

# DIVISIBILITY OF AN F-L TYPE CONVOLUTION

Michael Wiemann and Curtis Cooper  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Central Missouri State University  
Warrensburg, MO 64093-5045  
email: mwiemann@home.com and cnc8851@cmsu2.cmsu.edu

## 1. Motivation

Sometimes when working on one problem, another problem and solution are found. The divisibility result in this paper is a consequence of attempts to prove some conjectures of Melham [9] related to the sum

$$L_1 L_3 \cdots L_{2m+1} \sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k}^{2m+1},$$

where  $m$  is a nonnegative integer and  $n$  is a positive integer. Here, we use the usual notation for Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, i.e.

$$F_0 = 0, \quad F_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}, \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 2$$

and

$$L_0 = 2, \quad L_1 = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}, \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 2.$$

When  $m = 2$ , Melham found that

$$L_1 L_3 L_5 \sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k}^5 = 4F_{2n+1}^5 - 15F_{2n+1}^3 + 25F_{2n+1} - 14.$$

To prove this result we will use the identity

$$F_m^5 = \frac{1}{25} \left( F_{5m} - 5(-1)^m F_{3m} + 10F_m \right)$$

(proved using Binet's formula), a result by Melham [9] that if  $m$  is an odd integer

$$L_m \sum_{k=1}^n F_{2mk} = F_{m(2n+1)} - F_m$$

(proved using Binet's formula and summing the resulting geometric series), and the well-known identities [6]

$$F_{5n} = 25F_n^5 + 25(-1)^n F_n^3 + 5F_n \quad \text{and} \quad F_{3n} = 5F_n^3 + 3(-1)^n F_n.$$

Substituting these in turn into our sum we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 L_3 L_5 \sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k}^5 &= L_1 L_3 L_5 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{25} (F_{10k} - 5F_{6k} + 10F_{2k}) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} L_1 L_3 L_5 \left( \sum_{k=1}^n F_{10k} - 5 \sum_{k=1}^n F_{6k} + 10 \sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} (L_1 L_3 (F_{10n+5} - F_5) - 5L_1 L_5 (F_{6n+3} - F_3) + 10L_3 L_5 (F_{2n+1} - F_1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} (L_1 L_3 F_{10n+5} - L_1 L_3 F_5 - 5L_1 L_5 F_{6n+3} + 5L_1 F_3 L_5 \\ &\quad + 10L_3 L_5 F_{2n+1} - 10F_1 L_3 L_5) \\ &= \frac{1}{25} (L_1 L_3 (25F_{2n+1}^5 - 25F_{2n+1}^3 + 5F_{2n+1}) - L_1 L_3 F_5 \\ &\quad - 5L_1 L_5 (5F_{2n+1}^3 - 3F_{2n+1}) + 5L_1 F_3 L_5 + 10L_3 L_5 (F_{2n+1}) - 10F_1 L_3 L_5) \\ &= (L_1 L_3) F_{2n+1}^5 - (L_1 L_3 + L_1 L_5) F_{2n+1}^3 \\ &\quad + \frac{L_1 L_3 + 3L_1 L_5 + 2L_3 L_5}{5} F_{2n+1} - \frac{L_1 L_3 F_5 - 5L_1 F_3 L_5 + 10F_1 L_3 L_5}{25} \\ &= 4F_{2n+1}^5 - 15F_{2n+1}^3 + 25F_{2n+1} - 14. \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we note that

$$25 \mid L_1 L_3 F_5 - 5L_1 F_3 L_5 + 10F_1 L_3 L_5. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\mid$  means divides. This paper will generalize (1).

## 2. History and Result

Divisibility of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers has been the topic of much research in the mathematical literature. Some well-known divisibility properties of Fibonacci

numbers and Lucas numbers can be found in [3]. For example,

$$F_n | F_m \text{ if and only if } m = kn;$$

$$L_n | F_m \text{ if and only if } m = 2kn, \quad n > 1;$$

$$\text{and } L_n | L_m \text{ if and only if } m = (2k - 1)n, \quad n > 1.$$

In [8], Matijasevič proved that

$$F_m^2 | F_{mr} \text{ if and only if } F_m | r.$$

Later, Hoggatt and Bicknell-Johnson [5] extended these results. In [4], Hoggatt and Bergum discovered a number of interesting results. For example, they proved that

$$n = 2 \cdot 3^k \text{ and } k \geq 1 \text{ implies } n | L_n.$$

They also showed that

$$p \text{ is an odd prime and } p | F_n \text{ implies } p^k | F_{np^{k-1}} \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

