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#### Abstract

We define type-B analogues of combinatorial statistics previously studied on noncrossing partitions and show that analogous equidistribution and symmetry properties hold in the case of type-B noncrossing partitions. We also identify pattern-avoiding classes of elements in the hyperoctahedral group which parallel known classes of restricted permutations with respect to their relations to noncrossing partitions.
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## 1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to give type-B analogues of enumerative results concerning combinatorial statistics defined on (type-A) noncrossing partitions and on certain classes of permutations characterized by pattern-avoidance. To this end, we need B-analogues of the combinatorial objects in question. As type-B noncrossing partitions we use those studied by Reiner [20]. In the hyperoctahedral group, the natural B-analogue of the symmetric group, we identify classes of restricted signed permutations with enumerative properties analogous to those of the 132- and 321-avoiding permutations in the symmetric group. We also propose definitions for four partition statistics $\left(\mathrm{ls}^{B}, \mathrm{lb}^{B}, \mathrm{rs}^{B}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{rb}^{B}\right)$ as type-B analogues, for noncrossing partitions, of the established statistics ls, lb, rs, rb for type A. We show that these choices yield B-analogues of results which hold for type A. In the remainder of this section we give a brief account of earlier work which motivated our investigation, summarize the main results, and establish the basic definitions and notation used throughout the paper.

The lattice $N C_{n}^{A}$ of (type-A) noncrossing partitions of an $n$-element set, whose investigation was initiated by Kreweras [16], turns out to support and to be related to a remarkable range of interesting topics. As a poset, it enjoys elegant enumerative and structural properties (see, e.g., [7], [8], [9], [16], [18], [23]), and properties of interest in algebraic combinatorics (e.g., [17], [30]). The natural connection between noncrossing partitions and other combinatorial objects counted by the Catalan numbers leads to relations of $N C_{n}^{A}$ with many aspects of enumerative combinatorics, as well as problems arising in geometric combinatorics, probability theory, topology, and mathematical biology (a brief account and references appear in [25]).

Type-B noncrossing partitions of an $n$-element set, whose collection we denote by $N C_{n}^{B}$, were first considered by Montenegro [17] and systematically studied by Reiner [20]. They enjoy a wealth of interesting properties which parallel those for type A, from the standpoint of order structure, enumerative combinatorics, algebraic combinatorics and geometric combinatorics (see [13], [20], [26]).

Here we extend the analogies between $N C_{n}^{A}$ and $N C_{n}^{B}$ in the context of enumeration, by exploring three topics.

1. Four combinatorial statistics defined on type-B noncrossing partitions, how their distributions compare, and how they relate to the order relation on $N C_{n}^{B}$. Four combinatorial statistics $\mathrm{rb}^{A}, \mathrm{rs}^{A}, \mathrm{lb}^{A}, \mathrm{ls}^{A}$ defined for type-A set partitions in terms of restricted growth functions have interesting equidistribution properties, [36], on the entire set partition lattice $\Pi_{n}^{A}$, which also hold on type-A noncrossing partitions [24], [38]. In fact, the distributions of these statistics on $N C_{n}^{A}$ yield $q$-analogues of the Catalan and Narayana numbers which reflect nicely the rank-symmetry and
rank-unimodality of $N C_{n}^{A}$. In Section 2 we propose and establish properties of type-B analogues of these four statistics, applicable to $N C_{n}^{B}$. Our definitions of $\mathrm{rb}^{B}, \mathrm{rs}^{B}, \mathrm{lb}^{B}, \mathrm{ls}^{B}$ on $N C_{n}^{B}$ are modeled on descriptions given in [24] for the values of the type-A statistics on $N C_{n}^{A}$. We show that, as in the case of type-A, rs ${ }^{B}$ and $\mathrm{lb}^{B}$ are equidistributed on each rank of $N C_{n}^{B}$. The same holds for $\mathrm{ls}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$. The two $q$-analogues of the Whitney numbers $\binom{n}{k}_{k}^{2}$ of $N C_{n}^{B}$ obtained from these two pairs of statistics, $N C_{n, k}^{B}(q)$ and $N C_{n, k}^{* B}(q)$, reflect the rank-symmetry and unimodality of $N C_{n}^{B}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{q^{k}} N C_{n, k}^{B}(q) & =\frac{1}{q^{n-k}} N C_{n, n-k}^{B}(q),  \tag{1}\\
\frac{1}{q^{\binom{k}{2}}} N C_{n, k}^{* B}(q) & =\frac{1}{q^{\binom{n-k}{2}}} N C_{n, n-k}^{* B}(q) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The rank-symmetry of these distributions is apparent from their expressions (corollaries 1 and 2), and can be seen directly combinatorially. Also analogously to type A, finer distribution properties hold in relation to the order structure on $N C_{n}^{B}$ : we exhibit a decomposition of $N C_{n}^{B}$ into symmetrically embedded boolean lattices, such that the second pair of statistics is essentially constant on each boolean lattice occurring in the decomposition. A by-product of our explicit decomposition of $N C_{n}^{B}$ into symmetrically embedded boolean lattices (different from those considered in [13], [20]), is a type-B analogue of Touchard's formula for the Catalan numbers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{2 n}{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k} 2^{n-2 k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

along with a combinatorial, order-theoretic, proof.
2. Subsets of the hyperoctahedral group characterized by pattern-avoidance conditions. In the symmetric group, for every 3 -letter pattern $\rho$ the number of $\rho$-avoiding permutations is given by the Catalan number [15]; hence, the same as the number of type-A noncrossing partitions. Other enumeration questions, for permutations which avoid simultaneously several 3-letter patterns, are treated in [22]. Are there similar results for the hyperoctahedral group? In Section 3 we investigate enumerative properties of several classes of restricted signed permutations. The pattern restrictions consist of avoiding 2 -letter signed patterns. We show that every 2 -letter pattern is avoided by equally many signed permutations in the hyperoctahedral group. These are more numerous than the type-B noncrossing partitions, namely, $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2} k$ ! in the hyperoctahedral group $B_{n}$. A $q$-analogue of this expression appears in work of Solomon [28], in connection with a Bruhat-like decomposition of the monoid of $n \times n$ matrices over a field with $q$ elements. Solomon defines a length function on the orbit monoid such that its distribution over rank- $k$ matrices is given by $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}^{2}[k]_{q}$ !. This same expression can be viewed in our context as the distribution
of a combinatorial statistic on a class of pattern-avoiding signed permutations. We treat also the enumeration of signed permutations avoiding two 2-letter patterns at the same time. Among such double pattern restrictions we identify four classes whose cardinality is equal to $\binom{2 n}{n}=\# N C_{n}^{B}$. They are the signed permutations which avoid simultaneously the patterns 21 and $\overline{2} \overline{1}$, and three additional classes readily related to this one by means of reversal and barring operations. We note that a different class of $\binom{2 n}{n}$ elements of the hyperoctahedral group $B_{n}$, the set of top fully commutative elements, appears in work of Stembridge including [34].
3. Partition statistics applied to $N C_{n}^{B}$ vs. permutation statistics applied to patternavoiding signed permutations. In type-A, the classes $S_{n}(132)$ and $S_{n}(321)$ of 132and 321-avoiding permutations in the symmetric group $S_{n}$ are not only equinumerous with $N C_{n}^{A}$, but we have equidistribution results [24] relating permutation and set partition statistics (the definitions of these statistics are given in the next subsection):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}(132)} p^{\operatorname{des}^{A}(\sigma)+1} q^{\operatorname{maj}^{A}(\sigma)}=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}(321)} p^{\operatorname{exc}^{A}(\sigma)+1} q^{\operatorname{Den}^{A}(\sigma)}=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n}^{A}} p^{\operatorname{bk}^{A}(\pi)} q^{\mathrm{rb}^{A}(\pi)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 4 we establish a type-B counterpart of (4) relating partition statistics applied to $N C_{n}^{B}$ and permutation statistics applied to $B_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})$.

The proofs rely on direct combinatorial methods and explicit bijections. The final section of the paper consists of remarks and problems for further investigation.

### 1.1 Definitions and notation

We will write $[n]$ for the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\# X$ for the cardinality of a set $X$. In a partially ordered set, we will write $x<y$ if $x$ is covered by $y$ (i.e., $x<y$ and there is no element $t$ such that $x<t<y)$. The $q$-analogue of the integer $m \geq 1$ is $[m]_{q}:=$ $1+q+q^{2}+\cdots+q^{m-1}$. The $q$-analogue of the factorial is then $[m]_{q}!:=[1]_{q}[2]_{q} \cdots[m]_{q}$ for $m \geq 1$, integer, and $[0]_{q}!:=1$. Finally, the $q$-binomial coefficient is $\left[\begin{array}{c}m \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}:=\frac{[m]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}![m-k]_{q}!}$.

