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#### Abstract

We examine the Ising chain in a transverse field at zero temperature from the point of view of a family of moment formalisms based upon the cumulant generating function, where we find exact solutions for the generating functions and cumulants at arbitrary couplings and hence for both the ordered and disordered phases of the model. In a $t$ expansion analysis, the exact Horn-Weinstein function $E(t)$ has cuts along an infinite set of curves in the complex $J t$-plane which are confined to the left-hand half-plane $\Im J t<$ $-1 / 4$ for the phase containing the trial state (disordered), but are not so for the other phase (ordered). For finite couplings the expansion has a finite radius of convergence. Asymptotic forms for this function exhibit a crossover at the critical point, giving the excited state gap in the ground state sector for the disordered phase, and the first excited state gap in the ordered phase. Convergence of the $t$-expansion with respect to truncation order is found in the disordered phase right up to the critical point, for both the ground state energy and the excited state gap. However convergence is found in only one of the Connected Moments Expansions (CMX), the CMX-LT, and the ground state energy shows convergence right to the critical point again, although to a limited accuracy.
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## 1 Motivation

The purpose of this work is to study the predictions of a family of non-perturbative moment formalisms devised to treat Field Theoretic and Many-Body Models with a well known exactly solvable model, the $1-D$ Ising Model in a transverse Field. This model has a quantum phase transition, and we compare those predictions of the formalisms with the exact results in the two phases and near the critical point of the Model. These formalisms, the $t$-Expansion [7] and the Connected Moments Expansion (CMX) [2], are related in that they are centred upon the cumulant generating function, which takes an exponential form. These two Expansions have been applied in a number of areas ranging from quantum chemistry, lattice gauge theories to condensed matter problems and the reader is referred to Witte 15] for references. The formalisms take their starting point from a finite sequence of low order connected Hamiltonian moments, or cumulants $\left\{\nu_{n}: n=1 \ldots\right\}$, calculated with respect to a chosen trial state, with the proviso that the overlap with exact ground state is nonzero. In the $t$-Expansion, one constructs the cumulant generating function from its Taylor series expansion about $t=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle e^{t H}\right\rangle=\exp \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_{n} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}\right\} \equiv e^{F(t)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all moments are calculated with respect to this trial state. Then the Horn-Weinstein Theorem (7] states that the ground state energy and first excited state gap (within the same sector as the ground state) [6] are given by the following limits

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{0} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) \\
E_{1}-E_{0} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}-\frac{d^{2} E(t) / d t^{2}}{d E(t) / d t}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E(t) \equiv F^{\prime}(-t)$ is termed the Horn-Weinstein function. Other ground state averages can be found by computing the appropriate generalised connected moments [7] or higher excited states 11] found from certain derivatives of the Horn-Weinstein function and performing the same extrapolation. This theorem was "proved" in Ref. ( 77 ) by assuming a simple discrete point spectrum for the system, even in the thermodynamic limit, and these kinds of assumptions continue to be commonly used without adequate justification. The question immediately arises of how this extrapolation can be carried out and several methods 14] are employed. These extrapolation methods include Padé Analysis, where $E(t)$ is assumed to be representable by a $[L / M]$ Padé approximant with $L=M$ i.e. it has only separable poles and zeros of finite order in any finite region of the complex $t$-plane, and the extrapolation $t \rightarrow \infty$ made directly, Other methods are D-Padé Analysis, Laplace Method/Resolvent Analysis, Inversion Analysis, and " $E$ of $F$ "/Partition Function Method, and the reader is referred to Witte [15] for the original references.

The Connected Moments Expansion (CMX) is founded upon the assumption of a particular form for the asymptotic behaviour of $E(t)$ as real $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t) \sim E_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} e^{-b_{j} t} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

although its variants employ additional and differing assumptions. The variants give the following explicit forms for the ground state energy, according to their treatments. The first method, CMX-HW[2], gives the ground state energy up the $n$th order as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=\nu_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{S_{i, 2}^{2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{i} S_{j, 3}}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $S$-sequence are recursively generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1, k} & =\nu_{k} \\
S_{i+1, k} & =S_{i, k} S_{i, k+2}-S_{i, k+1}^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Another method, CMX-SD[3], gives the ground state energy up the $n$th order as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=\omega_{1, n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\omega$-variables are recursively generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{j, 1} & =\nu_{j} \\
\omega_{j, k+1} & =\omega_{j, k}-2 \frac{\omega_{j+1, k} \omega_{2, k}}{\omega_{3, k}}+\left(\frac{\omega_{2, k}}{\omega_{3, k}}\right)^{2} \omega_{j+2, k} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The last method, the CMX-LT described in Ref. [3, 兆, gives

$$
E_{0}=\nu_{1}-\left(\nu_{2}, \nu_{3}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\nu_{3} & \nu_{4} & \cdots & \nu_{n+1}  \tag{8}\\
\nu_{4} & \nu_{5} & \cdots & \nu_{n+2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\nu_{n+1} & \nu_{n+2} & \cdots & \nu_{2 n-1}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\nu_{2} \\
\nu_{3} \\
\vdots \\
\nu_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

There is also another variant, called the AMX 10, which is very similar to the CMX-HW method.