A corollary to this last result is the fact that

$$5^k | F_{5^k} \text{ for } k \geq 1.$$

In this paper we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let  $n$  be a nonnegative integer. Then

$$5^n \left| L_1 L_3 \cdots L_{2n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{F_{2i+1}}{L_{2i+1}} \right. \quad (2)$$

### 3. Lemmas

To prove our theorem we will need several lemmas. Some of these lemmas involve the quantity

$$a_{pj} = (-1)^j \sum_{k=j}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j}, \quad (3)$$



Lemma 5. Let  $k$  and  $p$  be positive integers with  $p \geq 2k$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^{2k} = 0.$$

#### 4. Proof of Lemma 1

The proof is by induction on  $p$ .

Base Step. Since

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= (-1)^1 \sum_{k=1}^1 (-1)^k 2^{1-k} \binom{2}{k+1} \binom{k}{1} \\ &= (-1)^1 (-1)^1 2^{1-1} \binom{2}{2} \binom{1}{1} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle = 1,$$

the result is true for  $p = 1$ .

Induction Step. Assume the result is true for some positive integer  $p$ . Then by properties of binomial coefficients, the induction hypothesis, and a recurrence relation for Eulerian numbers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{p+1,1} &= - \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+2}{k+1} \binom{k}{1} \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{1} - \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+1}{k} \binom{k}{1} \\ &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{1} - \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} (p+1) \binom{p}{k-1} \\ &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{1} + (p+1) \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p}{k} \\ &= 2a_{p1} + (p+1)(2-1)^p = 2a_{p1} + (p+1) \cdot 1 \\ &= 2 \left\langle \begin{matrix} p+1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle + (p+1) \left\langle \begin{matrix} p+1 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{matrix} p+2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result is true for  $p + 1$ . By induction, the result is true for all positive integers  $p$ .

## 5. Proof of Lemma 2

We will prove this result in 3 parts. Let

$$c_{pj} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-j} \binom{p+1}{i}.$$

First we will show that for any positive integer  $p$ ,

$$a_{pp} = c_{pp}.$$

This follows since

$$\begin{aligned} a_{pp} &= (-1)^p \sum_{k=p}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{p} \\ &= (-1)^p (-1)^p 2^{p-p} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{p} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_{pp} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-p} \binom{p+1}{i} = \binom{p+1}{0} = 1.$$

Second we will show that for any positive integer  $p$ ,

$$a_{p1} = c_{p1}.$$

By Lemma 1

$$a_{p1} = \left\langle \begin{matrix} p+1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle.$$

By a property of Eulerian numbers

$$c_{p1} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-1} \binom{p+1}{i} = 2^{p+1} - p - 2 = \left\langle \begin{matrix} p+1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle.$$

Third we will show that for  $p \geq 2$  and  $2 \leq j \leq p$ ,

$$a_{p+1,j} = a_{pj} + a_{p,j-1}$$

and

$$c_{p+1,j} = c_{pj} + c_{p,j-1}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{p+1,j} &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \binom{p+2}{i} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-(j-1)} \binom{p+1}{i} + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-j} \binom{p+1}{i} = c_{p,j-1} + c_{pj}. \end{aligned}$$

We also see (using several binomial coefficient identities and rearranging terms in the sums) that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{p+1,j} &= (-1)^j \sum_{k=j}^{p+1} (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+2}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \\ &= 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+2}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+2}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \\ &\quad + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+2}{p+2} \binom{p+1}{j} \\ &= 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{j} \binom{j-1}{j-1} + 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} \\ &\quad + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \left[ \binom{p+1}{k} \binom{k-1}{j} + \binom{p+1}{k} \binom{k-1}{j-1} + \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \right] \\ &\quad + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j-1} + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j} \\ &= 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{j} \binom{j-1}{j-1} + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+1}{k} \binom{k-1}{j-1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j-1} + 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} \\ &\quad + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \left[ \binom{p+1}{k} \binom{k-1}{j} + \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \right] \\ &\quad + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{j} \binom{j-1}{j-1} + (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{k=j}^{p-1} (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j-1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j-1} + 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} \\
&\quad + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \left[ \binom{p+1}{k} \binom{k-1}{j} + \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \right] \\
&\quad + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j} \\
&= (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{k=j-1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j-1} + 2^{p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} - 2^{p-j} \binom{p+1}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} \\
&\quad + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+2}^p (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+1}{k} \binom{k-1}{j} + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^{p-1} (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \\
&\quad + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} 2 \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j} + (-1)^j (-1)^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j} \\
&= (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{k=j-1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j-1} + 2^{p-j} \binom{p+1}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} \\
&\quad + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^{p-1} (-1)^{k+1} 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \\
&\quad + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^{p-1} (-1)^k 2^{p+1-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} + (-1)^j (-1)^p \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j} \\
&= (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{k=j-1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j-1} + 2^{p-j} \binom{p+1}{j+1} \binom{j}{j} \\
&\quad + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j+1}^{p-1} (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} + (-1)^j (-1)^p \binom{p+1}{p+1} \binom{p}{j} \\
&= (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{k=j-1}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j-1} + (-1)^j \sum_{k=j}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} \\
&= a_{p,j-1} + a_{pj}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the 3 parts, the two arrays are identical. Therefore, the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.



