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#### Abstract

This paper introduces the framework of decomposable combinatorial structures and their traversal algorithms. A combinatorial type is decomposable if it admits a specification in terms of unions, products, sequences, sets, and cycles, either in the labelled or in the unlabelled context. Many properties of decomposable structures are decidable. Generating function equations, counting sequences, and random generation algorithms can be compiled from specifications. Asymptotic properties can be determined automatically for a reasonably large subclass. Maple libraries that implement such decision procedures are briefly surveyed (LUO, combstruct, equivalent). In addition, libraries for manipulating holonomic sequences and functions are presented (gfun, Mgfun).
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#### Abstract

Résumé Cet article présente le cadre des structures combinatoires décomposables et de leurs algorithmes de traversée. Un type combinatoire est décomposable lorsqu'il admet une spécification en termes d'unions, de produits, de suites, d'ensembles et de cycles, que ce soit dans l'univers étiqueté ou non-étiqueté. De nombreuses propriétés des structures décomposables sont décidables. Equations de fonctions génératrices, suites de dénombrement et algorithmes de génération aléatoire peuvent être compilés à partir des spécifications. Les propriétés asymptotiques peuvent être déterminées automatiquement pour une sous-classe assez étendue. Des programmes Maple mettant en œuvre de telles procédures de décision sont brièvement décrits (LUO, combstruct, equivalent). En outre, des programmes pour la manipulation de suites et fonctions holonomes sont présentés (gfun, Mgfun).
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This report is a short account of research ${ }^{\dagger}$ concerning enumerative combinatorics and computer algebra with applications to the average case analysis of algorithms. It is a summary of invited lectures given by P. Flajolet and B. Salvy at the Workshop on Combinatorics and Computer Algebra held at Cornell University in September 1993. We describe here the major principles and functionalities of a collection of libraries aimed at the manipulation of combinatorial generating functions. All programmes are being developed within the computer algebra system Maple. At the momentTthey are available on the server ftp.inria.fr (under the directory lang/maple/INRIA).

The present paper offers a concise perspective on an approach developed in detail in previous works (FlajoletГSalvyI\& Zimmermann 1991; FlajoletГZimmermanT\& Van Cutsem 1994; Zimmermann 1994) as well as on the logic underlying recent developments. Our presentation is principally based on the following work.

- A general framework for the automatic analysis of so-called "decomposable" combinatorial structures and its extension to traversal procedures as described in (Flajolet「Salvy「\& Zimmermann 1991). Two major components are needed: one deals with the algebraic manipulation of combinatorial generating functions (Zimmermann 1991) The other one with the asymptotic analysis of coefficients of such generating functions (Salvy 1991a). This theory has given rise to the integrated system LUO (Lambda-Upsilon-Omega $A_{\Upsilon} \Omega$ ) for the analysis of traversal procedures on decomposable structures.

[^0]- A matching collection of random generation algorithms for selecting amongst a decomposable combinatorial class uniformly at random an element of given size. The principles are described in (FlajoletГZimmermanT\& Van Cutsem 1994) Twith a first implementationTcalled GaiaГpresented in (Zimmermann 1994). The current version of GaiaГcalled combstruct $\Gamma$ will be the basis of further developments and should be merged with LUO.
- A library called equivalent for extracting the asymptotic form of coefficients of generating functions that covers a large subset of generating functions arising from decomposable classes and forms the basis of the analytic engine of LUO.
- A library called gfun for the algebraic manipulation of generating functionsTespecially of the so-called "holonomic" type.

Roughly speaking[the development related to LUO has enabled us to validate a general theory and a global system architecture. In a second phase $\Gamma$ attention focusses on the design of a complete set of algorithms and libraries for the basic functions whose need was revealed by the LUO design. A later phase will be dedicated to the integration of these algorithms and libraries into a successor to LUO.

## 1. Decomposable structures

### 1.1. Decomposable structures

Research conducted by several combinatorialists like Chomsky \& Schützenberger (1963) Г Foata \& Schützenberger (1970) TRota (1975) ГFoata (1974) ГJoyal (1981) and a few others in the course of the last three decades have led to a considerable change of perspective regarding combinatorial enumerations. While combinatorial enumeration was previously conceived largely as a collection of techniques for solving recurrencesTseveral frameworks developed with the aim of relating directly combinatorial structures and their associated generating functions. See the accounts given in (BergeronTLabelleГ \& Leroux 1994; Goulden \& Jackson 1983; Stanley 1978; Stanley 1986).

A remarkable fact is that a collection of basic set-theoretic constructions acting on combinatorial classes like

> (disjoint) union, product, formation of sequences, of sets, of cycles
have translations into operators on associated generating functions in the rough form of
sum, product, quasi-inverse, exponential, logarithm.
Technically T two "universes" exist: in the labelled universe $\Gamma$ all "atoms" (nodes $\Gamma$ letters $\Gamma$ etc) composing a structure are distinguishable $\Gamma$ being for instance labelled by distinct integers of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for a structure of size $n$; in the unlabelled universe $\Gamma$ nodes are indistinguishable.

From there $\Gamma$ one introduces a specification language for combinatorial structures. A specification is a formal grammar of generalized context-free type involving the combinatorial constructions of (1.1). A specification thus resembles a type description in a classical programming language. A combinatorial class is said to be decomposable if it admits such a specification. The basic framework is detailed in (FlajoletएSalvy \& Zimmermann 1991).

