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#### Abstract

We give a survey of recent works relating algebraic languages and formal power series with the enumeration of polyominoes (and animals). More precisely, encoding these structures with words yields new exact results.


## 1 - INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega$ be a class of combinatorial objects. Let us suppose that they are enumerated by the integer $a_{n}$ according to the value $n$ of some parameter $p$. Let us further suppose that the corresponding generating function $f(t)=\Sigma_{n \geq 0} a_{n} t^{n}$ is algebraic.
M.P. Schützenberger's methodology in [48, 49] consisting in first constructing a bijection between the objects $\Omega$ and the words of an algebraic language, accounts for the explanation for the algebraic nature of the generating function. Let $\omega$ be an object in $\Omega$. Then the parameter p of $\omega$ turns to be a number of letters in the corresponding word coding of $\omega$. This methodology was first, illustrated by R. Cori [16], then by R. Cori and B. Vauquelin [17] about Tutte formulas on planar maps. The reader will find an introduction to the topic in [10, 30] and a synthesis by X. Viennot in [52]. Recently this method has been effectively used to code and count polyominoes which can be described as a finite connected union of cells (unit squares) in the plane $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$; see [31] for instance. A polyomino is displayed in Figure 1.
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Figure 1. A polyomino and an associated animal.

The most often studied parameters are the perimeter which is the length of the border of the polyomino and the area, which is the number of cells.

Counting polyominoes is a problem in combinatorics which more often than not remains unsolved. Yet, some exact formulas dependent on one parameter only (e.g. either the perimeter or the area) are proved for some particular types of polyominoes. The reader is referred to $[37,38]$ for examples. But all the research on polyominoes so far has led one to believe that it is a harder problem when it comes to solve the distribution for two parameters at the same time (e.g. both the perimeter and the area).

This problem is also well-known in statistical physics. Usually physicists consider animals instead of polyominoes, an equivalent object obtained by taking the center of each elementary cell (see Figure 1).

We give below several examples in which Schützenberger's methodology has solved open problems in the field of polyominoes.

We shall begin with a brief review of the problems about polyominoes and also introducing the methodology. We shall end it with new features.

## 2-Polyominoes and animals

Studying polyominoes has a long set of problems. Their study is connected to partition problems, but the first book on this subject is due to S . Golomb [31] in 1965. It was preceded by some papers of M.Gardner in 1958 in the Scientific American [29]. See also the nice paper of Klarner: "My life among polyominoes" [37]. There are two classes of problems when dealing with polyominoes. The first one aims at enumerating them according to the perimeter and/or the area, and the second at spanning the plane with a set of polyominoes having a given area $[32,53]$.


Figure 2. Some kind of polyominoes.

This does not lead to enumeration problems but rather to an algorithms allowing us to obtain a polyomino by spanning it with a smaller ones [5, 6], by superimposing rectangles [53]. Here are some possible applications:

- design of VLSI [14], the shadow of a VLSI circuit is a polyomino,
- storage of images [1,13], the periphery is a polyomino.

We are interested in enumerating polyominoes. Generally speaking, only asymptotic results are known, the latest ones being Guttman's [34]. Thus, many people take particular polyominoes into account in order to get some approaches to the general problem. To describe particular cases, let us define a column (resp. row) of a polyomino as the intersection with an infinite vertical (resp. horizontal) strip of cells. A polyomino is a column-convex (resp. row-convex) if every column (resp. row) is connected. It is convex if it is both row- and column-convex. See the examples in Figure 2.

An animal is a set of points of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that every pair of points of the animal can be connected by a path (sequence of points) included in the animal and having elementary steps North, East, South and West. Animals are related to the percolation problem and a lot of results have been published on this subject [45bis]. Physicists attempt to find some relations for the number $a_{n}$ of animals having area or perimeter $n$. They look for asymptotic results in the form $a_{n} \approx \mu^{n} n^{-\theta}$. The exponent $\theta$ is called the universality class of the model and n the connecting constant.