Noncrossing partitions of type A, $N C_{n}^{A}$. A partition $\pi$ of the set $[n]$ is, as usual, an unordered family of nonempty, pairwise disjoint sets $B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{k}$ called blocks, whose union is $[n]$. Ordered by refinement (i.e., $\pi \leq \pi^{\prime}$ if each block of $\pi^{\prime}$ is a union of blocks of $\pi$ ), the partitions of $[n]$ form a partially ordered set which is one of the classical examples of a geometric lattice. We denote the set of partitions of $[n]$ by $\Pi_{n}^{A}$ since it is isomorphic to the lattice of intersections of the type-A hyperplane arrangement in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ (consisting of
the hyperplanes $x_{i}=x_{j}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ ). The set of partitions of [ $n$ ] having $k$ blocks is denoted by $\Pi_{n, k}^{A}$.

A partition $\pi \in \Pi_{n}^{A}$ is a (type-A) noncrossing partition if there are no four elements $1 \leq a<b<c<d \leq n$ so that $a, c \in B_{i}$ and $b, d \in B_{j}$ for any distinct blocks $B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$. We denote the set of noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ as $N C_{n}^{A}$. With the refinement order induced from $\Pi_{n}^{A}$, this is a lattice (though only a sub-meet-semilattice of $\Pi_{n}^{A}$ ). It is ranked, with rank function $\mathrm{rk}^{A}(\pi)=n-\mathrm{bk}^{A}(\pi)$, where $\mathrm{bk}^{A}(\pi)$ denotes the number of blocks of the partition $\pi$. Further order-related properties established in [16] are that the poset $N C_{n}^{A}$ is rank-symmetric and rank-unimodal with rank sizes given by the Narayana numbers. Writing $N C_{n, k}^{A}$ for the number of noncrossing partitions of [ $n$ ] into $k$ blocks, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# N C_{n, k}^{A}=\frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{k}\binom{n}{k-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Furthermore, $N C_{n}^{A}$ is self-dual [16], and admits a symmetric chain decomposition [23]. A still stronger property is established in [23] for $N C_{n}^{A}$ : it admits a symmetric boolean decomposition (SBD); that is, its elements can be partitioned into subposets each of which is a boolean lattice whose maximum and minimum elements are placed in $N C_{n}^{A}$ symmetrically with respect to rank.

Noncrossing partitions of type $\mathrm{B}, N C_{n}^{B}$. The hyperplane arrangement of the root system of type $B_{n}$ consists of the hyperplanes in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with equations $x_{i}= \pm x_{j}$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ and the coordinate hyperplanes $x_{i}=0$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The subspaces arising as intersections of hyperplanes from among these can be encoded by partitions of $\{1,2, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{n}\}$ satisfying the following properties: i) if $B=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ is a block, then $\bar{B}:=\left\{\overline{a_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{a_{k}}\right\}$ is also a block, where the bar operation is an involution; and ii) there is at most one block, called the zero-block, which is invariant under the bar operation. The collection of such partitions, denoted $\Pi_{n}^{B}$, is the set of type- $B$ partitions of $[n]$. If $1,2, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{n}$ are placed around a circle, clockwise in this order, and if cyclically successive elements of the same block are joined by chords drawn inside the circle, then, following [20], the class of type- $B$ noncrossing partitions, denoted $N C_{n}^{B}$, is the class of type-B partitions of $[n]$ which admit a cyclic diagram with no crossing chords. Alternatively, a type-B partition is noncrossing if there are no four elements $a, b, c, d$ in clockwise order around the circle, so that $a, c$ lie in one block and $b, d$ lie in another block of the partition.

As in the case of type A, the refinement order on type-B partitions yields a geometric lattice (in fact, isomorphic to a Dowling lattice with an order-2 group), and the noncrossing partitions constitute a sub-meet-semilattice as well as a lattice in its own right. As a poset under the refinement order, $N C_{n}^{B}$ is ranked. Writing $\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)$ for the number of pairs of non-zero blocks of $\pi$, the rank is given by $\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\pi)=n-\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)$. For example, $\pi=\{1, \overline{3}, \overline{5}\},\{\overline{1}, 3,5\},\{4\},\{\overline{4}\},\{2, \overline{2}\}$ is an element of $N C_{5}^{B}$ having $\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)=2$
and $\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\pi)=3$. If $N C_{n, k}^{B}$ denotes the type-B noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ having $k$ pairs of non-zero blocks, then (see [20])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# N C_{n, k}^{B}=\binom{n}{k}^{2}, \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total number of type-B noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ is $\binom{2 n}{n}$.
Like its type-A counterpart, $N C_{n}^{B}$ is rank-symmetric and unimodal (readily apparent from the rank-size formulae in (6)). It is also self-dual and it admits a symmetric chain decomposition [20], [13].

It is useful to recall from [20] a bijection between type-B noncrossing partitions and ordered pairs of sets of equal cardinality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N C_{n}^{B} \leftrightarrow\{(L, R): L, R \subseteq[n], \# L=\# R\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is defined as follows. If $n=0$ or if $\pi \in N C_{n}^{B}$ consists of just the zero-block, the corresponding pair is $(L(\pi), R(\pi))=(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. Otherwise, $\pi$ has some non-zero block $B$ consisting of elements $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{m}$ which are contiguous clockwise around the circle, in the cyclic diagram of $\pi$. Then $\left|j_{1}\right| \in L(\pi)$ and $\left|j_{k}\right| \in R(\pi)$ (the absolute value sign means that the bar is removed from a barred symbol; an unbarred symbol is unaffected). Remove the elements of this block and of $\bar{B}$, and repeat this process until no elements or only the zeroblock remain in the diagram. For example, if $\pi=\{1, \overline{6}\},\{\overline{1}, 6\},\{2,3, \overline{5}\},\{\overline{2}, \overline{3}, 5\},\{4, \overline{4}\}$, then $(L(\pi), R(\pi))=(\{5,6\},\{1,3\})$. We will refer to $L(\pi)$ and $R(\pi)$ as the Left-set and Right-set of $\pi$. Clearly, we have $\# L(\pi)=\# R(\pi)=\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)$.

Restricted permutations. Let $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{n}$ be a permutation in the symmetric group $S_{n}$, and $\rho=\rho_{1} \rho_{2} \cdots \rho_{k} \in S_{k}$. We say that $\sigma$ avoids the pattern $\rho$ if there is no sequence of $k$ indices $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n$ such that $\left(\sigma_{i_{p}}-\sigma_{i_{q}}\right)\left(\rho_{p}-\rho_{q}\right)>0$ for every choice of $1 \leq p<q \leq k$. In other words, $\sigma$ avoids the pattern $\rho$ if it contains no subsequence of $k$ values among which the magnitude relation is, pairwise, the same as for the corresponding values in $\rho$. We will write $S_{n}(\rho)$ for the set of $\rho$-avoiding permutations in $S_{n}$, and $|\rho|=k$ to indicate that the length of the pattern $\rho$ is $k$.

For example, $\sigma=34125$ belongs to $S_{5}(321) \cap S_{5}(132)$, and contains every other 3letter pattern; for example, it contains the pattern $\rho=213$ (in fact, four occurrences of it: $315,325,415,425)$.

Classes of restricted permutations arise naturally in theoretical computer science in connection with sorting problems (e.g., [15], [35]), as well as in the context of combinatorics related to geometry (e.g., the theory of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials [4] and Schubert varieties [12],[2]). Recent work on pattern-avoiding permutations from an enumerative and algorithmic point of view includes [1], [3], [5], [6], [19], [37].

Trivially, if $|\rho|=2$ then $S_{n}(\rho)$ consists of only one permutation (either the identity or its reversal). For length-3 patterns, it turns out that $S_{n}(\rho)$ has the same cardinality, independently of the choice of $\rho \in S_{3}$ (see [15], [22]). The common cardinality is the $n$th Catalan number,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S_{n}(\rho)=C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n} \quad \text { for every } \rho \in S_{3} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $\# S_{n}(\rho)=\# N C_{n}^{A}$ for each pattern $\rho \in S_{3}$ and every $n$.

