

Four-loop Dyson-Schwinger-Johnson anatomy

D. J. Broadhurst

Physics Department, Open University, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK

<http://physics.open.ac.uk/~dbroadhu>

D.Broadhurst@open.ac.uk

In memoriam Kenneth Johnson 1931–1999

Abstract Dyson-Schwinger equations are used to evaluate the 4-loop anomalous dimensions of quenched QED in terms of finite, scheme-independent, 3-loop integrals. Three of the results serve as strong checks of terms from scheme-dependent 4-loop QCD calculations. The fourth, for the anomalous dimension of $\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi$, was previously known only to 2-loop order. The 4-loop beta function has 24 unambiguous terms. Two of the simplest give $\beta_4 = \frac{1}{3}(22 - 160) = -46$. The rational, ζ_3 and ζ_5 parts of the other 22 miraculously sum to zero. Vertex anomalous dimensions have 40 terms, with no dramatic cancellations. Our methods come from work by the late Kenneth Johnson, done more than 30 years ago. They are entirely free of the subtractions and infrared rearrangements of later methods.

1. Introduction

Over 30 years ago, Kenneth Johnson, Raymond Willey and Marshall Baker [1] probed perturbative QED as deeply as was allowed by current analytical methods. Since then, analysis has progressed from three loops [1, 2, 3, 4] to four [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Arguably, this technical advance may have been at the expense of field-theoretic insight from QED. Here we show how the Dyson-Schwinger equations [1, 11, 12] of quenched QED provide highly non-trivial tests of terms in the 4-loop anomalous dimensions of QCD.

The computational cost is so low that one may dispense with MINCER [13], and use its humble relation SLICER [14], which implements the 3-loop methods of [15] in REDUCE. This increases the independence of our tests. No labour is expended on infrared [16] rearrangement. Our scheme-independent results for the 4-loop coupling and mass confirm those obtained by minimal subtraction [6, 9, 10]. We compute the 4-loop anomalous tensor dimension, previously known to 2 loops [17, 18] and expose the Dyson-Schwinger-Johnson anatomy of the 4-loop beta term [6, 8, 19], $\beta_4 = -46$, which is awesome to behold.

2. The kernel of the matter

The anomalous dimensions $\beta(\alpha_s)$ and $\gamma_m(\alpha_s)$ of the coupling and fermion mass have been computed to 4 loops for an $SU(N)$ gauge theory with an arbitrary number of flavours, N_f . In the case of β , which involves $O(10^4)$ diagrams, only one group [8] has been able to achieve a result; in the case of γ_m , two analyses [9, 10] agree. Here we test contributions common to quenched QED, i.e. the leading terms in the limit $N_f \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow 0$, with $\alpha = \alpha_s(N^2 - 1)/2N$ held constant.

The key [1] to QED is K , the one-photon-irreducible, two-fermion-irreducible, electron-positron kernel: the only *perturbative* construct in the Dyson-Schwinger equations [11]

$$\Sigma = e^2 Z_1 \Gamma_\mu S D^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu \quad (1)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} = e^2 Z_1 \Gamma_\mu G \gamma_\nu \quad (2)$$

$$\Gamma_\mu = Z_1 \gamma_\mu + \Gamma_\mu G K \quad (3)$$

The fermion propagator is $S(p)$. Its wave-function and mass renormalization constants, Z_2 and Z_m , absorb linear and logarithmic divergences from the self-energy $\Sigma(p)$ in

$$S^{-1}(p) = Z_2(\not{p} - m) - \Sigma(p) \quad (4)$$

with a bare mass $m_0 = m/Z_m$. Similarly, Z_3 absorbs the logarithmic divergences in $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(k) = (k^2 g_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu) \Pi(k)$, giving a photon propagator

$$D_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}/k^2 - k_\mu k_\nu/k^4}{Z_3 - \Pi(k)} + \xi k_\mu k_\nu/k^4 \quad (5)$$

with a gauge parameter ξ that is unaffected by the purely transverse photon self-energy. The Ward identity, $Z_1 = Z_2$, implies a bare coupling $e_0^2 = e^2/Z_3$. The system is driven by

the GK term in (3), with a pair of fermion propagators abbreviated by G , and the e^+e^- kernel by K . A spin sum, a one-loop momentum integration, and a factor of $1/(2\pi)^4$, are to be understood in each of the convolutions effected by a pair of propagators in (1–3).