Recently, the interest was in directed animals. They are related to some gas lattice models. An animal is said to be directed, if it contains a set of $s$ points (called roots or source points) lying on the line $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{s}-1$, such that any other point in the animal can be reached from one of the roots, by a path making only North or East steps in the lattice plane within the animal (see Figure 3). The surprising result was that exact results can be found for this class of animals [41, 25, 26, 36]. See [51] for a survey.

Note that polyominoes are obtained from animals by placing a unit square with vertices at integer points for each point of the animal. Thus, we shall say that a polyomino is directed if the associated animal is directed and in the following we shall
only use the word polyomino. Let P be a polyomino. The enumeration is made according to the following parameters:

- the bond perimeter $\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{P})$, that is the length of the border of the polyomino,
- the site perimeter $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{P})$, that is the number of squares (resp. unit cells) outside and adjacent to the boundary of the polyomino (resp. animal),
- the area $\boldsymbol{a}(\mathrm{P})$, that is the number of squares (resp. unit cells) of the polyomino (resp. animal).


## 3-SCHUTZENBERGER'S METHODOLOGY

Let $\mathrm{X}=\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}$ be an alphabet. We denote by $\mathrm{X}^{*}$ the free monoid generated by X , that is, the set of words (finite sequences of letters from X ). The empty word is denoted by $\varepsilon$. The number of occurrences of the letter x in the word w is denoted by $|w|_{x}$, the length (number of letters) of w by $|w|$. Let $\Omega$ be a class of objects for which a parameter $\pi$ is to be studied. The Schützenberger's methodology is based upon four steps:

1 - code the objects of $\Omega$ by the words of an algebraic language $\mathscr{L}$ preserving $\pi$,
2- write out a non-ambigous grammar $\mathcal{G}$ generating the language $\mathscr{L}$,
3- solve the algebraic system associated to $\mathcal{G}$ in commutative variables getting a generating function $\mathcal{F}$ (or a functional equation) for the language $\mathscr{L}$,
4- compute using $\mathcal{F}$ an exact formula or an asymptotic expression for the number of objects in $\Omega$ having a given value for the studied parameter $\pi$.
For example, let $\Omega$ be the class of stack polyominoes. A stack polyomino S is a convex polyomino given by two paths $\eta$ and $\lambda$ from ( 0,0 ) to ( $k, 0$ ). The path $\eta$ makes only East steps. In the first part $\lambda$ makes only North and East steps, then after an East step makes only South and East steps (see Figure 4).


Figure 3. A directed polyomino and an associated animal.


Figure 4. A stack polyomino and its coding.

The first step consists in coding the stack polyominoes using a word w of $\{x, a\}^{*}$ such that
(i) w is in $(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a})^{*}$,
(ii) $|\mathrm{W}|_{\mathrm{a}}$ is even.

These words constitute the language $\mathscr{L}$. This coding is immediately obtained translating the path $\lambda$ : each East step is translated by the letter $x$ excepting the middle one and each North or South step by a letter a, excepting the first and last (see figure 6). Then, we have $\boldsymbol{p}(S)=|\mathrm{w}|_{\mathrm{a}}+\left.\left.2\right|_{\mathrm{w}}\right|_{\mathrm{x}}+4$.

In the second step, we write the non-commutative system of equations associated with the previous language

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{a} \mathrm{La}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}+\mathrm{xL}+\varepsilon  \tag{1}\\
& \mathrm{L}_{1}=\varepsilon+\mathrm{x}_{1} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{L}=\sum_{\mathrm{w} \in \mathcal{B}} \mathrm{w}
$$

The first equation means that a non-empty word w in $\mathfrak{L}$ has the form $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{xw}{ }^{\prime}$ with $w^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{L}$ or $w=w_{1} a_{2}$ with $w_{1}$ in $\mathscr{S}$ and $w_{2}$ in $\{x\}^{*}$.

In the third step, by commuting the variables, we get the commutative image of $L$

$$
\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})=\frac{1-\mathrm{x}}{(1-\mathrm{x})^{2}-\mathrm{a}^{2}}
$$

This function enumerates the stack polyominoes according to its height and width. From it, one can easily prove as an example of the fourth step, the following

Proposition 1. The number of stack polyominoes whose perimeter is $2 \mathrm{p}+4$ is the Fibonacci number $\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{p}}$.


