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#### Abstract

In this paper we disprove a conjecture about numerators of divided Bernoulli numbers $B_{n} / n$ and $B_{n} / n(n-1)$ which was suggested by Roland Bacher. We give some counterexamples. Finally, we extend the results to the general case.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $B_{n}$ be the $n$-th Bernoulli number with $n \geq 0$. They are defined by the power series

$$
\frac{z}{e^{z}-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n} \frac{z^{n}}{n!}, \quad|z|<2 \pi,
$$

where all numbers $B_{n}$ are zero with odd index $n>1$. Therefore, we will consider only even indices concerning Bernoulli numbers. These numbers play an important role in several topics in mathematics. Here, we are interested in the numbers

$$
\frac{B_{n}}{n} \text { and } \frac{B_{n}}{n(n-1)}
$$

which occur, e.g., in approximation formulas of harmonic numbers $H_{n}$ resp. Stirling's approximation of $\log \Gamma(x)$, see [GKP94, pp. 480-482].
Now, we need some basic facts about Bernoulli numbers which can be found in [IR90, Chapter 15]. In 1850 Kummer introduced the following definition.

Definition 1.1 Let $p$ be an odd prime. A pair $(p, l)$ is called an irregular pair if $p \mid B_{l}$ with $2 \leq l \leq p-3$ and even $l$. The index of irregularity of $p$ is defined by

$$
i(p):=\#\{(p, l) \text { is an irregular pair : } l=2,4, \ldots, p-3\} .
$$

Then $p$ is called an irregular prime if $i(p)>0$, otherwise $p$ is a regular prime.

[^0]Let $\varphi$ be the Euler $\varphi$-function, then the classical Kummer congruences state for $n, n^{\prime}$ even, $p$ prime, and $p-1 \nmid n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B_{n}}{n} \equiv \frac{B_{n^{\prime}}}{n^{\prime}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n \equiv n^{\prime}(\bmod \varphi(p))$. An easy consequence of the Kummer congruences supplies that the numerator of $B_{n} / n$ consists only of irregular primes and that infinitely many irregular primes exist. Let ( $p, l$ ) be an irregular pair. Using congruence (1.1) provides for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \mid B_{l+k \varphi(p)} /(l+k \varphi(p)) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following conjecture about numerators of $B_{n} / n$ and $B_{n} / n(n-1)$ was suggested by Roland Bacher, see The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [Slo04], Sequence A092291. First values are given by 574, 1269, 1910, 3384, 1185, 1376, 9611. The statements will differ by a factor 2 , because we will use only even indices $n$ instead of $2 n$. Define num $(r)$ as the numerator of a rational number $r$.

Conjecture 1.2 Let $(p, l)$ be an irregular pair with smallest $l$ in case of index of irregularity $i(p)>1$. Define

$$
A(p)=\min _{m}\left\{m \left\lvert\, \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right) / \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right)=p\right.\right\} .
$$

Then $A(p)=(l-1) p+1$.
Actually, let $p_{n}$ be the $n$-th irregular prime, then $A\left(p_{n}\right) / 2$ gives Integer Sequence A092291.

## 2 Counterexamples

Because the conjecture does not cover all irregular pairs, we will extend our research to all of them. Note that for example $(157,62)$ and $(157,110)$ are irregular pairs and the index of irregularity is $i(157)=2$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $(p, l)$ be an irregular pair. Define

$$
A(p)=\min _{k}\left\{m=l+k \varphi(p) \left\lvert\, \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right) / \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right)=p\right.\right\} .
$$

Then $A(p)=(l-1) p+1$ is valid and has smallest possible value if and only if one of the following cases holds
(1) $l-1$ has no irregular prime factors.
(2) If $q$ is an irregular prime divisor of $l-1$, then $q \nmid B_{(l-1) p+1} /((l-1) p+1)$.