We shall work to lowest order in the number of fermion flavours, i.e. in the quenched approximation, where one retains the smallest possible number of fermion loops. Thus we retain only those kernel terms that have precisely one electron, precisely one positron, and at least one photon, in every intermediate state. We omit, for example, annihilation terms with 3-photon intermediate states. The recipe for constructing K is very simple [11]: working to order a^n , with $a \equiv (e/4\pi)^2 = \alpha/4\pi$, one draws all the divergence-free quenched skeletons with up to n photons. These are dressed by Γ_μ and S , while retaining the bare photon propagator, $g_{\mu\nu}/k^2 + (\xi - 1)k_\mu k_\nu/k^4$. Then GK is scheme-independent for $m = 0$.

Let k_n be the number of n -photon quenched skeletons. Quenched Wick contractions generate $s_n = (2n - 1)!! = (2n)!/2^n n!$ fermion-propagator diagrams with n loops. We form the generator $s(a) \equiv 1 + \sum_{n>0} s_n a^n$, as a formal power series in the coupling a . Then fermion self-energy diagrams are generated by $1 - 1/s(a)$. Let g_n be the number of n -loop quenched vertex diagrams, generated by $g(a) \equiv 1 + \sum_{n>0} g_n a^n$. Dyson equation (1) requires that $1 - 1/s(a) = ag(a)s(a)$, which yields the integer sequence

$$1, 6, 50, 518, 6354, 89782, 1435330, 25625910, 505785122, 10944711398 \dots \quad (6)$$

for g_n , revealing an error in the seventh and final entry of sequence A005416 of Neil Sloane's on-line encyclopedia, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences>. Then Dyson equation (2) shows that photon self-energy diagrams are generated by $s(a) - 1$. Finally, Dyson equation (3) requires that

$$g(a) = 1 + g(a) \sum_{n>0} k_n [ag^2(a)s^2(a)]^n = \frac{s(a) - 1}{as^2(a)} \quad (7)$$

Solving for k_n , we obtain the novel (and rather alarming) sequence

$$1, 1, 7, 63, 729, 10113, 161935, 2923135, 58547761, 1286468225 \dots \quad (8)$$

Our methods involve the zero-momentum-transfer vertex

$$\Gamma_0^\mu(p) \equiv \Gamma^\mu(p, p) = \frac{\partial S^{-1}(p)}{\partial p_\mu} \quad (9)$$

Hence we do not need to distinguish kernel terms related by charge conjugation. With 3 photons, one of the $7 = 1 + 2 \times 3$ skeletons is self-conjugate. Thus there are $4 = 1 + 3$ essentially different 3-photon terms. With 4 photons, 5 of the $63 = 5 + 2 \times 29$ skeletons are self-conjugate. Thus there are $34 = 5 + 29$ essentially different 4-photon terms. To identify each term, we give the Gauss code of the chord diagram obtained by closing the fermion lines on the left and right of the kernel. Starting from the closure on the left, we pass through $2n$ vertices, on a circle, labelling them by the n chords that connect pairs

of vertices. The location of the closure on the right is indicated by a semicolon. Thus the $n = 1$ term is $K_1 \equiv K(1; 1)$, the $n = 2$ term is $K_2 \equiv K(1, 2; 1, 2)$, and the $n = 3$ terms are $K_{3a} \equiv K(1, 2, 3; 1, 2, 3)$, $K_{3b} \equiv K(1, 2, 3; 1, 3, 2)$, $K_{3c} \equiv K(1, 2; 3, 1, 2, 3)$ and $K_{3d} \equiv K(1, 2; 3, 2, 1, 3)$. The 34 terms with $n = 4$ photons are listed later. The 4-loop scalar, vector and tensor vertices involve $1 + 1 + 4 + 34 = 40$ kernel terms. First we consider the β function, which involves only $1 + 1 + 4 = 6$ kernel terms.

