis of a certain "stack-sorting algorithm" [38]. This analysis also marked the first use of what is now called the "kernel method," a powerful enumerative tool that we employ throughout this article (see [2, 10, 11, 41] for more information about this technique). In his dissertation, West [44] defined a deterministic variant of

Knuth's algorithm. This variant is a function, which we call the "stack-sorting map" and denote by s, that sends permutations to permutations. The map s sends the empty permutation to itself. To define $s(\pi)$ when π is a nonempty permutation, we write $\pi = LnR$, where n is the largest entry in π. We then let $s(\pi) = s(L)s(R)n$. For example,

$$s(14253) = s(142)\,s(3)\,5 = s(1)\,s(2)\,4\,3\,5 = 12435.$$

There is now a large amount of literature concerning the stack-sorting map [5–9,12–16,19–35,43–45].

We say a permutation π is *t-stack-sortable* if $s^t(\pi)$ is an increasing permutation, where s^t denotes the t-fold iterate of s. Let $\mathcal{W}_t(n)$ be the set of t-stack-sortable permutations in S_n, and let $W_t(n) = |\mathcal{W}_t(n)|$. Knuth initiated the study of pattern avoidance and the study of stack-sorting (and introduced the kernel method) with the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([38])**.** *A permutation is* 1*-stack-sortable if and only if it avoids the pattern* 231. *Furthermore,* $W_1(n) = |\operatorname{Av}_n(231)| = C_n$, *where* $C_n = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$ *is the* n^{th} *Catalan number.*

In his dissertation, West conjectured that $W_2(n) = \frac{2}{(n+1)(2n+1)}\binom{3n}{n}$. Zeilberger [45] later proved this formula, and other proofs have emerged over the past few decades [20, 22, 32–35]. Some authors have investigated the enumeration of 2-stack-sortable permutations according to various statistics [6,12,14,22,32]. There is little known about t-stack-sortable permutations when $t \geq 3$. The best known upper bound in general is given by the estimate $W_t(n) \leq (t+1)^{2n}$, although this is quite easy to prove using "stack words" [7,8]. The current author [28] proved that $\lim_{n\to\infty} W_3(n) < 12.53296$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} W_4(n) < 21.97225$. Very recently, Bóna [7] reproved the first of these two estimates.

Even more recently, the current author [22] proved a certain "Decomposition Lemma" and used it to give a new proof of Zeilberger's formula for $W_2(n)$ that generalizes in order to count 2-stack-sortable permutations according to their number of descents and number of peaks. The proof also generalizes to the setting of 3-stack-sortable permutations, yielding a recurrence that generates the numbers $W_3(n)$ (more generally, it gives a recurrence for $W_3(n, k, p)$, the number of 3-stack-sortable permutations of length n with k descents and p peaks). This allowed the author to shed light on several conjectures of Bóna concerning 3-stack-sortable permutations, disproving one of them.

West [44] defined the *fertility* of a permutation π to be $|s^{-1}(\pi)|$, the number of preimages of π under s. He then went through a great deal of effort to compute the fertilities of the permutations of the forms

$$23\cdots k1(k+1)\cdots n, \quad 12\cdots(k-2)k(k-1)(k+1)\cdots n, \quad \text{and} \quad k12\cdots(k-1)(k+1)\cdots n.$$

The very specific forms of these permutations indicates the difficulty of computing fertilities. Bousquet-Mélou [13] found a method for determining whether or not a given permutation is *sorted*, meaning that its fertility is positive. She asked for a general method for computing the fertility of any given permutation. The current author achieved this in even greater generality in [27–29] using new combinatorial objects called "valid hook configurations." He and his coauthors have applied this method in order to prove several results about fertilities of permutations, many of which link the stack-sorting map to other fascinating combinatorial objects and sequences [21–25, 27–31].

Define the fertility of a set A of permutations to be $|s^{-1}(A)|$. In [29], the current author computed the fertilities of many sets of the form $\operatorname{Av}_n(\tau^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau^{(r)})$ for $\tau^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau^{(r)} \in S_3$. He also refined these enumerative results according to the statistics that count descents and peaks. Investigations of preimages of permutation classes appeared earlier in [14] and [19] and appeared more recently in [25]; this line of work is motivated by the observations that $\mathcal{W}_1(n) = s^{-1}(\operatorname{Av}_n(21))$

and $\mathcal{W}_2(n) = s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231))$. Further motivation comes from the fact that preimages of permutation classes under s are often themselves permutation classes. For example, $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(321)) = \mathrm{Av}(34251, 35241, 45231)$. Our goal in the present article is to compute fertilities of some sets of permutations that were not completed in [29]. We do this via the Decomposition Lemma, which, when combined with generating function tools such as the kernel method, gives a unified technique for computing fertilities.

1.2. **Summary of Main Results.** Section 2 is brief; its purpose is simply to state the Decomposition Lemma. In Section 3, we use the Decomposition Lemma to prove that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 321))| x^n = \frac{1}{1 - xC(xC(x))},$$

where $C(x) = \dfrac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}$ is the generating function of the Catalan numbers. This proves Conjecture 12.3 from [29]. This is notable because

$$s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(231, 321)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 3241, 45231).$$

Callan [18] proved that $\dfrac{1}{1 - xC(xC(x))}$ is the generating function of the permutation class $\mathrm{Av}(4321, 4213)$, and Bloom and Vatter [4] later reproved this result with a clearer bijection. The authors of [39] showed that this expression is also the generating function for $\mathrm{Av}(2413, 2431, 23154)$, which means that $\mathrm{Av}(4321, 4213)$ and $\mathrm{Av}(2413, 2431, 23154)$ form a pair of "unbalanced" Wilf equivalent permutation classes. Unbalanced Wilf equivalent pairs of finitely-based[1] permutation classes were not known to exist until very recently [3, 17]. Our theorem proves that $\mathrm{Av}(2341, 3241, 45231)$ is also Wilf equivalent to $\mathrm{Av}(4321, 4213)$ and $\mathrm{Av}(2413, 2431, 23154)$, providing yet another example of a pair of unbalanced Wilf equivalent finitely-based classes.