Perimeter 20
Site perimeter 16
Area 12

Figure 5. A parallelogram polyomino.

## 4-ENUMERATION OF POLYOMINOES USING THIS METHODOLOGY

Knuth asked the question: what is the number of convex polyominoes [38]? In 1984, Delest and Viennot enumerated these according to the perimeter [24]. They show that the number of convex polyominoes whose perimeter is $2 \mathrm{n}+8$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{4}=1, p_{6}=2 \\
& \text { for } n \geq 0, p_{2 n+8}=(2 n+11) 4^{n}-4(2 n+1)\binom{2 n}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This result was recently found again by Enting and Guttmann [35] and Lin and Chang [40]. On the other hand, according to the area, there is only an asymptotic result [34]

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{p}}=2.67564(2.30914)^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

Following this work, since 1984, we investigated several kinds of polyominoes which are related to some properties of convexity. Firstly, we examine the parallelogram polyominoes which are defined by two non-intersecting paths begining and ending at the same points and making only North and East steps (see Figure 5). The number of such polyominoes with perimeter $2 \mathrm{n}+2$ is known to be the Catalan number $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$. We proved [23] that the number of such polyominoes having perimeter 2 n and site perimeter $2 \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{k}$ is

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}=\frac{2}{\mathrm{k}+2}\binom{\mathrm{n}-2}{\mathrm{k}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}+1} .
$$

For column-convex polyominoes, it was well-known [36bis] that the generating function according to the area was rational. In [18], the generating function according to the bond perimeter is proved to be algebraic. Its expression needs a full page of formulas.

bond perimeter 22 site perimeter 10
area 15

Figure 6. A fully diagonal compact animal and the associated polyomino.

For directed animals, the first study was made by Dhar, Phani and Barma [27]. Exact results were proved successively by Dhar [26], Hakim and Nadal [36], and finally using combinatorics by Gouyou-Beauchamps and Viennot [33] and very recently by Betrema and Penaud[11]. Finally the following results are known:

- the number of directed animals having area n is

$$
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\binom{\mathrm{n}-1}{\mathrm{i}}(\lfloor\mathrm{i} / 2\rfloor),
$$

- the number of directed animals having area $n+1$ with compact source is $3^{n}$.

But no exact result concerning the perimeter is known.
In the case of directed column-convex animals [19], one can find exact results for the three parameters. The most surprising result was that the number of those having an area n is the Fibonacci number of rank 2(n-1). For fully diagonal compact animals [19], (i.e. directed and with diagonals compact (see figure 6)), V. Privman and N.M. Svrakic [47] gave the generating function according to the area. In [20], we gave it according to the two perimeters.

Also the most surprising result was that the number of such polyominoes having one root and a site perimeter equal to $\mathrm{n}+1$ is

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{n}+1}\binom{3 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}}
$$

This number is the number of ternary trees having n internal nodes. This result has also been recently proved by Penaud [44].

In fact in a lot of cases, exact results according to the perimeter are well-known and according to the area there is only asymptotic or no result. In other cases, the situation is just the opposite. For example, the generating function for column-convex polyominoes according to the area is rational but the one according to the perimeter is algebraic. This has set us wondering. We have noted that the bijection between
polyominoes and algebraic languages preserve the parameter area when the coding is made according to the perimeter. Thus, since 1987, we search for some methods relating area and perimeter in polyominoes enumeration. We shall show in the last paragraph an extension of the Schützenberger methodology allowing to deduce the generating function according to the two parameters together. But first, we give one more simple example.

## 5-ANOTHER EXAMPLE: THE PARALLELOGRAM POLYOMINOES

In this section, we explain how to get a coding for parallelogram polyominoes preserving the four parameters $[24,18]$. A path is a sequence of points in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. A step of a path is a pair of two consecutive points in the path. A Dyck path is a path $\mathrm{w}=$ $\left(\mathrm{s}_{0}, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$ such that $\mathrm{s}_{0}=(0,0), \mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=(2 \mathrm{n}, 0)$, having only steps North-East $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=(\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}+1)\right)$ or South-East $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=(\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}-1)\right)$. A peak (resp. trough $)$ is a point $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ such that the step $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is North-East (resp. South-East) and the step $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)$ is South-East (resp. North-East). The height $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ of a point $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is its ordinate.