3. Four-loop β function of quenched QED

A subdivergence-free Dyson-Schwinger method for the β function was developed in [12], following the lead of [1]. We expand the vertex $\Gamma^\mu = \Gamma_0^\mu + k^\alpha \Gamma_\alpha^\mu + O(k^2)$ to first order in the photon momentum k , with subscript α denoting differentiation w.r.t. k^α . Next, we doubly differentiate the $O(k^2)$ combination $(\Gamma^\mu - \Gamma_0^\mu)G(1 - KG)(\Gamma^\nu - \Gamma_0^\nu)$ and make much use of the identity [1] $\Gamma_\beta^\nu = (1 - KG)^{-1}(KG_\beta + K_\beta G)\Gamma^\nu$, to prove that [12]

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu\nu}(k) &= e^2 \Gamma_0^\mu \left[\frac{1}{2} G_{\alpha\beta} + G_\alpha K G_\beta + 2G_\alpha K_\beta G + \frac{1}{2} G K_{\alpha\beta} G \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (G_\alpha K + G K_\alpha) G (1 - KG)^{-1} (K G_\beta + K_\beta G) \right] \Gamma_0^\nu + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) + O(k) \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

all of whose terms are subdivergence-free. Finally, we nullify the mass and external momentum and cut each term next to a dressed zero-momentum-transfer vertex. Thus we obtain the 4-loop beta function from 24 finite, scheme-independent, dressed two-point functions, each with no more than 3 loops. One is a no-loop, no-kernel term; 5 are products of kernel terms; 18 result from 6 kernel terms and their first and second derivatives.

It is instructive to compare our dressed method with the bare method [5, 6]. The latter entails subtracting, from *each* of the 105 bare 4-loop diagrams, up to 8 counterdiagrams, with fewer loops. In these, subdivergences are shrunken to points and replaced by scheme-dependent singular counterterms, in $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ dimensions. For a general momentum routing, each of the 105 diagrams generates 64 terms, when doubly differentiated. For each of the 6720 bare derivatives, one must skillfully identify an infrared-safe cutting point and apply the identical cut to corresponding derivatives of counterdiagrams. Then one is allowed to nullify the mass and the external momentum. After maximizing savings from symmetries and momentum routings, a hard core of such infrared [16] rearrangements finally [6] yielded $\beta(a) \equiv \partial \log(a) / \partial \log(\mu^2) = \sum_{n>0} \beta_n a^n = \frac{4}{3}a + 4a^2 - 2a^3 - 46a^4 + O(a^5)$. Sergei Larin kindly showed me extensive records of how this was carefully done by hand.

The Dyson-Schwinger-Johnson decomposition (10) abolishes, utterly, the need for infrared rearrangement. At a stroke, it distributes 6720 bare derivatives into 24 classes, none of which requires subtractions. The cut is automatically identified for each class. It is next to a dressed zero-momentum-transfer vertex and is totally safe. Moreover, the analysis for the 12 classes with 3-photon skeletons can be performed in 4 dimensions. For the other 12, dimensional regularization – without any subtraction – is a convenient way of respecting the Ward identity $Z_1 = Z_2$. Setting $d = 4$ at the end, each class is bound to give a finite result identical to that from any other regularization.

In the massless theory, the Ward identity (9) gives

$$p^2 S(p) \Gamma^\mu(p, p) = \not{p} \gamma^\mu - 2p^\mu \gamma(a, \xi) \quad (11)$$

in terms of the anomalous field dimension

$$\gamma(a, \xi) \equiv \frac{\partial \log(S(p) \not{p})}{\partial \log(p^2)} = \xi a + \sum_{n>1} \gamma_n a^n = \left\{ \xi - \frac{3}{2} a(1-a) \right\} a + O(a^4) \quad (12)$$

which is gauge-dependent only at one-loop order. A no-loop calculation gives

$$\beta_0(a, \xi) = \frac{4}{3} a \left\{ 1 - \frac{3}{2} \gamma^2 + \gamma^3 \right\} \quad (13)$$

for the contribution of the kernel-free term to $\beta(a)$. In Feynman gauge, $\xi = 1$,

$$\beta_0(a, 1) = \frac{4}{3} a - 2a^3 + \frac{22}{3} a^4 - \frac{33}{2} a^5 + O(a^6) \quad (14)$$

$$\beta(a) - \beta_0(a, 1) = 4a^2 + O(a^4) \quad (15)$$

with K_1 adding $4a^2$ at 2 loops, whatever [1] gauge is chosen.