## 6. Proof of Lemma 3

Let

$$f(i) = (2n - 2i + 1)^{2k+1}$$

and let  $\Delta$  denote the forward-difference operator. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{2n+1} f(0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2n+1} \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i (2n - 2i + 1)^{2k+1} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i (2n - 2i + 1)^{2k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

But since  $f$  is a polynomial in  $i$  of degree  $2k + 1$  and  $n > k$ ,

$$\Delta^{2n+1} f(0) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i (2n - 2i + 1)^{2k+1} = 0.$$

## 7. Proof of Lemma 4

Let  $p$  and  $j$  be positive integers and  $1 \leq j \leq p + 1$ . By Lemma 2

$$a_{pj} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-j} \binom{p+1}{i}.$$

Also, assume  $a_{p,p+1} = 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{p+1,j} - \binom{p+1}{j} &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \binom{p+2}{i} - \binom{p+1}{j} \\ &= \binom{p+2}{0} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \left( \binom{p+2}{i} - \binom{p+1}{j} \right) \\ &= \binom{p+1}{0} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \left( \binom{p+1}{i} + \binom{p+1}{i-1} \right) - \binom{p+1}{j} \\ &= \binom{p+1}{0} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{i} - \binom{p+1}{p+1-j} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p+1-j} \binom{p+1}{i-1} \\ &= \binom{p+1}{0} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p-j} \binom{p+1}{i} + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-j} \binom{p+1}{i} \\ &= 2 \sum_{0 \leq i \leq p-j} \binom{p+1}{i} = 2a_{pj}. \end{aligned}$$

## 8. Proof of Lemma 5

The proof is by induction on  $p$ .

Base Step.

We will show that Lemma 5 is true for  $p = 2k$ . We will do this by solving a sequence of recurrence relations by the perturbation method. Let  $m$  be a nonnegative integer. Consider the recurrence relation

$$x_{-1} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad x_n = n^m - x_{n-1} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 0.$$

Let  $P_m(n)$  be the solution of this recurrence relation. To describe the solutions to these recurrences we need the following notation. Let  $C(n)$  denote a statement which is either true or false, depending on  $n$ . Then using APL notation [2] we define

$$[C(n)] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } C(n) \text{ is true} \\ 0, & \text{if } C(n) \text{ is false.} \end{cases}$$

The first 3 recurrence relations and their solutions can be found in Problem 21 of Chapter 2 of [2]. The solutions for  $m = 0, 1$  and  $2$  are

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(n) &= 1 - [n \text{ is odd}] \\ P_1(n) &= \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2}[n \text{ is odd}] \\ \text{and } P_2(n) &= \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}n. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

In using the perturbation method to find the solutions for  $m \geq 3$ , we obtain the relation

$$P_m(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (n+1)^m - \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{m}{i} P_{m-i}(n) \right). \tag{5}$$

Using this relation, we can compute  $P_m(n)$  for  $m = 3, 4, \dots, 12$ .