Proof. First of all, we will prove that $A(p)=(l-1) p+1$ is the smallest possible value. To solve

$$
\operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right) / \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right)=p
$$

factor $m-1$ must have the form $m-1=p c$ with some integer $c$ to reduce the $p$-power of the second numerator. In other words, we must have

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p} \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right)=s \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p} \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right)=s-1
$$

with some integer $s \geq 1$. Let $m^{\prime}$ be the smallest possible value we are searching for. By (1.2) we then have $m^{\prime}=l+k(p-1)$ and $m^{\prime}-1=p c$. This yields

$$
l-1+k(p-1)=p c \quad \text { resp. } \quad k \equiv l-1 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

By definition we have $1<l<p-2$. Thus, $k=l-1$ is the smallest possible value and finally

$$
m^{\prime}=l+(l-1)(p-1)=(l-1) p+1=A(p)
$$

Now, we have to take care that $m^{\prime}-1=(l-1) p$ does not delete other irregular prime factors of the numerator of $B_{m^{\prime}} / m^{\prime}$. In case (1) nothing happens. In case (2) an irregular prime divisor $q$ of $l-1$ must not appear in the numerator of $B_{m^{\prime}} / m^{\prime}$.

Using Kummer congruences (1.1) and property (1.2) again, we can now reformulate Conjecture 1.2 to an extended equivalent conjecture described only by irregular pairs.

Conjecture 2.2 Let $(p, l)$ be an irregular pair. If $q$ is an irregular prime divisor of $l-1$ then for all irregular pairs $\left(q, l^{\prime}\right)$ the following holds

$$
(l-1) p \not \equiv l^{\prime}-1 \quad(\bmod q-1)
$$

But this conjecture is not valid. We have done some calculations for all irregular pairs $(p, l)$ with $p<1000000$ using a database of irregular pairs calculated in [ $\left.\mathrm{BCE}^{+} 01\right]$. There are 39181 irregular pairs all together, 16540 of them have irregular prime divisors of the corresponding $l-1$ and 149 exceptions occur.

The first five exceptions and the last calculated exception are listed below.

| $(p, l)$ | $m=(l-1) p+1$ | $l-1$ | $\left(q, l^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(6449,4884)$ | 31490468 | $19 \cdot 257$ | $(257,164)$ |
| $(8677,2658)$ | 23054790 | 2657 | $(2657,710)$ |
| $(11351,1044)$ | 11839094 | $7 \cdot 149$ | $(149,130)$ |
| $(12527,2122)$ | 26569768 | $3 \cdot 7 \cdot 101$ | $(101,68)$ |
| $(15823,482)$ | 7610864 | $13 \cdot 37$ | $(37,32)$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $(999599,649768)$ | 649506443434 | $3 \cdot 59 \cdot 3671$ | $(59,44)$ |

Note that there are two irregular pairs $(6449,4884)$ and $(6449,5830)$. But the first of them disproves the suggested conjecture with minimal $l=4884$. The smallest index for which such an exception occurs is 7610864 . This index is the smallest of our calculated exceptions. For irregular pairs $(p, l)$ with $p>1000000$ we obtain index $m=(l-1) p+1>37 \cdot 10^{6}$ for a possible exception, because 37 is the first irregular prime.

## 3 Extending results to prime powers

In order to extend the results to irregular prime powers, we need some further definitions and generalization. First, the Kummer congruences generally state for $r \geq 1$, $n, n^{\prime}$ even, $p$ prime, and $p-1 \nmid n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-p^{n-1}\right) \frac{B_{n}}{n} \equiv\left(1-p^{n^{\prime}-1}\right) \frac{B_{n^{\prime}}}{n^{\prime}} \quad\left(\bmod p^{r}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n \equiv n^{\prime}\left(\bmod \varphi\left(p^{r}\right)\right)$.
The definition of irregular pairs can be extended to irregular prime powers which was first introduced by the author [Kel02, Section 2.5], see also [Kel04] for details and new results. Here we will recall necessary facts.