Very little has been known of an exact nature concerning these methods. For example it was not known how the formalisms could be realised in an exact manner, whether the exact theorems were in fact confirmed for solvable Models, whether the assumptions made in the inevitable extrapolations needed to treat non-integrable Models were justified, and what factors affected the convergence of the methods. Recently such questions were begun to be addressed with respect to another solvable Model, but that Model was studied only at its critical point - the isotropic XY Model in 1-Dimension 15]. We extend this analysis here to a closely related Model, within the same universality class, and reexamine these questions with regard to a phase transition. We firstly analytically solve our Model from the moment point of view, then investigate the validity of the theorems using the exact Horn-Weinstein function, following this we reveal the analytic character of this function in the complex $t$ plane, and in the truncated expressions using a set of finite low order cumulants examine the convergence of ground state spectral quantities with respect to the order of truncation. In a companion work to this paper, paper II 16], we continue analysis of this model from the point of view of the other family of moment methods - the geometrical family, which includes the Lanczos Method.

## 2 The ITF Model

The model we investigate is the Ising model in a transverse field in one dimension, which exhibits a order-disorder quantum phase transition at zero temperature 12, []. The Hamiltonian for this Model is taken to be the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-J \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_{n}^{z} \sigma_{n+1}^{z}+B \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_{n}^{x} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which differs slightly in conventions with previous use. We define a coupling constant ratio $x=B / J$. In what follows the label $n$ indexes the sites and periodic boundary conditions $\sigma_{N+1}^{a}=\sigma_{1}^{a}$ are applied. This model has a zero-temperature quantum phase transition at $B=J$ or $x=1$, which separates an ordered phase $x<1$ whereby the z-component of all the spins are aligned either up or down from the disordered phase $x>1$ induced by the tunnelling term. This model is also self-dual, in that $H(x) \rightarrow x H(1 / x)$ leads to the same model, and consequently for the ground state properties. The exact ground state is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{0} / J=-\frac{2}{\pi}|1+x| E\left(\sqrt{\frac{4|x|}{(1+x)^{2}}}\right), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(k)$ is the complete Elliptic function of the second kind, according to the conventions of Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [5] . The first excited state gap has the exact form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E=2 J|1-x| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which vanishes at the transition point. For the full details of the solution to this model and discussion of its properties we refer the reader to the works 12, 9, 远.