A Dyck word is a word $\mathrm{w} \in\{\mathrm{x}, \overline{\mathrm{x}}\}^{*}$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(i) $|\mathrm{w}|_{\mathrm{x}}=|\mathrm{w}|_{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}$,
(ii) for every factorization $w=u v,|u|_{x} \geq|u|_{\bar{x}}$.

Classically, a Dyck path having length 2 n is coded by a Dyck word of length 2 n , $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{x}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ : each North-East (resp. South-East ) step ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) corresponds to the letter $x_{i}=x$ (resp. $x_{i}=\bar{x}$ ). The peaks (resp. troughs) of a Dyck path correspond with the factors $\mathrm{x} \overline{\mathrm{x}}(\operatorname{resp} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x})$ of the associated Dyck word. The Dyck path shown in Figure 7 is coded by the Dyck word

A parallelogram polyomino $P$ can be defined by the two sequences of integers $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}\right)$, where $a_{i}$ is the number of cells belonging to the $i^{\text {th }}$ column and $\left(b_{i}+1\right)$ is the number of cells adjacent to columns i and $i+1$.


Figure 7. A Dyck path.

The Dyck word $\mu(\mathrm{P})$ is the Dyck word associated to the Dyck path having n peaks, whose heights (resp. troughs) are $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ (resp. $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}$ ). Note that $\mu$ associates the parallelogram polyomino of figure 5 to the Dyck path of figure 6. It is very easy to prove that $\mu$ is a bijection preserving the four parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - if } \mathbf{p}(\mathrm{P})=2 \mathrm{n}+2 \text { then }|\mu(\mathrm{P})|=2 \mathrm{n}, \\
& \text { - if } \boldsymbol{s}(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{k} \text { then }|\mu(\mathrm{P})|-|\mu(\mathrm{P})|_{\overline{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{x}}-|\mu(\mathrm{P})|_{\overline{\mathrm{x} x x}}=\mathrm{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

- if $\boldsymbol{a}(\mathrm{P})=\mathrm{r}$ then the sum of the heights of the peaks in $\mu(\mathrm{P})$ is r ,
- if the width of P is h then $\mu(\mathrm{P})$ has h factors $\mathrm{x} \overline{\mathrm{x}}$.

In the second step we write the non commutative equation associated to the language

$$
D=x \bar{x}+x D \bar{x}+x \bar{x} D+x D \bar{x} D
$$

From this equation, taking the commutative image, it is easy to prove that the number of such polyominoes having a bond perimeter $2 \mathrm{n}+2$ is the Catalan number

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}+1}\binom{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}} .
$$

Let us explain now how to get the generating function according to the bond perimeter and the width. First marking with a letter, say $t$, every factor $\mathrm{x} \overline{\mathrm{x}}$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=x t \bar{x}+x D \bar{x}+x t \bar{x} D+x D \bar{x} D \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this point, take the commutative image and apply the morphism $\eta(x)=\eta(\bar{x})=x$, $\eta(t)=t$.Then we get the equation in commutative variables

$$
d(x, t)=x^{2} t+x^{2} d(x, t)+x^{2} t d(x, t)+x^{2} d^{2}(x, t)
$$

in which

$$
d(x, t)=\sum_{h \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} d_{n, h} x^{2 n} t^{h}
$$

and $d_{n, h}$ is the number of parallelogram polyominoes having width $h$ and perimeter $2 n+2$. From this it is easy to deduce that

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~h}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~h}}\binom{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~h}-1} .
$$

We will show in the last paragraph a transformation which permits us to take into account the area using the last equation (3).