At 3 loops, (15) reveals an unforeseen circumstance: the kernel terms sum to *zero* [2] in Feynman gauge. This remains as big a mystery as it was to Ken Johnson, when he told it to me at SLAC, in 1972. Table 1 gives the breakdown of kernel contributions to $3\beta_3$ in Feynman gauge. They sum to zero in a rather non-trivial way. From this big zero, it follows that the 3-loop term, $-2a^3$, first obtained by Rosner [3] in Landau gauge, is given by the no-kernel Feynman-gauge contribution in (14). For the latter, one requires only the leading term in (12), which is also given by a no-loop calculation. If someone could prove the nullity of Table 1, without resorting to calculation, Rosner's heroic 1966 work would dwindle to Feynman-gauge tree diagrams. In 33 years, no-one has succeeded.

This extraordinary state of affairs motivated me to extend the Feynman-gauge Dyson-Schwinger analysis to 4 loops. As in [1] and in Table 1, the external momentum was shared equally between the fermions, before differentiation, and (10) was contracted with $g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\nu}$. Table 2 shows the Feynman-gauge contributions to $3\beta_4$ of the 23 kernel terms.

To my mind, the tally is as staggering as for Table 1: the sum of the first 22 terms in Table 2 *vanishes*. Hence the Feynman-gauge kernel terms give $3\beta_4(K'_2) = -5 \times 2^5$, which one adds to $3\beta_{4,0} = 4(1 - 3\gamma_2)$ from the no-kernel term. Using $\gamma_2 = -\frac{3}{2}$, we obtain

$$\beta_4 = \beta_{4,0} + \beta_4(K'_2) = \frac{1}{3}(22 - 160) = -46 \quad (16)$$

This is the first MINCER-free confirmation of the result in [6], which corrected a mistaken claim [5] that β_4 contains $\zeta_k \equiv \sum_{n>0} 1/n^k$, with $k = 3$ and $k = 5$. We have given further evidence in favour of the rational value (16) in [14, 19].

It took the humble SLICER [14] a CPUday to show that the first 22 terms of Table 2 sum to zero. By contrast, it took only two minutes to compute the K'_2 term. As in the 3-loop case, an ability to predict cancellations would have simplified the calculation, vastly. One lives in hope that the presumably [12] rational 5-loop quenched QED β function will become accessible, when one has learnt how to decode Johnson's observation [2] of the nullity of Table 1, and the now revealed cancellations in Table 2.

4. Four-loop anomalous vertex dimensions of quenched QED

Konstantin Chetyrkin generously supplied me with his 4-loop expression for Z_2 , in the minimal subtraction scheme, which he had used en route to the result in [9] for $\gamma_m(\alpha_s)$ with N colours and N_f flavours. Looking at the terms of lowest order in N and N_f , I noted that they imply a value of γ_4 in (12) that includes both ζ_3 and ζ_5 , though ζ_3 was absent from the 3-loop result. Since (11) implies the scheme-independence of γ_4 , one ought to be able to check Chetyrkin's result without making subtractions.

A method is given in [1]. Contract GK on the left and right with γ_μ and divide by $\text{Tr}(\gamma_\mu^2) = 16$. Set the fermion momenta on the right to zero and multiply by p^4 , where p and $-p$ are the momenta on the left. The result is a dimensionless, finite, scheme-independent function of the coupling a and the gauge parameter ξ :

$$G_V(a, \xi) = \xi a + \frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 - 6\xi - 3)a^2 + 3(\xi^3 + 5\xi + 2)a^3 + O(a^4) \quad (17)$$

which is easily evaluated to 2 loops, i.e. order a^3 . Now one solves $G_V = 0$, perturbatively, for ξ . The result must be $\xi = -\sum_{n>1} \gamma_n a^{n-1}$, since the vanishing of G_V implies the vanishing of $\gamma = \xi a + \sum_{n>1} \gamma_n a^n$, whose gauge dependence is merely at first order.