In the first step to an explicit form for the cumulant generating function one makes a transformation from the ordered spin operators to the disordered spin operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}^{1}=\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \sigma_{m}^{x}, \quad \mu_{n}^{2}=\sigma_{n}^{z} \sigma_{n-1}^{z}, \quad i \mu_{n}^{3}=\mu_{n}^{1} \mu_{n}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then the Wigner-Jordan transformation to a pure Fermionic representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\mu_{n}^{1} \sigma_{n}^{-}, \quad c_{n}^{+}=\sigma_{n}^{+} \mu_{n}^{1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In doing so we have neglected the boundary terms arising from the exact mapping of the spin or a-cyclic problem, and will only consider the c-cyclic problem $c_{N+1}=c_{1}$. This followed by a Fourier transformation of the Fermionic operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{i k n} c_{n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the momenta are $k=2 \pi l / N$ and the integers $l=-N / 2, \ldots, N / 2-1$ for $N$ even, whereas $l=-(N-1) / 2, \ldots,(N-1) / 2$ for $N$ odd. Proceeding in this way the Hamiltonian becomes partially decoupled as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{k>0} h_{k}=\sum_{k>0}\left\{2 i J \sin k\left[c_{k}^{+} c_{-k}^{+}+c_{k} c_{-k}\right]+2(J \cos k+B)\left[c_{k}^{+} c_{k}+c_{-k}^{+} c_{-k}-1\right]\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $k$ there is a 4 -dimensional Hilbert space $|00\rangle,|01\rangle,|10\rangle,|11\rangle$ but because $c_{k}^{+} c_{-k}^{+}, c_{k} c_{-k}$ only connect $|00\rangle,|11\rangle$ and $c_{k}^{+} c_{k}, c_{-k}^{+} c_{-k}$ are diagonal one only needs the 2 -dimensional BCS
reduced subspace $|00\rangle,|11\rangle$. Thus we have a pseudo-spin problem $|\Downarrow\rangle=|00\rangle,|\Uparrow\rangle=|11\rangle$ with pseudo-spin operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{k}^{+}=c_{k}^{+} c_{-k}^{+}, \quad \tau_{k}^{-}=c_{-k} c_{k}, \quad \tau_{k}^{3}=c_{k}^{+} c_{k}+c_{-k}^{+} c_{-k}-1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus $h_{k}=-2 J \sin k \tau_{k}^{2}+2(J \cos k+B) \tau_{k}^{3}$.
As an obvious first choice for the trial state, but not the only choice, we take the exact eigenstate as $x \rightarrow \infty$, that is the ferromagnetically aligned state $|\mathcal{F}\rangle$ polarised along the $x$-axis $|\Downarrow\rangle\rangle=|\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \ldots \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow\rangle_{x}$, which is represented in the fermionic $c$-picture by $|000 \ldots 000\rangle$. Another equally obvious choice for the trial state would be the exact eigenstate for $x=0$, and this we denote $\left|\mathcal{F}^{\#}\right\rangle$, and is the fully polarised state in the $z$-direction. This implies that we only need the matrix elements with respect to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Downarrow_{k}\right| e^{t h_{k}}\left|\Downarrow_{k}\right\rangle=\cosh \left(t E_{k}\right)-\frac{2(J \cos k+B)}{E_{k}} \sinh \left(t E_{k}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quasi-particle energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}^{2}=4 J^{2}+4 B^{2}+8 J B \cos k \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The moment generating function is then quite simply given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle e^{t H}\right\rangle & =\prod_{k>0}\left\{\cosh \left(t E_{k}\right)-\frac{2(J \cos k+B)}{E_{k}} \sinh \left(t E_{k}\right)\right\} \\
& \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\longrightarrow} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} d k \ln \left[\cosh \left(t E_{k}\right)-\frac{2(J \cos k+B)}{E_{k}} \sinh \left(t E_{k}\right)\right]\right\} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

To simplify our discussion we move to dimensionless quantities and the dimensionless quasiparticle energy squared $E_{k}=2 J \epsilon_{k}$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{k}^{2}=1+x^{2}+2 x \cos k \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that it was not necessary to go any further and fully diagonalise the problem in order to find the cumulant generating function.

However continuing with the diagonalisation process one employs a Bogoliubov transformation to quasi-particle operators $\eta_{q}, \eta_{q}^{+}$

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{q} & =u_{q} \eta_{q}-i v_{q} \eta_{-q}^{+}, \quad c_{-q}=u_{q} \eta_{-q}+i v_{q} \eta_{q}^{+},  \tag{21}\\
c_{q}^{+} & =u_{q} \eta_{q}^{+}+i v_{q} \eta_{-q}, \quad c_{-q}^{+}=u_{q} \eta_{-q}^{+}-i v_{q} \eta_{q},
\end{align*}
$$

with transformation elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{q}=\left\{\frac{\epsilon_{q}+x+\cos q}{2 \epsilon_{q}}\right\}^{1 / 2}, \quad v_{q}=\left\{\frac{\epsilon_{q}-x-\cos q}{2 \epsilon_{q}}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The trial state can now be expressed in terms of quasi-particle operators and the exact ground state by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{F}\rangle=\prod_{q>0} \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_{q}+x+\cos q}+i \sqrt{\epsilon_{q}-x-\cos q} \eta_{q}^{+} \eta_{-q}^{+}}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon_{q}}}|0\rangle \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the overlap squared simply reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln |\langle\mathcal{F} \mid 0\rangle|^{2}=\frac{N}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} d q \ln \left(\frac{\epsilon_{q}+x+\cos q}{2 \epsilon_{q}}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty$ then $|\langle\mathcal{F} \mid 0\rangle|^{2} \rightarrow 1$, as it must, while for $x=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln |\langle\mathcal{F} \mid 0\rangle|^{2}=(-\ln 2+2 G / \pi) N \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is Catalan's constant, and finally at $x=0,|\langle\mathcal{F} \mid 0\rangle|^{2}=2^{-N}$. Clearly the logarithm of the overlap squared is simply proportional to $N$ with a negative coefficient which is zero at $x=\infty$ and decreases monotonically to $-\ln 2$ at $x=0$.