Definition 3.1 A pair $(p, l)$ is called an irregular pair of order $n$ if $p^{n} \mid B_{l} / l$ with $2 \leq l<\varphi\left(p^{n}\right)$ and even $l$. Let

$$
\Psi_{n}^{\mathrm{irr}}:=\left\{(p, l): p^{n}\left|B_{l} / l, 2 \leq l<\varphi\left(p^{n}\right), 2\right| l\right\}
$$

be the set of irregular pairs of order $n$. For a prime $p$ the index of irregular pairs of order $n$ is defined by

$$
i_{n}(p):=\#\left\{(p, l):(p, l) \in \Psi_{n}^{\mathrm{irr}}\right\} .
$$

Let $(p, l) \in \Psi_{n}^{\mathrm{irr}}$ be an irregular pair of order $n$. Let

$$
\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{n}^{\mathrm{irr}}, \quad l=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} s_{\nu} \varphi\left(p^{\nu-1}\right)
$$

be the $p$-adic notation of $(p, l)$ with $0 \leq s_{\nu}<p$ for $\nu=1, \ldots, n$ and $2 \mid s_{1}, 2 \leq s_{1} \leq p-3$. The corresponding set will be denoted as $\widehat{\Psi}_{n}^{\mathrm{irr}}$. The pairs $(p, l)$ and $\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ will be called associated. Define for an irregular pair ( $p, l$ )

$$
\Delta_{(p, l)} \equiv p^{-1}\left(\frac{B_{l+\varphi(p)}}{l+\varphi(p)}-\frac{B_{l}}{l}\right) \quad(\bmod p)
$$

with $0 \leq \Delta_{(p, l)}<p$.

Note that this definition includes for $n=1$ the usual definition of irregular pairs with $i(p)=i_{1}(p)$. By Kummer congruences (3.1) the interval $\left[2, \varphi\left(p^{n}\right)-2\right]$ is given for irregular pairs of order $n$ if they exist. Moreover, we have the property that if $(p, l) \in \Psi_{n}^{\text {irr }}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{n} \mid B_{l+k \varphi\left(p^{n}\right)} /\left(l+k \varphi\left(p^{n}\right)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Note that $\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ is also called a pair keeping in mind that $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ is the second parameter in a $p$-adic manner. The main result of irregular pairs of higher order can be stated as follows, see [Kel04, Theorem 3.1, p. 8].

Theorem 3.2 Let $\left(p, l_{1}\right)$ be an irregular pair. If $\Delta_{\left(p, l_{1}\right)} \neq 0$ then for each $n>1$ there exists exactly one irregular pair of order $n$ corresponding to $\left(p, l_{1}\right)$. Therefore a unique sequence $\left(l_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ resp. $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ exists with

$$
\left(p, l_{n}\right) \in \Psi_{n}^{\mathrm{irr}} \quad \text { resp. } \quad\left(p, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{n}^{\mathrm{irr}} .
$$

If $\Delta_{\left(p, l_{1, \nu)}\right.} \neq 0$ for all $i(p)$ irregular pairs $\left(p, l_{1, \nu}\right) \in \Psi_{1}^{\mathrm{irr}}$, then

$$
i(p)=i_{2}(p)=i_{3}(p)=\ldots
$$

So far, no irregular pair $(p, l)$ with $\Delta_{(p, l)}=0$ has been found for $p<12000000$ by calculations in $\left[\mathrm{BCE}^{+} 01\right]$. Because the case $\Delta_{(p, l)}=0$ would imply a strange behavior, it is conjectured that this will never happen.