Restricted signed permutations. We will view the elements of the hyperoctahedral group $B_{n}$ as signed permutations written as words of the form $b=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n}$ in which each of the symbols $1,2, \ldots, n$ appears, possibly barred. Thus, the cardinality of $B_{n}$ is $n!2^{n}$. The barring operation represents a sign-change, so it is an involution, and the absolute value notation (as earlier for type-B partitions) means $\left|b_{j}\right|=b_{j}$ if the symbol $b_{j}$ is not barred, and $\left|b_{j}\right|=\overline{b_{j}}$ if $b_{j}$ is barred.

Let $\rho \in B_{k}$. The set $B_{n}(\rho)$ of $\rho$-avoiding signed permutations in $B_{n}$ consists of those $b \in B_{n}$ for which there is no sequence of $k$ indices, $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n$ such that two conditions hold: (1) $b$ with all bars removed contains the pattern $\rho$ with all bars removed, i.e., $\left(\left|b_{i_{p}}\right|-\left|b_{i_{q}}\right|\right)\left(\left|\rho_{p}\right|-\left|\rho_{q}\right|\right)>0$ for all $1 \leq p<q \leq k$; and (2) for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq k$, the symbol $b_{i_{j}}$ is barred in $b$ if and only if $\rho_{j}$ is barred in $\rho$. For example, $b=3 \overline{4} 1 \overline{2} 5 \in B_{5}$ avoids the signed pattern $\rho=\overline{1} \overline{2}$ and contains all the other seven signed patterns of length 2 ; among the length- 3 signed patterns, it contains only $\rho=213,2 \overline{3} 1,1 \overline{2} 3,31 \overline{2}, 2 \overline{3} \overline{1}, \overline{3} 1 \overline{2}$, and $\overline{2} \overline{1} 3$.

Combinatorial statistics for type-A set partitions. We recall the definitions of four statistics of combinatorial interest defined for set partitions (see [36] and its bibliography for earlier related work). Given a partition $\pi \in \Pi_{n}^{A}$, index its blocks in increasing order of their minimum elements and define the restricted growth function of $\pi$ to be the $n$-tuple $w(\pi)=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}$ in which the value of $w_{i}$ is the index of the block of $\pi$ which contains the element $i$. Thus, if $\pi=\{1,5,6\}\{2,3,8\}\{4,7\}$, then its restricted growth function is $w(\pi)=12231132$. Let $\operatorname{ls}^{A}(\pi, i)$ denote the number of distinct values occurring in $w(\pi)$ to the left of $w_{i}$ and which are smaller than $w_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ls}^{A}(\pi, i):=\#\left\{w_{j}: 1 \leq j<i, w_{j}<w_{i}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, "left bigger," "right smaller," and "right bigger" are defined for each index $1 \leq i \leq n$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{lb}^{A}(\pi, i):=\#\left\{w_{j}: 1 \leq j<i, w_{j}>w_{i}\right\},  \tag{10}\\
& \operatorname{rs}^{A}(\pi, i):=\#\left\{w_{j}: i<j \leq n, w_{j}<w_{i}\right\}, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rb}^{A}(\pi, i):=\#\left\{w_{j}: i<j \leq n, w_{j}>w_{i}\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the statistics of interest are obtained by summing the contributions of the individual entries in the restricted growth function of $\pi$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ls}^{A}(\pi):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{ls}^{A}(\pi, i), \quad \mathrm{lb}^{A}(\pi):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{lb}^{A}(\pi, i),  \tag{13}\\
\mathrm{rs}^{A}(\pi):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{rs}^{A}(\pi, i), \quad \mathrm{rb}^{A}(\pi):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{rb}^{A}(\pi, i) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The distributions of these statistics over $\Pi_{n}^{A}$ and $\Pi_{n, k}^{A}$ give $q$-analogues of the $n$th Bell number and of the Stirling numbers of the second kind. One of the interesting properties established combinatorially in [36] is that the four statistics fall into two pairs, $\left\{1 \mathrm{~s}^{A}, \mathrm{rb}^{A}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{lb}^{A}, \mathrm{rs}^{A}\right\}$, with equal distributions on $\Pi_{n, k}^{A}$, for every $n, k$.

In establishing similar results about the distributions over just noncrossing partitions, the following alternative expressions were useful in [24] (Lemmas 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2). Later in this paper, we will define type-B analogues of the four statistics, modeled after these expressions.

For any partition $\pi \in \Pi_{n, k}^{A}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{ls}^{A}(\pi)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}(i-1) \# B_{i},  \tag{15}\\
\mathrm{lb}^{A}(\pi)=k(n+1)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} i \# B_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i} . \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

For any noncrossing partition $\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{A}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{rs}^{A}(\pi)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} M_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}-n+k  \tag{17}\\
\mathrm{rb}^{A}(\pi)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} m_{i}-k \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

In these expressions, the blocks are indexed in increasing order of their minima, and $m_{i}, M_{i}$ denote the minimum and the maximum elements of the $i$ th block.

Combinatorial statistics for permutations and signed permutations. Two classical permutation statistics are the number of descents and the major index of a permutation (see, e.g., [31]). We recall their definitions. If $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{n} \in S_{n}$, then its descent set is $\operatorname{Des}^{A}(\sigma):=\left\{i \in[n-1]: \sigma_{i}>\sigma_{i+1}\right\}$. The descent statistic and the major index statistic of $\sigma$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{des}^{A}(\sigma):=\# \operatorname{Des}^{A}(\sigma), \quad \operatorname{maj}^{A}(\sigma):=\sum_{i \in \operatorname{Des}^{A}(\sigma)} i \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Pairs of permutation statistics whose joint distribution over $S_{n}$ coincides with that of $\operatorname{des}^{A}$ and maj ${ }^{A}, \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} p^{\operatorname{des}^{A}(\sigma)} q^{\text {maj }^{A}(\sigma)}$, are called Euler-Mahonian. A celebrated EulerMahonian pair is that obtained from excedences and Denert's statistic (see, e.g., [10]), which are defined as follows. The set of excedences of $\sigma \in S_{n}$ is $\operatorname{Exc}^{A}(\sigma):=\left\{i \in[n]: \sigma_{i}>\right.$ $i\}$ and the excedence statistic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{exc}^{A}(\sigma):=\# \operatorname{Exc}^{A}(\sigma) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The original definition of Denert's statistic was given a compact equivalent form by Foata and Zeilberger. Write $\sigma_{\mathrm{Exc}}$ for the word $\sigma_{i_{1}} \sigma_{i_{2}} \cdots \sigma_{i_{\text {exc }} A(\sigma)}$, where each $i_{j} \in \operatorname{Exc}^{A}(\sigma)$. That is, the subsequence in $\sigma$ consisting of the values which produce excedences. Similarly write $\sigma_{\mathrm{NExc}}$ for the complementary subsequence in $\sigma$. For example, if $\sigma=42153$, then $\operatorname{Exc}^{A}(\sigma)=\{1,4\}, \sigma_{\mathrm{Exc}}=45$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{NExc}}=213$. Then, based on [10], the Denert statistic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Den}^{A}(\sigma):=\operatorname{inv}^{A}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{Exc}}\right)+\operatorname{inv}^{A}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{NExc}}\right)+\sum_{i \in \operatorname{Exc}^{A}(\sigma)} i, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where inv denotes the number of inversions. In our earlier example, we obtain $\operatorname{Den}^{A}(42153)=$ $0+1+(1+4)=6$.

We will be interested in type-B analogues of these permutation statistics. We say that $b=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n} \in B_{n}$ has a descent at $i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, if $b_{i}>b_{i+1}$ with respect to the total ordering $1<2<\cdots<n<\bar{n}<\cdots<\overline{2}<\overline{1}$, and that it has a descent at $n$ if $b_{n}$ is barred. As usual, the descent set of $b$, denoted $\operatorname{Des}^{B}(b)$, is the set of all $i \in[n]$ such that $b$ has a descent at $i$. For example, for $b=2 \overline{13} 547 \overline{6}$ we have $\operatorname{Des}^{B}(b)=\{2,3,4,7\}$. The type-B descent and major index statistics are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{des}^{B}(b):=\# \operatorname{Des}^{B}(b), \quad \operatorname{maj}^{B}(b):=\sum_{i \in \operatorname{Des}^{B}(b)} i . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For signed permutations, more than one notion of excedence appears in the literature (see [33]), from which we will use the following. Given $b=b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{n} \in B_{n}$, let $k$ be the number of symbols in $b$ which are not barred. Consider the permutation $\sigma^{b} \in S_{n+1}$ defined by $\sigma_{n+1}^{b}=k+1$ and, for each $1 \leq i \leq n, \sigma_{i}^{b}=j$ if $b_{i}$ is the $j$ th smallest element in the ordering $1<2<\cdots<n<n+1<\overline{1}<\overline{2}<\cdots<\bar{n}$. Then, following [33],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Exc}^{B}(b):=\operatorname{Exc}^{A}\left(\sigma^{b}\right), \quad \operatorname{exc}^{B}(b)=\# \operatorname{Exc}^{B}(b) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Den}^{B}(b)=\operatorname{Den}^{A}\left(\sigma^{b}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## 2 Statistics on type-B noncrossing partitions

We begin by defining B-analogues of the set partition statistics described in section 1.1, valid for noncrossing partitions of type $B$.

