#### Some remarks on the power product expansion of the q-exponential series

#### Johann Cigler

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien

Abstract. We give an overview about the power product expansion of the exponential series and derive some q – analogs.

#### 1. Introduction

Each formal power series  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$  with  $a_0 = 1$  has a representation as an infinite product of the form

$$f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + g_n x^n \right), \tag{1}$$

a so-called power product expansion.

More precisely there are uniquely determined coefficients  $g_j$  such that  $\prod_{k=1}^n (1+g_k x^k) = \sum_k b_{n,k} x^k$ with  $b_{n,k} = a_k$  for  $k \le n$ . To see this observe that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( 1 + g_k x^k \right) = \left( 1 + g_n x^n \right) \sum_{k} b_{n-1,k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k x^k + \left( b_{n-1,n} + g_n \right) x^n + \cdots.$$

Therefore, there is a uniquely determined  $g_n$  such that  $b_{n-1,n} + g_n = a_n$ .

Such expansions have been studied in a number of papers, cf. [4] and [5] and the references cited there.

A simple example is

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} x^n = \prod_{n\geq 0} \left( 1 + x^{2^n} \right).$$
(2)

Here both sides are convergent for |x| < 1. Since the zeros of the right-hand side are not in the domain of convergence there is no contradiction to the fact that the left-hand side has no zeros.

In this note I consider the case of the exponential series

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \prod_{n \ge 1} \left( 1 + e_n x^n \right)$$
(3)

and its q – analogs in some detail.

My interest in this problem has been aroused by the article "A dream of a (number-) sequence" by Gottfried Helms [6].

### 2. Some background information

Taking logarithms of (3) yields

$$x = \sum_{k \ge 1} \log\left(1 + e_k x^k\right) = \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{d \ge 1} (-1)^{d-1} \frac{1}{d} e_k^d x^{kd} = \sum_{n \ge 1} x^n \sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} \frac{e_{n/d}^d}{d}.$$
 (4)

Therefore, we get for n > 1

$$\sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} \frac{e_{n/d}^d}{d} = 0 \text{ which gives}$$

$$e_n = \sum_{d|n,d>1} (-1)^d \, \frac{e_{n/d}^d}{d}.$$
 (5)

The first terms are

$$\left(e_{n}\right)_{n\geq1} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{8}, -\frac{1}{5}, \frac{13}{72}, -\frac{1}{7}, \frac{27}{128}, \cdots\right)$$
(6)

O. Kolberg [7] used this formula to show that  $e_p = -\frac{1}{p}$  for a prime number  $p \ge 3$  and that  $(-1)^n e_n > 0$  for n > 1.

The first assertion follows immediately from (5). For the second assertion we consider  $\exp(-x) = \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 + a_n x^n)$  and show that  $0 < a_n < \frac{2}{n}$  for n > 1.

Let me reproduce his argument. The inequality is true for n < 20 by direct computation. Let now  $n \ge 20$  and suppose that  $0 < a_m < \frac{2}{m}$  holds for m < n. Then

$$\left|a_{n}-\frac{1}{n}\right| < \left|\sum_{d\mid n, 1 < d < n} (-1)^{d} \frac{1}{d} a_{n/d}^{d}\right| < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4}{n}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{6}{n}\right)^{3} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{8}{n}\right)^{4} + \sum_{d\mid n, 5 \le d \le \frac{n}{4}} \frac{1}{d} a_{n/d}^{5} + \frac{2}{n} a_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}} + \frac{3}{n} a_{3}^{\frac{n}{3}}.$$

For  $d \le \frac{n}{4}$  we have  $k = \frac{n}{d} \ge 4$  and  $a_k < \frac{2}{k}$ . This implies  $\sum_{d \mid n, 5 \le d \le \frac{n}{4}} \frac{1}{d} a_{n/d}^5 < \sum_{k \ge 4} \frac{k}{n} \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^5$ 

For  $n \ge 20$  we get  $n\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4}{n}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{6}{n}\right)^3 + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{8}{n}\right)^4 + \frac{2}{n}a_2^{\frac{n}{2}} + \frac{3}{n}a_3^{\frac{n}{3}}\right) < 0.72.$ 

This implies  $\left|a_n - \frac{1}{n}\right| < \frac{1}{n} \left(0.72 + \sum_{k \ge 4} k \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^5\right) < \frac{1}{n} (0.72 + 0.24) < \frac{1}{n}.$ 

It also follows that the expansion

$$\frac{\exp(-x)}{1-x} = \prod_{n\geq 2} \left(1 + a_n x^n\right) \tag{7}$$

converges for |x| < 1.