Theorem 3.3 Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $(p, l)$ be an irregular pair with $\Delta_{(p, l)} \neq 0$. Then let $\left(p, l_{r}\right) \in \Psi_{r}^{\mathrm{irr}}$ resp. $\left(p, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{r}^{\mathrm{irr}}$ be the corresponding irregular pair of order $r$. Define

$$
A\left(p^{r}\right)=\min _{k}\left\{m=l_{r}+k \varphi\left(p^{r}\right) \left\lvert\, \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right) / \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right)=p^{r}\right.\right\}
$$

Then $A\left(p^{r}\right)$ has only a solution if $\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)=(p, l, l-1, \ldots, l-1)$ and $l_{r}-1=$ $(l-1) p^{r-1}$. Furthermore $A\left(p^{r}\right)=\left(l_{r}-1\right) p+1=(l-1) p^{r}+1$ is valid and has smallest possible value if and only if one of the following cases holds
(1) $l-1$ has no irregular prime factors.
(2) If $q$ is an irregular prime divisor of $l-1$, then all irregular pairs $\left(q, l^{\prime}\right)$ must satisfy

$$
(l-1) p^{r} \not \equiv l^{\prime}-1 \quad(\bmod q-1) .
$$

Lemma 3.4 Let $n \geq 1$ and $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n+1}$ be integers with $0 \leq s_{\nu}<p$ for all $\nu=$ $1, \ldots, n+1$. If

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} s_{\nu} \varphi\left(p^{\nu-1}\right)=s_{n+1} p^{n-1}
$$

then $s_{1}=s_{2}=\ldots=s_{n+1}$.
Proof. Reordering terms yields

$$
0=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} s_{\nu} \varphi\left(p^{\nu-1}\right)-s_{n+1} p^{n-1}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\left(s_{\nu}-s_{\nu+1}\right) p^{\nu-1}
$$

which deduces the result $p$-adically by induction.
Proof of Theorem 3.3. Case $r=1$ is handled by Theorem 2.1, because $(p, l)=$ $\left(p, l_{1}\right)=\left(p, s_{1}\right)$. For now let $r \geq 2$. First we will show the proposed formula for $A\left(p^{r}\right)$. To solve

$$
\operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right) / \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right)=p^{r}
$$

factor $m-1$ must have the form $m-1=p^{r} c$ with some integer $c$. Then $m-1$ must reduce the $p$-power of the second numerator in order that

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{p} \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right)=u \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p} \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right)=u-r
$$

is valid with some integer $u \geq r$ which is granted by irregular pair $\left(p, l_{r}\right)$ of order $r$. Let $m^{\prime}$ be the smallest possible value. By (3.2) we have $m^{\prime}=l_{r}+k \varphi\left(p^{r}\right)$ and $m^{\prime}-1=p^{r} c$ which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{r}-1+k p^{r-1}(p-1)=p^{r} c \quad \text { and } \quad l_{r}-1 \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod p^{r-1}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keeping in mind that $l_{r}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{r} s_{\nu} \varphi\left(p^{\nu-1}\right)<\varphi\left(p^{r}\right)$, we obtain

$$
0<l_{r}-1=p^{r-1} t<p^{r-1}(p-1)
$$

with $0<t<p-1$. Rewriting (3.3) we get

$$
p^{r-1} t+k p^{r-1}(p-1)=p^{r} c \quad \text { and } \quad k p^{r-1} \equiv t p^{r-1} \quad\left(\bmod p^{r}\right)
$$

which provides $k \equiv t(\bmod p)$ and finally $k=t$ as smallest value. Note that $l=s_{1}$ and $2 \leq l \leq p-3$. Now, using Lemma 3.4 with $l_{r}-1=t p^{r-1}$ yields $s_{1}-1=s 2=\ldots=$ $s_{r}=t$. Thus, we derive the following conditions

$$
\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)=(p, l, l-1, \ldots, l-1) \quad \text { and } \quad l_{r}-1=(l-1) p^{r-1} .
$$

After all, we obtain

$$
A\left(p^{r}\right)-1=m^{\prime}-1=l_{r}-1+(l-1) \varphi\left(p^{r}\right)=(l-1) p^{r}=\left(l_{r}-1\right) p .
$$