In Section 4, we consider the chain of equalities

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132, 312))| x^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 312))| x^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132, 231))| x^n$$

$$= \frac{1 - 2x - \sqrt{1 - 4x - 4x^2}}{4x}.$$

The first of these equalities was proven in [29], while the second was proven in [25]. We use the Decomposition Lemma to prove the third equality, completing the chain and proving Conjecture 10.1 from [29]. The expression $\dfrac{1 - 2x - \sqrt{1 - 4x - 4x^2}}{4x}$ is the generating function of OEIS sequence A071356 [40]. Hossain [36] has named these numbers "Boolean-Catalan numbers" and has discussed several interesting combinatorial properties that they enjoy. The above chain of inequalities is important because one can show that

$$s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42)$$

and

$$s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 312)) = \mathrm{Av}(1342, 3142, 3412, 34\underline{21}),$$

where the underlines are used to represent so-called "vincular patterns" (defined in Section 4). Therefore, we are able to use the stack-sorting map as a tool in order to enumerate sets of permutations avoiding certain vincular patterns. This is particularly noteworthy in the case of the set $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42)$. Indeed, Hossain [37] *conjectured* that this set of permutations is enumerated by the Boolean-Catalan numbers. As far as we are aware, there is no

---

[1]We say a permutation class $\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots)$ is *finitely-based* if the list $\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots$ is finite.

known proof of this fact that does not make use of the stack-sorting map. A similar situation arose in [29], where the current author used stack-sorting to prove a conjecture of Bruner.

Applying the Decomposition Lemma yet again in Section 5, we prove that

$$|s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231,312,321))| = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n-k-1}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n},$$

where $\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231,312,321)$ is the set of permutations in $\mathrm{Av}_n(231,312,321)$ with k descents. This yields a new proof of Theorem 6.2 from [29]. It also allows us to prove Conjecture 6.1 from that same article, which is an identity involving Catalan numbers and orderings on integer compositions and partitions.

There are 64 subsets $\{\tau^{(1)},\ldots,\tau^{(r)}\}$ of S_3; for each one, we have the problem of enumerating the permutations in $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)},\ldots,\tau^{(r)}))$. Many of these enumerations were accomplished in [14, 29, 38, 45]. The main results of Sections 3 and 4 below finish the last remaining cases with the single exception of the set $\{321\}$.

1.3. General Proof Strategy.

The *tail length* of a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n \in S_n$, denoted $\mathrm{tl}(\pi)$, is the smallest nonnegative integer ℓ such that $\pi_{n-\ell} \neq n - \ell$ (the tail length of $1 \cdots n$ is n). Our strategy for proving the results in Sections 3–5 is to use the Decomposition Lemma in order to count various sets of permutations according to the statistic tls defined by $\mathrm{tls}(\pi) = \mathrm{tl}(s(\pi))$. One interesting property of this statistic is that it is closely related to the statistic zeil that Zeilberger used when he counted 2-stack-sortable permutations. For $\sigma \in S_n$, $\mathrm{zeil}(\sigma)$ is the largest positive integer m such that $n, n-1, \ldots, n-m+1$ appear in decreasing order in σ. It is proven in [25] that

$$\mathrm{zeil}(\sigma) = \min\{\mathrm{rmax}(\sigma), \mathrm{tls}(\sigma)\},$$

where $\mathrm{rmax}(\sigma)$ is the number of right-to-left maxima of σ. Our enumerations according to the statistic tls will yield generating function equations involving catalytic variables that we can remove using the kernel method.

2. The Decomposition Lemma

A *descent* of a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$ is an index $i \in [n-1]$ such that $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$. The *plot* of π is the diagram showing the points (i, π_i) for all $i \in [n]$. For example, the image on the left in Figure 1 is the plot of 31542678. A *hook* of a permutation is obtained by starting at a point (i, π_i) in the plot of π, drawing a vertical line segment moving upward, and then drawing a horizontal line segment to the right that connects with a point (j, π_j). This only makes sense if $i < j$ and $\pi_i < \pi_j$. The point (i, π_i) is called the *southwest endpoint* of the hook, while (j, π_j) is called the *northeast endpoint*. Let $\mathsf{SW}_i(\pi)$ be the set of hooks of π with southwest endpoint (i, π_i). The right image in Figure 1 shows a hook of 31542678. This hook is in $\mathsf{SW}_3(31542678)$ because its southwest endpoint is $(3, 5)$.

Recall that the tail length $\mathrm{tl}(\pi)$ of a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n \in S_n$ is the smallest nonnegative integer ℓ such that $\pi_{n-\ell} \neq n - \ell$ (and $\mathrm{tl}(1 \cdots n) = n$). The *tail* of π is the sequence of points $(n - \mathrm{tl}(\pi) + 1, n - \mathrm{tl}(\pi) + 1), \ldots, (n, n)$ in the plot of π. For example, the tail length of the permutation 31542678 shown in Figure 1 is 3, and the tail of this permutation is $(6, 6), (7, 7), (8, 8)$. We say a descent d of π is *tail-bound* if every hook in $\mathsf{SW}_d(\pi)$ has its northeast endpoint in the tail of π. The tail-bound descents of 31542678 are 3 and 4.

FIGURE 1. The left image is the plot of 31542678. The right image shows this plot along with a single hook.

Suppose H is a hook of a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$ with southwest endpoint (i, π_i) and northeast endpoint (j, π_j). Let $\pi_U^H = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_i \pi_{j+1} \cdots \pi_n$ and $\pi_S^H = \pi_{i+1} \cdots \pi_{j-1}$. The permutations π_U^H and π_S^H are called the H-*unsheltered subpermutation of* π and the H-*sheltered subpermutation of* π, respectively. For example, if $\pi = 31542678$ and H is the hook shown on the right in Figure 1, then $\pi_U^H = 3158$ and $\pi_S^H = 426$. In all of the cases we consider in this paper, the plot of π_S^H lies completely below the hook H in the plot of π (it is "sheltered" by the hook H).