$$P_3(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^3 + \frac{3}{4}n^2 - \frac{1}{4}[n \text{ is odd}]$$

$$P_4(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^4 + n^3 - \frac{1}{2}n$$

$$P_5(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^5 + \frac{5}{4}n^4 - \frac{5}{4}n^2 + \frac{1}{2}[n \text{ is odd}]$$

$$P_6(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^6 + \frac{3}{2}n^5 - \frac{5}{2}n^3 + \frac{3}{2}n$$

$$P_7(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^7 + \frac{7}{4}n^6 - \frac{35}{8}n^4 + \frac{21}{4}n^2 - \frac{17}{8}[n \text{ is odd}]$$

$$P_8(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^8 + 2n^7 - 7n^5 + 14n^3 - \frac{17}{2}n$$

$$P_9(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^9 + \frac{9}{4}n^8 - \frac{21}{2}n^6 + \frac{63}{2}n^4 - \frac{153}{4}n^2 + \frac{31}{2}[n \text{ is odd}]$$

$$P_{10}(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^{10} + \frac{5}{2}n^9 - 15n^7 + 63n^5 - \frac{255}{2}n^3 + \frac{155}{2}n$$

$$P_{11}(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^{11} + \frac{11}{4}n^{10} - \frac{165}{8}n^8 + \frac{231}{2}n^6 - \frac{2805}{8}n^4 + \frac{1705}{4}n^2 - \frac{691}{4}[n \text{ is odd}]$$

$$P_{12}(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^{12} + 3n^{11} - \frac{55}{2}n^9 + 198n^7 - \frac{1683}{2}n^5 + 1705n^3 - \frac{2073}{2}n.$$

Each  $P_m(n)$  is a polynomial of degree  $m$  plus possibly a term involving  $[n \text{ is odd}]$ .

If we let  $b_m$  denote the coefficient in front of the term  $[n \text{ is odd}]$  in  $P_m(n)$ , then we

have the table of elements

$m$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	...
$b_m$	-1	1/2	0	-1/4	0	1/2	0	-17/8	0	31/2	0	-691/4	0	...

By (4) and (5), the values of the  $b_m$ s satisfy the conditions  $b_0 = -1$  and for  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$b_m = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} b_i.$$

Using generating functions, it can be shown that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \frac{x^k}{k!} = \frac{-2}{e^x + 1}.$$

Since

$$\frac{-2}{e^x + 1} + 1 = \frac{e^x - 1}{e^x + 1}$$

is an odd function it follows that the even subscripted  $b$ s are 0, i.e.  $b_{2k} = 0$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $P_{2k}(n)$  for  $k \geq 1$  is a polynomial of degree  $2k$ , i.e. it contains no term  $[n \text{ is odd}]$ .

It should be noted that the Genocchi numbers [1] are defined by

$$\frac{2x}{e^x + 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} G_k \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

Therefore, for  $n \geq 0$

$$b_n = -\frac{1}{n+1} G_{n+1}.$$

Now, using Lemma 2 on the first equality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{2k} (-1)^j a_{2k,j} j^{2k} &= \sum_{j=1}^{2k} (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{2k-j} \binom{2k+1}{i} j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k+1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^{2k-i} (-1)^j j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k+1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-i} (-1)^j j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-i} (-1)^j j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{2k+1-i} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-(2k+1-i)} (-1)^j j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{i} (-1)^{i+1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j j^{2k} (-1)^{i+1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \binom{2k+1}{i} (-1)^{i+1} P_{2k}(-1+i). \end{aligned}$$

But since the last sum is  $-\Delta^{2k+1} P_{2k}(-1)$  and  $P_{2k}$  is a polynomial of degree  $2k$ , it follows that the above sum is 0. This completes the proof of the base step.

Induction Step. Next, we will show that if the formula is true for some  $p \geq 2k$ , then it is true for  $p + 1$ . Suppose that the formula is true for some  $p \geq 2k$ . We will use the fact that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{p+1}{j} j^{2k} = 0.$$

This can be seen by noting that if  $Q(j) = j^{2k}$ , then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{p+1}{j} j^{2k} = -\Delta^{p+1} Q(0) = 0$$

since  $Q$  is a polynomial in  $j$  of degree  $2k$  and  $p + 1 > 2k$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^j a_{p+1,j} j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^j a_{p+1,j} j^{2k} + \sum_{j=0}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{p+1}{j} j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^j a_{p+1,j} j^{2k} + \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \binom{p+1}{j} j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^j \left( a_{p+1,j} - \binom{p+1}{j} \right) j^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j 2a_{pj} j^{2k} = 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^{2k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The next to last equality follows from Lemma 4. But the last expression is 0 by our induction hypothesis. Therefore, the result is true for  $p + 1$ . This completes the proof of the induction step.

Thus, by induction, Lemma 5 is proved.