Simple examples of decomposable classes in the unlabelled universe are (atoms are indicated on the right):

| Binary words: | Word $=\operatorname{Sequence}(\operatorname{Union}(a, b)) ;$ | $($ letters aCb) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Binary plane trees: | $B=\operatorname{Union}(N, \operatorname{Prod}(B, B)) ;$ | $($ external nodes N) |
| General nonplane trees: | $G=\operatorname{Prod}(Z, \operatorname{Set}(G)) ;$ | $($ nodes $Z)$ |

HereГSet means a set whose elements may be replicatedГi.e. Гa multiset. (Another construction called Powerset takes care of the case where duplicates are forbidden.)

Examples in the labelled universe are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Permutations: } & \text { Perm }=\operatorname{Set}(\operatorname{Cycle}(Z)) ; & (Z \text { is a labelled atom }) \\
\text { Set partitions: } & S P=\operatorname{Set}(\operatorname{Set}(Z, \operatorname{card}\rangle=1)) ; & (Z \text { is a labelled atom })
\end{array}
$$

Like in a context-free grammarTauxiliary nonterminals may be used. For instanceГ a functional graph (FG) is defined as a labelled digraph in which nodes all have outdegree 1; any such graph decomposes into connected components $\Gamma$ each in the form of a cycle of Cayley trees (labelled nonplane trees). Hence the specification:

Functional Graphs: $\quad \mathrm{FG}=\operatorname{Set}(\mathrm{K}) ; \mathrm{K}=\operatorname{Cycle}(\mathrm{T}) ; \mathrm{T}=\operatorname{Prod}(\mathrm{Z}, \operatorname{Set}(\mathrm{T}))$;

### 1.2. Generating functions

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a combinatorial class of some kindTwith $C_{n}$ the number of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ having size $n$. Then $\Gamma$ the ordinary generating function (OGF) and the exponential generating function (EGF) of $\mathcal{C}$ are defined by

$$
C(z)=\sum_{n} C_{n} z^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{C}(z)=\sum_{n} C_{n} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}
$$

A general convention proves particularly useful: we constantly represent a class like $\mathcal{C} \Gamma$ its enumeration sequence $\left\{C_{n}\right\} \Gamma$ and the corresponding generating functions $C(z), \widehat{C}(z)$ by the same group of letters.

Principle 1.1. Generating function equations can be compiled automatically for any decomposable class.

The translations affect OGF's in the unlabelled case $\Gamma$ and EGF's in the labelled case. They are summarized in Fig. 1.

Thus the generating function of any combinatorial class that is decomposable is implicitly defined by a system of equations derived from Fig. 1. In many practical situations $\Gamma$ these generating functions can be solved explicitly. Within LUOTa dedicated solver (FlajoletГ Salvy \& Zimmermann 1991; Zimmermann 1991) was developed $\Gamma$ based on the capabilities of Maple's solve function. For instance $\Gamma$ binary trees lead to an algebraic equation for the OGF of a simple form

$$
B(z)=z+B^{2}(z) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad B(z)=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z}}{2}
$$

and the specification of functional graphs (1.3) yields for EGF's

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{F G}(z)=\exp (\widehat{K}(z)), \quad \widehat{K}(z)=\log \frac{1}{1-\widehat{T}(z)}, \quad \widehat{T}(z)=z e^{\widehat{T}(z)} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Construction | Translation (OGF) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G} \uplus \mathcal{H}$ | $F(z)=G(z)+H(z)$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}$ | $F(z)=G(z) \cdot H(z)$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Sequence}(\mathcal{G})$ | $F(z)=[1-G(z)]^{-1}$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Set}(\mathcal{G})$ | $F(z)=\exp \left[G(z)+G\left(z^{2}\right) / 2+G\left(z^{3}\right) / 3+\cdots\right]$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Poterset}(\mathcal{G})$ | $F(z)=\exp \left[G(z)-G\left(z^{2}\right) / 2+G\left(z^{3}\right) / 3-\cdots\right]$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Cycle}(\mathcal{G})$ | $F(z)=\log (1-G(z))^{-1}+\cdots$ |
| Construction | Translation $(\mathrm{EGF})$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal { G }} \uplus \mathcal{H}$ | $\widehat{F}(z)=\widehat{G}(z)+\widehat{H}(z)$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G} * \mathcal{H}$ | $\widehat{F}(z)=\widehat{G}(z) \cdot \widehat{H}(z)$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Sequence}(\mathcal{G})$ | $\widehat{F}(z)=[1-\widehat{G}(z)]^{-1}$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Set}(\mathcal{G})$ | $\widehat{F}(z)=\exp (\widehat{G}(z))$ |
| $\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Cycle}(\mathcal{G})$ | $\widehat{F}(z)=\log (1-\widehat{G}(z))^{-1}$ |

Figure 1. Translation tables for basic combinatorial constructions in the unlabelled and in the labelled universe.

This last example involves the implicitly defined function $\hat{T}(z)$ which in Maple reduces to $-W(-z) \Gamma$ with $W$ the inverse function of $y e^{y} \Gamma$ so that

$$
\widehat{F G}(z)=\frac{1}{1+W(-z)} .
$$

Incidentally「this demonstrates that the capabilities of the solver are tightly coupled with the design of the underlying computer algebra system.

The automatic computation of generating function equations is the basis of all further developments. In particularTit provides the basis for the computation of counting sequences.

### 1.3. Counting sequences and Random generation

Once generating function equations have been determinedTcoefficients can sometimes be obtained by direct Taylor series expansions. HoweverTsuch an approach presents some difficulties since series solutions for general enough systems of equations are not available in computer algebra systems.

A general result (FlajoletГZimmermanT\& Van Cutsem 1994; Zimmermann 1991) is the following.