Lemma 2.1 (Decomposition Lemma, [22]). *If d is a tail-bound descent of a permutation $\pi \in S_n$, then*

$$|s^{-1}(\pi)| = \sum_{H \in \mathsf{SW}_d(\pi)} |s^{-1}(\pi_U^H)| \cdot |s^{-1}(\pi_S^H)|.$$

3. ENUMERATING $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(231, 321))$

In his dissertation, West [44] proved that a permutation is in $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(231))$ (that is, it is 2-stack-sortable) if and only if it avoids the pattern 2341 and avoids any 3241 pattern that is not part of a 35241 pattern. As mentioned in the introduction, $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(321)) = \mathrm{Av}(34251, 35241, 45231)$. Combining these two facts, it is straightforward to check that

(1) $$s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(231, 321)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 3241, 45231).$$

In this section, we use the Decomposition Lemma to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 321))| x^n = \frac{1}{1 - xC(xC(x))},$$

where $C(x) = \dfrac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}$ is the generating function of the sequence of Catalan numbers.

This is notable because it tells us that we can use the stack-sorting map and the Decomposition Lemma as tools in order to enumerate the permutation class $\mathrm{Av}(2341, 3241, 45231)$, which, as far as we are aware, has not been enumerated yet. As mentioned in the introduction, $\dfrac{1}{1 - xC(xC(x))}$ is also the generating function of the sequence that enumerates the permutation classes $\mathrm{Av}(4321, 4213)$ [4, 18] and $\mathrm{Av}(2413, 2431, 23145)$ [39]. In particular, Theorem 3.1 tells us that the permutation classes $\mathrm{Av}(4321, 4213)$ and $\mathrm{Av}(2341, 3241, 45231)$ form a new example of an unbalanced Wilf equivalence, as defined in [3, 17].

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Av}_{n+\ell}(231, 321) : \mathrm{tl}(\pi) = \ell\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell}(n) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Av}_{n+\ell}(231, 321) : \mathrm{tl}(\pi) \geq \ell\}.$$

Let $B_\ell(n) = |s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(n))|$ and $B_{\geq \ell}(n) = |s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell}(n))|$.

Suppose $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(n+1)$ is such that $\pi_{n+1-i} = n+1$. Note that $n+1-i$ is a tail-bound descent of π because every point in the plot of π that is higher than $(n+1-i, n+1)$ is in the tail of π. The Decomposition Lemma tells us that $|s^{-1}(\pi)|$ is equal to the number of triples (H, μ, λ), where $H \in \mathsf{SW}_{n+1-i}(\pi)$, $\mu \in s^{-1}(\pi_U^H)$, and $\lambda \in s^{-1}(\pi_S^H)$. Choosing H amounts to choosing the number $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that the northeast endpoint of H is $(n+1+j, n+1+j)$. The permutation π and the choice of H determine the permutations π_U^H and π_S^H. On the other hand, the choices of H and the permutations π_U^H and π_S^H uniquely determine π. It follows that $B_\ell(n+1)$, which is the number of ways to choose an element of $s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(n+1))$, is also the number of ways to choose j, the permutations π_U^H and π_S^H, and the permutations μ and λ. Let us fix a choice of j.

Because π avoids 231, π_U^H must be a permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, n-i\} \cup \{n+1\} \cup \{n+2+j, \ldots, n+\ell+1\}$, while π_S^H must be a permutation of $\{n-i+1, \ldots, n+j\} \setminus \{n+1\}$. In fact, π_S^H is the increasing permutation of the $(i+j-1)$-element set $\{n-i+1, \ldots, n+j\} \setminus \{n+1\}$ because π avoids 321. According to Theorem 1.1, there are C_{i+j-1} choices for λ. Choosing π_U^H is equivalent to choosing its normalization, which is an element of $\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-i)$. Any element of $\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-i)$ can be chosen as the normalization of π_U^H. Also, π_U^H has the same fertility as its normalization. Combining these facts, we find that the number of choices for π_U^H and μ is $|s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-i))| = B_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-i)$. We obtain the recurrence relation

$$(2) \qquad\qquad B_\ell(n+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} C_{i+j-1} B_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-i).$$

Let

$$G_\ell(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{\geq \ell}(n) x^n \quad \text{and} \quad I(x, y) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} G_\ell(x) y^\ell.$$

Note that

$$G_\ell(0) = B_{\geq \ell}(0) = |s^{-1}(123 \cdots \ell)| = C_\ell$$

by Theorem 1.1. Our goal is to understand the generating function

$$I(x, 0) = G_0(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{\geq 0}(n) x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 321))| x^n.$$

The recurrence (2) tells us that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} B_\ell(n+1) x^n = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i+j-1} B_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-i) x^n = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} C_{i+j-1} x^i \right) G_{\ell-j+1}(x),$$

so

$$\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} B_\ell(n+1) x^n y^\ell = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} C_{i+j-1} x^i \right) G_{\ell-j+1}(x) y^\ell$$

$$(3) \qquad = \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{i \geq 1} C_{i+j-1} x^i y^{j-1} \right) (I(x, y) - I(x, 0)) = x \frac{C(x) - C(y)}{x - y} (I(x, y) - I(x, 0)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} B_\ell(n+1) x^n y^\ell = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{\geq \ell}(n+1) x^n y^\ell - \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} B_{\geq \ell + 1}(n) x^n y^\ell$$

$$\text{(4)} \qquad = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} (G_\ell(x) - C_\ell) y^\ell - \frac{1}{y} \sum_{\ell \geq 0} G_{\ell+1}(x) y^{\ell+1} = \frac{I(x,y) - C(y)}{x} - \frac{I(x,y) - I(x,0)}{y}.$$

Combining (3) and (4) and rearranging terms, we get the equation

$$\text{(5)} \qquad x(I(x,y) - I(x,0)) \left(x \frac{C(x) - C(y)}{x - y} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \right) = I(x,0) - C(y).$$

We now employ the kernel method (see [2, 10, 11, 41] for more on this method). There is a unique power series $Y = Y(x)$ such that $Y(x) = x + O(x^2)$ and $x \frac{C(x) - C(Y)}{x - Y} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{Y} = 0$. Substituting this into (5), we find that $I(x,0) = C(Y)$. For ease of notation, let $u = I(x,0)$ and $v = \frac{1}{1 - xC(xC(x))}$. We wish to show that $u = v$.