The lowest excited state can be found from the moment problem associated with a trial state with the correct quantum numbers, and the obvious candidate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{E}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sigma_{n}^{+}|\mathcal{F}\rangle \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be shown to be proportional to $c_{k=\pi}^{+}|\mathcal{F}\rangle$. The relevant moment generating function is then simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t) \equiv \frac{\langle\mathcal{E}| e^{-t H}|\mathcal{E}\rangle}{\langle\mathcal{F}| e^{-t H}|\mathcal{F}\rangle}=e^{-2 t|J-B|} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the quasiparticles are non-interacting in this model.

## 3 The Analytic Character of $E(t)$

The analytic character of the Horn-Weinstein function $E(t)$ in the complex $t$-plane is of fundamental importance in devising any extrapolation strategy for the $t$-expansion, as knowledge even of a qualitative nature or the lack of it is the greatest source of errors in extrapolation. From the moment generating function the exact Horn-Weinstein function $E(t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=-\frac{N J}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} d k \epsilon_{k} \frac{\frac{x+\cos k}{\epsilon_{k}}+\tanh \left(2 J t \epsilon_{k}\right)}{1+\frac{x+\cos k}{\epsilon_{k}} \tanh \left(2 J t \epsilon_{k}\right)} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before treating the case of general $x$, the two extreme cases of $x \rightarrow 0, \infty$ are taken first, which lead to simple results. The limiting form of $E(t)$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$ is, of course, completely trivial, namely $E(t) \rightarrow-N B$. As will become apparent later however the way this limit is approached exhibits some complex character. In the case when $x \rightarrow 0$ some care in the limit needs to be taken and the following result holds

$$
E(t)= \begin{cases}-N J \tanh (J t) & (4 K-1) \frac{\pi}{4}<\Im(J t)<(4 K+1) \frac{\pi}{4} \quad K \in \mathbb{Z} .  \tag{29}\\ -N J \operatorname{coth}(J t) & (4 K-3) \frac{\pi}{4}<\Im(J t)<(4 K-1) \frac{\pi}{4}\end{cases}
$$

The analytic form this function has is one with an infinite number of Riemann sheets bounded by cuts at $J t=(2 K+1) \frac{\pi}{4} i$ for all $K \in \mathbb{Z}$. There are no divergences in this function anywhere, while it has discontinuities on the branch lines defined above. Correspondingly the radius of convergence of the expansion about $t=0$ is not $\pi / 2$, as might be expected from considerations of the growth in the cumulants, but is $\pi / 4$.

The singularity structure of $E(t)$ for general $x$ is most interesting as it is a singular integral, and has discontinuities across a denumerable set of open, infinite curves in the complex $t$-plane. This set of singular curves, where the denominator of the integrand of Eq. (28) vanishes, is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Im J t=(K+1 / 2) \frac{\pi}{2 e} \quad K \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Re J t=\frac{1}{2 e} \tanh ^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{2 x}\left(\frac{1-x^{2}}{e}-e\right)\right\}, \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

and parametrised by a real $e$ with $|x-1| \leq e \leq x+1$ when $x \neq 1$, and by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Im J t=(K+1 / 2) \frac{\pi}{4 \xi} \quad K \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \Re J t=-\frac{1}{4 \xi} \tanh ^{-1}(\xi), \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

when $x=1$, with $0<\xi<1$. This family of curves in the complex $J t$-plane can be simply described as the different Riemann sheets of the following transcendental equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (2 J t \sqrt{x+z} \sqrt{x+1 / z})=\frac{\sqrt{x+z}-\sqrt{x+1 / z}}{\sqrt{x+z}+\sqrt{x+1 / z}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an arbitrary complex parameter $|z|=1$, and where the only choices of the branch or sheet arise from the logarithm and not the square-roots. In Figure ( $\mathbb{1}$ ) these curves are shown for a sequence of $x$-values from 4 to 0 , and a remarkable change occurs at the transition point.