By this wonderfully simple device, one extracts γ at $n+1$ loops from solving $G_V = 0$ at n loops, using the vector projection of the finite scheme-independent construct GK , nullified on the right, with total infrared safety. By contrast, bare perturbation theory would require one to make scheme-dependent subtractions and skilful infrared rearrangements of 518 bare 4-loop vertex diagrams. In quenched QED, such labour is quite unnecessary.

Table 3 gives the contribution of the 40 kernel terms to γ_4 , in the Johnson gauge $\xi = \frac{3}{2}a(1-a)$ that makes $G_V = \gamma_4 a^4 + O(a^5)$. The contribution of $K_1 \equiv K(1; 1)$ vanishes, since the Ward identity requires that

$$p^2 S(p) \Gamma^\mu(p, 0) = (\not{p} \gamma^\mu - p^\mu) f(a) + p^\mu \quad (18)$$

The radiative corrections are only in the transverse form factor, $f(a)$, which makes no contribution to the vector spin-projection of GK_1 . The remaining 39 terms in Table 3 are highly non-trivial. Their total satisfyingly agrees with Chetyrkin's private communication.

We can generalize the argument of [1] to give a procedure for calculating the anomalous dimension of a scalar or tensor vertex. In the scalar case, we contract on the left and right with the unit matrix and divide by $\text{Tr}(1) = 4$; in the tensor case we contract with $\sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ and divide by $\text{Tr}(\sigma_{\mu\nu}^2) = 48$. The 2-loop results are

$$G_S = (\xi + 3)a - (\xi + 3)^2 a^2 + \left\{ 3\xi^3 + 21\xi^2 + 37\xi + 69 - 12(\xi + 3)\zeta_3 \right\} a^3 \quad (19)$$

$$G_T = (\xi - 1)a + (\xi^2 - 2\xi + 9)a^2 + \left\{ 3\xi^3 - 7\xi^2 + \frac{7}{3}\xi - \frac{173}{3} + 4(5\xi + 11)\zeta_3 \right\} a^3 \quad (20)$$

In the scalar case, $\gamma_m + \gamma = O(a^4)$ for $\xi = -3(1 + 22a^2)$. In the tensor case, $\gamma_\sigma + \gamma = O(a^4)$ for $\xi = 1 - 8a + (\frac{178}{3} - 64\zeta_3)a^2$, where γ_σ is the anomalous dimension of $\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi$. To all

orders, the K_1 contributions to G_S and G_T are $(\xi + 3f^2)a$ and $(\xi - f^2)a$. Hence, at 4 loops, we need the gauge-invariant, scheme-independent 3-loop radiative corrections in

$$f(a) = 1 - 2a + 9a^2 + \frac{1}{3} \{-247 + 640\zeta_5 - 496\zeta_3\} a^3 + O(a^4) \quad (21)$$

easily obtained by SLICER. Table 4 gives the contributions of the 40 kernel terms to the 4-loop term in $\gamma_m + \gamma$, obtained from G_S with $\xi = -3(1 + 22a^2)$. Hence

$$\gamma_m(a) + \gamma(a, \xi) = (\xi + 3)a + 66a^3 + \{-286 + 640\zeta_5 - 736\zeta_3\} a^4 + O(a^5) \quad (22)$$

with a vanishing 2-loop term. From Table 3 we obtain

$$\gamma(a, \xi) = \xi a - \frac{3}{2}a^2 + \frac{3}{2}a^3 + \left\{-\frac{1027}{8} + 80[8\zeta_5 - 5\zeta_3]\right\} a^4 + O(a^5) \quad (23)$$

for the anomalous vertex (and hence field) dimension. Hence we find

$$\gamma_m(a) = 3a + \frac{3}{2}a^2 + \frac{129}{2}a^3 + \left\{-\frac{1261}{8} - 336\zeta_3\right\} a^4 + O(a^5) \quad (24)$$

in agreement with [9, 10].

The tensor calculation is the simplest. The 4-photon kernel terms are needed only in Feynman gauge, which is $2^4 = 16$ times faster than other gauges. For the anomalous dimension of $\overline{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi$, we obtain

$$\gamma_\sigma(a) = -a + \frac{19}{2}a^2 + \left\{-\frac{365}{6} + 64\zeta_3\right\} a^3 + \left\{\frac{10489}{24} - \frac{400}{3}[8\zeta_5 - 5\zeta_3]\right\} a^4 + O(a^5) \quad (25)$$

with the same 4-loop combination of ζ_5 with ζ_3 as was found in (23). It appears that this anomalous dimension was previously known only at 2 loops [17, 18].