L. Carlitz [2] showed that  $e_n = \frac{c_n}{n!}$  with integers  $c_n$  and derived some arithmetic properties of  $c_n$ ,

for example that  $c_n \equiv 0 \mod p$  if n > p is relatively prime to the prime number p. We shall give a different proof in Corollary 4.4.

The first terms of the sequence  $(c_n)$  are

$$(c_n)_{n\geq 1} = (1, 1, -2, 9, -24, 130, -720, 8505, \cdots).$$
 (8)

#### Remark

The sequence  $(0,1,2,9,24,130,720,8505,\cdots)$  of coefficients of (7) also occurs as the dimensions of representations by Witt vectors (cf. [1] and OEIS [8], A006973).

Since  $a_p = \frac{1}{p}$  for a prime number p we get  $c_p = -(p-1)!$ .

Moreover J. Borwein and S. Lou [1] proved that  $|c_n| \le (n-1)!$  for odd n, for example  $|c_9| = 35840 < 8! = 40320$ , and that  $c_n \ge (n-1)!$  for even n, for example  $c_4 = 9 > 3! = 6$  or  $c_6 = 130 > 5! = 120$ .

A look at OEIS [8] suggests the sequence OEIS [8], A067911=  $\left(u_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \gcd(k,n) = \prod_{d|n} d^{\varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)}\right)_{n\geq 1}$  as another choice for the numerators 1,2,3,8,5,72,7,128,....

Putting  $e_n = \frac{r_n}{u_n}$  in (5) we get

$$r_n = \sum_{d|n,d>1} (-1)^d \, \frac{u_n}{du_{n/d}^d} r_{n/d}^d.$$
(9)

Now we see by induction that all  $r_n$  are integers if we can show that  $\frac{u_n}{du_{n/d}^d}$  is an integer for each divisor *d* of *n*.

In order to show this we consider the *d* intervals  $j\frac{n}{d}+1, \dots, (j+1)\frac{n}{d}, 0 \le j < d$ , where in the last interval the number *n* is replaced by  $\frac{n}{d}$ . Since for each *i* gcd(i, n/d)|gcd(i, n) we see that

$$u_{n/d}^d \left| \frac{u_n}{d} \right|$$

The first terms are

$$(r_n)_{n\geq 1} = (1, 1, -1, 3, -1, 13, -1, 27, \cdots).$$
 (10)

Note that  $r_n$  and  $u_n$  need not be relatively prime. For example,  $gcd(u_{12}, r_{12}) = 3$ .

Let us mention some special cases of (9): For a prime p > 2 we get  $r_p = -1$  and  $r_{p^2} = 1 - p^{p-1}$  and for a product of two different primes n = pq we get  $u_{pq} = p^q q^p$  and

$$r_{pq} = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>1}} (-1)^d \frac{u_n}{du_{n/d}^d} r_{n/d}^d = -\frac{u_{pq}}{pu_q^p} r_q - \frac{u_{pq}}{qu_p^q} r_p - \frac{u_{pq}}{pq} = u_{pq} \left( \frac{1}{pq^p} + \frac{1}{qp^q} - \frac{1}{pq} \right)$$
$$= p^q q^p \left( \frac{1}{pq^p} + \frac{1}{qp^q} - \frac{1}{pq} \right) = p^{q-1} + q^{p-1} - p^{q-1}q^{p-1}.$$

## 3. Connections with Pascal's triangle.

**3.1.** Let  $P_n = \left(\binom{i}{j}\right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$  be the  $n \times n$  – Pascal matrix and let  $H_n = (h(i,j))_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$  with h(i,i-1) = iand h(i,j) = 0 else, i.e. h(i,j) = i[i-j=1] by using Iverson's convention: [P]=1 if property P is true and [P]=0 else. Then  $\frac{H_n^k}{k!} = H_{n,k} = \left(\binom{i}{k}[i-j=k]\right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ .

Since  $H_n^k = 0$  for  $k \ge n$  we get

$$P_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} H_{n,k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} H_n^k = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} H_n^k = \exp(H_n).$$
(11)

From (3) we get

$$P_{n} = \left(I_{n} + \frac{c_{1}}{1!}H_{n}^{1}\right)\left(I_{n} + \frac{c_{2}}{2!}H_{n}^{2}\right)\cdots\left(I_{n} + \frac{c_{n-1}}{(n-1)!}H_{n}^{n-1}\right)$$

$$= \left(I_{n} + c_{1}H_{n,1}\right)\left(I_{n} + c_{2}H_{n,2}\right)\cdots\left(I_{n} + c_{n-1}H_{n,n-1}\right).$$
(12)

For example

$$P_{4} = (I_{4} + H_{4,1})(I_{4} + H_{4,2})(I_{4} - 2H_{4,3}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From this representation we see again that the numbers  $c_n$  are integers.

If we set 
$$(I_n + c_1 H_{n,1})(I_n + c_2 H_{n,2}) \cdots (I_n + c_k H_{n,k}) = (g_k(i,j))_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$$
 then  $g_k(i,0) = 1$  for  $i \le k$ .

If it is already proved that  $c_i$  is an integer for  $1 \le i \le k-1$ , then from  $(g_k(i,j))_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = (g_{k-1}(i,j))_{i,j=0}^{n-1} (I_n + c_k H_{n,k})$  we see that  $g_k(k,0) = g_{k-1}(k,0) + c_k$ . Choosing  $c_k = 1 - g_{k-1}(k,0)$  we get  $g_k(k,0) = 1$  and all  $g_k(i,j)$  are integers.

**3.2.** For later applications let us state a slight generalization. Let m be a positive integer and consider

the matrices 
$$H_{n,k}^{(m)} = \left(h_k^{(m)}(i,j)\right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{m} \right\rfloor_{k} \right) [i-j=mk] \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$$
 whose entries  $h_k^{(m)}(i,j)$  satisfy  
 $h_k^{(m)}(i,i-mk) = \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{m} \right\rfloor_{k} \right)$  and  $h_k^{(m)}(i,j) = 0$  else.

They satisfy 
$$H_{n,k}^{(m)} = kH_{n,k-1}^{(m)}H_{n,1}^{(m)}$$
 because  

$$\sum_{\ell} h_{k-1}^{(m)}(i,\ell)h_1^{(m)}(\ell,i-mk) = h_{k-1}^{(m)}(i,i-m(k-1))h_1^{(m)}(i-m(k-1),i-mk)$$

$$= \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{m} \right\rfloor \right) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{m} \right\rfloor - k + 1 \right) = k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{m} \right\rfloor \right)$$

$$k = k \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{m} \right\rfloor \right)$$

and  $\sum_{\ell} h_{k-1}^{(m)}(i,\ell) h_1^{(m)}(\ell,j) = 0$  else. Therefore we get  $H_{n,k}^{(m)} = \frac{\left(H_n^{(m)}\right)^k}{k!}$  for  $H_n = H_{n,1}$ 

and

$$P_n^{(m)} = \sum_{k\ge 0} H_{n,k}^{(m)} = \exp\left(H_n^{(m)}\right) = \prod_{k\ge 1} \left(I_n + c_k H_{n,k}^{(m)}\right).$$
(13)

For m = 2 we get the "doubled" Pascal triangle (OEIS [8], A178112).

### 4. Power product expansion of the q – exponential series

Let us now consider the q – exponential series

$$\exp_q(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{x^n}{[n]!}$$
(14)

and its counterpart

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{q}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^{n}}{[n]!}.$$
(15)

As usual we set  $[n] = [n]_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}$  and  $[n]! = [1][2] \cdots [n]$ .

Depending on the context q is either a complex number or an indeterminate. The needed results about q – series may for example be found in [3].

### Theorem 4.1

The coefficients  $e_n(q)$  of the power product expansion of

$$\exp_q(x) = \prod_{n \ge 1} \left( 1 + e_n(q) x^n \right) \tag{16}$$

are given by

$$e_n(q) = \sum_{d|n,d>1} (-1)^d \, \frac{e_{n/d}(q)^d}{d} + \frac{(1-q)^{n-1}}{n[n]}.$$
(17)

The coefficients  $E_n(q)$  of the expansion

$$\operatorname{Exp}_{q}(x) = \operatorname{exp}_{q^{-1}}(x) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{exp}_{q}(-x)} = \prod_{n \ge 1} \left( 1 + E_{n}(q) x^{n} \right)$$
(18)

are given by

$$E_n(q) = \sum_{d|n,d>1} (-1)^d \, \frac{E_{n/d}(q)^d}{d} + \frac{(q-1)^{n-1}}{n[n]}.$$
(19)