For $x>1$ the singular curves are confined to the left-half of the complex $J t$-plane, to the left of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re J t_{0}=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}-1}} \cosh ^{-1}(x) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with both asymptotes of the curves bent back parallel to the real axis. At $x=1$ the asymptotes furthest from the real axis have opened up and become parallel to the imaginary axis. They are still confined to $\Re J t<-1 / 4$. However once $x<1$ these asymptotes invade the right hand side of the $J t$-plane, becoming parallel to the real axis as $\Re J t \rightarrow+\infty$, although tending towards a different $\Im J t$ value from that of the left-hand asymptote. Finally at $x=0$ the singular curves are straight and parallel to the real axis. Thus we see that the big shift from one phase to the other is marked by the loss of analyticity in the right-hand side of the complex $J t$-plane. Expressed in another way one can perform a Wick rotation from the positive real axis through $\pm \pi / 2$ without encountering any singularities for $x \geq 1$, but for $x<1$ this is not possible. With this information we can find the radius of convergence of the Taylor series expansion about $t=0$, from the distance of the singular curves for $K=0,-1$ from the origin. If we denote this by $R(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\frac{1}{4 e_{0}} \sqrt{\pi^{2}+\ln ^{2}\left|\frac{1-\left(e_{0}-x\right)^{2}}{\left(e_{0}+x\right)^{2}-1}\right|} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $e_{0}$ is given the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln ^{2}\left|\frac{1-\left(e_{0}-x\right)^{2}}{\left(e_{0}+x\right)^{2}-1}\right|+\frac{4 x e_{0}\left(e_{0}^{2}-x^{2}+1\right)}{\left[(x+1)^{2}-e_{0}^{2}\right]\left[e_{0}^{2}-(x-1)^{2}\right]} \ln \left|\frac{1-\left(e_{0}-x\right)^{2}}{\left(e_{0}+x\right)^{2}-1}\right|+\pi^{2}=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radius of convergence approaches $\pi / 4$ for small $x$-values and decreases monotonically as $x$ is increased, finally taking the form of $\ln (2 x) / 2 x$ for large $x$. This behaviour is shown in Figure (2). However the actual size of the radius of convergence is not important in this method, although it should be non-zero, but rather whether the right half of the complex $J t$-plane is free of singularities.

As a final step in describing the analytic nature of this function we give the following result for the discontinuity in it across the singular curves,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta E(J t)=- & \frac{8 i N J e^{3}}{\sqrt{(x+1)^{2}-e^{2}} \sqrt{e^{2}-(x-1)^{2}}} \\
& \times\left\{\frac{4 x e\left(e^{2}+1-x^{2}\right)}{\left[(x+1)^{2}-e^{2}\right]\left[e^{2}-(x-1)^{2}\right]}+\ln \left|\frac{1-(e-x)^{2}}{(e+x)^{2}-1}\right|+i \pi(2 K+1)\right\}^{-1} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Asymptotically along either arm of the curves $e \rightarrow|1-x|, 1+x$ this jump vanishes for all $x$ values, while there are single, local but finite enhancements at some intermediate value of $e$ (a slightly different point for the real and imaginary parts). This appears as a sharp enhancement for all curves which occurs close to the imaginary axis, but the jump here is not divergent (it is not possible for the denominator of Eq. 36 to vanish). Thus all of the singular nature resides in discontinuity of the function in passing from one Riemann sheet to another.

## 4 The Asymptotic Forms of $E(t)$

Of central importance in understanding the $t$-expansion theorems in our Model is the asymptotic form for $E(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in a sector which contains the positive real axis. There several reasons for this. Firstly any changes in the asymptotic behaviour with coupling reflects changes in the analytic character of $E(t)$ with coupling also, secondly it has a bearing on extrapolation strategies for the $t$-expansion, and lastly it gives the precise forms that should be assumed for Connected Moment Expansions. The explicit form for the Horn-Weinstein function satisfies the ground state Horn-Weinstein theorem in that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) \rightarrow \epsilon_{0}$ where the ground state energy is given by Eq. (10). To find higher order asymptotic terms we have to consider the cases $x>1, x=1$, and $x<1$ separately as we will find a crossover in the asymptotic behaviour at the transition point. In the first case, $x>1$, one can make a simple expansion of the denominator of the integrand, integrate term-by-term, and apply a variant of a lemma of Erdélyi to arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t)-E_{\infty} \sim & -\frac{2 N J}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-)^{k}\left\{(x-1)^{2} e^{-4 J t k(x-1)} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1 / 2)}{n![8 J t k x(x-1)]^{n+1 / 2}} U_{n k}^{0}(x)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \pm i(x+1)^{2} e^{-4 J t k(x+1)} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1 / 2)}{n![8 J t k x(x+1)]^{n+1 / 2}} U_{n k}^{1}(x)\right\} \\
\sim & \frac{N J}{\pi^{1 / 2}} \frac{(x-1)^{1 / 2}}{[8 J t x]^{3 / 2}} e^{-4 J t(x-1)} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