Combining the equation $x \frac{C(x) - C(Y)}{x - Y} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{Y} = 0$ with the standard Catalan functional equation $YC(Y)^2 + 1 - C(Y) = 0$ yields

$$u = C(Y) = C(x) + \frac{(x - Y)^2}{x^2 Y} = C(x) + \frac{(x - \frac{u-1}{u^2})^2}{x^2 \frac{u-1}{u^2}}.$$

If we solve for $C(x)$ and use the functional equation $xC(x)^2 + 1 - C(x) = 0$, we obtain

$$x \left(u - \frac{(x - \frac{u-1}{u^2})^2}{x^2 \frac{u-1}{u^2}} \right)^2 + 1 - \left(u - \frac{(x - \frac{u-1}{u^2})^2}{x^2 \frac{u-1}{u^2}} \right) = 0.$$

We can simplify this last equation to find that $Q(u,x) \frac{1 - u + xu^2}{x^3 u^4 (u-1)^2} = 0$, where Q is the polynomial given by

$$\text{(6)} \qquad Q(a, x) = 1 - 3a + (3 + 2x)a^2 + (-1 - 4x + 2x^2)a^3 + (2x - 2x^2 + x^3)a^4.$$

It is easy to see that $1 - u + xu^2 \neq 0$, so $Q(u,x) = 0$.

We have $1 - \frac{1}{v} = xC(xC(x))$, so

$$C(x) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v} \right)^2 = x^2 C(x) C(xC(x))^2 = x(C(xC(x)) - 1),$$

where the last equality follows from the Catalan functional equation. This means that

$$1 - x - C(x) \left(1 - \frac{1}{v} \right)^2 = 1 - xC(xC(x)) = \frac{1}{v}.$$

It follows that $C(x) = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{v} - x}{(1 - \frac{1}{v})^2}$, so we can use the Catalan functional equation to find that

$$x \left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{v} - x}{(1 - \frac{1}{v})^2} \right)^2 + 1 - \frac{1 - \frac{1}{v} - x}{(1 - \frac{1}{v})^2} = 0.$$

After simplifying this equation, we find that $Q(v,x)\frac{1}{(v-1)^4} = 0$, where Q is the polynomial in (6). Hence, $Q(v,x) = 0$.

We now know that $Q(u,x) = Q(v,x) = 0$. There are four Laurent series $F(x)$ satisfying $Q(F(x),x) = 0$, but only one satisfies $F(x) = 1 + x + O(x^2)$. This proves that $u = v$, as desired. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.1. Now that we have determined $I(x,0)$, one could use (5) to find the bivariate generating function $I(x,y)$, which counts the permutations in the set $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(231,321)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 3241, 45231)$ according to length and an additional statistic.

4. ENUMERATING $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231))$

Many analogues and generalizations of classical permutation patterns have emerged over the past few decades. One of the most notable notions is that of a "vincular pattern," which appeared first in [1] and has garnered a large amount of attention ever since. We refer the reader to the survey [42] and the references therein for further background. A *vincular pattern* is a permutation pattern in which some consecutive entries can be underlined. We say a permutation *contains* a vincular pattern if it contains an occurrence of the permutation pattern in which underlined entries are consecutive. For example, saying that a permutation $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$ contains the vincular pattern $\underline{32}41$ means that there are indices $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4$ such that $\sigma_{i_4} < \sigma_{i_2} < \sigma_{i_1} < \sigma_{i_3}$ and $i_2 = i_1 + 1$. We say a permutation *avoids* a vincular pattern τ if it does not contain τ. Let $\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots)$ be the set of normalized permutations avoiding the vincular patterns $\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots$, and let $\mathrm{Av}_n(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots) = \mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \tau^{(2)}, \ldots) \cap S_n$.

There are many fascinating combinatorial properties of the OEIS sequence A071356 [40]; several are listed in the recent article [36]. That article names the numbers in this sequence "Boolean-Catalan numbers." One can define this sequence via its generating function

$$\frac{1 - 2x - \sqrt{1 - 4x - 4x^2}}{4x}.$$

Hossain [37] has conjectured that the Boolean-Catalan numbers enumerate the permutations in $\mathrm{Av}(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42)$. We will see that this set is precisely $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231))$. We will then enumerate these permutations via the Decomposition Lemma, proving Hossain's conjecture. It is interesting that the only known proof of this conjecture makes heavy use of the stack-sorting map.

The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 4.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132, 312))|x^n = \sum_{n\geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 312))|x^n = \sum_{n\geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132, 231))|x^n$$

$$= \frac{1 - 2x - \sqrt{1 - 4x - 4x^2}}{4x}.$$

This theorem settles Conjecture 10.1 from [29]. Before proving it, we show how to deduce from it the following corollary, part of which settles Hossain's conjecture.

Corollary 4.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} |\mathrm{Av}_n(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42)|x^n = \sum_{n\geq 1} |\mathrm{Av}_n(1342, 3142, 3412, 34\underline{21})|x^n$$

$$= \frac{1 - 2x - \sqrt{1 - 4x - 4x^2}}{4x}.$$

Proof. This corollary will follow immediately from Theorem 4.1 if we can show that

$$s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42)$$

and

$$s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 312)) = \mathrm{Av}(1342, 3142, 3412, 34\underline{21}).$$

We prove the first of these equalities, the proof of the second is similar. If σ contains 2341 or $\underline{3}241$, then it follows from West's characterization of 2-stack-sortable permutations (mentioned at the start of Section 3) that $s(\sigma)$ contains 231. It is also straightforward to check that $s(\sigma)$ contains 132 if σ contains 1342 or $\underline{3}142$. This proves the containment

$$s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231)) \subseteq \mathrm{Av}(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42).$$