They satisfy

$$e_{2n+1}(q) = E_{2n+1}(q) = e_{2n+1}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right).$$
 (20)

Proof

For  $0 \le q < 1$  we have  $\exp_q(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1-q^k(1-q)x)^{-1}$  and therefore

$$\log \exp_{q}(x) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \log(1 - q^{k}(1 - q)x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(q^{k}(1 - q)x\right)^{n}}{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left((1 - q)x\right)^{n}}{n} \frac{1}{1 - q^{n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q)^{n-1}}{n[n]} x^{n}.$$
(21)

This gives (17). In the same way we get (19). Comparing both formulas gives (20).

The first terms of the sequence  $(e_n(q))$  are

$$e_{1}(q) = 1, \ e_{2}(q) = \frac{1}{1+q}, \ e_{3}(q) = -\frac{q}{[3]}, \ e_{4}(q) = \frac{\left(1+q^{2}+q^{3}\right)}{[2][4]}, \ e_{5}(q) = -\frac{q\left(1-q+q^{2}\right)}{[5]},$$
$$e_{6}(q) = \frac{q^{2}\left(1+3q+2q^{2}+2q^{3}+2q^{4}+2q^{5}+q^{6}\right)}{[2]^{2}[3][6]}, \ e_{7}(q) = -\frac{q\left(1-q+q^{2}\right)^{2}}{[7]}, \cdots.$$

The first terms of the sequence  $(E_n(q))$  are

$$E_{1}(q) = 1, \ E_{2}(q) = \frac{q}{1+q}, \ E_{3}(q) = -\frac{q}{[3]}, \ E_{4}(q) = \frac{q\left(1+q+q^{3}\right)}{[2][4]}, \ E_{5}(q) = -\frac{q\left(1-q+q^{2}\right)}{[5]},$$
$$E_{6}(q) = \frac{q\left(1+2q+2q^{2}+2q^{3}+2q^{4}+3q^{5}+q^{6}\right)}{[2]^{2}[3][6]}, \ E_{7}(q) = -\frac{q\left(1-q+q^{2}\right)^{2}}{[7]}, \cdots.$$

Let now  $u_n(q) = \prod_{j=1}^n \gcd([j], [n])$  be the product of the polynomial greatest divisors of the polynomials  $[j] = 1 + q + \dots + q^{j-1}$  and  $[n] = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}$ . Since  $(q^d - 1)|(q^n - 1)|$  if and only if d|n we see that  $\lim_{q \to 1} u_n(q) = u_n$ .

Let us write  $e_n(q) = \frac{r_n(q)}{u_n(q)}$ . Then we get as above

$$r_n(q) = \sum_{d|n,d>1} (-1)^d \frac{u_n(q)}{du_{n/d}^d(q)} r_{n/d}^d(q) + \frac{(1-q)^{n-1}u_n(q)}{n[n]}.$$
(22)

The first terms of  $(r_n(q))$  are

$$1, 1, -q, 1+q^{2}+q^{3}, -q(1-q+q^{2}), q^{2}(1+3q+2q^{2}+2q^{3}+2q^{4}+2q^{5}+q^{6}), -q(1-q+q^{2})^{2}, \cdots$$

### Remark

For q = 0 the series  $\exp_q(x)$  reduces to  $1 + x + x^2 + \dots = \frac{1}{1 - x}$  and therefore (16) reduces to (2).

Comparing coefficients we get  $r_{2^n}(0) = 1$  and  $r_n(0) = 0$  else.

### Theorem 4.2

The polynomials  $(-1)^n r_n(q)$  have integer coefficients and leading coefficient 1 for n > 1.

# Proof

Let us first show that  $(-1)^n r_n(q)$  has leading coefficient 1 for n > 1.

The highest terms of q in  $(-1)^n r_n(q)$  occur in

$$\frac{u_n(q)}{n} - \frac{(q-1)^{n-1}u_n(q)}{n[n]} = \frac{u_n(q)}{n} \left(1 - \frac{(q-1)^{n-1}}{[n]}\right) = \frac{u_n(q)}{[n]} \frac{[n] - (q-1)^{n-1}}{n}.$$

The coefficient of the leading term of  $\frac{u_n(q)}{[n]}$  is 1 and the leading term of  $\frac{[n]-(q-1)^{n-1}}{n}$  is  $q^{n-2}$ .