To avoid that an irregular prime divisor $q$ of the remaining factor $l-1$ of $m^{\prime}-1$ divides $B_{m^{\prime}} / m^{\prime}$, we must have

$$
m^{\prime} \not \equiv l^{\prime} \quad(\bmod q-1)
$$

for all irregular pairs $\left(q, l^{\prime}\right)$. Then $A\left(p^{r}\right)$ is valid with the derived value.
Corollary 3.5 Let $(p, l)$ be an irregular pair with $\Delta_{(p, l)} \neq 0$. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer, $\left(p, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{r}^{\mathrm{irr}}$, and $A\left(p^{r}\right)$ be defined as in Theorem 3.3. Assume $\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$ $\neq(p, l, l-1, \ldots, l-1)$ then $A\left(p^{u}\right)$ related to $(p, l)$ has no solution for all $u \geq r$.

Proof. As a result of Theorem 3.2, if $\Delta_{(p, l)} \neq 0$ then a unique sequence $\left(s_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \geq 1}$ exists that describes all irregular pairs of higher order related to $(p, l)$. Then one has $\left(p, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, \ldots, s_{u}\right) \neq(p, l, l-1, \ldots, l-1)$ for all $u>r$.

The condition $\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)=(p, l, l-1, \ldots, l-1)$ is a very strange condition. No such irregular pair $\left(p, s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\text {irr }}$ of order two with $s_{2}=s_{1}-1$ has been found yet. For irregular primes $p<1000$ the smallest difference $\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|$ is 4 which happens for the following elements

$$
(353,186,190),(647,554,558) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\mathrm{irr}}
$$

Therefore $A\left(p^{r}\right)$ has no solution for $p<1000$ and $r \geq 2$. Calculated irregular pairs of order 10 for $p<1000$ can be found in [Kel04, Table A.3].

Remark 3.6 Although the more complicated case $\Delta_{(p, l)}=0$ should not happen, Theorem 3.3 is also valid in that case. We only need an irregular pair $\left(p, l_{r}\right) \in \Psi_{r}^{\mathrm{irr}}$ and its associated pair $\left(p, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{r}^{\text {irr }}$ which are related to $(p, l)$. Corollary 3.5 remains to be valid in a similar way. A strong condition must hold that further irregular pairs of order $r+1$ related to $\left(p, l_{r}\right)$ exist. In case of existence they all have the form $\left(p, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, t\right) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{r+1}^{\text {irr }}$ with $0 \leq t<p$, see [Kel04, Theorem 3.2, p. 8].

## 4 The composite case

For completeness we will examine the composite case. For now, we will recognize composite integers $c$

$$
c=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n} p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}}
$$

having only irregular primes $p_{\nu}$ in its factorization with $n>1$. Therefore, $p$ will only denote irregular primes. To determine the minimal index of the composite case, define

$$
\Lambda(c)=\min _{m}\left\{m \left\lvert\, \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right) / \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod c)\right.\right\}
$$

in case of no solution define $\Lambda(c)=\infty$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, we always have

$$
\Lambda(p)=\min _{(p, l) \in \Psi_{1}^{\mathrm{irr}}}(l-1) p+1
$$

Theorem 3.3 asserts for $r \geq 2$

$$
\Lambda\left(p^{r}\right)=\min _{(p, l, l-1, \ldots, l-1) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{r}^{\mathrm{irr}}}(l-1) p^{r}+1,
$$

but there is no solution for $p<1000$. Note that $m=12$ is the smallest index for which $\operatorname{num}\left(B_{m} / m\right)>1$. Hence, for $p>1000, r \geq 2$, and $\Lambda\left(p^{r}\right)<\infty$, we have a weak estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(p^{r}\right)>11 \cdot 10^{6} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1 Let $c=\prod_{\nu} p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}}$ with irregular primes $p_{\nu}$. Then

$$
\Lambda(c) \geq \max _{\nu} \Lambda\left(p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}}\right) .
$$