for $|\operatorname{Arg} J t| \leq \pi / 2$. This expression is not valid at $x=1$. The $U_{n k}^{0,1}(x)$ are polynomials in $x$ with integer coefficients, defined by the generating functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} U_{n k}^{0}(x)=z^{k}(1+2 x z)^{2}[1-x(x-1) z]^{k-1 / 2}[1+(x-1) z]^{-k-1 / 2}[1+x z]^{-k-1 / 2} \\
& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} U_{n k}^{1}(x)=z^{k}(1+2 x z)^{2}[1+x(x+1) z]^{k-1 / 2}[1+(x+1) z]^{-k-1 / 2}[1+x z]^{-k-1 / 2} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, in this phase, the asymptotic form has a dominant exponential decay controlled by the first excited state gap $4 J(x-1)$ in the ground state sector together with the algebraic factors $t^{-n-1 / 2}$ for $n \geq 1$. There are also subdominant terms with an exponential decay controlled by the gap at the top of the spectrum $4 J(x+1)$, which are discontinuous as $\operatorname{Arg} J t$ changes sign as a manifestation of the Stoke's phenomena. The Stoke's line is $\operatorname{Arg} J t=0$, on which the first asymptotic series has maximal exponential dominance over the second series, or its associated series. The Anti-Stoke's line are $\operatorname{Arg} J t= \pm \pi / 2$ where the asymptotic and its associated series are of the same order. For the purposes of the Horn-Weinstein theorem within this sector of the $t$-plane the dominant term in the asymptotic expression above is the exponential term with a unit multiple of the gap $4 J(x-1)$, then the higher algebraic terms combined with this, while all other exponential terms are subdominant. The relative ordering of these latter terms can vary with coupling but has no physical significance for the Horn-Weinstein theorems. It can also be shown that the excited state Horn-Weinstein theorem when applied to the above function $E(t)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-E^{\prime \prime}(t)}{E^{\prime}(t)}=4 J(x-1) . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which arises from the excitation of two noninteracting quasi-particles near momenta $k=$ $\pi+\mathrm{O}(1 / N)$.

Exactly at the critical point the leading exponential factors vanish leaving the dominant series having only algebraic decay of the form,

$$
\begin{align*}
E(t)-E_{\infty} \sim-\frac{8 N J}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-)^{k}\{ & \sum_{n=1}[8 J t k]^{-n-1} V_{n k} \\
& \left.+e^{-8 J t k} \sum_{n=k} \frac{\Gamma(n+1 / 2) \Gamma(1 / 2-k)(n-2 k+1 / 2)}{(n-k)!\Gamma(3 / 2-n)[16 J t k]^{n+1 / 2}}\right\}  \tag{40}\\
\sim & \frac{8 N J}{\pi}\left\{\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}[8 J t]^{-2}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}[8 J t]^{-3}+\frac{7 \pi^{4}}{120}[8 J t]^{-4} \cdots\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

with $V_{n k}$ defined by the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} V_{n k}(x)=z(1-z)^{k-1 / 2}(1+z)^{-k-1 / 2} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is still valid for $|\operatorname{Arg} J t|<\pi / 2$, as before. The prediction of the HornWeinstein theorems from this case would be that the first excited state gap would vanish, and correctly so.

However when $x<1$ something interesting and different happens from that found for $x \geq 1$. Firstly it appears that an asymptotic expansion in the above sense is no longer possible, in that such an expansion should be valid within a finite sector containing the positive real axis. From the previous section we know that the Horn-Weinstein function is only analytic within a sector of zero extent about the positive real axis, and any asymptotic expansion can only be valid within this. Furthermore it is clear from the defining $t$-integrals, Eq.28, that for $x<1$ the integrand has an inverse square-root singularity at the lower limit of the integral in contrast to the case of $x>1$ which has a square-root branch point at both limits of integration. It is the algebraic singularities at the end-points of the integration $t$-interval which control the exponential factors in the asymptotic expansions. Instead of a full asymptotic expansion we recover just the leading order term,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)-E_{\infty} \sim 2 N J(1-x)^{2} e^{-2 J t(1-x)}, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the excited state gap is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-E^{\prime \prime}(t)}{E^{\prime}(t)}=2 J(1-x) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this phase the Horn-Weinstein excited state theorem gives the lowest excited state, rather than the next one lying in the ground state sector, because the trial state appropriate for $x>1$ has a finite projection onto the first excited state for $x<1$ (it is exactly orthogonal to the first excited state for $x>1,|\mathcal{E}\rangle$ ), and it gives the smaller of the two gaps.

The lowest excited state gap is found from the generating function given in Eq. (27) and it is trivially and exactly true that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-R^{\prime}(t)}{R(t)}=2 J|1-x| \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ which is just the energy of the lowest quasiparticle energy at $k=\pi$.