Now suppose $s(\sigma)$ contains either 132 or 231. We want to prove that σ contains one of the vincular patterns $2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42$. We will assume that σ avoids $2341, 1342, \underline{32}41$ and prove that it contains $\underline{31}42$. Let us first assume $s(\sigma)$ contains 231. Using West's characterization of 2-stack-sortable permutations and the assumption that σ avoids 2341, we see that σ contains a 3241 pattern that is not part of a 35241 pattern. Therefore, there are indices $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4$ such that $\sigma_{i_4} < \sigma_{i_2} < \sigma_{i_1} < \sigma_{i_3}$ and such that no entry lying between σ_{i_1} and σ_{i_2} is larger than σ_{i_3}. We may assume that among all such choices for i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, we have made a choice that minimizes $i_2 - i_1$. Because σ avoids $\underline{32}41$, $i_2 \geq i_1 + 2$. Our choice of i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 guarantees that $\sigma_{i_1+1} < \sigma_{i_3}$. One can check that the minimality of $i_2 - i_1$ forces $\sigma_{i_1+1} < \sigma_{i_4}$. However, this means that the normalization of $\sigma_{i_1+1}\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_3}\sigma_{i_4}$ is 1342, contradicting our assumption that σ avoids 1342. This shows that $s(\sigma)$ avoids 231, so it must contain 132.

One can show [19, 29] that a permutation is in $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132))$ if and only if it avoids the pattern 1342 and avoids any 3142 pattern that is not part of either a 34152 pattern or a 35142 pattern. Since we are assuming that $s(\sigma)$ contains 132 and that σ avoids 1342, σ must contain a 3142 pattern that is not part of either a 34152 pattern or a 35142 pattern. This means that there are indices $i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4$ such that $\sigma_{i_2} < \sigma_{i_4} < \sigma_{i_1} < \sigma_{i_3}$ and such that no entry lying between σ_{i_1} and σ_{i_2} is larger than σ_{i_1}. We may assume that among all such choices for i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, we have made a choice that minimizes $i_2 - i_1$. In fact, one can show that this minimality assumption forces $i_2 - i_1 = 1$. Hence, $\sigma_{i_1}\sigma_{i_2}\sigma_{i_3}\sigma_{i_4}$ is an occurrence of the vincular pattern $\underline{31}42$ in σ. $\square$

In the following proof, we recycle our notation from the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Section 3. This is meant to elucidate the parallels between the proofs.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The first and second equalities in Theorem 4.1 were proven in [29] and [25], respectively, so we need only prove the last equality. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Av}_{n+\ell}(132, 231) : \mathrm{tl}(\pi) = \ell\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell}(n) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Av}_{n+\ell}(132, 231) : \mathrm{tl}(\pi) \geq \ell\}.$$

Let $B_\ell(n) = |s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(n))|$ and $B_{\geq \ell}(n) = |s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell}(n))|$.

Suppose $n \geq 1$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(n+1)$. Using the fact that π avoids 132 and 231 and has tail length $\ell < n + \ell + 1$, we easily find that $\pi_1 = n + 1$ and that 1 is a tail-bound descent of π. The Decomposition Lemma tells us that $|s^{-1}(\pi)|$ is equal to the number of triples (H, μ, λ), where $H \in \mathsf{SW}_1(\pi)$, $\mu \in s^{-1}(\pi_U^H)$, and $\lambda \in s^{-1}(\pi_S^H)$. Choosing H amounts to choosing the number $j \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ such that the northeast endpoint of H is $(n + 1 + j, n + 1 + j)$. The permutation π

and the choice of H determine the permutations π_U^H and π_S^H. On the other hand, the choices of H and the permutations π_U^H and π_S^H uniquely determine π. It follows that $B_\ell(n+1)$, which is the number of ways to choose an element of $s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(n+1))$, is also the number of ways to choose j, the permutations π_U^H and π_S^H, and the permutations μ and λ. Let us fix a choice of j.

The permutation π_U^H must be the increasing permutation $(n+1)(n+2+j)\cdots(n+\ell+1)$ of length $\ell - j + 1$. By Theorem 1.1, there are $C_{\ell-j+1}$ choices for μ. Now, π_S^H is a permutation of the $(n+j-1)$-element set $\{1,\dots,n+j\} \setminus \{n+1\}$, so choosing π_S^H is equivalent to choosing its normalization. This normalization is in $\mathcal{D}_{\geq j-1}(n)$. Any element of $\mathcal{D}_{\geq j-1}(n)$ can be chosen as the normalization of π_S^H. Also, π_S^H has the same fertility as its normalization. Combining these facts, we find that the number of choices for π_S^H and λ is $|s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\geq j-1}(n))| = B_{\geq j-1}(n)$. We obtain the recurrence relation

$$(7) \qquad B_\ell(n+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} C_{\ell-j+1} B_{\geq j-1}(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let

$$G_\ell(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} B_{\geq \ell}(n) x^n \quad \text{and} \quad I(x,y) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} G_\ell(x) y^\ell.$$

Note that

$$G_\ell(0) = B_{\geq \ell}(0) = |s^{-1}(123\cdots\ell)| = C_\ell$$

by Theorem 1.1. Let $C(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} C_n x^n = \dfrac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}$ be the generating function of the sequence of Catalan numbers. Since $B_{\geq 0}(n) = |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132,231))|$, our goal is to understand the generating function

$$I(x,0) - 1 = G_0(x) - 1 = \sum_{n\geq 0} B_{\geq 0}(n) x^n - 1 = \sum_{n\geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132,231))| x^n.$$

Note that $B_\ell(1) = 0$ because there are no permutations of length $\ell + 1$ with tail length ℓ. Therefore, the recurrence (7) tells us that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} B_\ell(n+1) x^n = \sum_{n\geq 1} B_\ell(n+1) x^n = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} C_{\ell-j+1} \sum_{n\geq 1} B_{\geq j-1}(n) x^n = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} C_{\ell-j+1}(G_{j-1}(x) - C_{j-1}).$$