Principle 1.2. The first $n$ elements of the counting sequence of any decomposable class can be determined in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ arithmetic operations.

The method consists in reducing specifications to a binary normal form (that generalizes Chomsky's normal form for context-free grammars) at the expense of introducing the differential operator $\Theta=z \frac{d}{d z}$. For instance $\Gamma$ a specification for the class $\mathcal{G}$ of general nonplane trees is only one line in combstruct $\Gamma$

```
g := [G, G=Prod(Z,Set(G)), unlabelled];
```

which is to be interpreted as follows: the "axiom" is GГthe grammar itself consists of one equationTand the specification is to be taken in the unlabelled universe; $\mathbf{Z}$ is implicitly
defined as "atomic". Correspondingly Tthe generating function obeys

$$
G(z)=z \exp \left[G(z)+\frac{1}{2} G\left(z^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3} G\left(z^{3}\right)+\cdots\right]
$$

Applying $\Theta$ on both sides yields

$$
\Theta G(z)=G(z)\left[1+(\Theta G)(z)+(\Theta G)\left(z^{2}\right)+(\Theta G)\left(z^{3}\right)+\cdots\right],
$$

itself equivalent to a recurrence on coefficients $G_{n}=\left[z^{n}\right] G(z)$ Tnamely

$$
n G_{n}=G_{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(k G_{k}\right) G_{n-k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}\left(k G_{k}\right) G_{n-2 k}+\cdots .
$$

Given the specification of any decomposable class $\Gamma$ the combstruct package automatically produces procedures to compute the counting sequences. These counting procedures can then be executed at will. Here $\Gamma$ the corresponding count is simply obtained by

```
count(g,size=100);
```

51384328351659326880337136395054298255277970
It takes about 3 seconds on our reference machine ( 100 MIPS) to determine this number $G_{100}$-this is Sequence \#454 of (Sloane 1973) - and 4 seconds in the corresponding labelled case of $T_{100}$ - known otherwise to equal $100^{99}$.

Random generation is another major function provided by combstruct. This makes it possible to write simulation routines to study various parameters of combinatorial structures. The draw command will generate uniformly at random an object amongst all elements of size $n \Gamma$ for example $\Gamma$

```
draw(g,size=5);
    Prod(Z,Set(Prod(Z,Set(Prod(Z,Eps),Prod(Z,Eps),Prod(Z,Eps)))))
```

and the returned object is a Maple structure fully consistent with the specification (here Eps represents the empty set).

Principle 1.3. Any decomposable structure has a random generation algorithm of worstcase arithmetic complexity $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ that is effectively computable.

The random generation procedures are compiled from the specification and they make full use of the counting tables. The algorithmic design combines the reduction of specifications to binary form $\Gamma$ a top-down recursive algorithmTand a general so-called boustrophedonic search. The principlesTin the labelled case at leastTare given in (FlajoletT ZimmermanT\& Van Cutsem 1994) and an earlier implementation of combstruct「called GaiaCis described in (Zimmermann 1994). The algorithms only require $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ arithmetic operations $\Gamma$ and it takes of the order of 0.5 seconds to generate a random tree in $\mathcal{G}$ of size 100 . In this particular case $\Gamma$ the system automatically compiles an optimized version of the algorithm Ranrut of Nijenhuis \& Wilf (1978).

## 2. Asymptotic analysis

Coefficients of generating functions associated to decomposable structures do not generally have explicit expressions. HoweverTfor large classes of combinatorial structuresTit is possible to deduce asymptotic expansions of the combinatorial sequences directly from the equations they satisfy.

The mathematical principles are based on Cauchy's coefficient formula which relates the $n$th Taylor coefficient of a series to the function itself:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] f(z)=\frac{1}{2 i \pi} \oint \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} d z \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This makes it possible to relate the asymptotic behaviour of the coefficients of $f(z)$ to the location of the dominant singularities of $f$ (those of smallest modulus) and to the singular behaviour of $f$ at those points.

Principle 2.1. For a large subclass of generating functions associated to decomposable structures, the asymptotic form of coefficients is computable automatically.

### 2.1. Rational functions

In the case of rational functionsTthe approach consists in computing a partial fraction decomposition and then extracting the coefficients of the terms corresponding to the poles of smallest modulus.

Bronstein \& Salvy (1993) showed how to compute a partial fraction decomposition over the algebraic closure of the ground field by simple gcd computations Wwithout resorting to polynomial factorization. This leads to a fast decomposition as a sum of terms of the form

$$
\frac{c_{\alpha}}{(z-\alpha)^{k_{\alpha}}}
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined by $Q(\alpha)=0$ for some polynomial $Q$. Extracting the coefficients of the terms corresponding to the poles of minimal modulus and maximal order gives the first order asymptotic behaviour of the coefficients. To determine more terms of the expansionTit is necessary to determine how many poles lie on successive circles of increasing modulus. This can be done algebraically using resultants and Sturm sequences $\Gamma$ albeit with an exponential complexity. A better approach is based on guaranteed numerical approximations and leads to a polynomial time algorithm (Gourdon \& Salvy 1993). This uses a polynomial root-finding algorithm from Schönhage (1982Г1987) which has been implemented by Gourdon (1993).