### 2.1 The statistics $\mathrm{ls}^{B}, \mathrm{lb}^{B}, \mathrm{rs}^{B}, \mathrm{rb}^{B}$

In the correspondence $\pi \leftrightarrow(L(\pi), R(\pi))$ between $N C_{n}^{B}$ and pairs of equal-size subsets of [ $n$ ], the elements of $L(\pi)$ and $R(\pi)$ indicate the Left and Right delimiters of the non-zero blocks. Hence, they can be viewed as analogous to the minimum and maximum elements of the blocks of a type-A noncrossing partition. This suggests the following adaptation of the definitions (15)-(18), to obtain type-B analogues of the four statistics applicable to $N C_{n}^{B}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{ls}^{B}(\pi):=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)+1}(i-1) \# B_{i},  \tag{25}\\
\mathrm{lb}^{B}(\pi):=(n+1) \mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)+1} i \# B_{i}-\sum_{l \in L(\pi)} l,  \tag{26}\\
\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi):=\sum_{r \in R(\pi)} r-\sum_{l \in L(\pi)} l-n+\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi),  \tag{27}\\
\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi):=\sum_{l \in L(\pi)} l-\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi), \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B_{i}$ is the block of $\pi$ containing the $i$ th smallest element of $L(\pi)$, and $B_{\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)+1}$ is the set of unbarred symbols in the zero-block.

For example, for $\pi=\{1\}\{\overline{1}\}\{2,3, \overline{8}\}\{\overline{2}, \overline{3}, 8\}\{4,5\}\{\overline{4}, \overline{5}\}\{9\}\{\overline{9}\}\{6, \overline{6}, 7, \overline{7}\}$, we have $(L(\pi), R(\pi))=(\{1,4,8,9\},\{1,3,5,9\})$, and $\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)=4$. The indexed blocks are $B_{1}=$ $\{1\}, B_{2}=\{4,5\}, B_{3}=\{8, \overline{2}, \overline{3}\}, B_{4}=\{9\}$, and from the zero-block we obtain $B_{5}=$ $\{6,7\}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{rs}^{B}(\pi)=18-22-9+4=-9, \operatorname{rb}^{B}(\pi)=22-4=18, \mathrm{ls}^{B}(\pi)=$ $0+2+6+3+8=19$, and $\mathrm{lb}^{B}(\pi)=10 \cdot 4-(1+4+9+4+10)-22=-10$.

Note that rs ${ }^{B}$ and $\mathrm{lb}^{B}$ may assume negative values. It is easy to see that rs ${ }^{B}$ assumes the values between $-(k+1)(n-k)$ and $(k-1)(n-k)$. As we will see in the next subsection, $\mathrm{lb}^{B}$ has the same distribution as $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ on every rank of $N C_{n}^{B}$, so this is its range as well. The definitions of these statistics could be easily modified to produce only nonnegative values.

### 2.2 Two equidistribution results

As in the type-A case, we have two pairs of statistics - $\left(\mathrm{lb}^{B}, \mathrm{rs}^{B}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{ls}^{B}, \mathrm{rb}^{B}\right)$ - which are equidistributed on each rank of $N C_{n}^{B}$. The results of this subsection show that each of the two pairs of statistics satisfy finer distribution properties with respect to the order relation on $N C_{n}^{B}$.

Theorem 1 For each $n>0$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is a bijection $\varphi: N C_{n, k}^{B} \rightarrow N C_{n, k}^{B}$ such that $\operatorname{lb}^{B}(\pi)=\operatorname{rs}^{B}(\varphi(\pi))$ and $L(\pi)=L(\varphi(\pi))$. Therefore, for every $L \subseteq[n]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}(L)} q^{\mathrm{lb}^{B}(\pi)}=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}(L)} q^{\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N C_{n, k}^{B}(L)$ is the collection of type-B noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ having $k$ pairs of non-zero blocks and Left-set L.

Proof: Given $\pi \in N C_{n}^{B}$ with $k$ pairs of non-zero blocks, we will define the desired partition $\varphi(\pi)$ by specifying its Left- and Right-sets. The Left-set is the same as for $\pi$, $L(\varphi(\pi))=L(\pi)$. The Right-set $R(\varphi(\pi))$ consists of the partial sums of the sizes of the (non-zero) blocks of $\pi$ which contain the elements of $L: R(\varphi(\pi)):=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{i} \# B_{j}, 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)\right\}$. For the partition in the preceding example (end of subsection 2.1), we obtain $R(\varphi(\pi))=\{1,3,6,7\}$ and $\varphi(\pi)=\{1\}\{\overline{1}\}\{2,3, \overline{9}\}\{\overline{2}, \overline{3}, 9\}\{4,5,6\}\{\overline{4}, \overline{5}, \overline{6}\}\{7, \overline{8}\}\{\overline{7}, 8\}$.

To check that $\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\varphi(\pi))=\mathrm{lb}^{B}(\pi)$, note that if, given $n$, we prescribe the Left-set $L$, and thus the number $\mathrm{bk}^{B}$ of non-zero blocks, then by the definitions (26) and (27), it suffices to show that $\left(\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)+1\right) n-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{bk}}(\pi)+1 \quad i \# B_{i}=\sum_{r \in R(\varphi(\pi))} r$. This can be easily verified by a direct calculation.

It remains to verify that $\varphi$ is invertible. We consider a partition $\pi^{\prime} \in N C_{n, k}^{B}(L)$ and we construct a partition $\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}(L)$ such that $\varphi(\pi)=\pi^{\prime}$. Let the elements of $L\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=L$ and $R\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ be $1 \leq l_{1}<l_{2}<\cdots<l_{k} \leq n$ and $1 \leq r_{1}<r_{2}<\cdots<r_{k} \leq n$, respectively. Define $b_{1}:=r_{1}$ and $b_{i}=r_{i}-r_{i-1}$ for $i=2, \ldots, k$. Note that there exists at least one index $i$ such that $b_{i} \leq l_{i+1}-l_{i}$, where we set $l_{k+1}=n+l_{1}$, since otherwise we would have $r_{k}=b_{1}+b_{2}+\cdots+b_{k}>n$. For such an index $i$, let a total of $b_{i}$ clockwise consecutive elements beginning with $l_{i}$ constitute a block of the partition $\pi$. Since each $b_{i}$ is no larger than $n$, this is a non-zero block. Apply the bar operation to obtain the required pair of non-zero blocks in $\pi$. Now this process is repeated, with suitable adjustments: elements of $\{1, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \ldots, \bar{n}\}$ which have already been assigned to some block of $\pi$ are skipped when checking for clockwise consecutive elements. It is easy to see that the partition $\pi$ whose non-zero blocks are produced in this way lies in $N C_{n, k}^{B}(L)$ and $\varphi(\pi)=\pi^{\prime} . \diamond$

Consequently, for each $n, k$, the statistics $\mathrm{lb}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ give rise to the same $q$-analogue of $\binom{n}{k}^{2}$.

Corollary 1 The common distribution of the statistics $\mathrm{lb}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ over type- $B$ noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ having $k$ pairs of non-zero blocks is given by:

$$
N C_{n, k}^{B}(q):=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}} q^{1 \mathrm{~b}^{B}(\pi)}=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}} q^{\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)}=q^{-(k+1)(n-k)}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{30}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}^{2} .
$$

Proof: The preceding theorem implies the equidistribution of $\mathrm{lb}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ on each rank of $N C_{n}^{B}$. The definition (27) of $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ is especially convenient for calculating the polynomial $N C_{n, k}^{B}(q)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
N C_{n, k}^{B}(q) & =\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}} q^{\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)} \\
& =q^{-n+k} \sum_{\substack{L, R \in[n] \\
\# L=\# R=k}} q^{\sum_{r \in R} r-\sum_{l \in L} l} \\
& =q^{-n+k}\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}\right)\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q^{-1}} q^{-\binom{k+1}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality follows from the independence of $L$ and $R$, and standard properties of $q$-binomial coefficients. We can take advantage of the well-known fact that $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is a polynomial in $q$ of degree $k(n-k)$, with non-zero constant term, and whose coefficients form a symmetric sequence, to write $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q^{-1}}$ in terms of (the reciprocal of) $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$. This leads to the desired formula for $N C_{n, k}^{B}(q)$. $\diamond$

The other two statistics are equidistributed on each rank of $N C_{n}^{B}$ in an even stronger sense: we can exhibit an involution which preserves rank and interchanges the values of $\mathrm{ls}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$.