Consequently,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\ell \geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_\ell(n+1) x^n y^\ell = \sum_{\ell \geq 0}\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} C_{\ell-j+1}(G_{j-1}(x) - C_{j-1}) y^\ell = (C(y) - 1)(I(x,y) - C(y)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\ell \geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_\ell(n+1) x^n y^\ell = \sum_{\ell \geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_{\geq \ell}(n+1) x^n y^\ell - \sum_{\ell \geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_{\geq \ell+1}(n) x^n y^\ell$$

$$(9) \qquad = \frac{1}{x}\sum_{\ell \geq 0}(G_\ell(x) - C_\ell) y^\ell - \frac{1}{y}\sum_{\ell \geq 0} G_{\ell+1}(x) y^{\ell+1} = \frac{I(x,y) - C(y)}{x} - \frac{I(x,y) - I(x,0)}{y}.$$

Combining (8) and (9) and rearranging terms, we get the equation

$$(10) \qquad I(x,y)(xy(C(y) - 1) - y + x) = -yC(y) + xI(x,0) + xyC(y)(C(y) - 1).$$

As in the previous section, we use the kernel method. Let

$$Y = Y(x) = \frac{3x + 2x^2 - x\sqrt{1 - 4x - 4x^2}}{2(1 + x)^2}.$$

After verifying that $xY(C(Y) - 1) - Y + x = 0$, we use (10) to find that

$$I(x, 0) - 1 = -1 + \frac{1}{x}(YC(Y)) - xYC(Y)(C(Y) - 1).$$

After some elementary algebraic manipulations, this expression simplifies to the desired generating function

$$\frac{1 - 2x - \sqrt{1 - 4x - 4x^2}}{4x}. \qquad \square$$

Remark 4.1. Now that we have determined $I(x, 0)$, one could use (10) to find the bivariate generating function $I(x, y)$, which counts the permutations in the set $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(132, 231)) = \mathrm{Av}(2341, 1342, \underline{32}41, \underline{31}42)$ according to length and an additional statistic.

5. Counting $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231, 312, 321))$

A *composition of b into a parts* is an a-tuple of positive integers that sum to b. Let $\mathrm{Comp}_a(b)$ denote the set of all compositions of b into a parts. There is a natural partial order $\preceq$ on $\mathrm{Comp}_a(b)$ defined by declaring that $(x_1, \ldots, x_a) \preceq (y_1, \ldots, y_a)$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} y_i$ for all $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, a\}$. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_a) \in \mathrm{Comp}_a(b)$, let

$$D_x = |\{y \in \mathrm{Comp}_a(b) : y \preceq x\}|.$$

Let $\psi(x)$ be the partition (composition with nonincreasing parts) that has x_i parts of size $a - i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, a - 1\}$ (and has no parts of size at least a). The quantity D_x can also be interpreted as the number of partitions whose Young diagrams fit inside the Young diagram of $\psi(x)$. Said differently, D_x is the size of the order ideal in Young's lattice generated by $\psi(x)$ (see [29] for more details). Let us write $C_x = \prod_{t=1}^{a} C_{x_t}$, where C_j is the jth Catalan number. Put together, Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 in [29] state that

$$(11) \quad |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 312, 321))| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n-k-1}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{q \in \mathrm{Comp}_{k+1}(n-k)} C_q D_q.$$

From this equation, it is natural to conjecture that

$$(12) \qquad \sum_{q \in \mathrm{Comp}_{k+1}(n-k)} C_q D_q = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n-k-1}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n}$$

for all nonnegative integers n and k; this is the content of Conjecture 6.1 in [29]. In that article, the current author showed that this conjecture is equivalent to the following theorem. Let $\mathrm{des}(\pi)$ denote the number of descents of a permutation π.

Theorem 5.1. *For all $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$|s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231, 312, 321))| = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n-k-1}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n},$$

where $\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231, 312, 321) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Av}_n(231, 312, 321) : \mathrm{des}(\pi) = k\}$.

In this section, we use the Decomposition Lemma to prove this theorem, thereby settling the aforementioned conjecture. Our result implies the first equality in (11), so the proof given in this section yields a completely new proof of Theorem 6.2 from [29] (see that article for more details).

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_\ell(n,k) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Av}_{n+\ell,k}(231,312,321) : \mathrm{tl}(\pi) = \ell\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell}(n,k) = \{\pi \in \mathrm{Av}_{n+\ell,k}(231,312,321) : \mathrm{tl}(\pi) \geq \ell\}.$$

Let $B_\ell(n,k) = |s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(n,k))|$ and $B_{\geq \ell}(n,k) = |s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell}(n,k))|$.

Suppose $n \geq 0$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_\ell(n+1,k)$. Using the fact that π avoids 312 and 321, we easily find that $\pi_n = n+1$. Because π avoids 231, we must have $\pi_{n+1} = n$. Note that n is a tail-bound descent of π. The Decomposition Lemma tells us that $|s^{-1}(\pi)|$ is equal to the number of triples (H,μ,λ), where $H \in \mathsf{SW}_n(\pi)$, $\mu \in s^{-1}(\pi_U^H)$, and $\lambda \in s^{-1}(\pi_S^H)$. Choosing H amounts to choosing the number $j \in \{1,\ldots,\ell\}$ such that the northeast endpoint of H is $(n+1+j,n+1+j)$. Let us fix such a j. The permutation $\pi_S^H = n(n+2)(n+3)\cdots(n+j)$ is an increasing permutation of length j. Therefore, there are C_j choices for λ by Theorem 1.1.