Example. The following combinatorial problem was considered by Conway (1987). Starting with $1 \Gamma$ write down a sequence of words by counting the number of contiguous identical digits in the previous word. Thus the second word is 11 because there is one 1 in " 1 ". Then the third word is $21 \Gamma$ and so on. The first few words are: $1 \Gamma 11 \Gamma 21 \Gamma 1211 \Gamma$ 111221 Г $312211 \Gamma 13112221$ Г . . It turns out that the sequence of lengths of these words: $1 \Gamma$ $2 \Gamma 2 \Gamma 4 \Gamma 6 \Gamma 6 \Gamma 6 \Gamma 8 \mathrm{~L}$. has a rational generating function whose denominator has degree 72 . From the table in Conway (pp. 177-178) Гit is possible to compute this fraction by solving a linear system. One of the nice theorems of Conway's states that the denominator is actually independent of the starting string $\Gamma$ provided it is different from " 22 ". Thus in the leading term of the asymptotic expansionTonly the constant factor depends on the initial string.

Despite the large degree of this denominator $\Gamma$ the asymptotic expansion is not too difficult to find. The partial fraction decomposition is

$$
R(z)+\sum_{Q(\alpha)=0} \frac{F(\alpha)}{z-\alpha}
$$

where $R$ is a polynomial induced by the first terms. This means that all the singularities are simple poles. Here $\Gamma F$ is a polynomial of degree 71 with 250 -digit rational coefficients. If one is only interested in the first order estimate $\Gamma$ it then remains to determine the number of roots of smallest modulus. As expected since the coefficients of the generating function are positive Tone of these roots is a positive real number. Using Gourdon's programTwe get the two smallest moduli $a$ approximately 0.767 and 0.861 Twith error bounds of the order $10^{-40} \Gamma$ which shows that there is a unique root of smallest modulus (which is necessarily real). Thus $\Gamma\left[z^{n}\right] f(z) \sim F\left(\rho_{1}\right) \rho_{1}^{-n-1} \Gamma$ with $\rho_{1} \simeq 0.767119$ and $F\left(\rho_{1}\right) \simeq 1.566$. All the 72 moduli belong to the interval ( 0.767 Il .151 ) Tshowing the need for a carefully designed polynomial solver.

### 2.2. Singularity analysis

The computation of the asymptotic behaviour of coefficients of rational functions obeys a pattern which is actually much more general.

Principle 2.2. For generating functions of moderate growth at dominant singularities, there is a systematic correspondence between singular expansion of the function and asymptotic expansion of coefficients.

The method can be outlined as follows (see (Flajolet \& Odlyzko 1990) for a rigourous description):

1 Locate the dominant singularities (the ones of smallest modulus);
2 Check that the function is analytically continuable in a small region outside of its circle of convergence;
3 Compute the local expansion of the function in the neighbourhood of its dominant singularities;
4 Translate these singular expansions into corresponding expansions of the coefficients.

In practiceTstep 2 above is always insured by the fact that singularities of large classes of functions are isolated. The property holds a priori for most generating functions associated to decomposable structures - for instance all functions presented by their closed-form in terms of exp $\Gamma \log$ and rational functions. The last step is the basis of the method. It asserts that under mild conditions $\Gamma$ the $n$th coefficient of

$$
f(z)=f_{1}(z)+f_{2}(z)+\ldots+f_{k}(z)+\mathcal{O}(g(z)), \quad z \rightarrow \rho,
$$

where $\rho$ is the dominant singularity of $f$ Tbehaves asymptotically like

$$
\left[z^{n}\right] f_{1}(z)+\left[z^{n}\right] f_{2}(z)+\ldots+\left[z^{n}\right] f_{k}(z)+\mathcal{O}\left(\left[z^{n}\right] g(z)\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Growth orders for standard functions are represented in Fig. 2. Actually「 Flajolet \& Odlyzko (1990) give full expansions for the whole class of algebraic-logarithmic singularities.

This method has been implemented in Maple by Salvy (1991a). The programTcalled equivalentTstarts from an explicit (exp-log) generating function. It looks for the dominant singularities by a simple iterative procedure which reduces singularity finding to root

| Behaviour of the function |  | Growth of its coefficients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c(1-z / \rho)^{\alpha}$ | $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ | $c \rho^{-n} n^{-\alpha-1} / \Gamma(-\alpha)$ |
| $c(1-z / \rho)^{\alpha} \log ^{\beta}[1 /(1-z / \rho)]$ | $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ | $c \rho^{-n} n^{-\alpha-1} \log ^{\beta} n / \Gamma(-\alpha)$ |
| $c(1-z / \rho)^{k} \log ^{\beta}[1 /(1-z / \rho)]$ | $k \in \mathbb{N}$ | $c(-1)^{k} \beta k!_{\rho}^{-n} n^{-k-1} \log ^{\beta-1} n$ |

Figure 2. The correspondence between asymptotic growth of the coefficients and singular growth of the function
finding. Then a local asymptotic expansion is computed. Translating these expansions to expansions of the coefficients is then an easy matter.
Example. The generalized bracketing problem of Schröder (Comtet 1974Гp. 56). The problem is to determine the number of bracketings of $n$ symbols such that pairs of brackets always enclose at least two terms. For instance There are the 11 bracketings of 4 symbols:

$$
(a b)(c d),((a b) c) d,(a(b c)) d, a((b c) d), a(b(c d)), a b c d,(a b c) d, a(b c d),(a b) c d, a(b c) d, a b(c d)
$$

The corresponding specification is
Bracketing=Union(Symbol, Sequence(Bracketing, card>=2));

