

# Proofs of some divide-and-conquer generating functions

Ralf Stephan\*

In this article, we give two independent proofs of the power series generating functions of the recurrence class  $a_{2n} = \alpha a_n + c, a_{2n+1} = \alpha a_n + d$ , one from the ground up, and one using a recently published lemma of the author.

Having motivated the search for ordinary generating functions of divide-and-conquer recurrences (and vice versa) earlier[S1], we state first the results of this article.

Let us acquire a symbol from Wilf[W] and define for a formal power series  $f$  and a sequence  $\{a_n\}_0^\infty$  that  $f \overset{\text{ogf}}{\longleftrightarrow} \{a_n\}_0^\infty$  means  $f(z) = \sum_j a_j z^j$ . Throughout this article, let  $\alpha, c, d$  be integers, with  $|\alpha| > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The sequences*

$$(0.1) \quad e(\alpha, c, d; n) : \quad \{a_0 = 0, a_{2n} = \alpha \cdot a_n + c, a_{2n+1} = \alpha \cdot a_n + d\}$$

satisfy

$$(0.2) \quad \frac{1}{1-z} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^k (d \cdot z^{2^k} + c \cdot z^{2^{k+1}})}{1+z^{2^k}} \overset{\text{ogf}}{\longleftrightarrow} e(\alpha, c, d; n)$$

and

$$(0.3) \quad e(\alpha, c, d; n) = \begin{cases} (d-c) \cdot e_1(n) + c(\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1), & \alpha = 1, \\ (d-c) \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha^i b_i + \frac{c(\alpha^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1} - 1)}{\alpha - 1}, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where  $n = \sum 2^i b_i$  and  $e_1(n) = \sum b_i$ .

The first section contains the proof of all results by elementary means, and the second section proves (0.2) using a new lemma.

## 1. BITS AND PIECES

Let  $\alpha, c, d$  be integers,  $|\alpha| > 0$ . The sequences defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} e(\alpha, c, d; n) &= 0, & n &= 0, \\ &= \alpha \cdot e(\alpha, c, d; n/2) + c, & n &= 2k, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.2) \quad = \alpha \cdot e(\alpha, c, d; (n-1)/2) + d, \quad n = 2k + 1,$$

include the ones- and zero-counting (and other well-known) sequences with

$$\begin{aligned} e_1(n) &= e(1, 0, 1; n) = \sum_{m \geq 0} [m\text{-th bit of } n \text{ exists and is set}], \\ e_0(n) &= e(1, 1, 0; n) = \sum_{m \geq 0} [m\text{-th bit of } n \text{ exists and is not set}]. \end{aligned}$$

In order to arrive at Theorem 1, we will prove generating functions with increasing complexity. For the purpose, it is necessary to be rigorous about bits. A number  $n$ , expressed in the *minimal binary representation*, has  $\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1$  digits, called bits: a bit can exist or not, and it can be set or not set. Likewise, we would in decimal assume that 08 and 8 are different representations that amount to the same number. Let us define the following functions restricted on  $m, n \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [m\text{-th bit of } n \text{ exists and is set}] &= \begin{cases} 1 & n > 0 \ \&\& \ m \leq \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor \ \&\& \ \text{bit is set,} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\ [m\text{-th bit of } n \text{ exists and is not set}] &= \begin{cases} 1 & n > 0 \ \&\& \ m \leq \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor \ \&\& \ \text{bit is not set,} \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

---

\*mailto:ralf@ark.in-berlin.de

where '&&' denotes logical AND. The recurrence acts as the divide-and-conquer algorithm that, starting from an index  $n$ , reaches 0 using a path between the two recurrence branches. This path is identical to the minimal binary representation of  $n$ , when read from the least significant bit first, if one denotes branch (1.2) as 1 and (1.1) as 0.

**Lemma 1.** For integer  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{1-z} \cdot \frac{z^{2^m}}{1+z^{2^m}} \xleftrightarrow{\text{ogf}} \{a_{m,n} = [m\text{-th bit of } n \text{ exists and is set}]\}.$$

*Proof.* For  $m = 0$  we have the series  $z/(1-z^2)$  which generates  $\{0, 1, 0, 1, \dots\}$  because it consists of the partial sums (cf. the factor  $1/(1-z)$ ) of the sequence  $\{0, 1, -1, 1, -1, \dots\}$  that is generated by  $z/(1+z)$ . If, in any series,  $z$  is replaced by  $z^2$ , then the generated sequence has its members interleaved with 0, for example,  $z^2/(1+z^2)$  generates the sequence  $\{0, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ . The partial sums of ever more elongated versions of this sequence consist of repeating blocks of “ $2^m$  zeros, followed by  $2^m$  ones”, and the identity holds because any  $m$ th bit of  $n$  is changed by subtraction of  $2^m$  from  $n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** For integer  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{1-z} \cdot \frac{z^{2^{m+1}}}{1+z^{2^m}} \xleftrightarrow{\text{ogf}} \{b_{m,n} = [m\text{-th bit of } n \text{ exists and is not set}]\}.$$

*Proof.* The two sequences  $a_{m,n}$  and  $b_{m,n}$  are **not** the negation of each other, because for example

$$[6\text{th bit of } 2 \text{ exists and is set}] = [6\text{th bit of } 2 \text{ exists and is not set}] = 0.$$

Since  $b_{m,n}$  is not  $1 - a_{m,n}$ , we cannot simply subtract the g.f. of  $a_{m,n}$  from  $1/(1-x)$  to get the g.f. of  $b_{m,n}$ . Rather, the  $m$ th bit of  $n$  does not exist or is unset if the  $m$ th bit of  $n + 2^m$  is set, because the  $m$ th bit is changed by subtraction of  $2^m$ . Thus,  $b_{m,n}$  is  $a_{m,n}$  shifted right by  $2^m$  places (the empty placeholders filled with 0), and the g.f. of  $b_{m,n}$  is the g.f. of  $a_{m,n}$  multiplied with  $z^{2^m}$ .  $\square$