Proof. Assume $\Lambda(c)<\Lambda\left(p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}}\right)$ for a fixed $\nu$. But this contradicts the definition of $\Lambda$, because $p_{\nu}^{e_{\nu}} \mid c$. The case of no solution is handled similarly.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the smallest index for which a composite number appears. By our formerly calculated exceptions, we have an upper bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\min _{c} \Lambda(c) \leq 7610864 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding estimate (4.1) for prime powers above and using Lemma 4.1, for now, we only have to examine composite numbers which are squarefree. Therefore, define the
minimal value of $\Lambda$ for composite squarefree numbers having $n \geq 2$ irregular prime factors by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n}=\min _{c=p_{1} \cdots p_{n}} \Lambda(c) .
$$

Then, by definition we obviously have

$$
\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{2} \leq \mathcal{M}_{3} \leq \ldots
$$

For further results we need the well-known Chinese remainder theorem (CRT), s. [IR90, p. 34], and its generalization.

Theorem 4.2 (CRT) Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ be positive integers which are pairwise relatively prime. Define $W=\prod_{\nu=1}^{n} w_{\nu}$. For a given system of simultaneous congruences

$$
x \equiv a_{\nu} \quad\left(\bmod w_{\nu}\right), \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n
$$

there always exists a unique integer $x(\bmod W)$ with

$$
x \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} a_{\nu} b_{\nu} \frac{W}{w_{\nu}} \quad(\bmod W)
$$

and $b_{\nu}$ defined by

$$
b_{\nu} \frac{W}{w_{\nu}} \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod w_{\nu}\right), \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n
$$

Theorem 4.3 ( CRT') Let $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ be positive integers. A system of simultaneous congruences

$$
x \equiv a_{\nu} \quad\left(\bmod w_{\nu}\right), \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n
$$

has a solution if and only if

$$
a_{i} \equiv a_{j} \quad\left(\bmod \operatorname{gcd}\left(w_{i}, w_{j}\right)\right)
$$

holds for all $i \neq j$. Define $W=\operatorname{lcm}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$, then $x$ has a unique solution $(\bmod W)$.

To state our next theorem, we will introduce a new definition to characterize a set of irregular pairs.

Definition 4.4 Irregular pairs $\left(p_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{n}, l_{n}\right)$ are called friendly if

$$
l_{i} \equiv l_{j} \quad\left(\bmod \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}-1, p_{j}-1\right)\right)
$$

is valid for all $i \neq j$. They are called strong friendly if, in addition,

$$
p_{i} \not \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod p_{j}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(p_{i}, l_{i}\right) \equiv(1,1) \quad\left(\bmod p_{j}\right)
$$

holds for all $i \neq j$.

For example, the irregular pairs $(37,32),(59,44),(101,68)$ are strong friendly. $\{(101,68)$, $(607,592)\}$ and $\{(131,22),(263,100)\}$ are sets of friendly irregular pairs, but they are not strong friendly.

Theorem 4.5 Let $n \geq 2$ and $c=p_{1} \cdots p_{n}$ be a composite number of distinct irregular primes. Then $\Lambda(c)$ has only a solution if there exists a set of strong friendly irregular pairs $S=\left\{\left(p_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{n}, l_{n}\right)\right\}$. In case of existence there is a unique integer $m_{S}$ with

$$
c \leq m_{S}-1 \leq \operatorname{lcm}\left(c, p_{1}-1, \ldots, p_{n}-1\right)
$$

which simultaneously solves the congruences

$$
m_{S}-1 \equiv p_{\nu}\left(l_{\nu}-1\right) \quad\left(\bmod p_{\nu}\left(p_{\nu}-1\right)\right), \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n
$$

$\Lambda(c)$ is then given by

$$
\Lambda(c)=\min _{S} m_{S},
$$

whereas $S$ passes all such sets of strong friendly irregular pairs.
Proof. To derive conditions let $m$ be an integer solving

$$
\operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m}\right) / \operatorname{num}\left(\frac{B_{m}}{m(m-1)}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod c)
$$