Now, π_U^H is a permutation of the set $\{1,\ldots,n-1\} \cup \{n+1\} \cup \{n+j+2,\ldots,n+\ell+1\}$, which has $n+\ell-j$ elements. Therefore, choosing π_U^H is equivalent to choosing its normalization. The descents of π_U^H are simply the descents of π other than n, so $\mathrm{des}(\pi_U^H) = \mathrm{des}(\pi) - 1 = k-1$. It follows that the normalization of π_U^H is in $\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-1,k-1)$. Any permutation in $\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-1,k-1)$ can be chosen as the normalization of π_U^H. Since π_U^H has the same fertility as its normalization, the number of choices for π_U^H and μ is $|s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-1,k-1))| = B_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-1,k-1)$. We obtain the recurrence relation

$$(13) \qquad\qquad B_\ell(n+1,k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} B_{\geq \ell-j+1}(n-1,k-1)C_j.$$

Let

$$G_{\ell,k}(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} B_{\geq \ell}(n,k)x^n, \quad I_k(x,y) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} G_{\ell,k}(x)y^\ell, \quad \text{and} \quad J(x,y,z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} I_k(x,y)z^k.$$

Note that

$$G_{\ell,k}(0) = B_{\geq \ell}(0,k) = |s^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\geq \ell}(0,k))| = \delta_{k0}C_\ell,$$

where δ_{k0} is the Kronecker delta. Let $C(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} C_n x^n = \dfrac{1-\sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}$. Because $B_{\geq 0}(n,k) = |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231,312,321))|$, our goal is to understand the generating function

$$J(x,0,z) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k\geq 0} B_{\geq 0}(n,k)x^n z^k = \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k\geq 0} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_{n,k}(231,312,321))|x^n z^k.$$

The recurrence (13) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} B_\ell(n+1,k)x^n = x\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} G_{\ell-j+1,k-1}(x)C_j,$$

so

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\ell \geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_\ell(n+1,k)x^n y^\ell = x\sum_{\ell\geq 0}\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} G_{\ell-j+1,k-1}(x)C_j y^\ell = x\frac{C(y)-1}{y}(I_{k-1}(x,y) - I_{k-1}(x,0)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\ell\geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_\ell(n+1,k)x^n y^\ell = \sum_{\ell\geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_{\geq \ell}(n+1,k)x^n y^\ell - \sum_{\ell\geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 0} B_{\geq \ell+1}(n,k)x^n y^\ell$$

$$(15) \quad = \frac{1}{x}\sum_{\ell\geq 0}(G_{\ell,k}(x)-G_{\ell,k}(0))y^{\ell}-\frac{1}{y}\sum_{\ell\geq 0}G_{\ell+1,k}(x)y^{\ell+1} = \frac{I_k(x,y)-\delta_{k0}C(y)}{x}-\frac{I_k(x,y)-I_k(x,0)}{y}.$$

Combining (14) and (15) yields the equation

$$(16) \quad x\frac{C(y)-1}{y}(I_{k-1}(x,y)-I_{k-1}(x,0)) = \frac{I_k(x,y)-\delta_{k0}C(y)}{x}-\frac{I_k(x,y)-I_k(x,0)}{y}.$$

If we multiply both sides of (16) by z^k and sum over $k\geq 0$, we obtain

$$xz\frac{C(y)-1}{y}(J(x,y,z)-J(x,0,z)) = \frac{J(x,y,z)-C(y)}{x}-\frac{J(x,y,z)-J(x,0,z)}{y}.$$

We can rewrite this equation as

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{y}(J(x,y,z)-J(x,0,z))(x^2z(C(y)-1)+x-y) = J(x,0,z)-C(y).$$

As in the previous two sections, we use the kernel method to find the generating function $J(x,0,z)$. There is a unique power series $Y=Y(x,z)$ such that $C(Y(x,z))=x+2x^2+O(x^3)$ and $x^2z(C(Y)-1)+x-Y=0$. Substituting this into (17) shows that $J(x,0,z)=C(Y)$. Let $\widehat{J}(x,z)=xJ(x,0,z)=xC(Y)$. Using the Catalan functional equation $YC(Y)^2+1-C(Y)=0$, we obtain

$$(18) \quad \widehat{J}(x,z)-\frac{\widehat{J}(x,z)^2}{1-z\widehat{J}(x,z)^2} = x\left(C(Y)-\frac{xC(Y)^2}{1-x^2zC(Y)^2}\right) = x\left(C(Y)-\frac{x\frac{C(Y)-1}{Y}}{1-x^2z\frac{C(Y)-1}{Y}}\right).$$

We now use the fact that $x^2z(C(Y)-1)+x-Y=0$ to see that

$$C(Y)-\frac{x\frac{C(Y)-1}{Y}}{1-x^2z\frac{C(Y)-1}{Y}} = 1+\frac{Y-x}{x^2z}-\frac{x\frac{Y-x}{x^2zY}}{1-x^2z\frac{Y-x}{x^2zY}} = 1.$$

Substituting this into (18) shows that

$$\widehat{J}(x,z)=x+\frac{\widehat{J}(x,z)^2}{1-z\widehat{J}(x,z)^2}.$$

By Lagrange inversion,

$$\widehat{J}(x,z)=x+\sum_{m\geq 0}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\left(\frac{x^2}{1-zx^2}\right)^{m+1} = x+\sum_{m\geq 0}\frac{1}{(m+1)!}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{k+m}{k}x^{2m+2k+2}z^k$$

$$= x+\sum_{m\geq 0}\frac{1}{m+1}\sum_{k\geq 0}\binom{k+m}{k}\binom{2m+2k+2}{m+2k+2}x^{m+2k+2}z^k$$

$$= x+x\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{n\geq 2k+1}\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n-k-1}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n}x^n z^k. \qquad \square$$

6. Further Directions

The proofs of our three main theorems relied on the Decomposition Lemma, which was proven in [22]. That article actually obtained this useful tool as a corollary of a more general result, called the "Refined Decomposition Lemma," which allows one to count stack-sorting preimages of permutations according to the additional statistics des and peak (for $\pi=\pi_1\cdots\pi_n$, peak(π) is the number of indices $i\in\{2,\ldots,n-1\}$ such that $\pi_{i-1}<\pi_i>\pi_{i+1}$). It could be interesting to generalize Theorems 3.1, 4.1, and 5.1 by counting the relevant preimage sets according to the

statistics des and peak. Of course, one could also search for other interesting sets of permutations whose stack-sorting preimages are yearning to be enumerated via the Decomposition Lemma.