5. Conclusions

The 4-loop quenched QED results (16,23,24,25) were obtained from finite 3-loop integrals, with no scheme dependence. The Dyson-Schwinger-Johnson anatomies of Tables 1–4 are equally unambiguous. No subtraction of divergences was performed. No infrared problem was encountered upon nullification of external momenta. Such was the skill of field theorists [1] thirty years ago. Since then, we have merely become better at integration.

We confirm the quenched abelian terms in the QCD results [8, 9, 10], which had been obtained by minimal subtractions. Moreover this confirmation was achieved without using MINCER, which had until now been the sole engine for 4-loop counterterms. The tensor anomalous dimension (25) extends a previous result [17, 18] from 2 loops to 4. It was easy to obtain, since the Johnson gauge for the 3-loop integrals is the Feynman gauge.

Were one able to understand the awesome cancellations of Tables 1 and 2, one might hope to compute a rational result for the 5-loop β function of quenched QED.

Acknowledgments: I am most grateful to Kostja Chetyrkin for sending me his complete results for Z_2 to 4 loops, in the minimal subtraction scheme. Conversations with Ken Johnson, Andrei Kataev, Sergei Larin, and Eduardo de Rafael fueled my fascination for quenched QED. Three-loop work with Bob Delbourgo and Dirk Kreimer, and hospitality from Jos Vermaseren in Amsterdam, encouraged me to complete the 4-loop analysis.

References

- [1] K. Johnson, R. Willey, M. Baker, *Phys. Rev.* **163** (1967) 1699.
- [2] K. Johnson, M. Baker, *Phys. Rev.* **D8** (1973) 1110.
- [3] J.L. Rosner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17** (1966) 1190; *Ann. Phys.* **44** (1967) 11.
- [4] E. de Rafael, J.L. Rosner, *Ann. Phys.* **82** (1974) 369.
- [5] S.G. Gorishny, A.L. Kataev, S.A. Larin, *Phys. Lett.* **B194** (1987) 429.
- [6] S.G. Gorishny, A.L. Kataev, S.A. Larin, L.R. Surguladze, *Phys. Lett.* **B256** (1991) 81.
- [7] S.G. Gorishny, A.L. Kataev and S.A. Larin, *Phys. Lett.* **B259** (1991) 144.
- [8] T. van Ritbergen, J.A.M. Vermaseren, S.A. Larin, *Phys. Lett.* **B400** (1997) 379. [hep-ph/9701390](#)
- [9] K.G. Chetyrkin, *Phys. Lett.* **B404** (1997) 161. [hep-ph/9703278](#)
- [10] J.A.M. Vermaseren, S.A. Larin, T. van Ritbergen, *Phys. Lett.* **B405** (1997) 327. [hep-ph/9703284](#)
- [11] J.D. Bjorken, S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields* (McGraw-Hill, 1965).
- [12] D.J. Broadhurst, R. Delbourgo, D. Kreimer, *Phys. Lett.* **B366** (1996) 421. [hep-ph/9509296](#)
- [13] S.G. Gorishny, S.A. Larin, L.R. Surguladze, F.V. Tkachov, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **55** (1989) 381.
- [14] D.J. Broadhurst, A.L. Kataev, O.V. Tarasov, *Phys. Lett.* **B298** (1993) 445. [hep-ph/9210255](#)
- [15] K.G. Chetyrkin, F.V. Tkachov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B192** (1981) 159; F.V. Tkachov, *Phys. Lett.* **B100** (1981) 65.
- [16] K.G. Chetyrkin, F.V. Tkachov, *Phys. Lett.* **B114** (1982) 340.
- [17] M. Misiak, M. Münz, *Phys. Lett.* **B344** (1995) 308. [hep-ph/9409454](#)
- [18] D.J. Broadhurst, A.G. Grozin, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 4082. [hep-ph/9410240](#)
- [19] D.J. Broadhurst, A.L. Kataev, *Phys. Lett.* **B315** (1993) 179. [hep-ph/9308274](#)