## 5 The Cumulants

In the application of the t-expansion to non-integrable models, one computes cumulants with respect to the trial state up to some finite order and uses the truncated cumulant generating function in the ensuing analysis. Because our convergence studies will do just this, we need to compute the cumulants. Furthermore issues concerning the radius of convergence of the Taylor expansion of $E(t)$ about the origin will arise in any discussion of the analytic character of this function. It is sometimes convenient to discuss normalised cumulants $c_{n} / J^{n}$ which are polynomials in $x$ and we will often use the same symbol to denote these as there can be no risk of confusion. The first few normalised cumulants are then

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=-x \\
& c_{2}=1 \\
& c_{3}=4 x \\
& c_{4}=16 x^{2}-2  \tag{45}\\
& c_{5}=64 x^{3}-48 x \\
& c_{6}=256 x^{4}-672 x^{2}+16 .
\end{align*}
$$

The general form for the cumulant coefficients has an integral representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n+1}=\frac{(2 J)^{n+1}}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} y-x^{2}}} \frac{d^{n}}{d y^{n}} \tanh y\left[\frac{\sqrt{\cosh ^{2} y-x^{2}}-x \sinh y}{\cosh y}\right]^{n+2} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

found using the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh y=-\frac{\cos k+x}{\epsilon_{k}} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cumulant is a polynomial in $x$ despite appearances which is a consequence of choosing the trial state to be an exact eigenstate of the second term in the Hamiltonian, Eq. (9).

The explicit forms for these cumulants are then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{c_{2 n+1}}{(2 J)^{2 n+1}=}-\sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{(2 p)!}{2^{p+1} p!} \frac{(n-p)!}{(n+1+p)!} \frac{\left|1-x^{2}\right|^{n+1}}{(2 x)^{p+1}} A_{p}^{n}\{ \operatorname{sgn}\left(x^{2}-1\right)(n+1+p) P_{n}^{p}\left(\left|\frac{1+x^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right|\right) \\
&\left.+(n+1-p) P_{n+1}^{p}\left(\left|\frac{1+x^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right|\right)\right\}, \\
& \frac{c_{2 n+2}}{(2 J)^{2 n+2}=} \sum_{p=0}^{n+1} \frac{(2 p)!}{2^{p+1} p!} \frac{(n+1-p)!}{(n+1+p)!} \frac{\left|1-x^{2}\right|^{n+1}}{(2 x)^{p}} B_{p}^{n} P_{n+1}^{p}\left(\left|\frac{1+x^{2}}{1-x^{2}}\right|\right), \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $P_{n}^{m}(z)$ are the associated Legendre Polynomials and the $A_{p}^{n}, B_{p}^{n}$ are integer coefficients defined from the higher derivatives of $\tanh (z)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2 n}}{d z^{2 n}} \tanh (z) & =\tanh (z) \sum_{p=0}^{n} A_{p}^{n} \operatorname{sech}^{2 p}(z) \\
\frac{d^{2 n+1}}{d z^{2 n+1}} \tanh (z) & =\sum_{p=0}^{n+1} B_{p}^{n} \operatorname{sech}^{2 p}(z) \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

One of the simplest explicit forms of these coefficients is one in terms of the Stirling numbers of the second kind, $S(n, k)$, in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}^{n}=(-)^{p} 2^{2(n-p)} \sum_{k=2 p}^{2 n}(-)^{k} k!\binom{k-p-1}{p-1} S(2 n, k) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the other coefficients are given by $A_{p}^{n+1}=-2 p B_{p}^{n}$.
The cumulants with respect to the trial state $\left|\mathcal{F}^{\#}\right\rangle$ are very simply given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}^{\#}(J, B)=c_{n}(B, J) \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and these normalised cumulants are then related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}^{\#}(x)=x^{n} c_{n}(1 / x), \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the reciprocal polynomials. The cumulants simplify for the three special cases $x=\infty, 1,0$. In the case $x \rightarrow \infty$, where the $J \rightarrow 0$ limit is taken and $B$ fixed, then only the first cumulant is non-zero, $c_{1}=-B$, as would be expected. At the transition point $x=1$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n+1}=(-4 J)^{n+1} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y \operatorname{sech} y \tanh ^{n+1} y \frac{d^{n}}{d y^{n}} \tanh y \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which does not appear to be expressible in terms of any known mathematical constants, and forms a new integer sequence 13]. At $x=0$ the odd cumulants vanish while the even ones are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 k}=(2 J)^{2 k} \frac{\left(2^{2 k}-1\right)}{2 k} B_{2 k} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $B_{2 k}$ are the Bernoulli numbers.