Now, we will compute the recurrence backwards, let  $q = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$  the index of the most significant bit, and  $p_m = da_{q-m,n} + cb_{q-m,n}$  then

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} e(\alpha, c, d; n) &= ((p_0\alpha + p_1)\alpha + p_2)\alpha + \dots \\ &= \alpha^q p_0 + \alpha^{q-1} p_1 + \dots + \alpha^0 p_q, \\ &= \alpha^q (da_{q,n} + cb_{q,n}) + \alpha^{q-1} (da_{q-1,n} + cb_{q-1,n}) + \dots + (da_{0,n} + cb_{0,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemmas 1 and 2, equation (0.2) follows.

**Corollary 1.**

$$(1.6) \quad e(\alpha, c, d; n) = e(\alpha, c, 0; n) + e(\alpha, 0, d; n) = ce(\alpha, 1, 0; n) + de(\alpha, 0, 1; n).$$

$$(1.7) \quad e(\alpha, 1, 1; n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor} \alpha^k = \begin{cases} \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1, & \alpha = 1, \\ \frac{\alpha^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1} - 1}{\alpha - 1}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

$$(1.8) \quad e(\alpha, c, d; n) = \begin{cases} (d-c) \cdot e_1(n) + c(\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1), & \alpha = 1, \\ (d-c) \cdot e(\alpha, 0, 1; n) + \frac{c(\alpha^{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1} - 1)}{\alpha - 1}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Equation (1.6) follows from the main g.f., in (1.7) the main g.f. simplifies by cancelling  $(1+z^{2^k})$  from the fraction, and (1.8) is a consequence of all previous results.  $\square$

Identity (1.8) demonstrates that asymptotic behaviour of all sequences discussed in this section is a simple function of the set of “core” sequences  $e(\alpha, 0, 1; n)$ , the possible descriptions of which (modulo  $\alpha$ ) follow from (1.5):

- Replace  $2^k$  with  $\alpha^k$  in binary expansion of  $n$ .
- Sums of distinct powers of  $\alpha$ .
- $\alpha$ -ary representation contains only 0, 1.

Finally, equation (0.3) is a matter of substituting these definitions into eq. (1.8).

## 2. ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF (0.2)

To restate a proposition of us published in the WWW[S2],

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $A(z)$  an infinite sum of rational functions of form*

$$A(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha^k B(z^{2^k}), \quad B \text{ rational, } |\alpha| \text{ integer} > 0,$$

then  $A(z)$  generates an integer sequence of divide-and-conquer type satisfying

$$a_0 = 0, \quad a_{2n} = \alpha \cdot a_n + b_{2n}, \quad a_{2n+1} = b_{2n+1},$$

where  $b_n$  is the sequence generated by  $B(z)$ .

Note that the summation term with  $k = 0$  fills both bisections of  $a_n$  since  $\alpha^k$  and  $2^k$  reduce to 1. Any other term contributes only to  $a_{2n}$  as all exponents to  $z$  are even. Moreover, other sequences from single terms of the sum are increasingly sparse (spread out by a factor of 2) and have values multiplied with  $\alpha$ , with respect to each other. This is essentially the reason for the sequences' fractality.

Using Lemma 3, it is easy to show that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^k (d \cdot z^{2^k} + c \cdot z^{2^{k+1}})}{1 + z^{2^k}} \stackrel{\text{ogf}}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} a_{2n} &= \alpha a_n + c - d, \\ a_{2n+1} &= d - c. \end{cases}$$

Let  $e'(\alpha, c, d; n)$  the first differences of the sequences  $e$  as defined in (0.1):

$$e'(\alpha, c, d; n) = e(\alpha, c, d; n) - e(\alpha, c, d; n-1).$$

We have the four cases

$$\begin{aligned} e'(4n+1) &= e(4n+1) - e(4n) = \alpha e(2n) + d - \alpha e(2n) - c = d - c \\ e'(4n+2) &= e(4n+2) - e(4n+1) = \alpha e(2n+1) + c - \alpha e(2n) - d \\ &= \alpha(e(2n+1) - e(2n)) + c - d = \alpha e'(2n+1) + c - d \\ e'(4n+3) &= e(4n+3) - e(4n+2) = \alpha e(2n+1) + d - \alpha e(2n+1) - c = d - c \\ e'(4n+4) &= e(4n+4) - e(4n+3) = \alpha e(2n+2) + c - \alpha e(2n+1) - d \\ &= \alpha(e(2n+2) - e(2n+1)) + c - d = \alpha e'(2n+2) + c - d \end{aligned}$$

The fact[GKP, W] that  $(1-z)A(z)$  generates the first differences of  $a_n$ , together with (2.1) proves the assertion.

We hope that Lemma 3 will help with other proofs of generating functions of this type.

## REFERENCES

- [GKP] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, 1994  
[OEIS] N. J. A. Sloane, editor (2003), *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*,  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>  
[S1] R. Stephan, *Divide-and-conquer generating functions. I. Elementary sequences*, math.CO/0307027  
[S2] R. Stephan, *Some divide-and-conquer sequences with (relatively) simple generating functions*,  
<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/somedcgf.html>  
<http://www.ark.in-berlin.de/some2regular.html>  
[W] H. S. Wilf, *Generatingfunctionology*, Academic Press, NY, 1990.  
<http://www.math.upenn.edu/~wilf/DownldGF.html>