Theorem 2 For each $n>0$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is a bijection $\psi: N C_{n, k}^{B} \rightarrow N C_{n, k}^{B}$ such that $\mathrm{ls}^{B}(\pi)=\operatorname{rb}^{B}(\psi(\pi))$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi)=\mathrm{ls}^{B}(\psi(\pi))$.

Proof: First note that if $k=0$, then we have all elements in the zero-block. For this partition, both $\mathrm{ls}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$ vanish, and we let $\psi$ fix it. Consider now the case when there are $k>0$ pairs of non-zero blocks, i.e., let $\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}$. Let $l_{1}<l_{2}<\cdots<l_{k}$ be the elements of $L(\pi)$, and $b_{i}$ be the cardinality of the (non-zero) block containing $l_{i}$, for
$i=1, \ldots, k$. Let also $b_{k+1}$ be the number of unbarred elements in the zero-block of $\pi$. Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} b_{i}=n$. Define two sequences, $\ell^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ whose entries are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{1}^{\prime} & :=1+b_{k+1} \\
\ell_{2}^{\prime} & :=1+b_{k+1}+b_{k} \\
\vdots & \\
\ell_{k}^{\prime} & :=1+b_{k+1}+b_{k}+\cdots+b_{2} \\
\ell_{k+1}^{\prime} & :=n+1+b_{k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{1}^{\prime} & :=n+1-l_{k} \\
b_{2}^{\prime} & :=l_{k}-l_{k-1} \\
\vdots & \\
b_{k}^{\prime} & :=l_{2}-l_{1} \\
b_{k+1}^{\prime} & :=l_{1}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that there is a unique partition in $N C_{n, k}^{B}$ whose Left-set is $\left\{\ell_{i}^{\prime}: 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$ and such that the non-zero block containing $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$ has cardinality $b_{i}^{\prime}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, k$. Indeed, every type-B noncrossing partition at rank $n-k<n$ has some non-zero block consisting of contiguous elements in the circular diagram. It is easy to see that there exists at least one index $i \in[k]$ for which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}^{\prime} \leq \ell_{i+1}^{\prime}-\ell_{i}^{\prime} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

since (by adding the relevant expressions above) we have $b_{1}^{\prime}+b_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+b_{k}^{\prime}=n+$ $1-l_{1} \leq n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\ell_{i+1}^{\prime}-\ell_{i}^{\prime}\right)=n$. For such an index $i$ we can make a block of $b_{i}^{\prime}$ contiguous elements starting at $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$ and moving clockwise. Delete the elements of this block and their images under barring; remove $b_{i}^{\prime}$ from the sequence $b^{\prime}$; remove $\ell_{i}^{\prime}$ from the sequence $\ell^{\prime}$; finally, replace $\ell_{m}^{\prime}$ with $\ell_{m}^{\prime}-\ell_{i}^{\prime}$ for each $m>i$. With these updated sequences replacing $\ell^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$, it is easy to check that some inequality of the form (31) holds again, and another pair of non-zero blocks is obtained. The process terminates with a partition $\pi^{\prime} \in N C_{n, k}^{B}$ and we set $\psi(\pi)=\pi^{\prime}$. For example, if $n=10$ and $k=5$ and if $\pi=\{2\}\{\overline{2}\}\{3,5, \overline{9}\}\{\overline{3}, \overline{5}, 9\}\{4\}\{\overline{4}\}\{10, \overline{1}\}\{\overline{10}, 1\}\{6,8, \overline{6}, \overline{8}\}$, then for $\pi$ we have $\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{5}\right)=(2,4,7,9,10)$ and $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}, b_{6}\right)=(1,1,1,3,2,2)$. We obtain $\left(\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{5}^{\prime}, \ell_{6}^{\prime}\right)=(3,5,8,9,10,13)$ and $\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{5}^{\prime}, b_{6}^{\prime}\right)=(1,1,2,3,2,1)$. This leads to $\psi(\pi)=\pi^{\prime}=\{3\}\{\overline{3}\}\{5\}\{\overline{5}\}\{8, \overline{6}\}\{\overline{8}, 6\}\{9, \overline{2}, \overline{4}\}\{\overline{9}, 2,4\}\{10, \overline{1}\}\{\overline{10}, 1\}\{7, \overline{7}\}$.

It is easy to verify that $\psi(\psi(\pi))=\pi$ and that $\operatorname{rb}^{B}(\psi(\pi))=1 \mathrm{~s}^{B}(\pi)$ and $\mathrm{ls}^{B}(\pi)=$ $\operatorname{rb}^{B}(\psi(\pi))$. In the example, we have $\operatorname{rb}^{B}(\pi)=\mathrm{ls}^{B}(\psi(\pi))=27$ and $\mathrm{ls}^{B}(\pi)=\operatorname{rb}^{B}(\psi(\pi))=$ 30. $\diamond$

Corollary 2 For every $n$, the statistics $\mathrm{ls}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$ are equidistributed on each rank of $N C_{n}^{B}$. The common distribution on partitions having $k$ pairs of non-zero blocks is

$$
N C_{n, k}^{* B}(q)=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}} q^{\operatorname{ls}^{B}(\pi)}=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}} q^{\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi)}=\binom{n}{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{32}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} q^{\binom{k}{2} .}
$$

Proof: The equidistribution on each rank follows from Theorem 2 and $N C_{n, k}^{* B}(q)$ can be readily determined using the definition (28) of $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
N C_{n, k}^{* B}(q) & =\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}} q^{\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi)} \\
& =q^{-k} \sum_{\substack{L, R \subseteq[n] \\
\# L=\# R=k}} q^{\sum_{l \in L} l} \\
& =q^{-k}\binom{n}{k} \sum_{L \subseteq[n], \# L=k} q^{\sum_{l \in L} l},
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be rewritten as claimed. $\diamond$

### 2.3 Relations with poset symmetry properties of $N C_{n}^{B}$

The distributions of the statistics $\mathrm{lb}^{B}, \mathrm{rs}^{B}, \mathrm{ls}^{B}, \mathrm{rb}^{B}$ enjoy several properties which reflect order-theoretic symmetry in the structure of the lattice $N C_{n}^{B}$.

Proposition 1 The rank-symmetry of $N C_{n}^{B}$ is respected by the distribution $N C_{n, k}^{B}(q)$ of $\mathrm{lb}^{B}, \mathrm{rs}^{B}$, and by the distribution $N C_{n, k}^{* B}(q)$ of $\mathrm{ls}^{B}, \mathrm{rb}^{B}$ on type- $B$ noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ with $k$ pairs of non-zero blocks:

Each of these distributions is symmetric and unimodal.

Proof: The internal symmetry and unimodality as well as the external symmetry relations follow immediately from the expressions (30) and (32) and classical properties of $q$-binomial coefficients. Combinatorially, the bijection $\beta: N C_{n, k}^{B} \rightarrow N C_{n, n-k}^{B}$ mapping $\pi$ to $\pi^{\prime}$ so that $L\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=[n]-L(\pi)$ and $R\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=[n]-R(\pi)$ has the property that $\mathrm{rs}^{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)-n+2 k$, implying the external symmetry relation for $N C_{n, k}^{B}(q)$
claimed in (33). It also has the property that $\mathrm{rb}^{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rb}^{B}(\pi)+\binom{k}{2}-\binom{n-k}{2}$, implying the external symmetry relation for $N C_{n, k}^{* B}(q) . \diamond$

The symmetry of the distributions within each rank $N C_{n, k}^{B}$ can be made combinatorially explicit. For $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{ls}^{B}$ (next corollary) the argument is an immediate adaptation of the standard combinatorial proof of the symmetry of the coefficients of $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$. For rs ${ }^{B}$ and $\mathrm{lb}^{B}$ it is a consequence of how $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ relates to an order-theoretic property of $N C_{n}^{B}$ (Theorem 3 and Corollary 4).

Corollary 3 On each rank of $N C_{n}^{B}$, the statistics $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{ls}^{B}$ are distributed symmetrically.