The results in Sections 3 and 4 complete the enumerations of all sets of the form $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau^{(r)}))$ for $\{\tau^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau^{(r)}\} \subseteq S_3$ except the set $\{321\}$. There are currently no nontrivial results that enumerate sets of this form when one or more of the patterns $\tau^{(i)}$ has length at least 4. Obtaining such results would be a natural next step. It would be especially interesting to solve this enumerative problem using valid hook configurations (as in [29]) or the Decomposition Lemma in the cases in which the sets $s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}(\tau^{(1)}, \ldots, \tau^{(r)}))$ have some natural alternative descriptions (i.e., if they are characterized as the permutations avoiding some collection of patterns or vincular patterns). It is shown in [25] that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132, 3412))| x^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(231, 1423))| x^n = \sum_{n \geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(312, 1342))| x^n.$$

Thus, it is natural to consider the specific problem of computing the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |s^{-1}(\mathrm{Av}_n(132, 3412))| x^n.$$

7. Acknowledgments

References

[1] E. Babson and E. Steingrímsson, Generalized permutation patterns and a classification of the Mahonian statistics. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, **44** (2000), Art. B44b.

[2] C. Banderier and M. Wallner, The kernel method for lattice paths below a rational slope. In: *Lattice paths combinatorics and applications*, Developments in Mathematics Series, Springer (2018), 1–36.

[3] J. Bloom and A. Burstein, Egge triples and unbalanced Wilf-equivalence. *Australas. J. Combin.*, **64** (2016), 232-251.

[4] J. Bloom and V. Vatter, Two vignettes on full rook placements. arXiv:1310.6073.

[5] M. Bóna, Combinatorics of permutations. CRC Press, 2012.

[6] M. Bóna, A simplicial complex of 2-stack sortable permutations. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, **29** (2002), 499–508.

[7] M. Bóna, Stack words and a bound for 3-stack sortable permutations. arXiv:1903.04113.

[8] M. Bóna, A survey of stack-sorting disciplines. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **9.2** (2003): 16.

[9] M. Bóna, Symmetry and unimodality in t-stack sortable permutations. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **98.1** (2002), 201-209.

[10] M. Bousquet-Mélou and A. Jehanne, Polynomial equations with one catalytic variable, algebraic series and map enumeration. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **96** (2006), 623–672.

[11] M. Bousquet-Mélou and M. Petkovek, Linear recurrences with constant coefficients: the multivariate case. *Discrete Math.*, **225** (2000), 51–75.

[12] M. Bousquet-Mélou, Multi-statistic enumeration of two-stack sortable permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **5** (1998), #R21.

[13] M. Bousquet-Mélou, Sorted and/or sortable permutations. *Discrete Math.*, **225** (2000), 25-50.

[14] M. Bouvel and O. Guibert, Refined enumeration of permutations sorted with two stacks and a D_8-symmetry. *Ann. Comb.*, **18** (2014), 199–232.

[15] P. Brändén, Actions on permutations and unimodality of descent polynomials. *European J. Combin.*, **29** (2008), 514–531.

[16] P. Brändén, On linear transformations preserving the Pólya frequency property. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **358** (2006), 3697–3716.

[17] A. Burstein and J. Pantone, Two examples of unbalanced Wilf-equivalence. *J. Comb.* **6** (2015), 55-67.

[18] D. Callan, Permutations avoiding 4321 and 3241 have an algebraic generating function. arXiv:1306.3193.

[19] A. Claesson and H. Úlfarsson, Sorting and preimages of pattern classes, arXiv:1203.2437.
[20] R. Cori, B. Jacquard, and G. Schaeffer, Description trees for some families of planar maps, *Proceedings of the 9th FPSAC*, (1997).
[21] C. Defant, Catalan intervals and uniquely sorted permutations. arXiv.org, (2019).
[22] C. Defant, Counting 3-stack-sortable permutations. arXiv:1903.09138.
[23] C. Defant, Descents in t-sorted permutations. arXiv.org, (2019).
[24] C. Defant, Fertility numbers. arXiv:1809.04421.
[25] C. Defant, Fertility, strong fertility, and postorder Wilf equivalence. arXiv.org, (2019).
[26] C. Defant, Polyurethane toggles. arXiv.org, (2019).
[27] C. Defant, Postorder preimages. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, **19**; 1 (2017).
[28] C. Defant, Preimages under the stack-sorting algorithm. *Graphs Combin.*, **33** (2017), 103–122.
[29] C. Defant, Stack-sorting preimages of permutation classes. arXiv:1809.03123.
[30] C. Defant, M. Engen, and J. A. Miller, Stack-sorting, set partitions, and Lassalle's sequence. arXiv:1809.01340.
[31] C. Defant and N. Kravitz, Stack-sorting for words. arXiv:1809.09158.
[32] S. Dulucq, S. Gire, and O. Guibert, A combinatorial proof of J. West's conjecture. *Discrete Math.*, **187** (1998), 71–96.
[33] S. Dulucq, S. Gire, and J. West, Permutations with forbidden subsequences and nonseparable planar maps, *Discrete Math.*, **153.1** (1996), 85–103.
[34] W. Fang, Fighting fish and two-stack-sortable permutations, arXiv:1711.05713.
[35] I. Goulden and J. West, Raney paths and a combinatorial relationship between rooted nonseparable planar maps and two-stack-sortable permutations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A.*, **75.2** (1996), 220–242.
[36] C. Hossain. Combinatorics of the Boolean-Catalan numbers. In preparation.
[37] C. Hossain. Private communication (2018).
[38] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming, volume 1, Fundamental Algorithms*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1973.
[39] T. Mansour, H. Skogman, and R. Smith, Passing through a stack k times with reversals. arXiv:1808.04199.
[40] The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at http://oeis.org, 2010.
[41] H. Prodinger, The kernel method: a collection of examples. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, **19** (2003), Art. B50f.
[42] E. Steingrímsson, Generalized permutation patterns—a short survey. In *Permutation Patterns* (2010), S. Linton, N. Ruškuc, and V. Vatter (eds.), vol. 376 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 137–152.
[43] H. Úlfarsson, Describing West-3-stack-sortable permutations with permutation patterns. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, **67** (2012).
[44] J. West, Permutations with restricted subsequences and stack-sortable permutations, Ph.D. Thesis, MIT, 1990.
[45] D. Zeilberger, A proof of Julian West's conjecture that the number of two-stack-sortable permutations of length n is $2(3n)!/((n+1)!(2n+1)!)$. *Discrete Math.*, **102** (1992), 85-93.