Thus, $c \mid B_{m} / m$ and $c \mid m-1$ provide the existence of irregular pairs $\left(p_{\nu}, l_{\nu}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m-1 & \equiv 0 \\
m-1 \equiv l_{\nu}-1 & \left(\bmod p_{\nu}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
\left(\bmod p_{\nu}-1\right)
\end{array}
$$

for $\nu=1, \ldots, n$. The system (4.3) of simultaneous congruences has only a solution if conditions of CRT' are satisfied. Therefore we have to recognize two cases

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
l_{i}-1 \equiv l_{j}-1 & \left(\bmod \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}-1, p_{j}-1\right)\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
l_{i}-1 \equiv 0 & \left(\bmod \operatorname{gcd}\left(p_{i}-1, p_{j}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

which must be valid for all $i \neq j$. The first congruence of (4.4) implies that all considered irregular pairs must be friendly. Additionally by the second congruence they must be strong friendly. This property must hold for a solution and defines set $S$. Combining (4.3) by CRT, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m-1 \equiv p_{\nu}\left(l_{\nu}-1\right) \quad\left(\bmod p_{\nu}\left(p_{\nu}-1\right)\right), \quad \nu=1, \ldots, n \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W=\operatorname{lcm}\left(p_{1}\left(p_{1}-1\right), \ldots, p_{n}\left(p_{n}-1\right)\right)$, then system (4.3) resp. (4.5) has a unique solution $(\bmod W)$ by CRT' and given set $S$. Taking $1, \ldots, W$ as residue classes, we obtain a minimal solution $m_{S}-1$ with the desired properties. If $i\left(p_{\nu}\right) \geq 2$ holds for one index $\nu$, then probably other sets $S$ can exist corresponding to irregular primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$. Therefore all such sets must be considered to get

$$
\Lambda(c)=\min _{S} m_{S}
$$

Theorem 4.5 implies the following easy algorithm.

Algorithm 4.6 Let $n \geq 2, U$ be integers. Given an existing upper bound $U$ of $\mathcal{M}_{n}$, define $u=\left\lfloor U^{1 / n}\right\rfloor$. Otherwise set $U=u=\infty$. Consider irregular primes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}<\ldots<p_{n} \quad \text { with } \quad p_{1} \cdots p_{n}<U, \quad p_{1}<u . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Start with smallest primes. For each tuple of primes do

- Step 1 . Check for sets $S=\left\{\left(p_{1}, l_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{n}, l_{n}\right)\right\}$ of strong friendly irregular pairs. For each existing set $S$ calculate $m_{S}$ using Theorem 4.5. Let $m=\min _{S} m_{S}$. If $m<U$ update $U \leftarrow m$ and $u$.
- Step 2. If possible go to next primes satisfying (4.6), otherwise stop with $\mathcal{M}_{n}=U$.

Starting with $n=2$ and $U=7610864$ yields $\mathcal{M}_{2}=107430$ with $c=103 \cdot 149$. Thus $\mathcal{M}=107430$ is the smallest index for which a composite value occurs. The result for $n=3$ is a quite large number with $\mathcal{M}_{3}=3754314782$, see table below. To check this result, irregular pairs ( $p, l$ ) up to $p<2000000$ must be considered for the first small primes.

| $n$ | $S$ | $U$ | $u$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\{(37,32),(59,44)\}$ | 272876 | 522 |
| 2 | $\{(103,24),(149,130)\}$ | 107430 | 327 |
| 3 | $\{(37,32),(59,44),(101,68)\}$ | 3979497668 | 1584 |
| 3 | $\{(157,62),(401,382),(1217,1118)\}$ | 3754314782 | 1554 |

All results were calculated by several C++ programs and finally checked with Mathematica.