Proof: It suffices to verify that this is the case for $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$. Let $c:[n] \rightarrow[n]$ be the map defined by $c(i)=n+1-i$. Given $\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}$, let $\pi^{\prime}$ be the partition in $N C_{n, k}^{B}$ whose Left- and Right-sets are $L\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=c(L(\pi))$ and $R\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=c(R(\pi))$. This gives an involution on $N C_{n, k}^{B}$ with the property that $\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi)+\mathrm{rb}^{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)=k(n-1)$. But this is equal to the sum of the minimum and maximum values assumed by $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$ on $N C_{n, k}^{B}$, and the symmetry of the distribution is established. $\diamond$

We now turn to a stronger symmetry property of $N C_{n}^{B}$ and its relation to the statistic $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$. The lattice $N C_{n}^{B}$ admits a symmetric boolean decomposition (SBD). That is, it is possible to partition the elements of $N C_{n}^{B}$ into pairwise disjoint subposets having two properties: 1) for each subposet there is a value $r$ such that its elements lie at ranks $r, r+1, \ldots, n-r$ in $N C_{n}^{B}$, and the cover relations in the subposet are covering relations in $N C_{n}^{B}$, and 2) each subposet is isomorphic to a boolean lattice. Such a decomposition is obtained for $N C_{n}^{B}$ in [20], by means analogous to those used in [23] to establish a SBD for $N C_{n}^{A}$; related facts for $N C_{n}^{B}$ appears in [13]. Here we give an explicit SBD of $N C_{n}^{B}$, different from the earlier ones, having the property that the statistic rs ${ }^{B}$ is essentially constant on each boolean lattice. This is a refinement of the rank-symmetry of $N C_{n}^{B}$, which parallels the property (see [24]) of $N C_{n}^{A}$ that $\mathrm{rs}^{A}$ is constant on each boolean lattice of the SBD constructed in [23]. Two enumerative consequences are given as corollaries.

Theorem 3 The lattice $N C_{n}^{B}$ admits a symmetric boolean decomposition with the property that $\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)+\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\pi)=\mathrm{rs}^{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{rk}^{B}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ if $\pi$, $\pi^{\prime}$ belong to the same boolean lattice.

Proof: Let $L_{1}, R_{1}$ be two disjoint subsets of $[n]$ of equal cardinality, say, $\# L_{1}=\# R_{1}=$ $k$. Consider all the pairs $\left(L_{1} \cup S, R_{1} \cup S\right)$ where $S$ ranges over all subsets of $[n]-$
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$\left(L_{1} \cup R_{1}\right)$. Clearly, these pairs ordered by componentwise reverse containment form a poset isomorphic to a boolean lattice of height $n-\# L_{1}-\# R_{1}=n-2 k$. Denote this boolean lattice by $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$. Thus, $\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ is its maximum element and $\left(L_{0}, R_{0}\right):=$ ( $[n]-R_{1},[n]-L_{1}$ ) is its minimum element.

We claim that the image of $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ under the bijection (7) is a boolean lattice symmetrically embedded in $N C_{n}^{B}$, and that the collection of these boolean lattices, as $\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ range over all pairs of disjoint subsets of equal cardinality, constitutes a SBD of $N C_{n}^{B}$.

Using the bijection (7), let the noncrossing partitions corresponding to the maximum and minimum of $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ be $\pi_{1} \leftrightarrow\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ and $\pi_{0} \leftrightarrow\left(L_{0}, R_{0}\right)$. It is clear that we have $\mathrm{rk}^{B}\left(\pi_{0}\right)+\mathrm{rk}^{B}\left(\pi_{1}\right)=n$, as desired for a boolean lattice in a SBD. We verify that the bijection (7) maps a covering $\left(L_{1} \cup S \cup\{s\}, R_{1} \cup S \cup\{s\}\right) \lessdot\left(L_{1} \cup S, R_{1} \cup S\right)$ of $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ to a covering $\pi \lessdot \pi^{\prime}$ in $N C_{n}^{B}$. Indeed, the elements $s$ and $\bar{s}$ appear as singleton blocks in $\pi$ but not in $\pi^{\prime}$; the remaining elements of the Left- and Right-sets are the same in $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$. It follows that $\pi$ is covered by $\pi^{\prime}$ in $N C_{n}^{B}$.

Finally, the images in $N C_{n}^{B}$ of the boolean lattices of the form $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ constitute a partition of the elements of $N C_{n}^{B}$. This follows from the observation that an element $\pi \in$ $N C_{n}^{B}$ which is encoded by a pair $(L(\pi), R(\pi))$ belongs to a well-defined boolean lattice $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$. Namely, the boolean lattice arising from the pair of sets $L_{1}=L(\pi)-R(\pi)$ and $R_{1}=R(\pi)-L(\pi)$. Indeed, in every boolean lattice of the form $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$, the differences $\left(L_{1} \cup S\right)-\left(R_{1} \cup S\right)$ and $\left(R_{1} \cup S\right)-\left(L_{1} \cup S\right)$ are equal to $L_{1}$ and $R_{1}$, respectively, for every $S$.

The relation of this SBD to the $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ statistic is now obvious: the value of $\sum_{r \in R(\pi)} r-$ $\sum_{l \in L(\pi)} l$ is constant for all $\pi$ in the same boolean lattice of our SBD. Comparing this difference with the definition (27) of $\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)$, it follows that $\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)+\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\pi)=\sum_{r \in R_{1}} r-$ $\sum_{l \in L_{1}} l$ has the same value for every $\pi$ in the embedding of $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ into $N C_{n}^{B}$. $\diamond$

Several consequences follow now readily. First, we obtain an alternative combinatorial proof of the external symmetry of the polynomials $N C_{n, k}^{B}(q)$ stated in (33). Compared to the proof of Proposition 1, here we see the compatibility of the statistic $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ with the order structure of $N C_{n}^{B}$.

Corollary 4 Let $0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. There is a bijection $\gamma: N C_{n, k}^{B} \rightarrow N C_{n, n-k}^{B}$ such that $\pi \leq \gamma(\pi)$ and $\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)+\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\pi)=\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\gamma(\pi))+\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\gamma(\pi))$ for each $\pi \in N C_{n, k}^{B}$.

Proof: Consider the SBD of $N C_{n}^{B}$ constructed in Theorem 3, and a symmetric chain decomposition of each of the boolean lattices $\mathcal{B}\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ defined in the proof of the
theorem. Let $\gamma(\pi)$ be the element in $N C_{n, n-k}^{B}$ which lies in the same boolean lattice as $\pi$, and on the same chain in the symmetric chain decomposition of this boolean lattice. It is then clear that $\gamma$ has the desired properties. $\diamond$

We also obtain a further refinement of the rank-symmetry and rank-unimodality of $N C_{n}^{B}$.

Corollary 5 For any given value $v$, the distribution by rank of the type- $B$ noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ for which the $\mathrm{rs}^{B}+\mathrm{rk}^{B}$ statistic is equal to $v$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\pi \in N N^{B} \\ \operatorname{rs}^{B}(\pi)+\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\pi)=v}} q^{\mathrm{rk}^{B}(\pi)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

has symmetric and unimodal coefficients.

Proof: By Theorem 3, the range of summation in (34) is a disjoint union of boolean lattices, themselves rank-symmetric and rank-unimodal posets. Since each of these boolean lattices is embedded in $N C_{n}^{B}$ on consecutive ranks and symmetrically with respect to rank, the desired conclusion follows. $\diamond$

One of the consequences of the SBD of $N C_{n}^{A}$ described in [23] is a combinatorial proof of Touchard's identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{2 k} C_{k} 2^{n-1-2 k} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We close this section with a "type-B Touchard identity" which arises, along with an order-theoretic combinatorial proof, from Theorem 3.

Corollary 6 For every $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# N C_{n}^{B}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{2 k} \# N C_{k}^{B} 2^{n-2 k} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

More explicitly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{2 n}{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k} 2^{n-2 k} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The total number of elements of $N C_{n}^{B}$ is obtained as the sum of the cardinalities of the boolean lattices in the SBD of Theorem 3. There are $\binom{n}{2 k}\binom{2 k}{k}$ choices for an ordered pair $\left(L_{1}, R_{1}\right)$ of disjoint $k$-subsets of $[n]$. Such a choice yields a boolean lattice of height $n-2 k$, as seen in the proof of Theorem $3 . \diamond$

## 3 Restricted signed permutations

In the symmetric group, patterns of length 2 are uninterestingly restrictive, while length3 patterns have the interesting property of leading to the same number of restricted permutations in $S_{n}$, for all $n$, namely the $n$th Catalan number. Counterparts of these cases for the hyperoctahedral group arise here from restrictions by patterns of lengths 1 and 2 , respectively. The restricted classes $B_{n}(1)$ and $B_{n}(\overline{1})$ consist, obviously, of the $n!$ signed permutations in which all - respectively none - of the symbols are barred. The eight length-2 signed patterns give rise to some enumeratively interesting classes of signed permutations, which we examine in this section.