Next we show that  $r_n(q)$  is a polynomial in q with integer coefficients.

We show first that  $e_n(q) = \frac{c_n(q)}{[n]!}$  where  $c_n(q)$  is a polynomial in q with integer coefficients.

Let 
$$P_n(q) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$$
 and let  $H_n(q) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q [i-j=1] \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ .  
Then  $H_n^k(q) = \left( [k]! \begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q [i-j=k] \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ .

This implies the well-known q – analog of (11)

$$\exp_{q}\left(H_{n}(q)\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_{n}^{k}(q)}{[k]!} = \left(\begin{bmatrix}i\\j\end{bmatrix}\right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = P_{n}(q).$$
(23)

If we write  $\frac{H_n^k(q)}{[k]!} = H_{n,k}(q)$  then  $P_n(q) = \prod_{k \ge 1} (I_n + e_k(q)H_n^k(q)) = \prod_{k \ge 1} (I_n + c_k(q)H_{n,k}(q)).$ (24) We now show that each  $c_n(q)$  is a polynomial in q with integer coefficients. Since  $c_1(q) = 1$  this is true for k = 1. Let  $\prod_{j=0}^{k} (I_n + c_j(q)H_{n,j}(q)) = (g_{k,i,j}(q))_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ .

Assume that all  $g_{k-1,i,j}(q)$  are polynomials with integer coefficients. We know that  $g_{k,0,k} = 1$  since for n = k we get  $P_k(q)$ . Then  $1 = g_{k,0,k} = g_{k-1,0,k} + g_{k-1,k,k}c_k(q) = g_{k-1,0,k} + c_k(q)$  shows that  $c_k(q)$  has integer coefficients and therefore that all  $g_{k,i,j}(q)$  are polynomials in q with integer coefficients.

Since  $\frac{1}{[k]!}$  is a formal power series with integer coefficients we see that

 $r_k(q) = \frac{u_k(q)c_k(q)}{[k]!} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  is also a polynomial with integer coefficients.

The first terms of the sequence  $(r_n(q))_{n\geq 1}$  are  $1, 1, -q, 1+q^2+q^3, -q(1-q+q^2),$  $q^2(1+3q+2q^2+2q^3+2q^4+2q^5+q^6), -q(1-q+q^2)^2, \cdots$ 

For a prime number n = p we get  $u_p(q) = [p]$  and

$$r_{p}(q) = -\frac{u_{p}(q)}{p} + \frac{(1-q)^{p-1}u_{p}(q)}{p[p]} = -\frac{[p]}{p} + \frac{(1-q)^{p-1}}{p} = \frac{1}{p(1-q)} \left(-1+q^{p}+(1-q)^{p}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j}(-q)^{j}}{p(1-q)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j}(-q)^{j}(1-q^{p-2j})}{p(1-q)} \in \mathbb{Z}[q].$$

For the sequence  $(c_n(q))_{n\geq 1}$  we get more information.

## Theorem 4.3

Let  $n \ge m$  be positive integers and  $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$ . If n = mk we get  $c_{mk}(\zeta_m) = c_k$ , if n is not a multiple of m then  $c_n(\zeta_m) = 0$ .

#### Proof

Since 
$$\frac{[km+r]_{q}!}{[km]_{q}!} = \frac{1-q^{km+1}}{1-q} \frac{1-q^{km+2}}{1-q} \cdots \frac{1-q^{km+r}}{1-q} \text{ for } q \to \zeta_{m} \text{ reduces to}$$

$$\frac{1-\zeta_{m}}{1-\zeta_{m}} \frac{1-\zeta_{m}^{2}}{1-\zeta_{m}} \cdots \frac{1-\zeta_{m}^{r}}{1-\zeta_{m}} = [r]_{\zeta_{m}}! \text{ we see that for } q \to \zeta_{m}$$

$$H_{n,km+r}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) = \lim_{q \to \zeta_{m}} \frac{H_{n}^{km+r}(q)}{[km+r]!} = \lim_{q \to \zeta_{m}} \frac{H_{n}^{km}(q)}{[km]!} \frac{[km]!}{[km+r]!} H_{n}^{r}(q) = H_{n,km}\left(\zeta_{m}\right) \frac{H_{n}^{r}(\zeta_{m})}{[r]_{\zeta_{m}}!}.$$