## 5 A connection with Iwasawa theory

In Section 4 we have seen that Theorem 3.3 asserts for $r \geq 2$

$$
\Lambda\left(p^{r}\right)=\min _{(p, l, l-1, \ldots, l-1) \in \hat{\Psi}_{r}^{\text {ir }}}(l-1) p^{r}+1,
$$

noting that there is no solution for $p<1000$. For a solution with $r \geq 2$ we basically need the existence of an irregular pair $(p, l, l-1) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\text {irr }}$ of order two.

Now, the remarkable fact is that conditions $\Delta_{(p, l)} \neq 0$ and $(p, l, l-1) \notin \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\text {irr }}$ play an important role in Iwasawa theory of cyclotomic fields over $\mathbb{Q}$, see [Kel04, Section 6]. Here we give a brief summary.
Let $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)$ be the cyclotomic field and $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)^{+}$its maximal real subfield with $\mu_{p^{n}}$ as the set of $p^{n}$-th roots of unity Define the class number $h_{p}=h\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)\right)$ and its factoring $h_{p}=h_{p}^{-} h_{p}^{+}$with $h_{p}^{+}=h\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)^{+}\right)$and $h_{p}^{-}$as the relative class number introduced by Kummer. For details of the following theorem, see [Was97, Corollary 10.17, p. 202]. Note that conditions (2) and (3) are equivalently exchanged by our definitions.

Theorem 5.1 Let p be an irregular prime. Assume the following conditions
(1) The conjecture of Kummer-Vandiver holds: $p \nmid h_{p}^{+}$
(2) The $\Delta$-Conjecture holds: $\Delta_{(p, l)} \neq 0$
(3) A special irregular pair of order two does not exist: $(p, l, l-1) \notin \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\mathrm{irr}}$
for all irregular pairs $(p, l)$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_{p} h\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n}}\right)\right)=i(p) n$ is valid for all $n \geq 1$.
Buhler, Crandall, Ernvall, Metsänkylä, and Shokrollahi [ $\left.\mathrm{BCE}^{+} 01\right]$ have calculated not only irregular pairs, but also associated cyclotomic invariants up to $p<12000000$. These calculations ensure that no irregular pair $(p, l, l-1) \in \widehat{\Psi}_{2}^{\text {irr }}$ exists in that range. Therefore we have a much stronger estimate than (4.1)

$$
\Lambda\left(p^{r}\right)>1.729 \cdot 10^{15}
$$

which can be obviously improved by choosing a greater value $l>12$ examining the numerators of the first divided Bernoulli numbers $B_{m} / m$.

## Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Tony D. Noe for advising the problem and Sequence A092291.

Bernd C. Kellner
address: Reitstallstr. 7, 37073 Göttingen, Germany
email: bk@bernoulli.org

## References

[ $\left.\mathrm{BCE}^{+} 01\right]$ J. Buhler, R. Crandall, R. Ernvall, T. Metsänkylä, and M. A. Shokrollahi. Irregular primes and cyclotomic invariants to 12 million. Journal of Symbolic Computation, 31(1/2):89-96, January 2001.
[GKP94] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik. Concrete Mathematics. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 1994.
[IR90] K. Ireland and M. Rosen. A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, volume 84 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 1990.
[Kel02] B. C. Kellner. Über irreguläre Paare höherer Ordnungen. Diplomarbeit. Mathematisches Institut der Georg August Universität zu Göttingen, Germany. Also available at http://www.bernoulli.org/~bk/irrpairord.pdf, 2002.
[Kel04] B. C. Kellner. On irregular prime powers of Bernoulli numbers. Preprint, to appear, 1-29, 2004. arXiv:math.NT/0409223
[Slo04] N. J. A. Sloane. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. Published electronically at http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/, 2004.
[Was97] L. C. Washington. Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, volume 83 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 1997.


[^0]:    ${ }^{*} 2$. version of 11.04 .04 , slightly revised