### 3.1 Single restrictions by 2-letter patterns

Divide the 2-letter signed patterns into two classes, $S$ and $D$, according to whether the two symbols are simultaneously barred or not,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\{12,21, \overline{1} \overline{2}, \overline{2} \overline{1}\} \quad \text { and } \quad D=\{1 \overline{2}, \overline{1} 2,2 \overline{1}, \overline{2} 1\} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observation 1 It is immediately apparent that reversal (i.e., reading the permutation right-to-left: $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{n} \mapsto b_{n} b_{n-1} \cdots b_{1}$ ) and barring (that is, $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{n} \mapsto \overline{b_{1}} \overline{b_{2}} \cdots \overline{b_{n}}$ ) give bijections which show that if $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are both in $S$ or both in $D$, then $\# B_{n}(\rho)=$ $\# B_{n}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$.

In fact, like the length-3 patterns for the symmetric group, all the length-2 signed patterns give rise to the same number of restricted signed permutations.

Proposition 2 If $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$ are any 2-letter signed patterns, then for every $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# B_{n}(\rho)=\# B_{n}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\beta_{n}(\rho):=\# B_{n}(\rho)$. By Observation 1, it suffices to show that $\beta_{n}(12)=$ $\beta_{n}(1 \overline{2})$. This is trivially true for $n=0$ and we claim that the sequences $\left(\beta_{n}(12)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(\beta_{n}(1 \overline{2})\right)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfy the same recurrence relation for $n \geq 1$.

Let $b=b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{n} \in B_{n}(12)$ and consider the symbol $b_{1}$. Suppose first that $b_{1}$ is not barred, say, $b_{1}=i$. Since $b$ is 12 -avoiding, $i+1, \ldots, n$ must appear barred in $b$, in arbitrary order. Also, $1, \ldots, i-1$ can be arbitrarily barred or not, and can be placed in any $i-1$ positions from among positions $2, \ldots, n$, subject to the condition
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that they themselves form a 12-avoiding signed permutation. Consequently, the number of $b \in B_{n}(12)$ which begin with an unbarred symbol is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{i-1}(n-i)!\beta_{i-1}(12) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b_{1}=\bar{i}$ for some $i$, then $b \in B_{n}(12)$ if and only if $b_{2} \ldots b_{n}$ is a 12 -avoiding signed permutation. This case contributes

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \beta_{n-1}(12) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

further elements of $B_{n}(12)$. Adding the expressions in (40) and (41) one obtains a recurrence relation satisfied by $\beta_{n}(12)$ for $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}(12)=(n+1) \beta_{n-1}(12)+(n-1)!\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{\beta_{j}(12)}{j!} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $b \in B_{n}(1 \overline{2})$, suppose first that $b_{1}=i$ for some $i$. To avoid the pattern $1 \overline{2}$, it is necessary and sufficient that: i) the symbols larger than $i$ be unbarred, ii) the symbols smaller than $i$ form a $1 \overline{2}$-avoiding signed permutation. Next, if $b_{1}=\bar{i}$ for some $i$, then $b_{2} \cdots b_{n}$ is an arbitrary $1 \overline{2}$-avoiding signed permutation of $[n]-\{i\}$. Thus, $\beta_{n}(1 \overline{2})$ satisfies a recurrence relation identical to (42), and the proof is complete. $\diamond$

The common cardinality of all restricted classes $B_{n}(\rho)$ for length- 2 patterns is now easy to determine.

Proposition 3 If $\rho$ is any signed pattern of length 2, then for each $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# B_{n}(\rho)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2} \cdot k! \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: By Proposition 2, it suffices to show that formula (43) holds for $\rho=12$. This follows readily since each element of $B_{n}(12)$ is a shuffle of an arbitrary permutation of, say, $k$ barred symbols and the decreasing sequence formed by the remaining, not barred, symbols. Summing over $k$ yields the formula. $\diamond$

In fact, finer enumerative results hold.

Observation 2 Fix $n$ and any choice of a letter $x \in\{1,2, \ldots, n, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \bar{n}\}$. Then the number of signed permutations $b$ whose first entry is $b_{1}=x$ is the same in $B_{n}(12)$ as in $B_{n}(1 \overline{2})$.

This is apparent in the proof of Proposition 2. It is analogous to the fact [22] that in $S_{n}(123)$ and in $S_{n}(132)$ there are equally many permutations with a prescribed first entry.

Other enumerative relations hold between subclasses of $B_{n}(12)$ and $B_{n}(1 \overline{2})$. For example, essentially by iterating the preceding observation, one has:

Observation 3 For each $n$, the number of signed permutations whose leftmost unbarred symbol occurs in position $p$ is the same in the classes of restricted signed permutations $B_{n}(12)$ and $B_{n}(1 \overline{2})$. We convene to set $p=n+1$ if all symbols are barred.

We close with a $q$-analogue of the expression (3), based on combinatorial statistics on signed permutations. This $q$-analogue arises in a different context in [28].

Observation 4 For a signed permutation $b \in B_{n}$, define the statistics
$\operatorname{suv}(b):=$ the sum of the values which are not barred in $b$,
$\sup (b):=$ the sum of the positions of the symbols which are not barred in $b$,
uinv $(b):=$ the number of inversions in the subword of $b$ consisting of the unbarred symbols.
Now define

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(b):=\operatorname{suv}(b)+\sup (b)+\operatorname{uinv}(b)-k(k+1), \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ denotes the number of barred symbols in $b$. Then

$$
\sum_{b \in B_{n}(\overline{1} \overline{2})} q^{s(b)}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{45}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}^{2}[k]_{q}!.
$$

Indeed, in a signed permutation $b \in B_{n}(\overline{1} \overline{2})$, the values, order, and positions of the unbarred symbols are arbitrary, while the ordering of the barred symbols is forced, and (45) follows readily. We note that alternative descriptions of the value of $s(b)$ and other choices of the pattern-restriction are possible.

### 3.2 Double restrictions by 2-letter patterns

By taking advantage of the operations of reversal, barring, and complementation (the last one means $b_{i}$ is replaced with the value $n+1-\left|b_{i}\right|$, which we bar if and only if $b_{i}$ is a barred symbol), the question of determining the cardinality of $B_{n}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ for the 28 choices of two 2-letter signed patterns, reduces to 7 cases.
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Proposition 4 Given $n$ and two length-2 signed patterns $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$, let $\beta_{n}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)=\# B_{n}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$, the number of signed permutations in $B_{n}$ which avoid simultaneously $\rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}$. The value of $\beta_{n}\left(\rho, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies one of the following relations, according to which orbit (under reversal, barring, complementation) contains the pair $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta_{n}(12,21)=2 \cdot n!,  \tag{46}\\
\beta_{n}(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)=\beta_{n}(2 \overline{1}, 1 \overline{2})=\beta_{n}(1 \overline{2}, \overline{1} 2)=\beta_{n}(12,1 \overline{2})=(n+1)!  \tag{47}\\
n!<\beta_{n}(12, \overline{2} 1)<(n+1)!\text { for } n \geq 3,  \tag{48}\\
\beta_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})=\binom{2 n}{n} . \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof: Each relation can be established by examining the form of the restricted signed permutations in question and resorting, as needed, to a recurrence relation and induction.

To verify (46) note that $b \in B_{n}(12,21)$ has either no unbarred symbol (in which case it is one of the $n$ ! permutations of $\overline{1}, \ldots, \bar{n}$ ), or it has just one unbarred symbol $i$ inserted in any position in an arbitrary permutation of $\overline{1}, \ldots, \overline{i-1}, \overline{i+1}, \ldots, \bar{n}$. This gives $\beta_{n}(12,21)=n!+n(n-1)!$, as claimed in (46).

Consider now $b \in B_{n}(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)$. If $b_{1}=i$, then the smaller values $1, \ldots, i-1$ must be unbarred in $b$ and can be permuted arbitrarily and placed in any of the positions 2 through $n$; the larger values $i+1, \ldots, n$ must constitute a $(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)$-avoiding signed permutation. If $b_{1}=\bar{i}$, then the discussion is similar. This leads to the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta_{n}(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)}{(n-1)!}=2 \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\beta_{j}(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)}{j!} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geq 1$, and $\beta_{0}(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)=1$. We omit the simple exercise of solving for $\beta_{n}(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)$, as well as the similar argument for finding $\beta_{n}(2 \overline{1}, 1 \overline{2})$ and $\beta_{n}(1 \overline{2}, \overline{1} 2)$.