By the methods described in Section $1 \Gamma$ the generating function $Y(z)$ of these bracketings satisfies

$$
Y=z+\frac{Y^{2}}{1-Y}
$$

from which the solver deduces that the relevant solution is

$$
Y(z)=\frac{1}{4}\left(1+z-\sqrt{1-6 z+z^{2}}\right) .
$$

From this explicit formTequivalent will deduce that the dominant singularity is at $3-$ $2 \sqrt{2}$ and a local analysis at this point leads to the result:
equivalent ((1+z-sqrt (1-6*z+z^2))/4,z,n);

$$
\frac{\sqrt{12 \sqrt{2}-16}(3-2 \sqrt{2})^{-n}}{8 \sqrt{\pi} n^{3 / 2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{5 / 2}(3-2 \sqrt{2})^{n}}\right)
$$

Example. Stanley's children rounds (Stanley 1978). Children group in circles with one child at the center of each circle. The problem is to compute the number of ways this can be done with $n$ children. Using the language of Section 1 1 the specification is
Rounds=Set(Product(Child,Cycle(Child)));
leading to the generating function

$$
\widehat{R}(z)=\exp \left(z \log \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\right)
$$

The dominant singularity is at 1 where $R$ has a logarithmic behaviour. Hence the first four terms of the expansion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { equivalent }(\exp (\mathrm{z} * \log (1 /(1-\mathrm{z}))), \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{n}, 4) \text {; } \\
& \qquad 1-\frac{1}{n}-\frac{\ln n}{n^{2}}+\frac{1-\gamma}{n^{2}}+O\left(\frac{\ln (n)^{2}}{n^{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\gamma$ is Euler's constant.
The difficult part in this computation comes from the frequent need for algebraiclogarithmic asymptotic scales $\Gamma$ which are not provided by computer algebra systems. A program called gdev was developed for that purpose (Salvy 1991aГ1991b). This program does not completely solve the problem of finding local expansions for the class of exp$\log$ functions. A general algorithm for doing so was given by Shackell (1990) Tbut no implementation of this algorithm is yet available. An approach similar to Shackell's has been developed by Gonnet \& Gruntz (1992). This may soon provide Maple with the best asymptotic expander of all existing computer algebra systems (Gruntz 1995).

### 2.3. SadDLE-POINT METHOD

Not all combinatorial generating functions have an algebraic-logarithmic singularity. In many cases $\Gamma$ the function is entire or has an essential singularity at a finite distance. A large class of such functions is handled by the saddle-point method.

Principle 2.3. A decidable subclass of functions with fast growing singular behaviour have coefficients that are approximated by saddle-point integrals.

The idea is to choose a circle of integration in Cauchy's formula (2.1) such that the integral is concentrated in the neighbourhood of a special point (the saddle-point) Wwhere the integral can be approximated by a Gaussian integral. The point is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n} \frac{f^{\prime}\left(R_{n}\right)}{f\left(R_{n}\right)}=n+1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the asymptotic approximation furnished by the method is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] f(z) \sim \frac{f\left(R_{n}\right)}{R_{n}^{n} \sqrt{2 \pi h^{\prime \prime}\left(R_{n}\right)}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=\log (f)-(n+1) \log z$. Sufficient conditions for this method to be valid were given by Hayman (1956) and they can be checked by a computer. In some cases $\Gamma$ a full expansion is available (Wyman 1959) and effective criteria for deciding this are available (Harris \& Schoenfeld 1968; Odlyzko \& Richmond 1985). These were also implemented in equivalent.

Example. The number of increasing subsequences in permutations was considered by Lifschitz \& Pittel (1981). For instance $\Gamma$ the permutation 524361 has 15 increasing subsequencesTnamely the empty sequence $\Gamma$ each single element and

$$
56,24,246,23,236,26,46,36 .
$$

Determining the mean number of increasing subsequences in a random permutation is equivalent to counting "marked permutations" which are permutations with a distinguished increasing subsequence. Such a marked permutation decomposes as a regular permutation followed by a sequence of fragments with the additional condition that initial elements of the fragments run in increasing order $\Gamma$ for instance

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau=36 \underline{2} 41 \underline{\underline{5}} 72 \underline{8} & \equiv(36)(\underline{2} 41)(\underline{5} 72)(\underline{8}) \\
& \equiv(36)\{(\underline{5} 72),(\underline{2} 41),(\underline{8})\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The specification of marked permutations is therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Perm }=\text { Sequence }(Z) ; \text { Fragment }=\text { Sequence }(Z, \text { card }>=1) ; \\
\text { MarkedPerm }=\text { Product }(\text { Perm, Set }(\text { Fragment })) ;
\end{gathered}
$$

From this the generating function is found to be

$$
\frac{1}{1-z} \exp \left[\frac{z}{1-z}\right]
$$

The asymptotic behaviour of its coefficients is then determined automatically:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { equivalent }(1 /(1-z) * \exp (z /(1-z)), z, n) \text {; } \\
& \qquad \frac{e^{2 \sqrt{n}}}{2 \sqrt{e} \sqrt{\pi} n^{1 / 4}}+O\left(\frac{e^{2 \sqrt{n}}}{n^{3 / 4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In an example like this Equation (2.2) admits a simple closed-form solution. In general though n no such closed-form exists and it is necessary to find an asymptotic expansion of the saddle-point location in terms of $n$. A general procedure for doing so when $f$ is any exp-log function was given by Salvy \& Shackell (1992) and a fast algorithm in a special but frequent case was given in (Salvy 1994). Knowing the asymptotic expansion of the location of the saddle-point is not always sufficient to complete the asymptotic expansion of the coefficients $\Gamma$ since in some cases substituting the expansion of $R_{n}$ in (2.3) does not lead to a genuine asymptotic expansion of Poincaré type.