Table 1: Feynman-gauge contributions to $3\beta_3$

$K_1 K_1$	24
K_1	-32 -48 ζ_3
K_1''	-4
K_1'	0
K_2	48
K_2''	-36 +48 ζ_3
K_2'	0
total	0

Table 2: Feynman-gauge contributions to $3\beta_4$

$K_1 K_1 K_1$	48
$K_1 K_1$	-128 -192 ζ_3
$K_1 K_1'$	0
$K_1 K_2$	192
$K_1 K_2'$	0
K_{3a}	288 -2112 ζ_3 +1920 ζ_5
K_{3a}''	-494 -1600 ζ_3 +2400 ζ_5
K_{3a}'	0
K_{3b}	128 +1952 ζ_3 -2240 ζ_5
K_{3b}''	332 +2208 ζ_3 -2880 ζ_5
K_{3b}'	128 +1536 ζ_3 -1920 ζ_5
K_{3c}	-192 +672 ζ_3 -480 ζ_5
K_{3c}''	1264 +3040 ζ_3 -4800 ζ_5
K_{3c}'	0
K_{3d}	-160 -768 ζ_3 +960 ζ_5
K_{3d}''	-1222 -3136 ζ_3 +4800 ζ_5
K_{3d}'	160 +1088 ζ_3 -1280 ζ_5
K_1	520 +360 ζ_3 -480 ζ_5
K_1''	1656 +4632 ζ_3 -6880 ζ_5
K_1'	-856 -1776 ζ_3 +2880 ζ_5
K_2	-544 -1440 ζ_3 +1920 ζ_5
K_2''	-1120 -4464 ζ_3 +6080 ζ_5
K_2'	-160
total	-160

Table 3: Dyson-Schwinger-Johnson anatomy of γ_4 by Gauss code

1 ; 1	0		
1, 2 ; 1, 2	$-1459/8$	$-20 \zeta_5$	$+182 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 2, 3	$-93/2$	$+120 \zeta_5$	$-114 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 3, 2	183	$-360 \zeta_5$	$+96 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 1, 2, 3	29	$-320 \zeta_5$	$+314 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 2, 1, 3	598	$-2440 \zeta_5$	$+1642 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 1 ; 4, 2, 3, 4	-6	$+20 \zeta_5$	$-20 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 1 ; 4, 3, 2, 4	-70	$+240 \zeta_5$	$-144 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 2, 3, 4	-10	$+20 \zeta_5$	$-83 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 2, 4, 3	24	$-120 \zeta_5$	$+66 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 3, 2, 4	-1	$-140 \zeta_5$	$+119 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 3, 4, 2	5		$+9 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 4, 2, 3	-69	$+120 \zeta_5$	$-39 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 4, 3, 2	-26	$+160 \zeta_5$	$-112 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 2, 1, 4, 3	$-15/2$	$+260 \zeta_5$	$-215 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 2, 4, 1, 3	16	$+160 \zeta_5$	$-145 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 4, 2, 3, 4	-27	$+200 \zeta_5$	$-131 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 4, 3, 2, 4	53	$-400 \zeta_5$	$+295 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 2, 4, 1, 3, 4	56	$-100 \zeta_5$	$+43 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 2, 4, 3, 1, 4	-68	$+40 \zeta_5$	$+17 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 2, 3, 4	16		$+42 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 2, 4, 3	-25	$+40 \zeta_5$	$-7 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 3, 2, 4	-85	$+360 \zeta_5$	$-234 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 3, 4, 2	116	$-200 \zeta_5$	$+71 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 2, 1, 3, 4	-19	$-100 \zeta_5$	$+106 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 2, 1, 4, 3	79	$-560 \zeta_5$	$+407 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 2, 3, 1, 4	37	$-40 \zeta_5$	$-5 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 3, 1, 2, 4	125	$-820 \zeta_5$	$+595 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 3, 1, 4, 2	-294	$+1200 \zeta_5$	$-795 \zeta_3$
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 3, 2, 1, 4	-154	$+840 \zeta_5$	$-600 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 1, 4, 2, 3, 4	26	$+400 \zeta_5$	$-373 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 1, 4, 3, 2, 4	-130	$+720 \zeta_5$	$-507 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 2, 4, 1, 3, 4	-82	$+960 \zeta_5$	$-759 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 2, 4, 3, 1, 4	-58	$+80 \zeta_5$	$-17 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4	5	$-80 \zeta_5$	$+101 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 1, 2, 4, 3	-10	$+80 \zeta_5$	$-68 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 1, 3, 2, 4	24		$-9 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 2, 1, 3, 4	104	$-400 \zeta_5$	$+271 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 2, 1, 4, 3	-122	$+400 \zeta_5$	$-244 \zeta_3$
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 2, 3, 1, 4	-132	$+320 \zeta_5$	$-155 \zeta_3$
total	$-1027/8$	$+640 \zeta_5$	$-400 \zeta_3$