Therefore we get

$$P_{n}(\zeta_{m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{H_{n}^{j}(\zeta_{m})}{[j]_{\zeta_{m}}!} \sum_{k \ge 0} H_{n,km}(\zeta_{m}).$$
(25)

Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} nm+r\\ km \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[nm+r]!}{[km]![(n-k)m+r]!} = \frac{[nm]!}{[km]![(n-k)m]!} \frac{[nm+r]}{[(n-k)m+r]} \frac{[nm+r-1]}{[(n-k)m+r-1]} \cdots \frac{[nm+1]}{[(n-k)m+r-1]} \cdots \frac{[nm+1]}{[(n-k)m+r-1]}$$
  
and  $\frac{[nm+i]}{[(n-k)m+i]} = \frac{1-q^{nm+i}}{1-q^{(n-k)m+i}} = 1$  for  $q = \zeta_m$  and  $0 < i < m$  we see that  
 $\lim_{q \to \zeta_m} \begin{bmatrix} nm+r\\ km \end{bmatrix}_q = \lim_{q \to \zeta_m} \begin{bmatrix} nm\\ km \end{bmatrix}_q = \lim_{q \to \zeta_m} \frac{1-q^{mn}}{1-q^{km}} \frac{1-q^{mn-1}}{1-q^{km-1}} \cdots \frac{1-q^{m(n-k)+1}}{1-q^1} = \lim_{q \to \zeta_m} \begin{bmatrix} n\\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^m} = \lim_{q \to 1} \begin{bmatrix} n\\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \binom{n}{k}.$ 

Therefore we get 
$$\begin{bmatrix} i \\ km \end{bmatrix}_{q=\zeta_m} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{m} \\ k \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
 and  
 $H_{n,km}\left(\zeta_m\right) = \left( \begin{bmatrix} i \\ km \end{bmatrix}_{\zeta_m} \left[ i - j = km \right] \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = \left( \left( \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{m} \\ k \end{bmatrix} \left[ i - j = km \right] \right)_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = H_{n,k}^{(m)} = \frac{\left( H_n^{(m)} \right)^k}{k!}.$ 

Thus (25) gives

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{H_n^j(\zeta_m)}{[j]_{\zeta_m}!}\right)^{-1} P_n(\zeta_m) = \exp(H_n^{(m)}) = \prod_{k \ge 1} (I_n + c_k H_{n,km}(\zeta_m)).$$
(26)

On the other hand we know that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left( I_n + c_j(q) H_{n,j}(q) \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{H_n^j(q)}{[j]!} + \sum_{j \ge m} b_j(q) H_n^j(q)$$
(27)

for some polynomials  $b_j(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ .

For  $q = \zeta_m$  this reduces to

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{H_n^j(\zeta_m)}{[j]_{\zeta_m}!} = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left( I_n + c_j(\zeta_m) H_{n,j}(\zeta_m) \right)$$
(28)

because  $H_n^m(\zeta_m) = H_{n,k}^{(m)}[m]_{\zeta_m}! = 0.$ 

Therefore we get from (24)

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{H_n^j(\zeta_m)}{[j]_{\zeta_m}!}\right)^{-1} P_n(\zeta_m) = \prod_{j \ge m} (I_n + c_j(\zeta_m) H_{n,j}(\zeta_m)).$$
(29)

Comparing with (26) we see that  $c_j(\zeta_m) = 0$  if  $j \ge m$  is not a multiple of m and that  $c_{jm}(\zeta_m) = c_j$ .

Corollary 4.4 (Carlitz [2])

Let p be a prime number. If n > p is relatively prime to p then  $c_n \equiv 0 \mod p$ . If n = pm then  $c_n = c_{pm} \equiv c_m \mod p$ .

In another direction we prove a slight extension of the fact that  $r_{2^n}(0) = 1$  and  $r_n(0) = 0$  else.

# Theorem 4.5

The identity (16) reduces modulo  $q^2$  to

$$1 + x + (1 - q)x^{2} + (1 - 2q)x^{3} + \dots \equiv \prod_{n \ge 1} \left( 1 + g_{n}(q)x^{n} \right) \mod q^{2}$$
(30)

where  $g_1(q) = 1$ ,  $g_{2^n}(q) = 1 - 2^{n-1}q$  for n > 0 and  $g_{2n}(q) = 0$ ,  $g_{2n+1}(q) = -q$  else.