## 9. Proof of the Theorem

We begin the proof of (2) by noting that if

$$(x-1)^{2n+1} \left| (x+1)(x^3+1) \cdots (x^{2n+1}+1) \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{x^{2i+1}-1}{x^{2i+1}+1} \right. \quad (6)$$

is true, then (2) is true. Suppose (6) is true and substitute  $\alpha/\beta$  for  $x$  in (6), where

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Using the fact that  $\alpha - \beta = \sqrt{5}$  and multiplying (6) by  $\beta^{n^2}$ , (6) becomes

$$5^n |(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha^3 + \beta^3) \cdots (\alpha^{2n+1} + \beta^{2n+1}) \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{\alpha^{2i+1} - \beta^{2i+1}}{\sqrt{5}(\alpha^{2i+1} + \beta^{2i+1})}.$$

But this last result, by the use of Binet's formula [3], i.e.

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\sqrt{5}} \quad \text{and} \quad L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n,$$

is (2) .

Let

$$f(x) = (x+1)(x^3+1) \cdots (x^{2n+1}+1)$$

and

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{x^{2i+1} - 1}{x^{2i+1} + 1}.$$

Now, if  $D$  denotes the derivative operator, then by applying the product rule  $j$  times we obtain the formula

$$D^j f(x)g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} D^i f(x) D^{j-i} g(x). \quad (7)$$

Proving (6) would be equivalent to showing that

$$D^j f(1)g(1) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n. \quad (8)$$

But by (7) we can prove (8) if we can show that

$$g(1) = Dg(1) = D^2g(1) = \cdots = D^{2n}g(1) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Simplifying  $g(x)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{x^{2i+1} - 1}{x^{2i+1} + 1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} \left( 1 - \frac{2}{x^{2i+1} + 1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

First of all, it is clear that  $g(1) = 0$ . To compute the  $p$ th derivative of  $g(x)$  where  $1 \leq p \leq 2n$ , we need to find the  $p$ th derivative of

$$\frac{1}{x^{2i+1} + 1}.$$

Using a result in [7],

$$D^p \left[ \frac{1}{x^{2i+1} + 1} \right] = \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \binom{p+1}{k+1} \frac{1}{(x^{2i+1} + 1)^{k+1}} D^p [(x^{2i+1} + 1)^k].$$

We now need the notation for falling factorials [2], i.e.

$$x^{\underline{p}} = x(x-1) \cdots (x-p+1)$$

and the binomial theorem

$$(x^{2i+1} + 1)^k = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} x^{(2i+1)j}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D^p \left[ \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} x^{(2i+1)j} \right] &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} D^p x^{(2i+1)j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} [(2i+1)j][(2i+1)j-1] \cdots [(2i+1)j-p+1] x^{(2i+1)j-p} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} [(2i+1)j]^{\underline{p}} x^{(2i+1)j-p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$D^p \left[ \frac{1}{x^{2i+1} + 1} \right] \Big|_{x=1} = \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \binom{p+1}{k+1} 2^{-k-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} [(2i+1)j]^p. \quad (11)$$

Next, we will study (11) with  $2i+1$  replaced by  $m$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \binom{p+1}{k+1} 2^{-k-1} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (jm)^p.$$

Using the fact that  $p \geq 1$ , so we have no term when  $j = 0$ , we wish to investigate the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \binom{p+1}{k+1} 2^{-k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} (jm)^p. \quad (12)$$

By changing the order of summation, it follows that (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^p (jm)^p \sum_{k=j}^p (-1)^k \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j} 2^{-k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{j=1}^p (jm)^p \sum_{k=j}^p (-1)^k 2^{p-k} \binom{p+1}{k+1} \binom{k}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to show that the above polynomial in  $m$  only contains odd terms, i.e. there are only terms of odd degree in the polynomial. The first few such polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}(-m), \\ & \frac{1}{8}(2m), \\ & \frac{1}{16}(2m^3 - 8m), \\ & \frac{1}{32}(-24m^3 + 48m), \\ & \frac{1}{64}(-16m^5 + 280m^3 - 384m), \\ & \text{and } \frac{1}{128}(480m^5 - 3600m^3 + 3840m), \end{aligned}$$

for  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , and  $6$ , respectively. Now, by (3) we have that the polynomial is

$$D^p \left[ \frac{1}{x^m + 1} \right] \Big|_{x=1} = \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} (jm)^p.$$