Example. Bell numbers are the number of partitions of a set into non-empty sets. From Section $1 \Gamma$ it follows that their exponential generating function is $\exp \left(e^{z}-1\right)$. Here the saddle-point expansion must not be substituted into the expansionTand we get automatically (compare with De Bruijn (1981))
equivalent $(\exp (\exp (z)-1), z, n)$;
The saddle-point is $W(n+1)$
Saddle point's expansion:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\zeta=\ln n-\ln \ln n+\frac{\ln \ln n}{\ln n}+O\left(\frac{\ln ^{2} \ln n}{\ln ^{2} n}\right) \\
\frac{\sqrt{2} \zeta^{-n-1} e^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}} e^{e^{\zeta}}}{2 e \sqrt{\pi} \zeta^{n}}+O\left(\frac{e^{e^{\zeta}}}{\left(\zeta^{n}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2} \sqrt{e^{\zeta}}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3. Procedures

Like combinatorial enumerationTthe average-case analysis of algorithms is often treated by recurrences. Steyaert and Flajolet first recognized that several general algorithmic schemas admit a direct translation into generating functions; see (Flajolet \& Steyaert 1987; Steyaert 1984) for the particular case of tree algorithms. This approach was later extended to a coherent collection of traversal mechanisms that applies to all decomposable combinatorial structures (FlajoletГSalvyI\& Zimmermann 1991; Zimmermann 1991). The LUO system implements these ideas.

A procedure is specifiable in LUO if it involves only data types that are decomposable
structures in the sense of Section 1 and simple traversal procedures of a purely functional form．The allowed procedures can test cases（for types defined by unions）$\Gamma$ descend into components（of products）$\Gamma$ and iterate on components（of sequences $\Gamma$ sets $\Gamma$ or cycles）． A cost measure is specified in terms of the number of times a designated procedure is executed．

Internally「the LUO system comprises three parts：an＂algebraic analyzer＂systematically determines generating function equations from specifications of types and procedures （according to principles extending those of Section 1）$\Gamma$ a＂solver＂（briefly mentioned in Section 1）is dedicated to finding closed－form solutions of generating function equations whenever available $\Gamma$ and finally an＂asymptotic analyzer＂uses as a basic engine the equivalent programme of Section 2．In LUOTthe algebraic analyzer has been implemented as a special set of CAML procedures since the specifications are of a Pascal－like format．In the future，the descendant of LUO should be entirely Maple－based with a syntax extending that of combstruct．

Given a data type $\mathcal{C}$ which is a decomposable class $\Gamma$ a LUO－admissible procedure $P$ of signature $P(c: \mathcal{C})$ and a fixed cost measure $\Gamma$ the cost of executing $P$ on $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is well－defined and is denoted by $\tau P[c]$ ．The total costs are then

$$
\tau P_{n}=\sum_{c \in \mathcal{C},|c|=n} \tau P[c]
$$

and the corresponding generating function is called the complexity descriptor of $P$ ．Nat－ urally

Principle 3．1．Complexity descriptors of traversal procedures over decomposable types are automatically computable．Their coefficients are amenable to singularity analysis or saddle－point methods．

A noteworthy fact is that complexity descriptors in the labelled case lie in the same class as the counting generating functions of the basic data typesTand in the unlabelled case $\Gamma$ in a class that is only marginally larger．In particular「the exact values of $\left\{\tau P_{n}\right\}$ can be determined in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ arithmetic operations and the asymptotic values can be obtained by the same methods as discussed in Section 2.

Example．Symbolic differentiation．Figure 3 displays the LUO specification of a sym－ bolic differentiation algorithm diff that operates on expression（trees）built of symbols $0,1, x,+, \times$ ，exp；the cost here is measured by the number of nodes of the differentiated expression tree（see FlajoletTSalvy「\＆Zimmermann（1989）for details）．Counting gener－ ating functions and cost descriptors are determined automatically and the solver finds that they are all of the form

$$
R\left(z, \sqrt{1-2 z-23 z^{2}}\right) \quad \text { with } R(z, y) \text { a rational function in } \mathbb{C}(z, y) .
$$

From hereTthe asymptotic analyzer equivalent obtains the expected cost from its built－ in singularity analysis mechanism $\Gamma$

$$
\overline{\tau \mathrm{diff}}_{n}=\frac{(126+\sqrt{6}) \sqrt{\pi}}{(-1+2 \sqrt{6})^{3 / 2} 6^{3 / 4} 23^{1 / 2}} n^{3 / 2}+\mathcal{O}(n) \simeq 0.80421 n^{3 / 2}+\mathcal{O}(n)
$$

Thus the cost grows super－linearly but subquadratically on average．A variant algorithm based on subexpression sharing is also within the capabilities of the system which finds

```
type expression = zero | one | x
                                    product(plusFexpressionFexpression)
                                    product(timesTexpressionTexpression)
    product(expoTexpression);
plusTimesFexpoZzero「one\mathbb{x}=\boldsymbol{atom(1);}
function diff(e:expression):expression;
case e of
    plus(e1/e2) : plus(diff(e1)Rdif(e2));
    times(e1Te2) : plus(times(diff(e1) Icopy(e2))\Gamma
                times(copy(e1)「dif(e2)));
    expo(e1) :times(diff(e1)Tcopy(e));
    zero :zero;
    one : zero;
x : one
end;
function copy (e:expression):expression;
case e of
    plus(e1Te2) : plus(copy(e1) Icopy(e2));
    times(e1Гe2) : times(copy(e1) Copy(e2));
    expo(e1) : expo(copy(e1));
    zero : zero;
    one :one;
    x : x
end:
measure plusTtimesTexpo历zeroFoneVx : 1;
```