## Proof

For any commutative ring R with identity the infinite product  $\prod_{n\geq 1} (1+g_n x^n)$  with  $g_n \in R$ 

can be expanded into a formal power series  $1 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots$ .

Let us choose  $R = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(q^2)$ . Its elements can be written as a + bq with integers a, b and  $q^2 = 0$ . Since  $[n]! = (1+q)(1+q+q^2)\cdots(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}) \equiv (1+q)^{n-1} \equiv 1+(n-1)q \mod q^2$  we see that  $\frac{1}{[n]!} \equiv 1-(n-1)q \mod q^2$ . Therefore  $\exp_q(x) \equiv 1+x+(1-q)x^2+(1-2q)x^3+\cdots \mod q^2$ .

On the other hand we have

$$e_n(q) = \sum_{d|n,d>1} (-1)^d \frac{e_{n/d}(q)^d}{d} + \frac{(1-q)^{n-1}}{n[n]}.$$

Since

$$\frac{(1-q)^{n-1}}{n[n]} \equiv \frac{1-(n-1)q}{n(1+q)} \equiv \frac{\left(1-(n-1)q\right)\left(1-q\right)}{n} \equiv \frac{1-nq}{n} = \frac{1}{n} - q \mod q^2$$

it suffices to show that  $g_n(q)$  satisfies

$$\sum_{d|n} (-1)^d \frac{g_{n/d}^d(q)}{d} \equiv \left(q - \frac{1}{n}\right) \mod q^2.$$

For d = 1 we get  $-g_n(q)$ . For d = n we get  $\frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ .

For 1 < d < n we get  $g_{n/d}^d = 0$  except if  $\frac{n}{d} = 2^k$  for some k.

Thus for odd *n* we get  $-g_n(q) - \frac{1}{n} = q - \frac{1}{n}$ .

Let now  $n = 2^k u$ . Here we need only consider d = 1, d = n and  $d = 2^i u$  for  $0 \le i < k$ .

We get 
$$(-1)^{d} \frac{g_{n/d}^{d}(q)}{d} = (-1)^{2^{i}u} \frac{g_{2^{k-i}}^{2^{i}u}(q)}{2^{i}u} = (-1)^{2^{i}u} \frac{(1-2^{k-i-1}q)^{2^{i}u}}{2^{i}u} = (-1)^{2^{i}u} \left(\frac{1}{2^{i}u} - 2^{k-i-1}q\right).$$

This gives summed up

$$-\left(\frac{1}{u}-2^{k-1}q\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2u}-2^{k-2}q\right)+\left(\frac{1}{4u}-2^{k-3}q\right)+\dots+\left(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}u}-q\right)=-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}u}+q$$

Together with  $-g_n(q) + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2^k u}$  we get  $q - \frac{1}{n}$ .

Let us verify this for the first terms of  $e_n(q)$ :

$$e_{1}(q) = 1 = g_{1}(q), \ e_{2}(q) = \frac{1}{1+q} \equiv 1 - q = 1 - 2^{0}q = g_{2}(q),$$
$$e_{3}(q) = -\frac{q}{1+q+q^{2}} \equiv -q(1-q) \equiv -q = g_{3}(q),$$

$$e_4(q) = \frac{1+q^2+q^3}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \equiv (1-q)^2 \equiv 1-2q = g_4(q), \cdots.$$

# References

- J. Borwein and S. Lou, Asymptotics of a sequence of Witt vectors, J. Approx. Th. 69 (1992), 326-337
- [2] L. Carlitz, Some arithmetic properties of the coefficients in a certain expansion, Nordisk Matematisk Tidsskrift 9 (1961), 117-122
- [3] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, Cambridge Univ. Press 2004
- [4] H. Gingold, H.W. Gould, and Michael E. Mays, Power product expansions, Utilitas Mathematica 34 (1988), 143-161
- [5] H. Gingold and A. Knopfmacher, Analytic properties of power product expansions, Can. J. Math. 47 (1995), 1219-1239
- [6] Gottfried Helms, A dream of a (number-) sequence, <u>http://go.helms-net.de/math/musings/dreamofasequence.pdf</u>
- [7] O. Kolberg, A property of the coefficients in a certain product expansion of the exponential function, *Nordisk Matematisk Tidsskrift* 8 (1960), 33-34
- [8] OEIS, The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http://oeis.org/