## 6 Convergence Studies

Of great practical interest is the question of convergence of the the various formalisms arising out of the $t$-expansion and how they change crossing the transition point, and here we examine all the dependences of the errors on the order of truncation for the ground state energy and the excited state gap as functions of coupling. In the results reported here all quantities were calculated using exact rational arithmetic including cumulants, truncated generating functions, Padé approximants, matrix inner products, solutions of the CMX recurrence relations, and CMX energies using the computer algebra system Maple V. Conversion to approximate floating point numbers (number of digits was 32 ) was only made for the final answer, so loss of precision or roundoff issues do not arise here. All data files and Maple source code are available upon request to one of the authors (NSW).

In the first study we employ only the simplest extrapolation strategy for the $t$-expansion, namely diagonal Padé approximation. In Figure (3) we have plotted the relative error of the ground state energy evaluated by a $[r / r]$ diagonal Padé approximation versus the truncation order $r$ for various couplings $x$. Clearly the error is not smooth or strictly monotonic with $r$, as the Padé Approximant can change significantly from one order to the next but the overall trends are obvious. The general convergence is quite good, decreasing exponentially rapidly with order, with the rate of decrease highest for large $x$. As $x$ approaches unity this rate of convergence drops, but doesn't vanish altogether, so we have the remarkable result that even at the critical point we still have substantial convergence. For couplings less than unity, such as the example given, we do not see any convergence beyond a minimal value.

For the estimates of the excited state gap we expanded the derivative ratio, given in Eq. (22), as a Taylor series then formed the diagonal $[r / r]$ Padé Approximations to this and made the extrapolation. In Figure (4) we have plotted this gap versus the truncation order $r$ for the various couplings. Again for small orders there are wild fluctuations as the Padé Approximant shifts from one order to the next but these die out and converge quickly to the correct values, given by Eq (11). And again, most remarkably, the gaps converge as the coupling tends to unity, even at the transition point, and there there is evidence of a late convergence even in the other phase, at $x=1 / 2$.

In the CMX studies we first consider the CMX-LT variant. In Figure (5) we have plotted the relative error of the expression, Eq. (8), truncated to $r \times r$ matrix versus the truncation order $r$ for the same sequence of couplings $x$. While there are still fluctuations in going from order to order these are less than for the $t$-expansion, and the rate of convergence is much faster. The slowing down of the rate of convergence as the coupling approaches the critical one is also clearly evident, although even at the transition point there is still a respectable level of convergence. In the other phase, at $x=1 / 2$ there is some improvement, even if the fluctuations are much larger, and the error saturates again at a modest value. For the HW variant of the CMX, the ground state energy estimate failed to converge to the correct value even at $x=2$, rather it converged to another value with a relative error of $0.027 \%$. In the case of the CMX-SD method it actually diverged for this coupling value, showing no convergent tendencies within the first 20 cumulants.

## 7 Conclusions

In this work we have found the exact generating function and cumulants for the Ising Model in a transverse field for arbitrary coupling constant, with respect to a simple trial state taken to be the exact eigenstate at infinite coupling. For couplings larger than the critical coupling we have found that the Horn-Weinstein function is analytic in the right half of the complex plane, and has cuts along an infinite number of curves lying in the left half of the complex plane. When the coupling strength is less than the critical one, these singular curves cross both halves of the complex plane and the function is only analytic in a strip along the real axis. The radius of convergence of the Taylor expansion for the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{W}$ function is finite, but does disappear for large coupling, however this is not quantitatively important for the $t$-expansion or Connected Moments Expansion. The Asymptotic form of the H-W function for large real positive argument displays a crossover as the coupling strength goes through the critical point. For couplings larger than the critical value one has a dominant exponential decay governed by the excited state gap within the ground state sector, along with an algebraic series of non-integral powers. At the critical point there is pure algebraic decay with integral exponents. And for couplings less than the critical one there is exponential decay determined by the first excited state gap. Results of the convergence for the $t$-expansion reveal that with the simplest extrapolation method, the ground state and excited state gap estimates converge in a systematic way for all couplings larger than the critical one, and even at the critical point, although with limited accuracy there. Only the CMX-LT variant converged, of the CMX methods, and in this case the ground state energies converged quite rapidly for all couplings in the above range, again even at the critical point. Because the actual character of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{W}$ function in these Models is rather different from that commonly assumed, and that these Models are not atypical of other strongly coupled Models, then extrapolation strategies in the $t$-expansion that employed this qualitative knowledge would be expected to have better convergence performance. Furthermore these examples provide concrete forms for new variants of the Connected Moment Expansion to be developed.
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