## 6-q-SERIES AND COMPILING

Let P be a polyomino and let us suppose that it is coded by a word w such that $|\mathrm{w}|$ is the perimeter of P. Let $G_{( }(\mathrm{w})$ be $q^{\mathbf{a}(P)}$ where $\boldsymbol{a}(P)$ is the area of P. Let us consider the formal power series

$$
\sum_{w \in L} \mathfrak{C}(w) w .
$$

Taking the commutative image, we get an enumerating function which turns to be a series in two variables

$$
f(x ; q)=\sum_{n \geq 0 p \geq 0} \sum_{n, p} f^{n} q^{p}
$$

in which $f_{n, p}$ is the number of polyominoes whose perimeter is $2 n$ and area is $p$. Note that, such a generating function is related to q -series in combinatorics. There is a vast literature on $q$-calculus and $q$-series. A nice introduction to the subject can be found in the paper of D. Foata [28]. We just give, here, few features

The q -analogue of an integer n is the polynomial

$$
[\mathrm{n}]=1+\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}^{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}-1},
$$

and the q -analogue of n factorial is

$$
[\mathrm{n}]!=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{i}] .
$$

In some way, a q-series is a series s in $\mathbb{C}[[X, q]]$,

$$
s(x ; q)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_{n}(q) x^{n}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}(q)$ is some function in $\mathbb{C}[[q]]$ in which the classical $q$-analog [ $\left.n\right]$ comes up. The recent book by G.E. Andrews [2] introduces one to some applications of q-calculus to number theory and physics. A very fruitful way of getting some combinatorial interpretation of q-analogues of classical numbers is by replacing the ordinary counting of the corresponding objects by $q$-counting. If C is a set of objects, the cardinality of C is

$$
|\mathrm{C}|=\sum_{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}} 1 .
$$

A q-counting of the elements of C will be the formal power series

$$
|\mathrm{C}|_{\mathrm{q}}=\sum_{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{C}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x})}
$$

where $s$ is a statistics on the elements of C .
Just what we need now is to have a mean relating grammars to q-series. In other words knowing the word coding the polyomino, we must construct its translation which is a word "shuffled" with letter q.

In computer science, the compiler theory, more precisely the attribute grammars which were introduced by Knuth [39], permits to associate a translation to a word of an algebraic language. The interest of the method is that every translation is defined locally on every rule (every monomial) of the grammar (equations). Thus the problem of finding recurrences on a polyomino according to the area is transformed in a very local problem on some particular configurations of the polyomino.

## 7-q-GRAMMARS AND ENUMERATION

In [22], we define what we call a q-grammar. For short, just consider that we associate to every monomial of a non commutative equation a translation function $\tau$ called attribute. Then the pair $(\mathrm{S}, \tau)$ where S is the non commutative system of equations is called a $q$-grammar. The $q$-analogue of the enumerating function $L$ (denoted by ${ }^{q} L$ ) is the series in $B<X \cup\{q\} \geqslant$ defined by

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~L}=\sum_{\mathrm{w} \in \mathrm{~L}} \tau(\mathrm{w}) .
$$

The attribute $\tau$ is such that if we substitute to each q the value 1 then we merely get the word $w$. In many cases, $\tau(w)$ will appear as a shuffle of the word $w$ and a word of $\{q\}^{*}$. Similarly, the function ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}$ is merely the enumerating function of L .

The commutative image of the series ${ }^{q} L$ is the series over $X \cup\{q\}$ defined by

$$
q_{l}(X)=\sum_{i_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, i_{k} \geq 0} \lambda_{i_{1}}, \ldots, i_{k}(q) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{i_{k}} .
$$

The coefficient $\lambda_{i}, \ldots, i_{k}(q)$ is in $\mathbb{C}[\{q\}]$ and often rational in $q$ in our examples. The series $\mathrm{ql}(\mathrm{X})$ is clearly a q -series. Therefore, it ends up with being a natural way of relating a $q$-series to an algebraic ordinary generating function. Now we give two very simple examples. First in the case of stack polyominoes, we write the associated attribute to each monomial of the system of equations (1) and (2).