Figure 3．Symbolic differentiation：the Luo specification．
for the a priori linear complexity a precise form that evaluates to

$$
1.41523957 n+\mathcal{O}\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

The＂Cookbook＂（FlajoletГSalvy「\＆Zimmermann 1989）provides about twenty such analysis reports in such diverse areas as addition chains $\Gamma$ concurrent access problems $\Gamma$ planar bipartite graphsTdifferentiation algorithmsTtree rewriting Trandom trainsTrandom functional graphsTetc．

The method specializes to parameters of combinatorial structures defined by the num－ ber of occurrences of a given construction．In such a case $\Gamma$ one can always design a traversal procedure whose cost will record the number of occurrences of the construction in question．In additionTit becomes possible to automatically derive bivariate generating functions giving the distribution of the parameter in questionTby extending the approach described in Section 1．This makes it possible at least in principle $\Gamma$ to approach such problems as automatic computations of variance and（in some cases）limit distributions $\Gamma$ see（Soria－Cousineau 1990）．This idea should be explored further in future works．

## 4．Combinatorial sequences and generating functions

Many combinatorial sequences are amenable to the asymptotic analysis of Section $2 \Gamma$ provided a＂nice＂generating function can be found．In particularTit is our aim in the long term to automate the asymptotic analysis of Zeilberger＇s holonomic sequences． Meanwhile $\Gamma$ a set of tools dealing with holonomic sequences and functions have been developed．

### 4.1. Guessing a generating function

Sequences occurring in practice tend to have simple generating functions. Padé approximants can be used to check whether various kinds of generating functions derived from a particular sequence are rational. In this way Plouffe (1992) estimates that about $13 \%$ of the table (Sloane 1973) have a rational generating function; Bergeron \& Plouffe (1992) found that about $23 \%$ of the sequences in Sloane's table had a generating function which could be guessed. Similar ideas are incorporated into gfun which looks for holonomic generating functions. It was then found that about $18 \%$ of Sloane's sequences are holonomic. The first version of gfun (Salvy \& Zimmermann 1994) used a method of indeterminate coefficients; the algorithm has been recently changed to use a technique of Hermite Padé approximants due to Harm Derksen (see also Beckermann \& Labahn (1992)) Twhich leads to an appreciable speed-up.

Example. Numerators of convergents to $e$. It is known since Euler that the continued fraction expansion of $e$ has the regular quotient sequence $2 \Gamma 1 \Gamma 2 \Gamma 1 \Gamma 1 \Gamma 4 \Gamma 1 \Gamma 1 \Gamma 6 \Gamma 1 \Gamma 1 \Gamma$ 85..The fractions obtained by truncating this expansion define the convergents to $e$ Tand restricting attention to elements of index $3 k+1$ yields

$$
3, \frac{19}{7}, \frac{193}{71}, \frac{2721}{1001}, \frac{49171}{18089}, \frac{1084483}{398959}, \frac{28245729}{10391023}, \frac{848456353}{312129649}, \frac{28875761731}{10622799089}, \ldots
$$

We input the sequence of numerators of this to the procedure listtodiffeq of the gfun package:
$1:=[3,19,193,2721,49171,1084483,28245729,848456353,28875761731]:$ listtodiffeq(l,y(z));

$$
\left[\left\{y(0)=3, \mathrm{D}(y)(0)=19, \frac{y(z)}{4}+\frac{5}{2} \frac{d}{d z} y(z)+(z-1 / 4) \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} y(z)\right\}, \operatorname{egf}\right]
$$

The "egf" term means that this is the equation satisfied by the exponential generating function (this is user-settable). Then the Maple differential equation solver finds a solution to this equationTwhich after simplification reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(z)=\frac{\sqrt{e^{1-\sqrt{1-4 z}}}}{1-4 z}\left(1+\frac{2}{\sqrt{1-4 z}}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course $\Gamma$ this does not constitute a proof but consistency with the next values strongly militates in favour of its validity. The result can then be proved formally by the methods of next section.

This part of gfun has been incorporated into a mail server created by N. Sloane (superseeker@research.att.com).

### 4.2. Holonomy in one variable

Order constraints on the labels of decomposable structures lead to generating functions obeying differential equations. A function is called holonomic when it satisfies a linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients. SimilarlyTholonomic sequences are sequences that satisfy a linear recurrence with polynomial coefficients.

Principle 4.1. (Zeilberger, Lipschitz, Stanley) Closure properties of holonomic functions and sequences are effectively computable. Consequently, identities between holonomic functions and sequences are decidable.

Another part of gfun implements the numerous closure properties of holonomic functions and sequences (Lipshitz 1989; Stanley 1980; Zeilberger 1990). In particularГit is known that

- a sequence is holonomic (P-recursive) if and only if its generating function is holonomic (D-finite);
- the sum and product of two holonomic functions or sequences are holonomic;
- algebraic functions are holonomic;
- the composition of a holonomic function with an algebraic function is holonomic.

