On duplicate representations as $2^x + 3^y$ for nonnegative integers x and y

Douglas Edward Iannucci

Abstract

We prove a conjecture posted in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, namely that there are exactly five positive integers that can be written in more than one way as the sum of a nonnegative power of 2 and a nonnegative power of 3. The case for both powers being positive follows from a theorem of Bennett. We use elementary methods to prove the case where zero exponents are allowed.

1 Introduction

In the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (*OEIS*, Sloane [3]), sequence A004050 comprises the integers of the form $2^x + 3^y$ for nonegative integers x and y. On this sequence's entry in the *OEIS*, it was remarked as a conjecture in September 2012 that only five of these integers can be so expressed in two different ways.

In fact, sequence A085634 lists those very integers representable both as $2^x + 3^y$ and $2^a + 3^b$, with x, y, a, and b nonnegative integers and x > a. The five elements listed are

$$5 = 2^{2} + 3^{0}, \quad 11 = 2^{3} + 3^{1}, \quad 17 = 2^{4} + 3^{0}, \quad 35 = 2^{5} + 3^{1}, \quad 259 = 2^{8} + 3^{1}, \\ 5 = 2^{1} + 3^{1}, \quad 11 = 2^{1} + 3^{2}, \quad 17 = 2^{3} + 3^{2}, \quad 35 = 2^{3} + 3^{3}, \quad 259 = 2^{4} + 3^{5}.$$

On the entry in the *OEIS* for sequence A085634, it was remarked in February 2005 that if n is in the sequence and n > 259, then $n > 10^{4000}$. In this note, we render this lower bound vacuously true by proving the conjecture: indeed, the five numbers listed above are the only elements of A085634.

We thus assume that

$$2^x + 3^y = 2^a + 3^b, (1)$$

where x, y, a, and b are nonnegative integers, such that (without loss of generality) x > a (whence y < b).

Equivalently,

$$2^x - 3^b = 2^a - 3^y. (2)$$

This brings us to sequence A207079 in the *OEIS*, which is described in its entry as "the only nonunique differences between powers of 3 and 2." It is given as a finite sequence of five elements, namely 1, 5, 7, 13, and 23. It is commented that the finiteness of this sequence is due to Bennett [1], who, in fact, proved a more general result, from which the finiteness of A207079 follows directly. In his article, he gives a clear, precise history of the general problem of determining the number of solutions to the exponential Diophantine equation $|a^x - b^y| = c$, and we learn that the finiteness of the specific sequence A207079 was first proved in 1982. We state here, as a lemma, the special case of Bennett's result that applies most directly to (2).

Lemma 1. (Bennett) There are precisely three integers of the form $2^x - 3^b$, with x and b natural numbers, that are also expressible as $2^a - 3^y$, with a and y natural numbers such that x > a. They are

 $-1 = 2^3 - 3^2 = 2 - 3,$ $5 = 2^5 - 3^3 = 2^3 - 3,$ $13 = 2^8 - 3^5 = 2^4 - 3.$

These are, respectively, the only two such representations for these three integers. All other integers have either a unique such representation, or none at all.

We apply Bennett's result to the cases of (1) and (2) where x, y, a, and b are all positive integers. This leaves us with the special case when y = 0; clearly (1) and (2) are impossible if a = 0. We prove the special case y = 0 by elementary methods, except for the one instance where we apply Lemma 1 to deduce that 1 has only the single representation $1 = 2^2 - 3$ (although it is not difficult to prove this fact independently).

2 The case when y > 0

Theorem 2. There are precisely three solutions to (1) when y > 0. They are

$$11 = 2^3 + 3 = 2 + 3^2$$
, $35 = 2^5 + 3 = 2^3 + 3^3$, $259 = 2^8 + 3 = 2^4 + 3^5$.

Proof. Let $c = 2^a - 3^y$ in (2). By Lemma 1, if $c \notin \{-1, 5, 13\}$, then x = a, which contradicts the hypothesis x > a. Otherwise, $c \in \{-1, 5, 13\}$.