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\tau(\mathrm{L})=\mathrm{q}|\tau(\mathrm{~L})| \mathrm{x} \text { a } \tau(\mathrm{L}) \text { a } \tau\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right), & \left(\text { associated to } \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \text { a } \mathrm{L} \text { a } \mathrm{L}_{1}\right) \\
\tau(\mathrm{L})=\mathrm{q} \times \tau(\mathrm{L}), & \text { (associated to } \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{x} \mathrm{~L}) \\
\tau(\mathrm{L})=\varepsilon, & \text { (associated to } \mathrm{L} \rightarrow \varepsilon) \\
\tau\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{q} \times \tau\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right), & \text { (associated to } \left.\mathrm{L}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \\
\tau\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)=\varepsilon . & \text { (associated to } \left.\mathrm{L}_{1} \rightarrow \varepsilon\right)
\end{array}
$$

From [22], it can be easily proved that $\mathrm{ql}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})$ is a solution of the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{ql}_{1} \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a}\right)=\mathrm{qx} \mathrm{ql}_{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})+\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{ql}_{(\mathrm{xq}, \mathrm{a})} \mathrm{ql}_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})+\varepsilon} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})=\mathrm{qx}_{1} \mathrm{ql}_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a})+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

By solving this system, we get the following

Proposition 2. The number of stack polyominoes having perimeter $2 \mathrm{p}+2$ and area n is the coefficient of $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}}$ in the q -series

$$
S(x ; q)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{x^{k+1} q^{k+1}\left(1-x^{k+1}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(1-x q^{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

Using the equation (3), it is also easy to deduce a q-equation for parallelogram polyomino. First, we write the associated attribute to each monomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{qxt} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \text {, } \\
& \tau(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{q}^{\mid}|\tau(\mathrm{L})|_{\mathrm{t}} \tau(\mathrm{D}) \overline{\mathrm{x}}, \quad \quad \text { (associated to } \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{xD} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \text { ) } \\
& \tau(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{qxt} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \tau(\mathrm{D}), \quad \text { (associated to } \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{xt} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{D} \text { ) } \\
& \tau(\mathrm{D})=\left.\mathrm{q}^{\mid \tau(\mathrm{D})}\right|_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{x}} \tau(\mathrm{D}) \overline{\mathrm{x}} \tau(\mathrm{D}) .} \text { (associated to } \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{xD} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{D} \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, we deduced [21]
Theorem 11. The number of skew Ferrers diagrams having area $n$ and $p$ columns is the coefficient of $t^{p} q^{n}$ in the $q$-series

$$
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{t})=(1-\mathrm{q}) \varphi_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{qt}}{(1-\mathrm{q})^{2}}\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{0}(\mathrm{x})$ is the quotient of two basic Bessel functions

$$
\varphi_{0}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{x})}{{ }_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{I}_{0}(\mathrm{x})},
$$

in which the basic Bessel function is defined by

$$
{ }_{q} I_{v}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left.(-1)^{n} q^{\left({ }^{n} 2\right.}{ }^{n+v}\right)}{} x^{n+v}
$$

Recently, using this method, M. Bousquet-Mélou [12] has given a generating function for convex polyominoes according to the area.

## 8-CONCLUSION

We give in Figure 8, a table of authors on polyominoe enumeration which is due to Delest, Penaud and Viennot and pictured in [42]. A remarkable fact of all these codings with words is that they are very efficient on planar pictures and especially for polyominoes. An interest of these coding is the interplay between Computer Science, Combinatorics and Physics. Finally we note that most of the results were obtained using symbolic calculus (especially MAPLE from Waterloo University) and using also the book of N.J. Sloane [50].
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| Polyomino | Perimeter | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stacks | Exercise | Euler 1748, Gauss 1863 <br> Sylvester 1884 <br> Temperley 1952, 1956 <br> Wright 1968, <br> Derrida, Nadal 1984 |
| Parallelogram | Polya 1969 Kreweras 1970 Delest, Gouyou-Beauchamps, Vauquelin 1987 (site and bond) | (particular case of quasipartitions:Auluck 1951, Andrews 1981)Polya 1969, Gessel 1980 <br> Delest, Fedou 1988 (area and width) |
| Directed convex | Chang, Lin 1988 (Width and length) Bousquet-Mélou 1990 | Bousquet-Mélou,Viennot 1990 (area, width and length) |
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