Example. We compute the linear recurrence satisfied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k^{2}+1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence of such a recurrence is ensured by the closure properties mentioned above $\Gamma$ the summation being provided by Euler's transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[z^{n}\right] \frac{1}{1-z} g\left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^{k} g_{k} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple heuristic approach consists in computing sufficiently many terms of the sequence and then letting the guessing mechanism of gf un find the recurrence. Since the summand is hypergeometric in both variablesTit is also possible to use Zeilberger's fast algorithm to get the recurrence (see e.g. ГPaule \& Schorn 1995). We detail here how the equation is rigourously constructed via the univariate closure properties. We start from the trivial order 0 recurrence satisfied by $1 /\left(k^{2}+1\right)$

```
rec:=(k^2+1)*u(k)=1:
```

from which we deduce the differential equation satisfied by its generating function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rectodiffeq(rec,u(k),y(z)); } \\
& \qquad\left\{(1-z) z^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(z)+(1-z) z y^{\prime}(z)+(1-z) y(z)-1, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=1 / 2\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we perform the algebraic substitution $z \mapsto z /(z-1)$ :

```
algebraicsubs(",y*(z-1)-z,y(z));
\[
z^{2}(z-1)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(z)+z(-1+2 z)(z-1) y^{\prime}(z)+y(z)-1+z
\]
```

Now we have to multiply $y(z)$ by $1 /(1-z)$. Although this can be done directly F we illustrate the more general mechanism of multiplication of holonomic functions:
'diffeq*diffeq'(' $,(1-z) * y(z)-1, y(z))$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{z^{2}(z-1)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(z)+z(4 z-1)(z-1) y^{\prime}(z)+\left(2 z^{2}-z+1\right) y(z)-1, y(0)=1, y^{\prime}(0)=1 / 2\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then just have to translate this into a recurrence for the coefficients:

```
diffeqtorec(",y(z),f(n));
{(\mp@subsup{n}{}{2}+3n+2)f(n)-(7n+6+2\mp@subsup{n}{}{2})f(n+1)+(5+4n+\mp@subsup{n}{}{2})f(n+2),f(0)=1,f(1)=1/2}
```

Finding such equations serves various purposes.

## 1. Identity proving

To prove a combinatorial identity $a=b \Gamma$ the technique promoted by Zeilberger (1990) $\Gamma$ Wilf \& Zeilberger (1992) consists in building up the equation satisfied by $a-b$ as exemplified above. The identity is then proved by checking sufficiently many initial conditions. Zeilberger published numerous examples of uses of this method「a detailed example based on gfun being given in Flajolet \& Salvy (1993).

## 2. Fast computation

A linear recurrence makes it possible to compute $n$ terms of a sequence in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ arithmetic operations. In particular $\Gamma$ the fastest known algorithm to compute the series expansion of an algebraic function consists in first computing the differential equation it satisfies (the procedure algeqtodiffeq in gfun) Then using the recurrence on its Taylor coefficients (Chudnovsky \& Chudnovsky 1986).

## 3. Finding closed-forms

Several algorithms are known to find closed-form solutions of linear differential or difference equations. Abramov (1989) gave fast algorithms to find rational solutions of such equations. Algorithms for finding Liouvillian solutions of linear differential equation are now implemented in most computer algebra systems (see Singer (1990) for a survey of the algorithms). Petkovšek (1992) gave an algorithm to find hypergeometric solutions of linear recurrences with polynomial coefficients. This in turn gives an algorithm to find generalized hypergeometric solutions of linear differential equations with polynomial coefficients (Petkovšek \& Salvy 1993). Our prototype implementations should soon make their way into Maple's library.

## 4. Asymptotics

The area of computer algebra needed to compute expansions of solutions of linear differential equations has undergone extensive research (Tournier 1987; Duval 1987; Thomann 1990). Completely solving the problem requires a mixture of formal computation with algebraic numbers and numerical resummation of divergent series. There are partial implementations of this in most computer algebra systems. Using the local expansion of solutions at their singularities makes it possible to compute asymptotics of linear recurrences via singularity analysis.

Principle 4.2. The asymptotic form of a univariate holonomic sequence is computable.
An alternative approach based on Birkhoff's work can be found in (Wimp \& Zeilberger 1985).

Example. We describe the main steps of the method on the sequence (4.2). Singularities of holonomic functions can be read off the corresponding differential equation: they are located at the roots of the leading coefficient. Here the generating function satisfies (4.4) Г hence the dominant singularity is at 1 . This is a regular singular point and a local analysis (e.g. Tusing Maple's dsolve/series) shows that the local behaviour at 1 is of the form

$$
c_{1}(1-z)^{-1-i} \phi_{1}(z)+c_{2}(1-z)^{-1+i} \phi_{2}(z)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(z),
$$

where $i=\sqrt{-1} \Gamma$ the $c_{k}$ are undetermined constants and the $\phi_{k}(z)$ are formal series in $1-z$ Twith $\phi_{k}(1)=1$. Singularity analysis then shows that the asymptotic behaviour of the sequence $f_{n}$ is

$$
f_{n} \sim C \cos (\log n+\vartheta)
$$

for constants $C$ and $\vartheta$ that could be determined numerically from the series $\phi_{k}$. In this particular exampleГRice's method (see Flajolet \& Sedgewick (1994) TKnuth (1973)) also applies and yields the more precise result that $C=|\Gamma(i)|$ and an explicit form for $\vartheta$.

### 4.3. Multivariate holonomy

Holonomy extends to multivariate functions or sequences and to mixed cases like orthogonal polynomials that satisfy both a linear recurrence with respect to the index and a linear differential equation with respect to the argument (Zeilberger 1990). One is then led to consider systems of linear operators and algebras of such operators. Under mild conditions Tthe left ideals of these algebras are finitely generated and a non-commutative variant of Buchberger's algorithm works in this context (Chyzak 1994). Many of the closure properties of the univariate case still hold and some of them have been implemented by Chyzak in the Mgfun package. Identities satisfied by combinatorial sequences like Apéry's sequence (see Van der Poorten (1979)) can be obtained almost routinely by elimination using Zeilberger's (1991) creative telescoping (more details will be given in Chyzak \& Salvy (1995)).
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