Suppose c = -1. By Lemma 1, we have the two representations, as in (2),

$$-1 = 2^3 - 3^2 = 2 - 3.$$

Thus, x = 3, b = 2, a = 1, and y = 1. This produces

$$2^3 + 3 = 2 + 3^2 = 11.$$

Suppose c = 5. Similarly,

$$5 = 2^5 - 3^3 = 2^3 - 3,$$

thus producing

$$2^5 + 3 = 2^3 + 3^3 = 35.$$

Suppose c = 13. Similarly,

$$13 = 2^8 - 3^5 = 2^4 - 3$$

produces

$$2^8 + 3 = 2^4 + 3^5 = 259.$$

3 The case when y = 0

For a prime p and a natural number n, we write p||n if p | n but $p^2 \nmid n$. We denote the *p*-valuation of n by $v_p(n)$: i.e., $v_p(n) = k$ if $p^k || n$.

Lemma 3. If n is a natural number then

$$v_2(3^n - 1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 2 \nmid n; \\ 2 + v_2(n), & \text{if } 2 \mid n. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4. If n is a natural number then

$$v_3(2^n - 1) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 2 \nmid n; \\ 1 + v_3(n), & \text{if } 2 \mid n. \end{cases}$$

Lemmata 3 and 4 follow easily from Theorems 94 and 95, Nagell [2].

Theorem 5. There are precisely two solutions to (1) when y = 0. They are

$$5 = 2^2 + 1 = 2 + 3,$$
 $17 = 2^4 + 1 = 2^3 + 3^2.$

Proof. We are given

$$2^x + 1 = 2^a + 3^b, (3)$$

where x, a, and b are natural numbers, where x > a. Let s = x - a. Thus,

$$2^{a}(2^{s}-1) = 3^{b}-1. (4)$$

It is necessary by Lemma 4 that s is odd, as, by (4), $3 \nmid 2^s - 1$.

First, suppose b is odd. Then Lemma 3 implies $2||3^b - 1$, hence, by (4), a = 1. Thus, by (3),

$$2^x - 3^b = 1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1, x = 2 and b = 1. This produces the equation

$$2^2 + 1 = 2 + 3 = 5.$$

It remains to let b be even. Then $a = 2 + v_2(b)$ by Lemma 3. Suppose $2^2 \mid b$. Then $3^4 - 1 \mid 3^b - 1$, hence $5 \mid 3^b - 1$. Then (4) implies $5 \mid 2^s - 1$, hence $4 \mid s$, a contradiction as s is odd. Therefore $2 \parallel b$ and a = 3. Writing b = 2c for an odd natural number c, we have by (4)

$$2^s - 1 = \frac{3^c - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{3^c + 1}{4}$$

Letting

$$z = \frac{3^c + 1}{4},$$

then z is a natural number by Lemma 3, and we obtain the quadratic in z,

$$2^s - 1 = 2z^2 - z.$$

Completing the square yields

$$(4z-1)^2 = 2^{s+3} - 7.$$

Writing s = 2t + 1 yields the difference of squares factorization

$$(2^{t+2} - 4z + 1)(2^{t+2} + 4z - 1) = 7.$$

Therefore

$$2^{t+2} - 4z + 1 = 1,$$
 $2^{t+2} + 4z - 1 = 7;$

thus,

$$2^{t+2} = 4z = 4.$$

Therefore t = 0, z = 1; thus, c = 1. Hence s = 1 and b = 2. Recalling a = 3, we have x = 4. This produces the equation

$$2^4 + 1 = 2^3 + 3^2 = 17.$$

References

- M. A. Bennett, "Pillai's conjecture revisited," J. Number Theory 98 (2003) 228–235.
- [2] T. Nagell, Introduction to Number Theory, Wiley Publishers, New York, 1951.
- [3] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http://oeis.org.

Director, Center for Numerology University of the Virgin Islands 2 John Brewers Bay St. Thomas VI 00802 USA diannuc@uvi.edu diannuc@gmail.com