Next, we recall the Stirling numbers of the first kind. They are denoted by

$$s(n, k)$$

and count the number of ways to arrange  $n$  objects into  $k$  cycles [1,2]. A property of Stirling numbers of the first kind is

$$s(n, n - k) = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n-1} i_1 \cdots i_k.$$

Thus, we have that

$$x^{\underline{p}} = x(x-1) \cdots (x-p+1) = \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j s(p, p-j) x^{p-j}.$$

It follows that

$$(jm)^{\underline{p}} = \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k s(p, p-k) (jm)^{p-k} = \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k s(p, p-k) j^{p-k} m^{p-k}. \quad (13)$$

Hence, by using (13) and changing the order of summation, the polynomial in  $m$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & D^p \left[ \frac{1}{x^m + 1} \right] \Big|_{x=1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} (jm)^{\underline{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k s(p, p-k) j^{p-k} m^{p-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k s(p, p-k) m^{p-k} \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^{p-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^{p-k} s(p, k) m^k \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $p \geq 1$  we have by (7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
D^p g(1) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} D^p \left( 1 - \frac{2}{g_{2i+1}(x)} \right) \Big|_{x=1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i D^p \left( 1 - \frac{2}{g_{2n-2i+1}(x)} \right) \Big|_{x=1} \\
&= -2 \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i D^p \left( \frac{1}{g_{2n-2i+1}(x)} \right) \Big|_{x=1} \\
&= -2 \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^{p-k} s(p, k) (2n-2i+1)^k \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^k \\
&= \frac{-2}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^{p-k} s(p, k) \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^k \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i (2n-2i+1)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of the Theorem we will prove that the last expression is 0. To do this we will isolate the term when  $k = 0$  and the two sums when  $0 < 2k+1 \leq p$  and  $0 < 2k \leq p$ . The term and the two sums are listed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{-2}{2^{p+1}} (-1)^p s(p, 0) \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i \\
&+ \frac{-2}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{0 < 2k+1 \leq p} (-1)^{p-2k-1} s(p, 2k+1) \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^{2k+1} \\
&\quad \left( \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i (2n-2i+1)^{2k+1} \right) \\
&+ \frac{-2}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{0 < 2k \leq p} (-1)^{p-2k} s(p, 2k) \left( \sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j a_{pj} j^{2k} \right) \\
&\quad \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{i} (-1)^i (2n-2i+1)^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

The term when  $k = 0$  is 0 since  $s(p, 0) = 0$  for  $p \geq 1$ . Since  $1 \leq p \leq 2n$  and  $2k+1 \leq p$ , it follows that  $k < n$ . Thus by Lemma 3 the first sum is 0. Lemma 5 proves that the second sum is 0.

Summarizing, we have just shown that the term and the two sums are 0. Thus, for  $1 \leq p \leq 2n$  we have  $D^p g(1) = 0$ . Since  $g(1) = 0$  we have proved that (6) is true. Therefore, the Theorem is proved.

## 10. Further Questions

First of all, we could study the polynomial  $P_m$  in Lemma 5. Is there an explicit formula for  $P_m$ ? Second, in studying (2) we came across the conjecture that

$$(x+1)^n \Big| (x+1)(x^3+1) \cdots (x^{2n+1}+1) \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n+1}{n-i} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{x^{2i+1}-1}{x^{2i+1}+1}.$$

Finally, we could again study Melham's sum

$$L_1 L_3 \cdots L_{2m+1} \sum_{k=1}^n F_{2k}^{2m+1},$$

where  $m$  is a nonnegative integer and  $n$  is a positive integer.

## References

1. L. Comtet. *Advanced Combinatorics*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel, 1974.
2. R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, & O. Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1994.
3. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1969.
4. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. & G. E. Bergum. "Divisibility and Congruence Relations." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **12.2** (1974): 189–195.
5. V. E. Hoggatt, Jr. & Marjorie Bicknell-Johnson. "Divisibility by Fibonacci and Lucas Squares." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **15.1** (1977): 3–8.
6. D. Jennings. "Some Polynomial Identities for the Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers." *The Fibonacci Quarterly* **31.2** (1993): 134–137.
7. R. A. Leslie. "How Not to Repeatedly Differentiate a Reciprocal." *American Mathematical Monthly* **98** (1991): 732–735.
8. Y. V. Matijasevič. "Enumerable Sets are Diophantine." *Proc. of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR* **11** (1970): No. 2.

9. R. S. Melham. private communication.
10. N. J. A. Sloane. *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Published electronically at <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences>.

AMS Classification Numbers: 11B39.