In the last case of $(47), B_{n}(12,1 \overline{2})$, note that if $b_{1}$ is an unbarred symbol, then the pattern restriction forces $b_{1}=n$ and that if $b_{1}$ is a barred symbol, it may have any value. In both cases, $b_{2} \cdots b_{n}$ is a $(12,1 \overline{2})$-avoiding signed permutation on $[n]-\left\{\left|b_{1}\right|\right\}$. This yields $\beta_{n}(12,1 \overline{2})=(n+1) \beta_{n-1}(12,1 \overline{2})$.

Concerning (48), a similar analysis leads to the recurrence relation $\beta_{n}(12, \overline{2} 1)=$ $n \beta_{n-1}(12, \overline{2} 1)+(n-1)!\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j!}$ for $n \geq 1$, with $\beta_{0}(12, \overline{2} 1)=1$. From this the inequalities can be established by induction. The first few values are $\left(\beta_{n}(12, \overline{2} 1)\right)_{n \geq 0}=$ $(1,2,6,23,108, \ldots)$. An expression for $\beta_{n}(12, \overline{2} 1)$ can be obtained by iterating the recurrence relation. From the recurrence relation one can also deduce $\beta_{n}(12, \overline{2} 1)=$ $2 n \beta_{n-1}(12, \overline{2} 1)-\left(n^{2}-2\right) \beta_{n-2}(12, \overline{2} 1)+(n-2)^{2} \beta_{n-3}(12, \overline{2} 1)$ for $n \geq 3$.

Finally, to verify (49), we observe that if $b \in B_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})$ then $b$ is determined by the choice of which symbols in it are barred and where they are located. It is a shuffle of the barred and unbarred symbols, each of which are ordered increasingly by absolute value. For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, there are $\binom{n}{k}$ choices of the values to be barred, and again $\binom{n}{k}$ choices for their placement in $b$. Thus (49) follows by direct counting. $\diamond$

The last class of restrictions, which gives $\binom{2 n}{n}=\# N C_{n}^{B}$ pattern-avoiding signed permutations, is of special interest here and is further considered in Section 4.

## 4 Relations between statistics on type-B noncrossing partitions and restricted signed permutations

Considering the set of type-B noncrossing partitions $N C_{n}^{B}$ and the elements of $B_{n}$ which avoid simultaneously the patterns 21 and $\overline{2} \overline{1}$, we obtain a B-analogue of the type-A result of [24] stated in equation (4).

Theorem 4 For every $n \geq 1$, there is a bijection $\gamma: B_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1}) \rightarrow N C_{n}^{B}$ such that $\operatorname{des}^{B}(b)=\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\gamma(b))$ and maj ${ }^{B}(b)=\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\gamma(b))+\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\gamma(b))$. As a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b \in B_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})} p^{\operatorname{des}^{B}(b)} q^{\operatorname{maj}^{B}(b)}=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n}^{B}}(p q)^{\operatorname{bk}^{B}(\pi)} q^{\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi)} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the common expression for these joint distributions is

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{52}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} p^{k} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}}
$$

Proof: Based on their characterization used in the proof of Proposition 4, the permutations in $B_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})$ are in bijection with the ordered pairs of subsets of [ $n$ ] having equal cardinality, $b \leftrightarrow(P(b), B(b))$. The subset $B(b)$ is the set of values which are barred in $b$ and the subset $P(b)$ is the set of their positions in $b$. Recalling from subsection 1.1 the definition of the descent statistic for the hyperoctahedral group, we have $P(b)=\operatorname{Des}(b)$.

Let $\gamma(b)$ be the type-B noncrossing partition whose encoding by its pair of Left- and Right-sets is $(\operatorname{Des}(b), B(b))$. Then, by the definitions of the statistics, it is clear that (51) holds. The expression (52) is immediate from (32). $\diamond$

The expression (52) is a $p, q$-analogue of $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}^{2}=\binom{2 n}{n}$ in which one of the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ is replaced by a $q$-binomial coefficient. George Andrews asked
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whether it is possible to derive a $p, q$-analogue in which the other binomial coefficient becomes a $p$-binomial coefficient.

Proposition 5 For $n \geq 1$, the joint distribution of the statistics $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$ on type- $B$ noncrossing partitions satisfies the relation

$$
p^{n} \sum_{\pi \in N C_{n}^{B}} p^{\mathrm{rs}^{B}(\pi)}(p q)^{\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi)}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{53}\\
k
\end{array}\right]_{p}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q} p^{\binom{k+1}{2}} q^{\binom{k}{2}} .
$$

Proof: By the correspondence $\pi \leftrightarrow(L(\pi), R(\pi))$ and the definitions of $\mathrm{rs}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}$, the left hand side of (53) equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{L, R \subset[n] \\ \# L=\# R=k}} p^{\left(\sum_{r \in R} r\right)-n} q^{\left(\sum_{l \in L} l\right)-k}, \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written as the right-hand-side of (53). $\diamond$

We consider now the excedence and Denert statistics defined for type B in (23) and (24).

Proposition 6 For every $n$, the joint distribution of the excedence and Denert statistics on the $(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})$-avoiding signed permutations in $B_{n}$ agrees with the joint distribution of the statistics $\mathrm{bk}^{B}$ and $\mathrm{rb}^{B}+\mathrm{bk}^{B}$ on the noncrossing partitions in $N C_{n}^{B}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{b \in B_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})} p^{\operatorname{exc}^{B}(b)} q^{\operatorname{Den}^{B}(b)}=\sum_{\pi \in N C_{n}^{B}}(p q)^{\mathrm{bk}^{B}(\pi)} q^{\mathrm{rb}^{B}(\pi)} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: For signed permutations in the class $B_{n}(21, \overline{2} \overline{1})$ the excedences defined via (23) coincide with the descents, as was observed by Galovich [11], so maj ${ }^{B}$ and Den ${ }^{B}$ agree as well. Thus the conclusion follows from the preceding result. $\diamond$

## 5 Further questions

1. Signed permutations restricted by 2-letter patterns. In view of Proposition 2, we may write $\beta_{n}$ for the common cardinality of $B_{n}(\rho)$ for all signed 2-letter patterns $\rho$. For $n \geq 0$, this sequence begins with the values $1,2,7,34,209,1546, \ldots$ A sequence beginning with the same values appears in [27]. The reference is [21] and the sequence is described by the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=1, \quad a_{n}=2 n a_{n-1}-(n-1)^{2} a_{n-2} \text { for } n \geq 1 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can verify that this and the recurrence (42) produce the same sequence. Indeed, $a_{0}=\beta_{0}=1$, and assuming inductively that $a_{i}=\beta_{i}$ for $i<n$, we have $a_{n}=\beta_{n}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n a_{n-1}-(n-1)^{2} a_{n-2}=(n+1) a_{n-1}+(n-1)!\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \frac{a_{i}}{i!}, \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-1) a_{n-1}=n(n-1) a_{n-2}+(n-1)!\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \frac{a_{i}}{i!} . \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this is equivalent to the recurrence (42) satisfied by $\beta_{n}$.
Thus, for each 2-letter signed pattern $\rho$, the cardinality $\beta_{n}$ of the class of restricted signed permutations $B_{n}(\rho)$ satisfies the recurrence (56). It would be interesting to find a combinatorial explanation for this recurrence for the numbers $\beta_{n}$. Similarly, it would be interesting to find a combinatorial proof of the 3-term recurrence for $\beta_{n}(12, \overline{2} 1)$ stated in the proof of Proposition 4.
2. Bijections among classes of restricted signed permutations.

In enumerating signed permutations which avoid simultaneously two 2-letter patterns (Proposition 4), we found in equation (47) that $\# B_{n}(2 \overline{1}, \overline{1} 2)=\# B_{n}(2 \overline{1}, 1 \overline{2})=$ $\# B_{n}(1 \overline{2}, \overline{1} 2)=\# B_{n}(12,1 \overline{2})=(n+1)$ !. The first three cases are representatives of size-2 orbits of double restrictions, while the fourth case is in an orbit of size 4. This, as well as the difference in the natural recurrence relations used in the proof of (47), raise the question of finding explicit bijections among these classes of restricted signed permutations.
3. Combinatorial statistics on (unrestricted) type- $B$ set partitions. How might the definitions (25)-(28) of statistics for type-B noncrossing partitions be extended to the entire lattice $\Pi_{n}^{B}$ of type-B partitions? Do analogues of the properties for type-A partitions statistics in [36] hold?
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