Table 4: Dyson-Schwinger-Johnson anatomy of $\gamma_{m,4} + \gamma_4$ by Gauss code

1 ; 1	- 602	+ 1280 ζ_5	- 992 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 1, 2	212	- 320 ζ_5	+ 632 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 2, 3	144	+ 320 ζ_5	- 80 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 3, 2	- 848	+ 1600 ζ_5	- 928 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 1, 2, 3	- 1120	- 2560 ζ_5	+ 2272 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 2, 1, 3	- 272	+ 3520 ζ_5	- 2656 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 1 ; 4, 2, 3, 4	- 16	+ 960 ζ_5	- 696 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 1 ; 4, 3, 2, 4	200		- 96 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 2, 3, 4	1056	- 800 ζ_5	- 112 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 2, 4, 3	- 1664	+ 2560 ζ_5	- 640 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 3, 2, 4	- 480	+ 480 ζ_5	+ 96 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 3, 4, 2	- 672		+ 672 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 4, 2, 3	- 992	+ 160 ζ_5	+ 512 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 1, 4, 3, 2	2336	- 960 ζ_5	- 960 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 2, 1, 4, 3	1008	- 1440 ζ_5	+ 384 ζ_3
1, 2, 3, 4 ; 2, 4, 1, 3	- 224		+ 384 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 4, 2, 3, 4	384	- 3520 ζ_5	+ 2560 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 1, 4, 3, 2, 4	- 256	+ 2880 ζ_5	- 2208 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 2, 4, 1, 3, 4	- 128	- 960 ζ_5	+ 864 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 2, 4, 3, 1, 4	256	- 320 ζ_5	- 160 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 2, 3, 4	- 1664	+ 3200 ζ_5	- 1664 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 2, 4, 3	800	- 2240 ζ_5	+ 992 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 3, 2, 4	928	- 2880 ζ_5	+ 1536 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 1, 3, 4, 2	- 288	+ 1600 ζ_5	- 1216 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 2, 1, 3, 4	1280	- 1120 ζ_5	- 224 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 2, 1, 4, 3	- 976		+ 768 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 2, 3, 1, 4	288	+ 1600 ζ_5	- 1696 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 3, 1, 2, 4	128	+ 800 ζ_5	- 608 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 3, 1, 4, 2	352		- 480 ζ_3
1, 2, 3 ; 4, 3, 2, 1, 4	- 800	- 960 ζ_5	+ 1344 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 1, 4, 2, 3, 4	- 640	+ 960 ζ_5	- 192 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 1, 4, 3, 2, 4	800		- 672 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 2, 4, 1, 3, 4	640	- 1280 ζ_5	+ 512 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 2, 4, 3, 1, 4	- 192		+ 288 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 1, 2, 3, 4	- 352	- 2240 ζ_5	+ 2336 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 1, 2, 4, 3	1072	+ 1920 ζ_5	- 2496 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 1, 3, 2, 4	- 224	+ 1600 ζ_5	- 928 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 2, 1, 3, 4	- 128	+ 1600 ζ_5	- 1216 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 2, 1, 4, 3	- 144	- 2560 ζ_5	+ 2464 ζ_3
1, 2 ; 3, 4, 2, 3, 1, 4	512	- 2240 ζ_5	+ 1568 ζ_3
total	- 286	+ 640 ζ_5	- 736 ζ_3